

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY  
NOTICE 394 OF 2019

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH AFRICA**

**NOTICE OF INITIATION OF A SUNSET REVIEW OF THE ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES  
ON WIRE ROPES AND CABLES OF A DIAMETER EXCEEDING 32 MM  
ORIGINATING IN OR IMPORTED FROM THE UNITED KINGDOM AND GERMANY  
AND ON STRANDED WIRE OF A DIAMETER EXCEEDING OR EQUAL TO 12.7MM  
ORIGINATING IN OR IMPORTED FROM THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
(PRC)**

In accordance with the provisions in Article 53.1 of the Anti-Dumping Regulations, any definitive anti-dumping duty shall be terminated on a date not later than five years from the date of imposition, unless the authorities determine, in a review initiated before that date on their own initiative or upon a duly substantiated request made by or on behalf of the domestic industry, that the expiry of the duty would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury.

On 8 June 2018, the International Trade Administration Commission of South Africa (the Commission) notified interested parties through Notice No. 326 of 2018 in *Government Gazette* No. 41685, that unless a substantiated request is made indicating that the expiry of the anti-dumping duties against imports of wire ropes and cables of a diameter exceeding 32 mm originating in or imported from the United Kingdom and Germany and on stranded wire of a diameter exceeding or equal to 12.7mm originating in or imported from the PRC would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury, the anti-dumping duties on wire ropes and cables of a diameter exceeding 32 mm originating in or imported from the United Kingdom and Germany and on stranded wire of a diameter exceeding or equal to 12.7mm originating in or imported from the PRC will expire on 07 August 2019.

**THE APPLICANT**

The Application was lodged by Scaw South Africa Proprietary Limited (the Applicant), the sole producer of the product under investigation.

The Applicant alleges that the expiry of the duties would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and the recurrence of material injury.

The Applicant submitted sufficient evidence and established a *prima facie* case to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable conclusion that a sunset review investigation of the anti-dumping duties on wire ropes and cables of a diameter exceeding 32 mm originating in or imported from the United Kingdom and Germany and on stranded wire of a diameter exceeding or equal to 12.7mm originating in or imported from the PRC, be initiated.

## **THE PRODUCT**

The anti-dumping duties subject to this sunset review are applicable to wire ropes and cables of a diameter exceeding 32 mm originating in or imported from the United Kingdom and Germany and on stranded wire of a diameter exceeding or equal to 12.7mm originating in or imported from the PRC, classifiable under tariff subheadings 7312.10.20, 7312.10.25, 7312.10.40, 7312.10.90.

## **THE ALLEGATION OF THE CONTINUATION OR RECURRENCE OF DUMPING**

The allegation of continuation or recurrence of dumping is based on the comparison between the normal value and the export price.

### **The PRC**

The normal value was determined on exports to a third country, as the Applicant was unable to obtain domestic selling prices in the PRC. The Applicant decided to nominate Italy as the third country, based thereon that the highest import volumes of Chinese imports of stranded wire, which are comparable to China's exports to SACU, was exported to Italy. To calculate the normal value of imports of stranded wire based on exports to Italy, the Applicant relied on Eurostat information on imports from China by EU member states.

The export price for the PRC is based on official South African Revenue Service (SARS) statistics for the dumping period of investigation.

The dumping margin for the PRC was calculated to be 75.99%.

On this basis, the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of the likelihood of the continuation or recurrence of dumping of the subject product originating in or imported from the PRC.

## Germany

In calculating the normal value for Germany for non-coated ropes and cables and coated ropes and cables, the Applicant obtained prices for intra-EU sales of the product from Eurostat. The Applicant stated that these sales can be considered to be domestic sales as the EU is a customs union with a single market and goods and services flow freely as though within a single country.

In calculating the normal value the Applicant used exports from Germany to other EU states under EU tariff subheading 7312.10.89, which includes both non-coated and coated ropes and cables with a diameter greater than 32 mm obtained from Eurostat, adjusted to ex-factory prices by applying internal transport cost adjustments.

In calculating the export price, the Applicant used fob prices taken from Eurostat information for coated ropes and cable and non-coated ropes and cables and adjusted to ex-factory prices by applying internal transport cost adjustments.

The dumping margin for Germany was calculated to be 45.79%.

On this basis, the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of the likelihood of the continuation or recurrence of dumping of the subject product originating in or imported from Germany.

## The UNITED KINGDOM

In calculating the normal value for the UK for non-coated ropes and cables and coated ropes and cables, the Applicant obtained prices for intra-EU sales of the product from Eurostat. The Applicant stated that these sales can be considered to be domestic sales as the EU is a customs union with a single market and goods and services flow freely as though within a single country.

In calculating the normal value the Applicant used exports from the UK to other EU states under EU tariff subheading 7312.10.89, which includes both non-coated and coated ropes and cables with a diameter greater than 32 mm.

In calculating the export price, the Applicant used fob prices taken from Eurostat information for coated ropes and cable and non-coated ropes and cables and adjusted to ex-factory prices by applying internal transport cost adjustments.

The dumping margin for the UK was calculated to be 70.54%.

On this basis, the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of the likelihood of the continuation or recurrence of dumping of the subject product originating in or imported from the UK.

### **THE ALLEGATION OF RECURRENCE OF MATERIAL INJURY**

The Applicant alleges and submitted sufficient evidence to show that it would experience a decline in sales, market share, capacity utilisation, profit, output, return on investment, employment, cash flow, ability to raise capital, productivity and growth if the duties expire. Furthermore, the Applicant also submitted sufficient evidence to show that it would experience an increase in price undercutting, price depression, price suppression should the current anti-dumping duties expire.

On this basis, the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of the likelihood of the recurrence of material injury.

### **PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION**

The investigation period for dumping is from 01 November 2017 to 31 October 2018 and the injury investigation involves the evaluation of data for the period 01 April 2015 to 31 October 2018, and 2020 estimates in the event the duties expire.

### **PROCEDURAL FRAMEWORK**

Having decided that there is sufficient evidence and a *prima facie* case to justify the initiation of an investigation, the Commission has begun an investigation in terms of section 16 of the International Trade Administration Act, 2002 (the ITA Act). The Commission will conduct its investigation in accordance with the relevant sections of the ITA Act, the World Trade Organisation Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the GATT 1994 (the Anti-Dumping Agreement) and the Anti-Dumping Regulations of the International Trade Administration Commission of South Africa (ADR). Both the ITA Act and the ADR are available on the Commission's website ([www.itac.org.za](http://www.itac.org.za)) or from the Trade Remedies section, on request.

In order to obtain the information it deems necessary for its investigation, the Commission will send non-confidential versions of the application and questionnaires to all known importers and exporters and known representative associations. The trade

representative of the country of origin has also been notified. Importers and other interested parties are invited to contact the Commission as soon as possible in order to determine whether they have been listed and were furnished with the relevant documentation.

If not, they should immediately ensure that they are sent copies.

The questionnaire has to be completed and any other representations must be made within the time limit set out below.

### **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Please note that if any information is considered to be confidential then a non-confidential version of the information must be submitted for the public file, simultaneously with the confidential version. In submitting a non-confidential version the following rules are strictly applicable and parties must indicate:

- X where confidential information has been omitted and the nature of such information;
- X reasons for such confidentiality;
- X a summary of the confidential information which permits a reasonable understanding of the substance of the confidential information; and
- X in exceptional cases, where information is not susceptible to summary, reasons must be submitted to this effect.

This rule applies to all parties and to all correspondence with and submissions to the Commission, which unless indicated to be confidential and filed together with a non-confidential version, will be placed on the public file and be made available to other interested parties.

If a party considers that any document of another party, on which that party is submitting representations, does not comply with the above rules and that such deficiency affects that party's ability to make meaningful representations, the details of the deficiency and the reasons why that party's rights are so affected must be submitted to the Commission in writing forthwith (and at the latest 14 days prior to the date on which that party's submission is due).

Failure to do so timeously will seriously hamper the proper administration of the investigation, and such party will not be able to subsequently claim an inability to make meaningful representations on the basis of the failure of such other party to meet the

requirements.

Subsection 33(1) of the ITA Act provides that any person claiming confidentiality of information should identify whether such information is *confidential by nature* or is *otherwise confidential* and, any such claims must be supported by a written statement, in each case, setting out how the information satisfies the requirements of the claim to confidentiality.

In the alternative, a sworn statement should be made setting out reasons why it is impossible to comply with these requirements.

Section 2.3 of the ADR provides as follows:

*“The following list indicates “information that is by nature confidential” as per section 33(1)(a) of the Main Act, read with section 36 of the Promotion of Access to Information Act (Act 2 of 2000):*

- (a) management accounts;*
- (b) financial accounts of a private company;*
- (c) actual and individual sales prices;*
- (d) actual costs, including cost of production and importation cost;*
- (e) actual sales volumes;*
- (f) individual sales prices;*
- (g) information, the release of which could have serious consequences for the person that provided such information; and*
- (h) information that would be of significant competitive advantage to a competitor;*  
*Provided that a party submitting such information indicates it to be confidential*

## **ADDRESS**

The response to the questionnaire and any information regarding this matter and any arguments concerning the allegation of dumping and the resulting material injury must be submitted in writing to the following address:

### **Physical address**

The Senior Manager: Trade Remedies I  
International Trade Administration Commission  
Block E – The DTI Campus  
77 Meintjies Street  
SUNNYSIDE  
PRETORIA  
SOUTH AFRICA

### **Postal address**

The Senior Manager:  
Trade Remedies I  
Private Bag X753  
PRETORIA  
0001  
SOUTH AFRICA

## **PROCEDURES AND TIME LIMITS**

The Senior Manager: Trade Remedies I, should receive all responses, including non-

confidential copies of the responses, not later than 30 days from the date hereof, or from the date on which the letter accompanying the abovementioned questionnaire was received. The said letter shall be deemed to have been received seven days after the day of its dispatch.

Late submissions will not be accepted except with the prior written consent of the Commission.

The Commission will give due consideration to written requests for an extension of not more than 14 days on good cause shown (properly motivated and substantiated), if received prior to the expiry of the original 30-day period. Merely citing insufficient time is not an acceptable reason for an extension. Please note that the Commission will not consider requests for extension by the Embassy on behalf of foreign producers.

The information submitted by any party may need to be verified by the Investigating Officers in order for the Commission to take such information into consideration. The Commission may verify the information at the premises of the party submitting the information, within a short period after the submission of the information to the Commission. Parties should therefore ensure that the information submitted would subsequently be available for verification. Specifically, it is planned to verify the information submitted by the foreign producers within three to five weeks subsequent to the submission of the information. This period will only be extended if it is not feasible for the Commission to do it within this time period or upon good cause shown, and with the prior written consent of the Commission, which should be requested at the time of the submission. It should be noted that unavailability of, or inconvenience to appointed representatives, will not be considered to be good cause.

Parties should also ensure when they engage representatives that they will be available at the requisite times, to ensure compliance with the above time frames. Parties should also ensure that all the information requested in the applicable questionnaire is provided in the specified detail and format. The questionnaires are designed to ensure that the Commission is provided with all the information required to make a determination in accordance with the ITA Act and the ADR. The Commission may therefore refuse to verify information that is incomplete or does not comply with the format in the questionnaire, unless the Commission has agreed in writing to a deviation from the required format.

A failure to submit a non-confidential version of the response that complies with the rules set out above under the heading *Confidential Information* will be regarded as an incomplete submission.

Parties, who experience difficulty in furnishing the information required, or submitting information in the format required, are urged to make written applications to the Commission at an early stage for permission to deviate from the questionnaire or provide the information in an alternative format that can satisfy the Commission's requirements. The Commission will give due consideration to such a request on good cause shown.

Any interested party may request an oral hearing at any stage of the investigation in accordance with Section 5 of the ADR, provided that the party indicates reasons for not relying on written submissions only. The Commission may refuse an oral hearing if granting such hearing will unduly delay the finalisation of a determination. Parties requesting an oral hearing must provide the Commission with a detailed agenda for, and a detailed version, including a non-confidential version, of the information to be discussed at the oral hearing at the time of the request.

If the required information is not received in a satisfactory form within the time limit specified above, or if verification of the information cannot take place, the Commission may disregard the information submitted and make a finding on the basis of the facts available to it.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact Ms Selma Takacs at telephone number +27 12 394 3596 or Ms Mosa Sebe at telephone number + 27 394 1850.