REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DIVISION OF REVENUE BILL

(As amended by the Portfolio Committee on Finance (National Assembly)) (The English text is the official text of the Bill)

(Minister of Finance)

[B4B—2004]

ISBN 0 621 34788 4

BILL

To provide for the equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government for the 2004/05 financial year and the reporting requirements for allocations pursuant to such division; to permit the withholding and the delaying of payments in certain circumstances; to provide for liability for costs incurred in litigation in violation of the principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations; and to provide for matters connected therewith.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS section 214(1) of the Constitution requires an Act of Parliament to provide for—

- (a) the equitable division of revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
- (b) the determination of each province's equitable share of the provincial share of that revenue; and
- (c) any other allocations to provinces, local government or municipalities from the national government's share of that revenue, and any conditions on which those allocations may be made;

B^E IT THEREFORE ENACTED by the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa, as follows:—

CHAPTER 1

INTERPRETATION AND OBJECTS OF ACT

Interpretation	5

- 1. (1) In this Act, unless the context indicates otherwise, a word or expression to which a meaning has been assigned in the Public Finance Management Act has the meaning assigned to it in that Act, and—
 - "budget year" means the financial year commencing on 1 April 2004 and ending on 31 March 2005;

10

- II. "head official", in relation to a provincial treasury, means the head of the provincial department responsible for financial matters in the province;
- III. "Financial and Fiscal Commission Act" means the Financial and Fiscal Commission Act, 1997 (Act No. 99 of 1997);
- IV. "Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act" means the Intergovernmental 15 Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No. 97 of 1997);
- V. "municipal accounting officer" means the official of a municipality referred to in section 60 of the Municipal Finance Management Act;
- VI. "municipal financial year" means the financial year of a municipality commencing on 1 July and ending on 30 June;
- VII. "Municipal Finance Management Act" means the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003);

	3	
VIII.	"municipality" means the same as in section 1 of the Municipal Finance	
	Management Act;	
IX.		
	Structures Act, 1998 (Act No. 117 of 1998);	
X.	"Municipal Systems Act" means the Local Government: Municipal Systems	5
	Act, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000);	
XI.	"next financial year" means the financial year commencing on 1 April 2005	
	and ending on 31 March 2006;	
XII.	"payment schedule" means a schedule which sets out—	
	(a) the amount of each instalment of an equitable share or any other	10
	allocation to be transferred to a province or municipality for the	
	financial year;	
	(b) the date on which each such instalment must be paid; and	
	(c) to whom, and to which primary bank account, each such instalment must be paid;	15
XIII.	"prescribe" means prescribe by regulation in terms of section 33;	13
XIV.	"primary bank account"—	
AIV.	(a) in relation to a province, means a bank account of the provincial	
	Revenue Fund, which the head official of the provincial treasury has	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20
	allocations in terms of this Act must be deposited;	
	(b) in relation to a municipality which has only one bank account,	
	means that account; or	
	(c) in relation to a municipality which has more than one bank account,	
	means one of its bank accounts which it has designated as its	25
3737	primary bank account;	
XV.		
XVI.	Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999); "quarter" means—	
A V 1.	(a) 1 April to 30 June;	30
	(b) 1 July to 30 September;	50
	(c) 1 October to 31 December; or	
	(d) 1 January to 31 March;	
XVII.	"receiving officer"—	
	(a) in relation to Schedules 4 and 5 allocations transferred to a	35
	province, excluding the Provincial Infrastructure Grant, means the	
	accounting officer of the provincial department which is responsible	
	for spending or managing that allocation; or	
	(b) in relation to Schedules 6 and 6A allocations transferred to a	
	municipality, means the municipal accounting officer of that	40
	municipality, except for that portion of the Municipal Infrastructure	
	Grant funds in terms of section 11(7), committed before 30 September 2003 and spent by the accounting officer of the national	
	department for local government directly on behalf of the munici-	
	pality;	45
XVIII.	"transferring national officer" means the accounting officer of a national	43
	department which transfers to a province or municipality Schedule 4, 5, 6 or	
	6A allocations, but excludes the accounting officer of the National Treasury in	
	respect of an allocation listed in those Schedules and which is on the vote of	
	the National Treasury;	50
XIX.	"transferring provincial officer" means the accounting officer of a	
	provincial department which is responsible for managing a Schedule 5, 6 or	
	6A allocation for the purpose of transferring it to a municipality in the relevant	

Municipal Finance Management Act is, to the extent of the inconsistency, suspended until 1 April 2005.

(3) Section 35 of the Public Finance Management Act, section 3 of the Financial and Fiscal Commission Act and section 9 of the Municipal Systems Act do not apply in 60 respect of any powers and functions assigned in terms of this Act to a province or municipality.

(2) In the event of any inconsistency between a provision of this Act and a provision 55 of the Municipal Finance Management Act that has taken effect, the provision of the

Obj

Objects of Act	
 2. The objects of this Act are— (a) to provide for the equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among the three spheres of government; (b) to promote co-operative governance and the principles of intergovernmental relations on budgetary matters; (c) to promote better co-ordination between policy, planning, budget preparation and execution processes; (d) to promote predictability and certainty in respect of all allocations to provincial governments and municipalities in order that such governments and municipalities may plan their budgets over a multi-year period; (e) to promote transparency and equity in all allocations, including in respect of the criteria for their division; (f) to promote accountability for the use of public resources by ensuring that all transfers are reflected on the budgets of benefiting provincial governments and municipalities; and (g) to ensure that legal proceedings between organs of state in the three spheres of government are avoided as far as is possible. 	5 10
CHAPTER 2	
EQUITABLE SHARE ALLOCATIONS	20
Equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among spheres of	20
government	
3. (1) Revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year must be divided among the national, provincial and local spheres of government for their equitable shares as set out in Column A of Schedule 1. (2) An envisaged division of revenue anticipated to be raised in respect of the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, and which will be subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act in respect of those financial years, is set out in Column B of Schedule 1.	25
(3) Despite subsection (2), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the annual Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division for the next financial year as set out in Column B of Schedule 1, be transferred as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund to each province and municipality.	30
Equitable division of provincial share among provinces	35
4. (1) Each province's equitable share of the provincial share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year is set out in Column A of Schedule 2. (2) An envisaged division for each province of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, and which is subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act for those financial	40
years, is set out in Column B of Schedule 2. (3) Subject to section 28(2), each province's equitable share contemplated in subsection (1) must be transferred to the province in weekly instalments in accordance with a payment schedule determined by the National Treasury, after consultation with the head official of the relevant provincial treasury. The payment schedule must take reasonable account of the monthly spending commitments of provinces, the revenue at the disposal of provinces, and the minimisation of risk and debt servicing costs. (4) Despite subsection (3), the Minister may, on such conditions as the Minister may	45
determine, advance funds to a province in respect of its equitable share contemplated in subsection (1), which have not yet fallen due for transfer in accordance with the payment	50

schedule referred to in subsection (3) in respect of that province.

(5) The advances contemplated in subsection (4) must be set-off against transfers to the province which would otherwise become due in terms of the applicable payment schedule.

Equitable division of local government share among municipalities

- 5. (1) Each municipality's share of local government's equitable share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year is set out in Column A of Schedule 3.
- (2) An envisaged division between municipalities of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the next financial and the 2006/07 financial year, and which is subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act for those financial years, 10 is set out in Column B of Schedule 3.
- (3) Subject to subsection (4), each municipality's equitable share contemplated in subsection (1) must be transferred to the primary bank account of the municipality in quarterly instalments before the end of May, August, November and February in the budget year, in accordance with a payment schedule determined by the National 15 Treasury.
- (4) If a provincial executive has intervened in a municipality in terms of section 139 of the Constitution, the Minister responsible for local government may, with the concurrence of the Minister, and on such conditions as the Minister may determine, advance funds to a municipality in respect of its equitable share contemplated in 20 subsection (1) which have not fallen due for transfer in accordance with the applicable payment schedule referred to in subsection (3) in respect of that municipality.
- (5) Any advances in terms of subsection (4) must be set-off against transfers to the municipality which would otherwise become due in terms of the applicable payment

25

40

50

- (6) Despite subsection (3), the National Treasury may direct the accounting officer of the national department for local government to delay or withhold the transfer of an instalment on the grounds of a municipality's serious or persistent material breach of uniform treasury norms and standards.
- (7) Such uniform treasury norms and standards referred to in subsection (6) may 30 include a requirement that a municipality must-
 - (a) submit to the Auditor-General, not later than 30 April 2004, any outstanding financial statements in respect of municipal financial years preceding the 2003/04 municipal financial year;
 - submit to the National Treasury, by not later than 30 June 2004, its budget for 35 the 2003/04 municipal financial year and such other budgetary information as may be required by the National Treasury, including, but not limited to, information on the nature and extent of basic services to be provided for water, sanitation, electricity, and municipal infrastructure, including free basic services, to be provided by that municipality;
 - submit to the Auditor-General and the National Treasury, not later than 30 September 2004, financial statements in respect of the 2003/04 municipal financial year, in a format determined by the National Treasury;
 - submit to the National Treasury, by not later than 20 days after the end of each quarter starting 1 July 2004, information on the implementation of its budget, 45 including information up to the end of that quarter on actual
 - total revenue collected, borrowings and all allocations received in terms of this Act; and
 - total spending, including on water, sanitation, electricity, free basic services and municipal infrastructure;
 - (e) in the case of local and district municipalities, co-operate on financial, budget and fiscal matters with other municipalities within the district in which it is located in order to eliminate duplication in the provision of services, reduce wastage, and ensure effective and efficient spending for purposes of sustainable delivery of municipal services in that municipal district; and
 - make timely payment of all statutory commitments including all taxes, levies, audit fees, medical aid and pension fund commitments.

- (8) Payments of the quarterly equitable share instalments referred to in subsection (3), may be made subject to the accounting officer of a municipality submitting any information required in terms of subsection (6) or (7) to the National Treasury, and certifying how the municipality is complying with subsection (6) or (7), not later than 21 days before the date of transfer of such instalment.
- (9) Despite subsections (6) and (7), the National Treasury may direct that funds be transferred to a municipality, where it—
 - (a) provides a written explanation which the National Treasury determines as reasonable; or
 - (b) is in danger of failing to deliver basic services as a result of such delays or 10 withholding.

5

30

35

Shortfalls and excess revenue

- **6.** (1) If actual revenue raised nationally in respect of the budget year falls short of the anticipated revenue set out in Schedule 1, the national government bears the shortfall.
- (2) If actual revenue raised nationally in respect of the budget year is in excess of the anticipated revenue set out in Schedule 1, the excess accrues to the national government and forms part of its equitable share.
- (3) Despite subsection (2), the national government may, by means of an adjustments budget or any other appropriation legislation, and additional to the allocations in sections 4 and 5, and the allocations contemplated in Chapter 3, make in the budget year 20 further allocations to provinces and municipalities from its equitable share of nationally raised revenue or contingency reserve.

CHAPTER 3

OTHER ALLOCATIONS TO PROVINCES AND MUNICIPALITIES

Other allocations 25

- 7. (1) Other allocations to provinces in respect of the budget year from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally are set out in Column A of the following Schedules:
 - (a) Schedule 4, which contains allocations to provinces for general and nationally assigned functions;
 - (b) Schedule 5, which contains specific-purpose allocations to provinces.
- (2) An envisaged division of allocations to provinces from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally, for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, which is subject to the annual Division of Revenue Act for those years is set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (1).
- (3) Despite subsection (2), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division of the allocation for the next financial year, as set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (1), be transferred to a province as a direct charge against the National 40 Revenue Fund.
- (4) Other allocations to local government in respect of the budget year from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally are set out in Column A of the following Schedules:
 - (a) Schedules 6 and 6A, which contain specific-purpose allocations to local 45 government; and
 - (b) Schedule 7, which contains allocations-in-kind to municipalities for designated programmes.
- (5) An envisaged division of allocations to local government from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, which is subject to the annual Division of Revenue Act for those years, is set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (4).

(6) The Minister must, not later than 1 April 2004, publish in the Gazette required in terms of section 24(1), the share of each municipality in respect of the local government allocations referred to in subsections (4) and (5).

(7) Despite subsection (5), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division of the allocation for the next financial year, as set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (4), be transferred to a municipality as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund.

Transfers to entities 10

8. (1) Where a national or provincial organ of state has to provide funds to a public entity for the provision of a municipal service or function after 30 June 2004, it must do so by transferring such funds to the relevant municipality directly, and in accordance with subsection (2), unless the National Treasury has directed otherwise.

- (2) A public entity may not receive funds directly or indirectly from a national or 15 provincial organ of state for the provision of a municipal service in a municipality after 30 June 2004, unless such public entity has by 30 June 2004 notified the National Treasury in writing that it acts as an external mechanism for a municipality in terms of Chapter 8 of the Municipal Systems Act. Where a public entity and relevant municipality fail to come to an agreement by the above date, the public entity and municipality must inform the National Treasury when such an agreement will be completed.
- (3) The National Treasury may withhold the payment of any grant to a public entity if the public entity fails to comply with subsection (2).
- (4) A public entity that receives a grant, directly or indirectly, from a national or 25 provincial organ of state for the provision of a municipal service in a municipality must report to that municipality and to the National Treasury, within seven working days after the end of each month, the amount spent on such municipal service and for which it has received funds, or is entitled to reimbursement from the funds transferred to the
- (5) If a municipality fails to reimburse a public entity in terms of this section, the National Treasury may direct the withholding of funds due to the municipality, and reimburse the public entity directly.

Transfers not listed in Schedules

9. An allocation not listed in the Schedules referred to in section 7 may only be made 35 in terms of section 6 (3) or in terms of an adjustments appropriation, and must be published in the Gazette by the Minister before the transfer of any funds to a province or municipality, and must comply with such monitoring and reporting requirements as may be determined by the National Treasury.

Provincial infrastructure grant

10. A provincial treasury must, in respect of an allocation for provincial infrastructure listed in Schedule 4, submit to the National Treasury, not later than 1 April 2004, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, a plan on proposed spending for the budget year, the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year.

Municipal Infrastructure Grant

11. (1) Allocations for addressing backlogs in basic municipal infrastructure development and the carrying out of municipal services are set out in Schedule 6A.

(2) Any allocation contemplated in subsection (1) which is intended, entirely or in part, for the construction, maintenance or rehabilitation of municipal infrastructure, must only be in terms of a policy framework, and allocations published in terms of 50 section 24(1).

5

30

40

- (3) A municipality must, in respect of an allocation for the Municipal Infrastructure Grant listed in Schedule 6A, submit to the National Treasury with its budget in terms of section 5(7), by not later than 30 June 2004 or such other date as may be determined by the National Treasury, a three-year capital plan on proposed spending for the 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 municipal financial years. The National Treasury may direct additional conditions on the transfer of funds to a municipality failing to comply with this provision, including transferring funds destined for the municipality via a district municipality or another organ of state.
- (4) The three-year capital plan of a municipality must include the following information, in a format determined by the National Treasury:
 - (i) how the municipality has prioritised the payment of commitments on the previous consolidated municipal infrastructure and water capital grants as at 30 September 2003, to the extent that it will receive allocations directly from the Municipal Infrastructure Grant to incur such payments;

10

15

35

- (ii) projections on future operational costs for new or upgraded infrastructure;
- (iii) operational budgets for maintenance and operating costs on existing infrastructure; and
- (iv) how a municipality's operational budget takes account of future commitments.
- (5) A district municipality receiving the Municipal Infrastructure Grant must, in its 20 budget and three-year capital plan, indicate all transfers and allocations-in-kind to local municipalities. Transfers between district and local municipalities must be approved in both their budgets, and any allocations in-kind must comply with section 12.
- (6) Each recipient municipality must, not later than 20 working days after the end of each quarter after 1 July 2004, submit a report to the National Treasury, which provides 25 details on the implementation of the capital budget, and any other details requested by the National Treasury as set out in the frameworks for municipal infrastructure grants.
- (7) The accounting officer of the national department for local government may, with the approval of the National Treasury, and after consultation with the responsible sector departments, make direct payments to creditors against the Municipal Infrastructure 30 Grant allocation to a municipality for commitments incurred on previous consolidated municipal infrastructure and water capital grants as at 30 September 2003, if—
 - (i) the receiving municipality is unable, unwilling or fails to pay for such commitments; or
 - (ii) it is to fulfil contractual obligations entered into before 30 September 2003.

Transfer of assets to municipalities

- 12. (1) A transferring national officer or a transferring provincial officer may not make any transfer to a municipality, of assets or any other financial resource, including an allocation-in-kind or the future transfer of an asset, intended, entirely or in part, for the construction, installation, maintenance or rehabilitation of municipal infrastructure 40 without—
 - (a) a realistic estimate of the value of the asset and future potential liability, including reflection on the budget of the benefiting municipality of the associated operating costs;
 - (b) a resolution of the municipal council that it will take transfer of such asset and 45 future operating costs;
 - (c) the written approval of the relevant treasury, in terms of a framework required in subsection (3).
- (2) The transferring national officer or the transferring provincial officer, as the case may be, must, within such period as may be determined by the National Treasury, report to the National Treasury on the actual transfers effected in respect of the allocations contemplated in subsection (1).

(3) The Minister may, with the concurrence of the Minister responsible for local government, develop a framework for transfer of assets. To the extent that the framework affects the restructuring of water services or electricity distribution, the Minister must consult with the relevant Minister prior to the determination of the framework.

5

Municipal capacity building allocations

13. (1) Any transfer of an allocation, other than an allocation on the vote of the National Treasury, aimed at developing and improving municipal systems and the capacity of municipalities to perform functions assigned to them, may only be made in terms of a framework determined by the national accounting officer responsible for local government, in consultation with the National Treasury.

(2) The framework contemplated in subsection (1) must take into account integrated planning, performance management, financial management, budgeting and spatial planning considerations, and ensure that the capacity of a municipality is developed in measurable ways.

15

(3) The transferring national or provincial officer responsible for a municipal capacity building allocation must, in the annual report of the relevant department, indicate the extent to which the capacity of any municipality was improved by that allocation and the extent to which such allocation was spent on non-public providers providing services or assistance to a municipality.

20

CHAPTER 4

DUTIES OF ACCOUNTING OFFICERS AND TREASURIES

Duties of transferring national officers

14. (1) A transferring national officer must, not later than 14 days after this Act takes effect, certify to the National Treasury that-

25

- (a) allocation frameworks, conditions and monitoring provisions are reasonable and do not impose an undue administrative burden on benefiting provinces and municipalities;
- funds will only be transferred after information required in terms of this Act from the transferring national officer has been provided;

30

- funds will only be deposited by the transferring national officer into the primary bank account of a province or municipality; and
- all other arrangements or requirements necessary for the transfer of an allocation have been complied with.
- (2) A transferring national officer who has not complied with subsection (1) must 35 transfer such funds as directed by the National Treasury.

(3) A transferring national officer must transfer all allocations to a province or municipality by depositing such funds into the primary bank account of the province or municipality, unless the National Treasury has directed otherwise for reasons related to better cash management. Before transferring the first allocation of any grant, the transferring national officer must take note of any notice in terms of subsection 19(2) from the National Treasury outlining the details of the primary bank account for each province or municipality.

(4) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, a transferring national officer must, in respect of any allocation, as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, not later than 20 days after the end of each month, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, submit to the National Treasury and the relevant executive authority, information for the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month, on-

(a) the amount of funds transferred to a province or municipality;

- (b) the amount of funds delayed or withheld from any province or municipality and the reasons for such delay or withholding;
- (c) the actual expenditure incurred by the province or municipality in respect of the allocations listed in Schedule 5, 6 and 6A; and
- (d) such other issues as the National Treasury may determine.

Duties of transferring provincial officers

- 15. A transferring provincial officer must, as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, and in respect of any allocation to be transferred to municipalities, within 15 days after the end of each month and in the format determined by the National Treasury, submit to the national transferring officer, the relevant treasury and the executive authority responsible for that department, information on—
 - (a) the amount of funds transferred to a municipality in the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month;
 - (b) the amount of funds delayed or withheld from any municipality in the month 10 reported on;
 - (c) actual expenditure incurred in respect of that allocation in the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month; and
 - (d) such other issues as the National Treasury may determine.

Duties of receiving officers

15

25

30

35

- **16.** (1) The relevant receiving officer must, in respect of an allocation to be transferred to—
 - (a) a province, and as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, within 15 days after the end of each month, submit a report to the relevant provincial treasury, the relevant provincial executive authority and the transferring national officer; and
 - (b) a municipality, within 10 days after the end of each month, submit a report to the relevant transferring national or provincial officer.
- (2) The reports contemplated in subsection (1) must set out for that month and for the financial year up to the end of that month—
 - (a) the amount received by the province or municipality, as the case may be;
 - (b) the amount of funds delayed or withheld from the province or municipality, as the case may be;
 - (c) the actual expenditure by the province or municipality, as the case may be, in respect of allocations set out in the Schedules 5, 6 and 6A;
 - (d) the extent of compliance with the conditions of an allocation and with this Act;
 - (e) an explanation for any material problems experienced or variations effected by the province or municipality, as the case may be, regarding an allocation which has been received and a summary of the steps taken to deal with such problems or the effect of such variations; and
 - (f) such other issues and information as the National Treasury may determine.
- (3) The accounting officer of a municipality must submit to the National Treasury, in writing—
 - (a) immediately after the commencement of this Act, the details of the relevant municipality's primary bank account; and
 - (b) immediately upon opening a new primary bank account, the details of that municipality's new primary bank account.
- (4) The receiving officer of a district municipality which intends to transfer to a local municipality, and vice versa, an allocation transferred to it in terms of this Act, or any portion of such transfer, may only effect such a transfer provided all allocations are 45 reflected in both municipality's annual budgets by 1 July 2004, or if later, as approved by the National Treasury.
- (5) The Minister may prescribe additional duties for a receiving officer contemplated in subsection (4).

Duties of provincial accounting officers and treasuries

- 17. (1) The provincial treasury must publish, with its annual budget or in the provincial *Gazette*, not later than 1 April 2004, the allocations per municipality for every allocation made by the province to a municipality in that province.
- (2) The MEC responsible for financial matters in the province must, in the publication contemplated in subsection (1), also indicate the envisaged division of the allocation contemplated in that subsection in respect of a municipality, for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year.
- (3) A provincial treasury must, within 22 days after the end of each month, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, and as part of its consolidated monthly 10 report, report on—
 - (a) actual transfers received by the province from national departments;
 - (b) actual expenditure on such allocations, excluding the allocations set out in Schedule 4, up to the end of that month;
 - (c) actual transfers made by the province to municipalities, and projections on 15 actual expenditure by municipalities on such allocations; and
 - (d) any problems of compliance with this Act, by transferring provincial officers and receiving officers, and the steps taken to deal with such problems.
- (4) The report contemplated in subsection (3) must, in respect of the provincial infrastructure allocation, include reports for each quarter and be in such format as may 2 be determined by the National Treasury.
- (5) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, a provincial treasury may, in accordance with any reporting framework determined by the National Treasury, make allocations to municipalities that were not published in terms of subsection (1).
- (6) The allocations contemplated in subsection (5) must be published in the provincial 25 *Gazette* before any transfers can be made.

Annual financial statements for 2004/05

- 18. (1) The annual financial statements for the 2004/05 financial year of a department transferring any funds in respect of an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6, 6A, and 7, must, in addition to any requirements in terms of any other applicable law—
 - (a) indicate the total amount of that allocation transferred to a province or municipality;

- (b) indicate the funds, if any, that were withheld and the reasons for such withholding in respect of each province or municipality;
- (c) certify that all transfers to a province or municipality were deposited into the 35 primary bank account of a province or municipality;
- (d) indicate to what extent a province or municipality was monitored for compliance with the conditions of an allocation and the provisions of this Act;
- (e) indicate to what extent the allocation achieved its purpose and outputs;
- indicate the funds, if any, utilised for the administration of the allocation, and whether any portion of the allocation was retained by the transferring department for that purpose; and
- (g) indicate any non-compliance with this Act, and the steps taken to deal with such non-compliance.
- (2) The annual financial statements of a provincial department receiving grants in 45 respect of an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 6A, must, in addition to any requirements in terms of any other applicable legislation—
 - (a) indicate the total amount of all allocations received;
 - (b) indicate the total amount of actual expenditure on all allocations, except Schedule 4 allocations;
 - (c) certify that all transfers in terms of this Act to a province or municipality were deposited into the primary bank account of such province or municipality:

- (d) indicate to what extent a province or municipality met the conditions of such an allocation, and complied with the provisions of this Act;
- (e) indicate the extent to which the objectives of the allocation were achieved;
- (f) contain such other information as the National Treasury may determine.
- (3) The annual financial statements and annual report of a municipality for the 2004/05 financial year must be in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act.
- (4) The National Treasury may determine how transferring departments and receiving municipalities report on local government allocations on a quarterly basis to facilitate 10 the audit of allocations for both the national and municipal financial years.

CHAPTER 5

DUTIES OF NATIONAL TREASURY AND AUDITOR-GENERAL

Duties of National Treasury

- 19. (1) The National Treasury must, together with the report contemplated in section 15 32(2) of the Public Finance Management Act, publish a report on actual transfers of all allocations listed in the Schedules referred to in section 7 or made in terms of section 9.
- (2) The National Treasury must, by 1 April 2004, submit a notice to all transferring national officers, containing the details of the primary bank account of each province and municipality.
- (3) The Minister may, in writing, delegate any of the powers entrusted to the National Treasury in terms of this Act, to the Director-General of the National Treasury.
- (4) The Director-General of the National Treasury may, in writing, subdelegate any of the powers delegated in terms of subsection (3) to an official of the National Treasury.

Duties of Auditor-General

- 20. (1) Without derogating from the powers and duties of the Auditor-General in terms of the Constitution and any other law, the Auditor-General may, in the audit of financial statements on the allocations set out in Chapter 3 or in a special report to be submitted to Parliament, report on—
 - (a) the extent of compliance with this Act by transferring national officers, 30 transferring provincial officers and receiving officers;
 - (b) whether there was compliance with the certification and reporting requirements of this Act;
 - (c) the evaluation of evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in monthly and annual reports contemplated in this Act;
 - (d) whether a transferring national officer or transferring provincial officer made unauthorised transfers to any province or municipality or to any public or other entity;
 - (e) whether the monitoring systems of the transferring departments were in compliance with this Act;
 - (f) whether each allocation to a province or municipality was made in accordance with this Act; and
 - (g) such other intergovernmental financial management matters as may be prescribed.
- (2) The internal audit unit of a department or municipality and its audit committee 45 must establish procedures, systems and mechanisms to facilitate the external audit contemplated in subsection (1).

20

5

25

35

CHAPTER 6

GENERAL

Delaying of payments

21. (1) Subject to subsection (2), a transferring national officer may, after consultation with the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury, for a period not exceeding 30 days, delay the payment of an allocation in terms of Chapter 3, or any portion of such allocation, if—

5

- (a) the province or municipality does not comply with the conditions to which the allocation is subject;
- (b) the municipality is in serious or persistent material breach of the measures 10 contemplated in section 5(6) or 5(7); or

(c) expenditure on previous transfers reflects significant under-spending for which no satisfactory explanation is given.

(2) The National Treasury may, after consultation with the relevant provincial treasury for a grant to that province, allow the transfer to be delayed for a period 15 exceeding 30 days if such delay will ensure compliance with the conditions to which an allocation is subject or will ensure significant spending on that allocation.

(3) The transferring national officer must, in the monthly reports contemplated in this Act, inform the National Treasury of the steps taken to deal with the causes of the payment delay.

20

Withholding of payments

- 22. (1) The National Treasury may, subject to section 216 of the Constitution. withhold the transfer of-
 - (a) an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 6A, or any portion of such allocation; or

25

- (b) an allocation in terms of section 5; or
- (c) an allocation in terms of section 9,

if the transferring national officer has submitted to the province or municipality, as the case may be, a written report, at least 21 days before such allocation is due to be transferred, setting out facts reflecting a serious or persistent material breach of the 30 conditions to which the allocation is subject or upon receipt of audit reports for previous financial years which indicate serious or persistent material breach of this Act.

(2) The Minister may approve that an allocation, or any portion thereof, withheld from a municipality in terms of subsection (1), be utilised to meet that municipality's outstanding statutory financial commitments.

35

(3) The utilisation of money contemplated in subsection (2) is a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund.

Interim arrangements for reallocation

23. (1) A transferring national officer or a transferring provincial officer may, in the case of local and district municipalities, with the written consent of the National Treasury and after consultation with the affected municipalities, reallocate an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, from one municipality to another municipality within that district-

if the reallocation is necessary to give effect to the division of functions within that district and to reduce the risk of under-spending; or

45

if the affected district and local municipalities fail to agree on the performance of any municipal service.

- (2) A transferring national officer may, with the written consent of the National Treasury and after consultation with the affected provinces, reallocate the grant for the Comprehensive HIV and Aids grant, or a portion of such an allocation, from one province to another province, if the reallocation is necessary to shift funds from provinces spending less per month than as agreed with the transferring national officer at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) The National Treasury must publish in the *Gazette* particulars of any reallocation of an allocation in terms of subsection (1) or (2) before any transfer of funds to give effect to the re-allocation.

Spending in terms of purpose and subject to conditions

10

- **24.**(1) The Minister must, with regard to an allocation referred to in Schedule 4, 5, 6, 6A or 7, publish in the *Gazette*, by 1 April 2004, the conditions of, and any other information in respect of, the allocations. Such publication must also include all allocations per municipality for each of the Schedule 6, 6A or 7 grants to local government.
- (2) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, an allocation referred to in Schedule 4, 5, 6, 6A or 7 may only be utilised for the purpose stipulated in the Schedules concerned and in accordance with the allocations or conditions published by the Minister in the *Gazette*. The Minister must publish in the *Gazette* any revisions after 1 April 2004 in the allocations or conditions in terms of sections 9 and 23.
- (3) The utilisation of an allocation set out in the Schedules for purposes other than those set out in the Schedules concerned, constitutes a breach of the measures established in terms of section 216(1) of the Constitution.
- (4) Despite subsections (1) and (2), the National Treasury may authorise a province or municipality to retain and utilise such portion of the funds of an allocation set out in the 25 Schedules listed in section 7, which remains after the fulfilment of that allocation's purpose, and compliance with the conditions to which it is subject.

Transfers made in error

- **25.**(1) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of an allocation to a province, in error, is regarded as not legally due to the province for the 30 purpose of its Revenue Fund.
- (2) A transfer contemplated in subsection (1) must be recovered without delay by the responsible transferring national officer.
- (3) The National Treasury may direct that the recovery contemplated in subsection (1) be effected by set-off against future transfers to the province, which would otherwise 35 become due in accordance with a payment schedule.
- (4) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of an allocation to a municipality, or a public entity in error, is regarded as not legally due to that municipality or public entity and must, within a reasonable period, be recovered by the responsible transferring national officer or transferring provincial officer.
- (5) The accounting officer of the national department responsible for local government may direct that the recovery contemplated in subsection (4) be effected by set-off against transfers to the municipality concerned, which would otherwise become due in accordance with any payment schedule.

Transfers to municipalities with weak administrative capacity

- **26.** (1) If the national accounting officer responsible for local government has reasonable grounds to believe that
 - (a) a local municipality is not able to effectively administer an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, that officer may, for purposes of proper administration of the allocation, transfer such allocation, or portion thereof, to the relevant district municipality or to another local municipality within the district, after consultation with the municipalities concerned; or

(b) a district municipality is not able to effectively administer an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, that officer may, for purposes of proper administration of the allocation, transfer such allocation, or portion thereof, to a local municipality within the district, after consultation with the municipalities concerned.

(2) Any allocation, or portion thereof, contemplated in subsection (1) must be administered by the district or local municipality to which it has been transferred, in accordance with any directions issued by the accounting officer of the national department responsible for local government.

(3) The accounting officer of the national department responsible for local 1 government must publish in the *Gazette* particulars of the transfer of any allocation in terms of subsection (1).

Funds to follow transfer of functions or obligations

- 27. (1) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of functions or obligations from an organ of state in one sphere of government to an organ of state in another sphere of government or from one municipality to another municipality must take place only with the prior written approval of the Minister and the Minister responsible for provincial and local government.
- (2) The transfer of functions or obligations contemplated in subsection (1) must. unless the Minister directs otherwise, include the transfer of funds available to the transferring organ of state or sphere of government for the purposes of performing such transferred function or obligation.
- (3) No financial function or obligation of a national or provincial department may be imposed on a municipality without—
 - (a) that municipality's prior written acceptance by resolution of its council; and 25
 - (b) the prior written approval of the National Treasury.
- (4) A province must utilise its own funds for any function or obligation arising from a transfer by that province, which is in conflict with subsections (1) and (3).
- (5) Any liability arising from a determination of functions between a district and local municipality by a province in terms of section 84 or 85 of the Municipal Structures Act 30 is a liability of that province and not of the national government.

Amendment of payment schedule and transfer mechanism

- **28.** (1) Subject to subsection (2), a transferring national officer may, in respect of an allocation set out in Schedule 4, 5, 6 or 6A, after consultation with the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury, amend a payment schedule due to the underspending of funds or on the grounds of under-performance or non-compliance with the conditions of an allocation.
- (2) The National Treasury may, in the interest of better debt and cash-flow management, or to deal with financial mismanagement, amend any payment schedule for an allocation listed in Schedule 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 6A after consultation with
 - (a) the head of a provincial treasury, in the case of a provincial allocation; and

40

50

- (b) the accounting officer of the national department responsible for local government and with organised local government, in the case of a local government allocation.
- (3) A payment schedule revised in terms of subsection (1) or (2) must take reasonable account of the monthly spending commitments of provinces or municipalities, the revenue at the disposal of provinces or municipalities, and the minimisation of risk and debt servicing costs.
- (4) An amendment of a payment schedule in terms of subsection (2) prevails over any amendment made in terms of subsection (1).

Exemptions by National Treasury

29. (1) The National Treasury may, on application in writing by a transferring national or provincial officer, exempt in writing a transferring national or provincial officer from the duty to comply with reporting requirements or any other requirement regarding an allocation set out in a Schedule referred to in section 7 or envisaged in section 9: 55 Provided that such officer satisfies the National Treasury that—

- (a) the duty cannot be complied with at that stage;
- (b) the allocation programme is properly designed; and
- (c) the accounting officer is taking steps to comply with the provisions of this Act.
- (2) Any exemption contemplated in subsection (1)—
 - (a) may only be granted if the accounting officer provides reasons why information was not included in respect of an allocation set out in a Schedule referred to in section 7; and

5

10

(b) must set out the conditions, if any, to which it is subject and must be published in the Gazette.

Non-compliance with Act constitutes financial misconduct

30. Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, any serious or persistent non-compliance with a provision of this Act, or the conditions, which an allocation in terms of this Act is subject to, constitutes financial misconduct. Any wilful act by a national transferring officer or provincial transferring officer to deposit funds in an account other than the primary bank account of a province or municipality constitutes an offence, and such a person is, on conviction, liable to imprisonment or a fine as provided for in section 86 (1) of the Public Finance Management Act.

Liability for costs incurred in violation of principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations

- **31.** (1) An organ of state involved in an intergovernmental dispute regarding any provision of this Act or any financial or fiscal matter must, before approaching a court to resolve such dispute, make every effort to settle the dispute with the other organ of state concerned, including by making use of the structures established in terms of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act.
- (2) In the event that a dispute is referred back by a court in accordance with section 25 41(4) of the Constitution, due to the court not being satisfied that the organ of state approaching the court has complied with subsection (1), the expenditure incurred by that organ of state in approaching the court must be regarded as fruitless and wasteful.
- (3) The amount of any such fruitless and wasteful expenditure must, in terms of a prescribed procedure, be recovered without delay from the person who caused the organ of state not to comply with the requirements of subsection (1).

Acts performed before Act took effect

32. Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, any act performed prior to 1 April 2004 or in accordance with any prescribed requirements in fulfilment of the objects of this Act is regarded as having been done in terms of the relevant provisions of 35 this Act.

Regulations

- 33. The Minister may, by notice in the Gazette, make regulations regarding—
 - (a) anything which must or may be prescribed in terms of this Act; and
 - (b) any matter which it is necessary to prescribe for the effective implementation 40 of the provisions and achievement of the objects of this Act.

Repeal of laws

- **34.** (1) Subject to subsection (2), the Division of Revenue Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003), is hereby repealed with effect from the date on which this Act takes effect.
- (2) The repeal of the Division of Revenue Act, 2003, does not affect any duty or 45 obligation set out in that Act, the execution of which is still outstanding.

Roll-overs from past conditional grants

- **35.** (1) All provincial departments which received conditional grants during the 2001/02, 2002/03 or 2003/04 financial years must report on actual spending against such grants, including spending on roll-overs in subsequent years on such grants, in their 2003/04 annual report, and to the relevant treasury by 31 May 2004.
- (2) A provincial department that transferred a portion of a conditional grant to a municipality or public entity in 2001/02, 2002/03 or 2003/04, must submit a report on actual spending by that municipality or public entity to the relevant provincial treasury by 31 May 2004.
- (3) The relevant treasury must submit the report contemplated in subsection (1) to the 10 National Treasury by 15 June 2004.
- (4) The Minister may, after consultation with a Minister responsible for an infrastructure conditional grant underspent in previous financial years, review such grant if a roll-over from such grant is projected to lead to significant under-spending on the amounts allocated in this Act for the 2004/05 financial year, and direct that any 15 projected surplus be shifted to fund existing infrastructure budgets in that province, subject to the province passing an adjustments appropriation: Provided that the province will be responsible for funding any future commitments for that conditional grant that the province has failed to anticipate during the review, up to the maximum of the total shifted funds.

Short title and commencement

36. This Act is called the Division of Revenue Act, 2004, and takes effect on 1 April 2004.

20

SCHEDULE 1

EQUITABLE DIVISION OF REVENUE ANTICIPATED TO BE RAISED NATIONALLY AMONG THE THREE SPHERES OF GOVERNMENT

	Column A	Column B		
Spheres of Government	2004/05	Forward E	stimates	
	Allocation	2005/06 2006/07		
	R'000	R'000	R'000	
National ¹	201 254 978	222 158 651	243 300 856	
Provincial	159 971 402	173 851 535	186 391 726	
Local	7 677 546	8 643 341	9 364 941	
TOTAL	368 903 926	404 653 527	439 057 523	

^{1.} National share includes conditional grants to provincial and local spheres, debt service costs and the contingency reserve.

SCHEDULE 2

DETERMINATION OF EACH PROVINCE'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE PROVINCIAL SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY (as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund)

	Column A	Colu	mn B
Province	2004/05	Forward 1	Estimates
	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
	R'000	R'000	R'000
Eastern Cape	26 990 176	29 082 907	30 913 625
Free State	10 551 267	11 378 197	12 103 992
Gauteng	24 547 021	26 643 833	28 530 264
KwaZulu-Natal	33 058 939	36 105 489	38 900 829
Limpopo	21 788 865	23 730 291	25 496 547
Mpumalanga	11 606 061	12 748 274	13 812 776
Northern Cape	3 838 795	4 124 972	4 372 227
North West	13 270 464	14 409 922	15 436 497
Western Cape	14 319 814	15 627 650	16 824 969
TOTAL	159 971 402	173 851 535	186 391 726

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column		
			2004/05	MTEF Outer		
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
EASTERN	CAPE					
A		Nelson Mandela	161 075	155 976	174 216	
				i		
В	EC101	Camdeboo	8 555	7 298	8 014	
В	EC102	Blue Crane Route	10 717	9 067	9 884	
B B	EC103	Ikwezi	4 168	3 766	4 006	
В	EC104 EC105	Makana Ndlambe	15 855	13 562	15 144	
В			13 420	11 808	13 151	
В	EC106 EC107	Sundays River Valley Bayiaans	12 436	9 998	10 741	
В	EC107 EC108	Kouga	5 080 11 099	4 074	4 341	
В	EC108 EC109	Koukamma	7 627	10 380 7 540	11 587 8 253	
C C	DC109	Cacadu District Municipality	4 730	3 390	2 251	
	du Municipali		93 686	80 883	87 372	
Total. Caca	du Manicipan	ues	93 000;	80 883	0/3/2	
В	EC121	Mbhashe	49 641	41 216	41 678	
В	EC122	Mnquma	54 811	45 253	48 400	
В	EC123	Great Kei	10 014	8 872	9 371	
В	EC124	Amahlathi	27 472	22 327	23 682	
В	EC125	Buffalo City	192 698	168 569	178 424	
В	EC126	Ngqushwa	20 213	16 549	16 994	
В	EC127	Nkonkobe	24 982	22 460	23 714	
В	EC128	Nxuba	6 266	5 451	5 756	
C	DC12	Amatole District Municipality	87 653	73 036	80 214	
Total: Ama	tole Municipal	ities	473 751	403 734	428 232	
В	EC131	Inxuba Yethemba	8 589	8 306	9 067	
В	EC132	Tsolwana	9 074	7 141	7 161	
В	EC133	Inkwanca	5 583	4 910	5 192	
В	EC134	Lukhanji	26 289	24 311	25 573	
В	EC135	Intsika Yethu	44 919	37 325	35 520	
В	EC136	Emalahleni	26 659	21 519	20 997	
В	EC137	Engcobo	30 544	25 177	25 588	
В	EC138	Sakhisizwe	11 398	10 142	10 685	
C	DC13	Chris Hani District Municipality	94 387	82 964	89 205	
Total: Chris	Hani Municip	palities	257 441	221 796	228 988	
В	EC141	Elundini	31 054	25 332	26 913	
В	EC142	Sengu	28 045	24 788	26 275	
B	EC143	Maletswai	6 766	6 397	6 869	
В	EC144	Gariep	6 950	6 333	6 749	
C	DC14	Ukhahlamba District Municipality	50 301	45 372	47 992	
Total: Ukha	hlamba Munic		123 117	108 221	114 798	
В	EC151	Mbizana	41 780	34 675	35 200	
В	EC152	Ntabankulu	25 903	21 574	22 799	
В	EC153	Qaukeni	44 752	37 078	38 926	
В	EC154	Port St. Johns	30 252	24 930	24 114	
В	EC155	Nyandeni	51 184	42 576	43 438	
В	EC156	Mhlontlo	39 182	32 334	33 480	
3	EC157	King Sabata Dalindyebo	71 146	57 754	55 092	
<u> </u>	DC15	O.R. Tambo District Municipality	184 659	151 209	159 493	
Total: O.R	Tambo Munici	palities	488 857	402 130	412 541	
В	EC05b1	Umzimkhulu	22 (02)	26.015	20.000	
В	EC05b1	Umzimvubu	32 603	26 815	28 089	
2	DC44		78 134	65 279	64 636	
	d Nzo Municip	Alfred Nzo District Municipality	73 249	61 216	64 528	
Juli Allre	a reo manietp	anucs	183 986	153 309	157 253	
	rn Cape Muni		1 781 913	1 526 050	1 603 400	

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	r Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
FREE STA	ГЕ				
В	FS161	Letsemeng	12 357	10 787	11 857
В	FS162	Kopanong	16 906	16 051	17 927
В	FS163	Mohokare	17 044	13 166	11 867
C	DC16	Xhariep District Municipality	3 380	3 158	3 142
Total: Xhar	iep Municipal	ities	49 687	43 163	44 793
В	FS171	Naledi	11 948	9 097	9 198
В	FS172	Mangaung	204 286	143 829	148 678
В	FS173	Mantsopa	18 019	13 898	15 126
C	DC17	Motheo District Municipality	1 038	1 041	1 000
Total: Motheo Municipalities		235 291	167 864	174 002	
В	FS181	Masilonyana	26 813	20 590	21 432
В	FS182	Tokologo	12 372	9 507	10 018
В	FS183	Tswelopele	21 556	16 634	14 502
В	FS184	Matjhabeng	121 457	92 220	98 847
В	FS185	Nala	30 437	25 684	28 369
С	DC18	Lejweleputswa District Municipality	1 658	1 066	1 000
Total: Lejw	eleputswa Mu	nicipalities	214 293	165 701	174 167
В	FS191	Setsoto	39 563	32 588	35 808
В	FS192	Dihlabeng	26 752	23 944	26 824
В	FS193	Nketoana	26 140	20 207	17 669
В	FS194	Maluti-a-Phofung	131 286	103 763	88 036
В	FS195	Phumelela	15 135	12 555	13 619
C	DC19	Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality	13 622	13 248	12 897
Total: Thab	o Mofutsanya	ne Municipalities	252 499	206 305	194 853
D	EC201	Moqhaka	42 731	32 777	32 476
В	FS201	Moqnaka Ngwathe	39 052	29 383	29 616
В	FS203 FS204	Ngwatne Metsimaholo			
	FS204 FS205	Metsimanolo Mafube	21 751	19 492	21 780
B C	DC20		18 061	14 534	16 003
		Northern Free State District Municipality	1 159	1 041	1000
Total: Norti	uern Free Stat	e Municipalities	122 754	97 227	100 876
m., 1 =	04 . 35			600 260	700 70
Total: Free	State Municip	auties	874 524	680 260	688 691

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column B		
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	r Years	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
GAUTENG						
A		Ekurhuleni	305 515	425 108	485 023	
A		City of Johannesburg	391 161	540 445	613 393	
A		City of Tshwane	201 389	269 776	300 956	
В	GT02b1	Nokeng tsa Taemane	7 326	9 787	10 945	
В	CBLC2	Kungwini	14 986	21 587	24 145	
C	CBDC2	Metsweding District Municipality	2 586	1 663	1 099	
Total: Mets	weding Munic		24 897	33 036	36 189	
В	GT421	Emfuleni	77 076	105 871	120 471	
В	GT422	Midvaal	11 233	11 238	12 559	
В	GT423	Lesedi	8 379	11 160	12 679	
C	DC42	Sedibeng District Municipality	1 088	1 041	1 000	
Total: Sedib	eng Municipa	lities	97 776	129 310	146 709	
В	GT411	Mogale City	32 985	46 587	52 914	
В	GT412	Randfontein	14 225	19 626	22 314	
В	GT414	Westonaria	27 282	38 498	43 106	
В	CBLC8	Merafong City	42 752	61 046	68 625	
С	CBDC8	West Rand District Municipality	2 131	1 927	1 591	
Total: West	Rand Municip	palities	119 375	167 683	188 550	
Total: Gaute	eng Municipal	ities	1 140 115	1 565 358	1 770 820	

 $^{{\}it 1. All \ allocations \ are for the \ national \ financial \ year}$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	r Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
KWAZULU	J-NATAL			į	
A		eThekwini	392 243	474 524	525 556
			3,2213	177 321	323 330
В	KZ211	Vulamehlo	13 672	13 284	14 045
В	KZ212	Umdoni	4 904	6 709	7 345
В	KZ213	Umzumbe	19 114	27 012	29 134
В	KZ214	uMuziwabantu	11 610	14 643	15 676
В	KZ215	Ezingolweni	7 535	9 456	10 022
В	KZ216	Hibiscus Coast	15 394	22 771	24 794
С	DC21	Ugu District Municipality	38 680	52 554	57 432
Total: Ugu	Municipalities		110 909	146 429	158 447
В	KZ221	uMshwathi	12 600	17 936	19 354
В	KZ222	uMngeni	7 822	9 489	9 866
В	KZ223	Mpofana	4 768	6 525	7 082
В	KZ224	Impendle	5 337	7 030	7 404
В	KZ225	Msunduzi	74 873	86 475	91 769
В	KZ226	Mkhambathini	7 472	10 863	11 682
В	KZ227	Richmond	8 927	10 473	11 211
C	DC22	uMgungundlovu District Municipality	20 830	28 040	32 068
Total: uMg	ungundlovu M		142 629	176 832	190 437
В	KZ232	Emnambithi/Ladysmith	18 537	24 895	26 677
В	KZ233	Indaka	11 519	16 102	17 278
В	KZ234	Umtshezi	7 714	8 663	8 762
В	KZ235	Okhahlamba	13 082	18 981	20 346
В	KZ236	Imbabazane	11 658	16 529	17 634
C	DC23	Uthukela District Municipality	32 937	45 274	49 712
Total:Uthul	cela Municipa	lities	95 448	130 443	140 409
-				ļ	
В	KZ241	Endumeni	4 523	5 996	6 594
В	KZ242	Nguthu	14 919	19 830	20 975
В	KZ244	Msinga	22 142	25 321	27 149
В	KZ245	Umvoti	16 009	14 790	15 818
C	Chairiyaan District Municipanty		36 259	42 893	45 847
Total: Umzi	nyathi Munic	ipalities	93 853	108 830	116 384
D	177252	A7			
В	KZ252	Newcastle	39 663	50 828	55 422
В	KZ253	Utrecht	4 089	5 231	5 528
B C	KZ254	Dannhauser	9 727	13 412	14 326
	DC25	Amajuba District Municipality	6 452	9 053	10 049
rotal: Amaj	uba Municipa	alities	59 932	78 525	85 326

SCHEDULE 3 DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category Numb	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
В	KZ261	eDumbe	8 157	11 449	12 356
В	KZ262	uPhongolo	12 960	18 326	19 622
В	KZ263	Abaqulusi	15 081	20 417	21 804
В	KZ265	Nongoma	17 067	23 590	25 030
В	KZ266	Ulundi	19 729	26 365	27 710
C	DC26	Zululand District Municipality	41 824	56 476	61 108
Total: Zulu	and Municipa		114 818	156 623	167 630
n	********	** ** **	11.500	10.101	20.040
В	KZ271	Umhlabuyalingana	14 692	19 404	20 869
В	KZ272	Jozini	16 944	24 414	26 310
В	KZ273	The Big 5 False Bay	4 879	6 391	6 775
В	KZ274	Hlabisa	13 221	18 822	20 211
В	KZ275	Mtubatuba	5 225	5 801	5 898
C	DC27	Umkhanyakude District Municipality	36 943	48 377	51 422
Total: Umkl	hanyakude Mi	unicipalities	91 904	123 210	131 485
В	KZ281	Mbonambi	9 587	13 739	14 777
В	KZ282	uMhlathuze	35 308	47 731	51 036
В	KZ283	Ntambanana	6 554	8 463	8 894
В	KZ284	Umlalazi	20 246	28 763	30 730
В	KZ285	Mthonjaneni	7 294	10 095	10 799
В	KZ286	Nkandla	14 401	19 567	20 897
С	DC28	uThungulu District Municipality	25 676	38 611	42 443
Total: uThu	ngulu Munici		119 065	166 969	179 577
D	177001	AVI. 1.1		10 (11	
В	KZ291	eNdondakusuka	16 727	18 642	19 513
В	KZ292	KwaDukuza	21 101	19 016	20 481
В	KZ293	Ndwedwe	17 722	21 848	23 444
В	KZ294	Maphumulo	15 592	17 337	18 488
C	DC29	Ilembe District Municipality	31 945	37 192	41 192
Total: Hemb	e Municipalit	ies	103 086	114 035	123 119
В	KZ5al	Ingwe	13 407	16 915	18 099
В	KZ5a2	Kwa Sani	4 174	4 419	4 645
В	KZ5a3	Matatiele	3 381	4 167	4 479
В	KZ5a4	Kokstad	8 105	11 424	12 7 37
В	KZ5a5	Ubuhlebezwe	12 269	16 578	17 876
C	DC43	Sisonke District Municipality	19 998	27 646	31 173
Total: Sison	ke Municipali		61 334	81 147	89 008
Total: KwaZ	Lulu-Natal Mi	unicipalities	1 385 221	1 757 567	1 907 379

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:schedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY $^{\rm I}$

			Column A	Column B		
			2004/05	MTEF Oute		
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
LIMPOPO						
В	NP03A2	Makhuduthamaga	23 870	35 183	37 862	
В	NP03A3	Fetakgomo	10 666	14 218	15 178	
В	CBLC3	Greater Marble Hall	13 943	18 581	19 461	
В	CBLC4	Greater Groblersdal	24 452	32 716	33 910	
В	CBLC5	Greater Tubatse	25 397	36 784	39 410	
lc	CBDC3	Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	65 791	89 332	96 360	
Total: Grea	ter Sekhukhu	ne Cross Boundary Municipalities	164 119	226 813	242 180	
В	NP04A1	Maruleng	11 285	16 044	17 188	
В	CBLC6	Bushbuckridge	60 176	72 187	74 065	
С	CBDC4	Bohlabela District Municipality	42 162	56 142	61 259	
Total: Bohla	abela Municip	palities	113 623	144 374	152 512	
В	NP331	Greater Giyani	36 820	41 134	40 925	
В	NP332	Greater Letaba	24 710	35 263	37 601	
В	NP333	Greater Tzaneen	37 926	55 937	60 141	
В	NP334	Ba-Phalaborwa	16 491	18 701	18 851	
lc	DC33	Mopani District Municipality	48 144	71 518	81 999	
Total: Mopa	ni Municipal		164 092	222 554	239 517	
В	NP341	Musina	7 302	8 647	9 345	
В	NP342	Mutale	13 470	15 051	15 332	
В	NP343	Thulamela	56 884	76 720	81 421	
В	NP344	Makhado	50 831	67 751	70 483	
C	DC34	Vhembe District Municipality	56 494	82 918	95 225	
Total: Vhen	be Municipal	lities	184 982	251 086	271 806	
В	NP351	Blouberg	19 752	24 592	26 134	
В	NP352	Aganang	14 491	21 308	22 887	
В	NP353	Molemole	12 807	18 674	20 027	
В	NP354	Polokwane	65 244	97 541	107 801	
В	NP355	Lepelle-Nkumpi	21 432	31 378	33 699	
lc	DC35	Capricorn District Municipality	30 344	45 369	52 128	
Total: Capr	icorn Municip	palities	164 070	238 862	262 676	
В	NP361	Thabazimbi	13 786	17 074	18 944	
В	NP362	Lephalale	16 350	23 134	25 792	
В	NP364	Mookgapong	4 945	6 844	7 538	
В	NP365	Modimolle	10 535	14 877	16 582	
В	NP366	Bela Bela	8 159	9 990	11 155	
В	NP367	Mogalakwena	38 425	57 568	63 654	
C	DC36	Waterberg District Municipality	5 668	3 644	2 409	
Total: Wate	rberg Munici	palities	97 868	133 131	146 075	
Total: Limp	opo Municipa	dities	888 752	1 216 820	1 314 766	

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column		
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	Years	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
MPUMALA	NGA					
В	MP301	Albert Luthuli	31 424	39 027	42 460	
В	MP302	Msukaligwa	17 929	22 819	25 735	
В	MP303	Mkhondo	17 478	25 835	28 632	
В	MP304	Seme	12 628	17 245	19 188	
В	MP305	Lekwa	14 131	18 365	20 743	
В	MP306	Dipaleseng	7 911	10 276	11 312	
В	MP307	Govan Mbeki	34 813	47 120	53 376	
C	DC30	Gert Sibande District Municipality	3 368	2 165	1 431	
Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities		139 681	182 851	202 877		
В	MP311	Delmas	8 385	11 494	12 879	
В	MP312	Emalahleni	34 422	48 139	54 242	
В	MP313	Steve Tshwete	17 375	20 937	23 655	
В	MP314	Highlands	7 545	8 730	9 640	
В	MP315	Thembisile	35 784	51 536	56 020	
В	MP316	Dr JS Moroka	34 902	49 939	54 014	
C	DC31	Nkangala District Municipality	1 299	1 050	1 003	
Total: Nkar	gala Municipa	alities	139 713	191 825	211 453	
В	MP321	Thaba Chweu	18 600	19 604	21 832	
В	MP322	Mbombela	63 561	91 405	99 964	
В	MP323	Umjindi	10 690	12 936	14 397	
В	MP324	Nkomazi	47 021	71 713	79 300	
C	DC32	Ehlanzeni District Municipality	5 599	3 605	2 389	
Total: Ehlar	nzeni Municip	alities	145 470	199 263	217 881	
T-4-1-16	malanga Muni	olo Nelso	424 864	573 938	632 211	

 $^{{\}it 1.\,All\,\, allocations\,\, are\, for\, the\,\, national\, financial\,\, year}$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	r Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
NORTHER!	N CAPE				
В	NC01B1	Gamagara	5 240	3 944	3 050
В	NW1a1	Moshaweng	18 496	16 461	17 566
В	CBLCI	Ga-Segonyana	14 090	15 287	16 507
C	CBDC1	Kgalagadi District Municipality	16 590	14 808	14 230
		order Municipalities	54 415	50 499	51 353
	<u> </u>	-			
В	NC061	Richtersveld	3 342	2 795	2 942
В	NC062	Nama Khoi	7 745	6 365	7 032
В	NC064	Kamiesberg	4 183	3 128	2 762
В	NC065	Hantam	6 710	5 048	4 784
В	NC066	Karoo Hoogland	5 132	3 868	3 616
В	NC067	Khai-Ma	3 439	3 354	3 572
C	DC6	Namakwa District Municipality	2 520	1 930	1 397
Total: Nama	kwa Municip	alities	33 071	26 486	26 106
В	NC071	Ubuntu	6 9 1 9	5 465	4 868
В	NC072	Umsobomvu	8 320	6 550	7 049
В	NC073	Emthanjeni	9 403	6 980	7 431
В	NC074	Kareeberg	4 715	3 530	3 201
В	NC075	Renosterberg	4 594	3 412	3 502
В	NC076	Thembelihle	4 582	3 387	3 536
В	NC077	Siyathemba	6 340	4 740	4 517
В	NC078	Siyancuma	10 448	8 363	9 078
C	DC7	Karoo District Municipality	3 751	2 909	1 993
Total: Karo	o Municipaliti	es	59 073	45 335	45 174
В	NC081	Mier	3 412	2 848	2 938
В	NC081 NC082	Kai! Garib	12 099	13 219	14 577
В	NC082 NC083	//Khara Hais	10 087	8 375	9 408
В	NC084	! Kheis	4 796	4 362	4 639
В	NC084 NC085	Tsantsabane	7 118	6 142	
В	NC086		5 017		6 676
C C	DC8	Kgatelopele	4 246	3 721	3 037
	da Municipal	Siyanda District Municipality	46 775	3 714 42 381	3 584 44 859
Totali Siyan	au muneipui	eres	10775	42 501	44 05/
В	NC091	Sol Plaatje	29 126	32 537	35 981
В	NC092	Thusanang	12 119	9 986	10 827
В	NC093	Magareng	8 157	6 181	6 625
В	CBLC7	Phokwane	16 800	14 138	15 223
C	DC9	Frances Baard District Municipality	2 556	2 342	1 868
Total: Franc	es Baard Mu		68 758	65 184	70 523
. — I	_ _				
Total: North	ern Cape Mu	nicipalities	262 092	229 886	238 015

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	r Years
r			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
NORTH W	EST				
В	NW371	Moretele	24 696	37 166	40 721
В	NW372	Madibeng	61 973	70 577	77 718
В	NW373	Rustenburg	58 978	79 234	87 839
В	NW374	Kgetlengrivier	8 495	9 248	10 194
В	NW375	Moses Kotane	43 764	51 250	55 503
С	DC37	Bojanala Platinum District Municipality	9 209	2 986	1 805
Total: Bojar	nala Platinum	Municipalities	207 115	250 461	273 779
В	NW381	Ratlou	17 982	16 407	17 212
В	NW382	Tswaing	14 010	15 672	16 636
В	NW383	Mafikeng	26 209	29 149	31 579
В	NW384	Ditsobotla	14 322	18 419	19 815
В	NW385	Zeerust	17 247	20 079	21 234
C	DC38	Central District Municipality	51 813	53 910	59 503
Total: Cent	ral Municipali	ties	141 583	153 637	165 979
В	NW391	Kagisano	18 712	15 848	16 744
В	NW392	Naledi	6 849	7 845	8 579
В	NW393	Mamusa	8 100	8 194	8 782
В	NW394	Greater Taung	30 524	26 667	27 938
В	NW395	Molopo	4 133	3 745	3 877
В	NW396	Lekwa-Teemane	5 980	7 203	7 785
C	DC39	Bophirima District Municipality	37 699	35 189	39 266
	irima Municip		111 996	104 691	112 971
				10.052	
В	NW401	Ventersdorp	9 710	10 506	11 512
В	NW402	Potchefstroom	15 128	18 065	20 425
В	NW403	Klerksdorp	53 496	71 220	80 967
В	NW404	Maquassi Hills	13 421	15 743	17 447
C	DC40	Southern District Municipality	1 244	1 044	1 000
Total: South	ern Municipa	lities	92 999	116 579	131 350
Total: North	West Munici	palities	553 692	625 368	684 079

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
WESTERN	CAPE				
Α		City of Cape Town	205 778	275 550	311 970
В	WC011	Matzikama	6 316	6 970	7 809
В	WC012	Cederberg	5 061	6 662	7 397
В	WC012 WC013	Bergrivier	4 014	5 033	5 688
В	WC013 WC014	Saldanha Bay	4 443	6 240	7 148
В	WC014 WC015	Swartland	6 997	6 582	7 304
C	DC1	West Coast District Municipality	1 790	1 634	1 395
	Coast Munici		28 621	33 121	36 741
В	WC022	Witzenberg	8 939	10 816	12 123
В	WC023	Drakenstein	13 366	16 347	18 488
В	WC024	Stellenbosch	8 153	10 590	11 976
В	WC025	Breede Valley	13 174	14 536	16 331
В	WC026	Breede River Winelands	8 286	10 103	11 508
<u>C</u> _	DC2	Boland District Municipality	2 493	2 250	1 807
Total: Bolar	nd Municipali	ties	54 411	64 643	72 233
n	11/0021	The second labor	0.217	11.466	12.052
В	WC031 WC032	Theewaterskloof Overstrand	9 317	11 466 6 844	12 952
B B	WC032 WC033	Cape Agulhas	4 921 2 335	2 748	7 839
В	WC033	Swellendam	4 042	4 432	3 136 4 874
C	DC3	Overberg District Municipality	1 053	1 046	1 003
	berg Municipa		21 668	26 537	29 805
Total. Over	berg wanterp	uttes	21 000	20 557	27 000
В	WC041	Kannaland	4 189	5 048	5 474
В	WC042	Langeberg	3 916	4 521	5 127
В	WC043	Mossel Bay	4 980	6 903	7 9 1 6
В	WC044	George	10 488	14 734	16 783
В	WC045	Oudtshoorn	5 762	7 859	8 936
В	WC047	Plettenberg Bay	3 364	4 378	4 984
В	WC048	Knysna	4 629	6 342	7 206
<u>C</u>	DC4	Eden District Municipality	3 225	2 766	2 148
Total: Eden	Municipalitie	es	40 554	52 551	<u>58 574</u>
D	WC051	I sie school	2.494	2 400	2.520
B B	WC051 WC052	Laingsburg Prince Albert	2 484	2 408	2 529
В	WC052 WC053	Beaufort West	4 089	2 970 5 305	3 123 5 951
C	DC5	Central Karoo District Municipality	5 743	5 010	4 655
	ral Karoo Mu		15 341	15 694	16 258
Total. Cent	Car Karoo Ma	inc.pantes	13 341	13 074	10 230
Total: West	ern Cape Mur	nicipalities	366 373	468 095	525 580
				İ	*·····
National To	tal		7 677 546	8 643 341	9 364 941

 $^{{\}it 1. All allocations are for the national financial year}$

SCHEDULE 4

GENERAL AND NATIONALLY ASSIGNED FUNCTION ALLOCATIONS TO PROVINCES

Vote	N S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S				Column A	Column B	un B
	Name of Anocadon	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Estimates
					Allocation	2002/06	2006/07
Agriculture	Comprehensive Agriculture Support				R'000	R'000	R'000
(Vote 26)	Programme Grant	to ciniance the provision of support	Nationally assigned function grant Eastern Cape	Eastern Cape	38 043	47 552	57 061
		socionlined denotes and lacinitate	to provinces	Free State	16 870	21 088	25 306
		agricultural development		Gauteng	4 582	5 727	6 873
				KwaZulu-Natal	37 016	46 270	55 524
				Limpopo	33 428	41 786	50 143
				Mpumalanga	18 903	23 629	28 355
	-			Northern Cape	10.518	13 148	15 777
				North West	26 875	33 594	40 313
				Western Cape	13 765	17 206	20 648
Health	(a) National Tertiary Services Grant	To find togion, boolth		TOTAL	200 000	250 000	300 000
(Vote 16)		10 tond terriary hearth services	Nationally assigned function grant Eastern Cape	Eastern Cape	272 036	353 022	374 203
			to provinces	Free State	384 165	432 116	458 043
				Gauteng	1 727 736	1 760 465	1 866 094
				KwaZulu-Natal	619 462	686 637	727 835
				Limpopo	46 878	46 973	162 64
				Mpumalanga	41 427	42 224	44 757
				Northern Cape	35 109	34 822	36 911
				North West	42 105	51 747	54 852
				Western Cape	1 104 087	1 121 380	1 188 663
	(b) Health Professions Training and	To manage the test and		TOTAL	4 273 005	4 529 386	4 801 149
	Develonment Grant	10 Support the training and development of Nationally assigned function grant Bastern Cape	Nationally assigned function grant	Bastern Cape	97 464	127 566	127 566
		beaun professionals	to provinces	Free State	93 643	92 517	92 517
				Gauteng	860 778	554 039	554 039
				KwaZulu-Natal	180 629	192 373	192 373
				Limpopo	51 805	72 411	72 411
				Mpumalanga	41 808	54 363	54 363
				Northern Cape	34 444	41 069	41 069
				North West	46 351	62 564	62 564
				western Cape	327 210	323 278	323 278
	Provincial Infrastructure Grant	To find the construction and maintanance	S. Company	TOTAL	1 434 132	1 520 180	1 520 180
· ·		of provincial infrastructure libe roads	Ciencial conditional grant to	Eastern Cape	609 002	675 330	742 057
(Vote 8)		school buildings health feeting and	provinces	Free State	199 281	220 921	242 678
		agriculture infrastructure		Gauteng	332 292	369 777	407 745
		agriculture intrastructure		KwaZulu-Natal	706 485	787 803	870 486
				Limpopo	593 328	868 099	729 464
				Mpumalanga	255 169	285 533	316 596
				Northern Cape	159 314	180 529	201 733
				North West	288 366	321 135	354 373
				Western Cape	205 125	228 847	252 987
				TOTAL	3 348 362	3 730 773	4 118 119

ř					Column A	Column B	nn B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Amelian		į			R'000	R'000	R'000
Agriculture (Vote 36)	Land Care Programme Grant: Poverty	To address the degradation of	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	3 500	8 000	8 500
(az ano a)	Refret and Infrastructure Development	natural/agricultural resources and improve		Free State	2 000	2 000	2 500
		the socio-economic status and food security		Gauteng	1 600	2 000	2 500
		of rural communities		KwaZulu-Natal	4 000	8 000	8 500
				Limpopo	,	2 000	5 500
				Mpumalanga	5 500	5 500	000 9
				Northern Cape	2 000	2 000	2 500
				North West	2 000	5 000	5 500
				Western Cape	3 500	2 500	3 000
Education	(a) Deliver Colonial (b)			TOTAL	27 100	40 000	44 500
(Vote 15)	(a) rinitally school fulfition Programme	10 improve the nutrition status of children, Conditional grant	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	177 259	194 288	233 882
(61 310 1.)	Grant	specifically to enhance active learning		Free State	49 100	53 817	64 784
		capacity		Gauteng	75 730	83 006	99 921
				KwaZulu-Natai	181 420	198 849	239 372
	·			Limpopo	153 125	167 836	202 039
				Mpumalanga	64 0 2 9	70 235	84 549
				Northern Cape	22 469	24 628	29 647
				North West	72 401	79 357	95 529
				Western Cape	36 617	40 135	48 313
	(h) HIV and Aids (I if Shills Education			TOTAL	832 200	912 151	1 098 036
	(c) in valid Aids (Life Skills Education)	10 promote HIV and Aids and life skills	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	22 244	23 579	24 993
		education in primary and secondary schools		Free State	7 715	8 1 1 8	899 8
				Gauteng	17 487	18 536	19 648
				KwaZulu-Natal	29 188	30 938	32 795
				Limpopo	19 415	20 580	21815
				Mpumalanga	9 772	10 358	10 980
				Northern Cape	2 186	2317	2 456
				North West	10 029	10 631	11 269
				Western Cape	10 543	11 176	11 847
				TOTAL	128 579	136 293	144 471

					Column A	Column B	nn B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Health	(a) Hospital Revitalisation Grant				R'000	R'000	R'000
(Vote 16)	The IO House the state of the I	are	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	116 354	121 008	146 291
		and equipment in hospitals in line with		Free State	52 370	54 466	71 060
		national policy		Gauteng	155 126	232 870	204 313
				KwaZulu-Natal	178 054	190 292	220 883
				Limpopo	106 463	110 722	141 093
				Mpumalanga	68 292	71 025	92 662
				Northern Cape	57 135	59 421	77 524
				North West	92 845	866 86	110 832
				Western Cape	85 217	88 625	115 626
	(b) Commelensive HIV and Aids Great			TOTAL	958 116	1 027 427	1 180 284
	(c) Compared and Alus Glafff	=	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	026 86	159 005	218 021
		effective response to the HIV and Aids		Free State	696 69	100 874	142 265
		epidemic and other matters		Gauteng	134 231	185 048	252 695
				KwaZulu-Natal	186 348	251 468	344 304
				Limpopo	77 430	125 899	175 861
				Mpumalanga	53 840	81 392	107 479
		-	.,	Northern Cape	31 881	48 050	68 603
	-			North West	70 981	100 921	142 316
				Western Cape	57 962	82 451	115 670
	(c) Integrated Nutrition Descended			TOTAL	781 612	1 135 108	1 567 214
	(c) micerica raunnon riogramme Grant	10 Implement integrated nutrition activities Conditional grant	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	23 933	26 316	1
		atmed at improving the nutritional status of		Free State	9699	7 296	,
		all South Africans		Gauteng	10 307	11 333	1
				KwaZulu-Natal	24 513	26 954	,
				Limpopo	20 320	22 344	,
				Mpumalanga	8 713	9 581	1
				Northern Cape	3 000	3 299	1
				North West	286 6	10 981	1
•				Western Cape	4 809	5 288	1
				TOTAL	112 218	123 392	•

;					Column A	Column B	ın B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
	The state of the s				R'000	R'000	R'000
	(u) Hospital Management and Quality	To transform hospital management and	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	19 529	24 531	26 003
	Improvement Grant	improve quality of care in line with		Free State	13 055	13 393	14 197
		national policy		Gauteng	20 776	18 510	19 621
			***************************************	KwaZulu-Natal	20 065	23 778	25 204
				Limpopo	15 388	17 457	18 505
				Mpumalanga	12 833	12 340	13 081
				Northern Cape	10 490	10 083	10 688
				North West	12 713	12 642	13 400
				Western Cape	16 983	17 608	18 664
II.				TOTAL	141 832	150 342	159 363
(Vote 20)	(a) Housing Subsidy Grant	To finance subsidies under the national	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	298 900	569 448	603 615
(v 0 te 29)		housing programme		Free State	385 641	390 547	413 980
				Gauteng	1 117 463	1 313 528	1 392 340
				KwaZulu-Natal	748 463	783 466	830 474
				Limpopo	369 818	389 598	412 974
				Mpumalanga	296 457	314 620	333 497
				Northern Cape	89 442	78 299	82 997
				North West	421 378	458 406	485 910
				Western Cape	446 035	447 492	474 341
	(h) Human Cottleman Creek and	E		TOTAL	4 473 597	4 745 404	5 030 128
	t Orant and	To fund projects aimed at improving the	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	11 660	14 697	15 579
	vedeveropinem gram	quality of the environment by identifying	10.	Free State	0106	10 079	10 684
		dysfunctionalities in human settlements		Gauteng	22 260	33 900	35 934
				KwaZuIu-Natal	27 560	20 220	21 433
				Limpopo	11 660	10 055	10 658
				Mpumalanga	7 420	8 120	8 607
				Northern Cape	3 180	2 021	2 142
				North West	8 480	11 831	12 541
				Western Cape	14 310	11 549	12 243
				TOTAL	115 540	122 472	129 821

	_	_	T .				_			_		T-	T				_						Γ-	_		_					_	_
Column B	Forward Estimates	2006/07	R'000	•		•	•	•	'	•	1		10 356	3 751	6 397	9 434	4 740	2 755	2 082	2 694	3 962	46 171	7 965	11 040	11 590	14 352	5 207	11 749	4 4 1 5	190 6	3 469	78 854
Colu	Forward	2005/06	R'000	1	,	1	,	1	'	•			9 770	3 539	6 035	8 900	4 472	2 599	1 963	2 542	3 738	43 558	7 514	10 415	10 934	13 540	4 912	11 084	4 165	8 554	3 273	74 391
Column A	2004/05	Allocation	R'000 35 184	31 050	15 050	33 050	20 100	22 050	22 675	21 250	20 050	220 459	9169	3 321	5 664	8 353	4 198	2 238	1 843	2 439	3 508	40 733	1 089 L	9 825	10 315	12 773	4 634	10 456	3 930	8 020	3 088	70 180
	Province		Eastern Cape	Free State	Gauteng	KwaZulu-Natal	Limpopo	Mpumalanga	Northern Cape	North West	Western Cape	TOTAL	Eastern Cape	Free State	Gauteng	KwaZulu-Natal	Limpopo	Mpumalanga	Northern Cape	North West	Western Cape	TOTAL	Eastern Cape	Free State	Gauteng	KwaZulu-Natal	Limpopo	Mpumalanga	Northern Cape	North West	Western Cape	TOTAL
	Type of Allocation		Conditional grant										Conditional grant										Conditional grant									
,	Purpose		To assist municipalities to build their	institutional capacity and improve their	systems for sustainable service delivery								to provide support to manage the	implementation of MIG on behalf of the	Department to ensure sustainability of MIG	projects								of plians and vulnerable children who are	mected and affected by HIV and Aids							
N 30 Service	rame of Allocation		(a) Local Government Capacity Building									(h) Provincial Project Menager	Capacity for Municipal Inc.	Capacity for Municipal unitastructure Grant Implementation of MIG on behalf of the	(277.)							(a) HIV and Aids (Community-Based Care) To provide goald welf-	Grant									
Voto			Provincial and Local	Government	(Vote 5)																	Social	Development	(Vote 19)	,							

Vote	Name of Allocation	ď			Column A	Column B	ın B
		Furpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
	(b) Child Support Extension Grant	To find extension of child many			R'000	R'000	R'000
		elioible children between 2000 7 to 14	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	902 977	1 706 997	2 296 777
		vegrs phased over three constants		Free State	240 558	454 754	611 875
		associated administration and to cover		Gauteng	220 490	416 816	560 830
~		costs		KwaZulu-Natal	780 247	1 474 988	1 984 607
		COST		Limpopo	573 943	1 084 987	1 459 858
				Mpumalanga	260 013	491 531	661 359
				Northern Cape	65 272	123 392	166 023
				North West	416 186	786 763	1 058 595
				Western Cape	190 314	359 772	484 076
	(c) Food Emergency Relief Grant	To provide food relief to unlaced to		TOTAL	3 650 000	000 006 9	9 284 000
	-	individuals and households	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	94 133	94 133	99 781
-		entorogenous pro-	100	Free State	37 334	37 334	39 574
				Gauteng	27 904	27 904	29 578
				KwaZulu-Natal	68 185	68 185	72 276
			-	Limpopo	61 146	61 146	64 815
				Mpumalanga	27 651	27 651	29 310
				Northern Cape	866 6	866 6	10 598
				North West	41 615	41 615	44 112
				Western Cape	20 034	20 034	21 236
Sport and	Mass Sport and Recreation Participation	To fund the promotion of mass	Conditional	TOTAL	388 000	388 000	411 280
Recreation South	Recreation South Programme Grant	per	Communial grant	Eastern Cape	1 000	2 670	4 340
Africa		communities in a selected number of snort		Free State	1 000	2 670	4 340
(Vote 20)		activities and the emnowerment of		Gauteng	1 000	2 670	4 340
		communities to manage these activities		Kwazulu-Natal	1 000 I	2 670	4 340
		commence to manage mese acuvines		Limpopo	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Mpumalanga	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Northern Cape	1 000	2 640	4 280
				North West	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Western Cape	1 000	2 670	4 340
				TOTAL	000 6	24 000	39 000

RECURRENT GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

				Column A	Column B	ı B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
				Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R'000	R'000	R'000
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	Provincial and Local Municipal Systems Improvement Grant To assist municipalities in building in-house capacity to Conditional grant perform their functions Vote 5)	Conditional grant	182 243	200 000	200 000
National Treasury (Vote 8)	a) Local Government Financial Management Grant	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal	Conditional grant	137 000	132 500	136 000
		Finance Management Act				
	b) Local Government Restructuring Grant	To support municipal restructuring initiatives of large municipalities	Conditional grant	342 900	350 000	350 000
		TOTAL		662 143	682 500	000 989
						ł

SCHEDULE 6A

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

				Column A	Column B	I B
Vote	Name of Grant	Purpose	Type of Allocation	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
				Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R'000	R'000	R'000
Provincial and Munic Local Government (MIG) (Vote 5)	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)	Municipal Infrastructure Grant To support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure and to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily benefiting poor households	Conditional grant	4 401 484	5 140 677	5 927 188
Sport and Recreation (Vote 20)	Building for Sport and Recreation Programme	Promotion of sport and recreation within disadvantaged communities and upgrading of existing sports facilities	Conditional grant	132 270		
ent of and ffairs	Integrated National Electrification Programme	To implement the Integrated National Electrification Programme by Conditional grant providing capital subsidies to municipalities to address electrification backlogs of permanently occupied residential	Conditional grant	247 577	258 000	
(Vote 31)		dwellings				
		TOTAL		4 781 331	5 398 677	5 927 188

SCHEDULE 7

ALLOCATIONS-IN-KIND AND INDIRECT GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

;				Column A	Column B	B
Vote	Name of Grant	Purpose	Type of	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
				Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R.000	B'000	000.0
Provincial and Local Government	Provincial and Local Municipal Infrastructure Government Grant (MIG)	municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure ade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor	Conditional grant	44 459	51 926	59 871
(v ote 3)		households				
National Treasury (Vote 8)	Local Government Financial Management	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal Erapace Management	Conditional grant	866 09	66 240	62 657
	Grant	remained and an analysis of the state of the				
Water Affairs (Vote 34)	(a) Water Services Operating Subsidy	To augment the Water Trading Account (Sub-Programme 4) to subsidise water schemes owned and/ or operated by the denartment or	Conditional grant	858 334	934 434	990 500
	(Augmentation to the Water Trading Account)	by other agencies on behalf of the department				
	(b) Implementation of Water Services Projects	To fund bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at Conditional grant a basic level of service and to implement such projects on behalf of	Conditional grant	160 279	138 679	
		in the parties of the				
		TOTAL		1 124 070		
				1 124 070	1 191 279	1 113 028

MEMORANDUM ON THE OBJECTS OF THE DIVISION OF REVENUE BILL

- 1. Section 214(1) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act No 108 of 1996) ("the Constitution") requires that an Act of Parliament be enacted to provide for the following:
 - 1.1 The equitable division of revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
 - 1.2 The determination of each province's equitable share of the provincial share of that revenue; and
 - 1.3 Any other allocations to provinces, local government or municipalities from the national government's share of that revenue, and any conditions on which those allocations may be made.
- 2. Section 10 of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No 97 of 1997) ("the Act") requires that, as part of the process of the enactment of the Act of Parliament referred to in paragraph 1, each year when the annual budget is introduced, the Minister of Finance ("the Minister") must introduce in the National Assembly, a Division of Revenue Bill ("the Bill") for the financial year to which that budget relates.
- 3. The Act requires that the Bill be accompanied by a memorandum explaining—
 - 3.1 How the Bill takes account of each of the matters listed in section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution;
 - 3.2 The extent to which account was taken of any recommendations of the Financial and Fiscal Commission ("the FFC") submitted to the Minister or as a result of consultations with the FFC; and
 - 3.3 Any assumptions or formulae used in arriving at the respective shares of the three spheres of government and the division of the provincial share between the nine provinces.
- 4. The Bill is introduced in compliance with the requirements of the Constitution and the Act.
- 5. The memorandum referred to in paragraph 3 above will be attached as "Annexure E" in the Budget Review which will be made available on Budget Day
- 6. The allocations contemplated in section 214(1) of the Constitution are set out in 7 Schedules to the Bill, namely—
 - 6.1 Schedule 1 which sets out the respective shares of anticipated revenue raised nationally in respect of the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
 - 6.2 Schedule 2 which sets out the respective shares of each province;
 - 6.3 Schedule 3 which deals with respective shares of each municipality;
 - 6.4 Schedule 4 which sets out allocations for general and nationally-assigned functions:
 - 6.5 Schedule 5 which sets out specific-grant allocations to provinces only;
 - 6.6 Schedule 6 which sets out allocations to municipalities only; and
 - 6.7 Schedule 7 contains indirect and special allocations to municipalities.
- 7. The following is a brief summary of the Bill: Section 1 contains the relevant definitions;

Section 2 sets out the object of this Bill, which is essentially the promotion of co-operative governance in intergovernmental budgeting;

Section 3 provides for the equitable division of anticipated revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government in Schedule 1:

Section 4 provides for each province's equitable share, which is set out in Schedule 2, and for a payment schedule in terms of which such shares must be transferred:

Section 5 provides for local government's equitable share of revenue and the determination of each municipality's share of that revenue;

Section δ determines what must happen if actual revenue raised falls short of anticipated revenue for the financial year;

Section 7 provides for other allocations to provinces and municipalities from the national government's equitable share, set out in Schedules 3, 4, 5 and 6 to the Bill;

Section 8 provides for transfers to public and private entities that render a municipal service on behalf of a municipality;

Section 9 provides for the process of dealing with allocations to provinces and municipalities, which are not set out in the Schedules to the Bill:

Section 10 provides that a provincial government must submit information in respect of the infrastructure allocation for construction, maintenance and rehabilitation:

Sections 11 and 13 provide for municipal infrastructure and capacity building grants:

Section 12 provides for a process for transferring assets to municipalities;

Sections 14 to 20 set out the responsibilities of accounting officers, provincial treasuries, the National Treasury and the Auditor-General, and also sets out the composition of annual financial statements of national departments, provincial departments and municipalities;

Section 21 provides a framework for the delay in payment of allocations in the event of non-compliance with conditions or underspending;

Section 22 provides for the steps, which must be taken and the factors, which must be considered before an allocation, may be withheld from a province or municipality;

Section 23 allows for reallocations between municipalities and in the case of provinces, reallocation between provinces only in respect of the HIV and AIDS grant and only for this financial year;

Section 24 requires that an allocation set out in Schedule 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7 only be utilised for its purpose and subject to its conditions;

Section 25 provides for the correction of any allocation in error;

Section 26 provides that an allocation to a municipality with weak administrative capacity must be transferred to a district or stronger municipality within a district for its benefit;

Section 27 allows for funds to follow functions or obligations and requires that no financial obligation may be imposed on a municipality without its concurrence;

Section 28 provides for the amendment of a payment schedule by the national transferring officer and the National Treasury in certain circumstances;

Section 29 enables the National Treasury to exempt an accounting officer from reporting requirements and other responsibilities;

Section 30 provides that non-compliance with this Act constitutes financial misconduct;

Section 31 provides for responsibility for costs incurred for litigation in violation of the principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations;

Section 32 provides that any act performed prior to the commencement of this Act and in fulfillment of the objects of this Act will be deemed as having been done in terms of this Act and a process for transferring assets to municipalities;

Section 33 provides that the Minister may make regulations regarding any matter which may or must be prescribed or which is necessary for effective implementation of this Bill;

Section 34 makes provision to repeal the Division of Revenue Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003);

Section 35 provides for reporting on rollovers from past conditional grants and approval by the Minister to deal with roll-overs from past infrastructure grants which may lead to underspending in the 2003/04 financial year, by allowing any surplus to fund existing infrastructure budgets in a province; and Section 36 sets out the short title and commencement of this Bill.

8. PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE

The Bill must be dealt with in accordance with the procedure set out in section 76(1) of the Constitution as it provides for legislation required in Chapter 13 of the Constitution, and affects the financial interests of the provincial sphere as contemplated in section 76(4)(b) of the Constitution.

DIVISION OF REVENUE ATTACHMENTS

		1 uge
1.	Annexure E of the Budget Review: Explanatory Memorandum on the Division of Revenue	41
2.	Appendix E1: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Provinces	88
3.	Appendix E2: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Local Government	113
4.	Appendix E3: Schedule 6 Local Government Allocations (recurrent grants) by municipality	121
5.	Appendix E4: Schedule 6A Local Government Allocations (infrastructure grants) by municipality	132
6.	Appendix E5: Schedule 7 Local Government Allocations (indirect grants) by municipality	153
7.	Appendix E6: Total Local Government Allocations (equitable share and conditional grants) by municipality	e 164
8.	Appendix E7: Demographic Data for Local Government Equitable Share and Municipal Infrastructure Grant	175

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE DIVISION OF REVENUE

("Annexure E" of Budget Review)



EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE DIVISION OF REVENUE

Background

The division of revenue between the spheres of government is among the most important decisions made in the budget process. Section 214(1) of the Constitution of South Africa requires that every year an Act of Parliament (Division of Revenue Act) determine the equitable division of resources between the three spheres of government, and the horizontal division among provinces.

The Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act (No. 97 of 1997) gives effect to section 214 of the Constitution by setting out the process of intergovernmental consultation in enacting the Division of Revenue Bill. It establishes the Budget Council and Budget Forum - the consultative intergovernmental forums for the budget process. Sections 9 and 10(4) of the Act set out the consultation process to be followed with the Financial and Fiscal Commission (FFC), including the process of considering recommendations made with regard to the equitable division of nationally raised revenues.

Section 10(5) of the Act requires that the Division of Revenue Bill, when introduced to Parliament, be accompanied by an explanatory memorandum detailing how the Bill takes account of the matters listed in Section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution, the Government's response to any recommendations of the Financial and Fiscal Commission (FFC), and any assumptions and formulae used in arriving at the respective divisions among provinces and municipalities.

This explanatory memorandum to the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill fulfils the requirement set out in Section 10(5) of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No 97 of 1997)*, and goes beyond the requirements of both this Act and the Constitution by including the division of all local government grants by municipality for the next three years thus providing certainty and predictability to the local sphere of government.

The explanatory memorandum contains six parts. Part 1 is a summary of how the Bill and the division of revenue take account of Section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution. Part 2 sets out how the FFC's recommendations on the 2004 division of revenue have been taken into account. Part 3 outlines the fiscal framework that informs the division of resources between the three spheres of government. Part 4 explains the underlying formula and criteria for the division of the provincial equitable share and conditional grants among provinces. Part 5 sets out the formula and criteria for the division of the local government equitable share and conditional grants between municipalities. Part 6 provides a brief analysis of the total allocations to provinces and municipalities, and concludes by raising issues for consideration for the 2005 division of revenue.

This explanatory memorandum must be read with the Division of Revenue Bill. The Division of Revenue Bill and its underlying allocations are the culmination of extensive consultation processes between the three spheres of government. The Budget Council deliberated on the matters discussed in this memorandum at its annual Lekgotla from 1 to 4 October 2003, and meetings of 6 June and 5 August 2003. The approach to local government allocations were discussed with organised local government at several technical meetings with the South African Local Government Association (SALGA) and provincial associations, culminating in a meeting of the

Budget Forum on 16 October 2003. The Ministers' Committee on the Budget (which also consulted MECs for Finance on social sector budgets) forwarded its recommendations on the division of revenue to Cabinet for consideration. An Extended Cabinet meeting, involving Cabinet Ministers, Premiers of provinces and the chairperson of SALGA, was held on 22 October 2003 and agreed on the final budget priorities and the division of revenue over the next three years.

Part 1: Taking account of factors set out in the Constitution

Section 214(2) of the Constitution requires that the annual *Division of Revenue Act* only be enacted after taking account of the factors in sub-section 214(2) (a) to (j) of the Constitution. These include national interest, provision for debt, national government needs and emergencies, the need to ensure that provinces are in a position to provide constitutionally mandated services, developmental and other needs of provinces and local government, fiscal capacity and efficiency of the provincial and local spheres, reduction of economic disparities, and promotion of stability and predictability.

The factors taken into account for the 2004 division of revenue have been informed by the Growth and Development Summit (GDS) and the ten-year review ("Towards a Ten Year Review") published for discussion by the Policy Co-ordination and Advisory Services Unit in the Presidency (PCAS). The 2004 MTEF has a renewed focus on strengthening investment and job creation, reducing poverty and supporting vulnerable groups, education and skills development, creating sustainable communities, and enhancing service delivery. This focus is in line with the ten-year review, which promotes four key ideas for the next ten years:

- ◆ A Framework of encompassing interest a social compact
- Improving the performance of the state
- Addressing the consequences of the social transition
- Improving regional environment and implement NEPAD

The 2004 Budget Review sets out in detail how the constitutional issues and the ten-year review are taken into account for the 2004 division of revenue. It focuses on the economic and fiscal policy considerations, revenue issues, debt and financing considerations and expenditure plans of government, and aspects of provincial and local government financing, are discussed in chapters 6 and 7. Readers are thus advised to read this annexure with the 2004 Budget Review. One of the key challenges facing all delivery programmes is to address the problems of the 'second economy', deal with issue of income poverty, unemployment and social exclusion. These issues are addressed through the Expanded Public Works Programme, expansion of the social safety net by extending Child Support Grant up to the age of 14 years, skills development, agricultural support for land redistribution programmes, and various other policy initiatives as outlined in the 2004 Budget Review. Below is a summary of the Constitutional principles that informed the division of revenue.

National interest and the division of resources

A stable macroeconomic environment, strong economic growth, reduced income poverty, eradicating social exclusion, developing a sense of belonging among our citizens, low unemployment, reduced crime, addressing HIV and Aids and an efficient public service contribute to higher standards of living for all South Africans. Since programmes to meet these goals cut across all three spheres of government, and often across departments, they are most appropriately co-ordinated by national government. Broad-based programmes in the national interest introduced by Government over the first decade of democracy include the prioritisation of the social sectors (education, health and social welfare), expansion of the social safety net, nutrition (including food

security), housing, sustainable infrastructure development (at provincial and municipal level) and rural development.

Provision for debt costs

The total resources shared between the three spheres of government include the proceeds of borrowing by national government. The bulk of that borrowing is in the form of savings of South African citizens. The remainder is in foreign savings. In recognition of Government's obligation to repay those citizens and to protect the capacity to borrow at the lowest rates, the costs of servicing debt are met before resources are shared. Most of this borrowing went into financing Government programmes across the three spheres of government. With inflation being within the target range of 3-6 per cent, debt service costs have stabilised releasing more resources for non-ineterst spending. The continuous commitment to fiscal discipline will contribute to lower debt service costs in the future. Chapter 5 in the 2004 Budget Review deals with financing the budget deficit and debt service costs.

National Government needs and interests

The Constitution assigns exclusive and concurrent powers and functions to each sphere of government. The national government is exclusively responsible for those functions that transcend provincial boundaries and serve national interest, including protection services, economic services and foreign affairs. Key priorities on the national budget are the strengthening of the integrated justice sector, infrastructure development and rehabilitation, employment creation and programmes to alleviate poverty. The national sphere is also responsible for meeting the contractual and statutory commitments of the state and for providing transversal systems of governance, including tax administration and financial information systems. National government is responsible for policy development, regulation and monitoring of functions shared with provincial and local government.

Provincial and local government basic services

Sub-national governments have significant autonomy to allocate resources to meet basic needs and respond to provincial and local priorities. The division of revenue provides equitable share increases to provinces and local government to give effect to government's commitment in progressively meeting basic needs. This year's division of revenue aims to further strengthen social service delivery, including scaling up HIV and Aids treatment programmes, further take up of the Child Support grant, agriculture support to farmers developing from the land reform programme, accelerated rollout of free basic electricity, water and sanitation to poor households. To improve access to free basic services and deal with backlogs in basic municipal infrastructure, all funding for municipal infrastructure have been consolidated into the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG).

Fiscal capacity and efficiency

The Constitution assigns the primary revenue-raising powers to the national sphere. Despite the promulgation of the *Provincial Tax Regulation Process Act* (No. 53 of 2001), provinces still have limited revenue-raising capacity relative to the resources required to deliver provincial functions that do not lend themselves to self-funding or cost recovery. To compensate for this, provinces receive the largest share of nationally raised revenue. Local governments finance most of their expenditure through property rates, user charges and fees. It is recognised, however, that rural municipalities raise significantly less revenue than the urban metropolitan municipalities.

The implementation of the *Public Finance Management Act* (No. 1 of 1999), has improved the fiscal efficiency of provincial governments, and the Municipal Finance Management Act (No 56 of

2003) is expected to do the same for municipalities over the next few years. Fiscal efficiency indicators are still being developed, as budget and expenditure classifications are standardised to allow for comparisons between various governments. Once more accurate data on these indicators become available, it will be possible to take more explicit account of these in the determination of the division of revenue.

Developmental needs

South Africa has strong features of a developing country, and needs to take active steps to ameliorate the worst effects of apartheid as the foundation for a competitive economy are built. The commitments of the Growth and Development Summit (GDS) represent a significant step to ensuring that social and economic deficits are addressed over the next ten years. In order to deal with the development needs of provinces and municipalities, changes are considered in the equitable share formulae for provincial and local government and in specific conditional grants. In particular, the various infrastructure grants and growing capital budgets aim to boost economic and rural development of provinces and municipalities. Government's Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) and Urban Renewal Programme (URP) forms part of its strategy of promoting balanced development. Developmental needs are taken into account in the vertical division of revenue, which explains the growth in the provincial and local government shares of nationally raised revenue, and in the horizontal division within each sphere, through the formulae used for dividing the grants among municipalities and provinces.

Economic disparities

Economic disparities exist between and within provinces and municipalities. The equitable share formulae recognise that provinces and municipalities have different demographic and economic profiles and markedly different levels of economic development. The equitable share formulae are redistributive. In particular, Government has increased allocations to invest in economic infrastructure like roads, and social infrastructure like schools, hospitals and clinics, in order to stimulate economic development and job creation. The prioritisation of nodal areas in the allocation of local government grants seeks to address disparities among municipalities.

Obligations in terms of national legislation

While the Constitution confers significant autonomy on provincial governments to determine provincial priorities within a national policy framework and allocate provincial budgets, national government retains responsibility for policy development and for monitoring implementation within concurrent functions. Although the equitable share allocations and other transfers allow provinces and local government discretion, national policies create mandates that are accommodated. The budget process allows for these national policies, and norms and standards to be incorporated into sub-national budgets.

Conditional grants also provide funding for national priorities that are implemented by provincial or local government. These include grants for housing and integrated nutrition.

The 2003 session of Parliament has considered significant national legislation like the National Health Bill, Social Assistance Bill and South African Social Security Agency Bill. These bills, once enacted, will have an impact on future obligations for provincial and local spheres of government. Given that they are still in the process of being enacted or implemented, such impact will only be fully taken into account for the 2005 MTEF, once the responsible sectors have presented specific proposals.

Predictability and stability

Government has resolved that the equitable shares for a given year will be based on estimates of nationally raised revenues, as announced in the Budget. Provincial and local government equitable share allocations are based on projections of revenue to be raised nationally. These allocations are protected. In the event that nationally raised revenue falls short of the estimates, the equitable share will not be adjusted downwards. All conditional grants to be allocated to provinces and local government are allocated on a three-year term to enable the two spheres to undertake forward planning of programmes funded through these grants. The Bill also requires provincial governments to publish all their grants to local government per municipality.

Furthermore, the Division of Revenue Bill specifies that all allocations must be transferred according to a payment schedule. Thus, at the beginning of the financial year, provinces and local governments are assured of the resources they will receive and know the dates on which the allocations will be transferred. Any amendments to the payment schedule require a fair and transparent process. The Bill also enables provincial and local government to account for all transfers from the national government. Greater certainty of revenues improves the quality of budget planning and expenditure projections in all spheres of government.

Need for flexibility in responding to emergencies

When Government introduced multi-year rolling budgets six years ago, it also introduced the concept of a contingency reserve. Government has flexibility to respond to emergencies or other needs through a contingency reserve that provides a cushion for "unforeseeable and unavoidable" expenditure. Sections 16 and 25 of the *Public Finance Management Act* make specific provision in relation to allocation of funds to deal with emergency situations while section 30(7) deals with adjustment allocations in respect of unforeseeable and unavoidable expenditure. For example, the impact of the drought has been taken into account in this way in 2003/04, as a further R250 million was allocated over and above the R250 million made available during the adjustments budget. Given expectations that the drought will persist into 2004/05, the contingency reserve is adjusted upwards for the 2004 MTEF.

Part 2: Response to the Financial and Fiscal Commission Recommendations

Section 214 of the Constitution and Section 9 of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act* (Act 97 of 1997) requires the FFC to make recommendations in April every year on the division of revenue for the coming budget. The FFC complied with this obligation by tabling its submission entitled "*Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System*" for the 2004-2007 MTEF in Parliament in April 2003. The Constitution and section 10 of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act* also requires national government to take account of these recommendations of the FFC when determining the division of revenue between the three spheres of government. This part of the explanatory memorandum sets out the response of the national government to these recommendations.

The FFC recommendations focus on two sets of issues. The first set of recommendations deals with the division of revenue for each sphere of government. The main issue for the national sphere is the financing of HIV and Aids. Regarding provinces, the recommendations centre on the various components or elements of the provincial equitable share formula, and the location of funding for social security grants and the measurement of fiscal capacity. On local government, the recommendations focus on the funding of institutional capacity - the I component, and call for an evaluation of funding of rural and urban nodes, and propose a differentiated approach to municipalities. Government responds to this set of recommendations in detail.

The second set reviews the intergovernmental fiscal relations system in South Africa, and covers expenditure assignment, performance measurement, poverty targeting and the provision of constitutionally mandated basic service. Given that these proposals are general proposals and not directly related to the 2004 division of revenue, Government responds to these in less detail.

In examining Government's response to the FFC recommendations, it should be noted that Government accepted last year the need for a *comprehensive review* of the fiscal framework for provinces and municipalities. It was hoped that the review would have been completed for the 2004 Budget, but this has not been possible for a number of reasons, including the need to fully incorporate the results of Census 2001, and the impact of shifting the social grant function from provinces to national and restructuring the electricity distribution industry. The restructuring and shifting of functions will have significant fiscal implications for provincial and local government budgets. It is hoped that the comprehensive review will be completed in time for the 2005 Budget. The review will examine the formulae for the equitable share and conditional grants for provincial and local government spheres, as well as their taxation and borrowing powers, and ensure that these are consistent with their expenditure functions. Many of the more significant proposals of the FFC will be considered as part of the review.

Provincial Proposals

FFC proposals on HIV and Aids funding and health conditional grants

The FFC makes three proposals to accelerate the implementation of HIV and Aids as priority programmes. Firstly, it proposes that current national programmes directed at the procurement of condoms, awareness campaigns and specific research efforts should be retained and strengthened. Secondly, it proposes that social spending outcomes resulting from the increases in the equitable share targeted at HIV and Aids programmes be evaluated to establish their effectiveness. Lastly, it proposes that all existing health conditional grants be reviewed with a view to converting them into a more efficient conditional grant mechanism with a clear and coordinated policy framework to be established at the national level.

Government's response

Government's current approach to the funding of HIV and Aids programmes is largely in line with the FFC proposals. The proposed continuation of current programmes funded through earmarked grants is supported by Government, especially where these programmes are demonstrating a high degree of effectiveness, and also because this appears to be an appropriate way to fund such programmes at this stage. Additional allocations to the HIV and Aids grant in health are consistent with this approach.

Whilst the reviewing of current HIV and Aids conditional grants for effectiveness and coordination is always welcome, it is not clear at this stage how these grants would be consolidated into a single efficient conditional grant mechanism, given the expected outcomes of the current conditional grants, especially at a stage where the national policy framework on HIV and Aids is relatively new. However, this proposal will be explored as part of the comprehensive review of the provincial fiscal framework.

It is also difficult to evaluate the impact of current spending on HIV and Aids financed through the equitable share for a number of reasons. One of the reasons for national government increasing the equitable share allocation to provinces for the 2003 MTEF was to expand HIV and Aids programmes. However, it is not always possible to separate all costs associated with HIV and Aids from other costs (for example, costs within hospital programmes). Secondly, because the additional funds currently complement existing programmes, they are likely to have a higher impact in provinces where the programmes were already running effectively with the necessary

infrastructure. In trying to understand the efficacy and effectiveness of HIV and Aids programmes, it is perhaps more appropriate to consider a comprehensive approach that takes account of what is currently being done, and propose appropriate responses from a policy and funding perspective.

The FFC also reviews the health conditional grants. It concludes that the National Tertiary Services grant 'does not bear any direct relationship to the removal of the spillover problem' 1 nor does it appear to have been costed appropriately. Further, the Health Professional Training and Development Grant is 'incorrectly specified' and 'overcosted' as medical students 'only cost the public hospital budget an additional R142 million a year'. While agreeing with certain aspects of the FFC's observation and the view that the health grants need to be reviewed, Government believes this should be done with the overall review for the 2005 MTEF. National government has resolved that the Departments of Health, Education and National Treasury undertake a comprehensive review of the funding of academic hospitals, and its link to the Tertiary Services grant. Such a review must also inform Government on the long-term vision for such hospitals and for tertiary services, their distribution between provinces, the restructuring required to effect such transformation, and the link to the financing of academic hospitals and university medical faculties. The review will also inform the future appropriateness of the equitable share formula and conditional grants, with a view to rationalising the number and size of health conditional grants, and the distribution formulae for any grants recommended through the review. The health sector will also finalise the Modernisation of Tertiary Services Project, which is examining a ten-year framework for future provision of highly specialised services. The outcome of these two projects will inform Government's approach to future funding of tertiary services from 2005 onwards.

In terms of the FFC proposal regarding the Integrated Nutrition Programme, Government has already taken steps to shift the Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP) component of the Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP) to the education sector. The remaining part of the INP grant is to be phased out in 2005/06.

FFCs proposals on the education component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that the formula used to allocate the education component of the equitable share be revised to phase out the double weighting of 'school age' children. It argues that the double weighting penalises poorer provinces with the largest number of 'out of age' learners. It further reiterates its proposal that the formula used to allocate the education component of the provincial equitable share formula be adjusted to incorporate the reception year (Grade R). In addition, the provincial allocation of funds should be based on a poverty-weighted count of the number of children aged five and six in each province.

The FFC further recommends that Government establish a conditional grant programme for the financing of education programmes for improving adult literacy and numeracy.

Government's response

Government notes that the 2002 enrolment figures and the Census 2001 show that the out-of-age enrolment problem is no longer significant. However, the trends in enrolment are not stable in some provinces, raising questions about their accuracy. This makes the school-age cohort variable a 'stabiliser' within the education component. Therefore the current elements and weightings will be retained for the 2004 Budget, but examined as part of the review for the 2005 Budget.

The Early Childhood Development (ECD) grant is phased out, and the education component in the equitable share formula has been expanded for the 2004 Budget to cover grade R by adjusting the age cohort to 5-17.

¹ See page 66 of the FFC submission on the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework 2004-2007: "Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System"

The funding of ABET is more difficult as it is not known beforehand what the likely uptake of the programme will be for purposes of determining allocations. This matter will be explored as part of the review of the equitable share formula.

FFCs proposals on the health component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that provincial population growth rates be incorporated into the health care component of the provincial equitable share formula. It also proposes that the age and gender variation in the population be taken into account when determining the relative need for health services. An acceptable index should be constructed making use of international and domestic data. It further proposes that the current weighting of total medical scheme populations be reviewed and that the data used be based annually on the best available estimate, which could entail combining the latest October Household Survey information, averaged for a reasonable number of years.

Government's response

The updating of population data regularly has not been possible in the past because of the lack of reliable estimates per province, and the absence of data on interprovincial migration trends. It is unclear at this stage whether such information will be available and more reliable over the next few years. If such information were to be available it would be used.

The proposal to replace the current medical aid versus non-medical aid utilisation rates with alternative utilisation rates is being explored. However, reliable information on such utilisation rates is not readily available. The information from the Registrar of Medical Aid Schemes has also been considered, but their information does not contain provincial breakdowns on medical aid membership. It is therefore not possible to implement these proposals at this stage.

FFCs proposals on social development component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC reiterates its proposal that social security grants be budgeted for and funded at a national level to avoid the crowding out of the other provincial service delivery mandates. It further proposes that

- Populations of grant recipients in the current system should more closely reflect the actual take-up of the three grants in the provinces;
- The overall allocation to welfare in the current system be revised to reflect more accurately the share of aggregate provincial spending on social development;
- The allocation to welfare in the provincial equitable share formula distinguishes between social security grants and welfare services, and assigns amounts to each. The allocation for welfare services could be based on an indicator such as the proportion of the population below a predetermined poverty level; and
- Consideration be given to the ways in which the existing top-down methodology for allocating the social development share among provinces can be revised so that it more closely reflects the relative needs of the provinces.

Government's response

The FFC proposals regarding this component should be viewed against the significant developments relating to the social development function, which are already being implemented by government, because they respond to some of the concerns raised by the FFC.

Two bills on the establishment of a National Social Security Agency (South African Social Security Agency Bill and amendments to the Social Assistance Act) have already being tabled in Parliament, to facilitate the shifting of social security payments to the national sphere.

Government is also concerned at the rate at which increases in social grant spending continue to apply significant pressure on provincial budgets, and on other provincial functions like education and health, in particular. The FFC proposal to raise weighting for social security grants (or even social development) in the provincial equitable share formula will not resolve the problem of social security expenditure squeezing out other provincial functions.

FFCs overall proposals on the provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that the shares of the different components in the formula should ultimately be determined according to explicit policy guidelines based on minimum norms and standards.

Government's response

These proposals are quite similar to the costed-norms proposal presented by the FFC in previous years. The previous response of the national government remains relevant in this respect. For instance, Government took a very clear view (refer to pages 231-235 in Annexure E in the 2001 Budget Review) on why it could not adopt a costed norms approach when it was initially proposed for both technical reasons and due to its irreconcilability with certain principles underpinning the intergovernmental system. Instead of a tool for allocations, Government encouraged the use of a costed norm model as a tool for analysing expenditure. This viewpoint is still held by Government.

However, with regard to specific proposals on the formula as a whole or its components, the national government believes this should be done as part of the review of the equitable share formula for the 2005 Budget process, taking into account the results of Census 2001. Government will consider specific proposals from the FFC on the formula during this review process.

Local government proposals

FFC's proposals on local government revenue capacity and the equitable share formula

The FFC proposes a number of issues that must be dealt with in respect to the local government equitable share formula, including:

- Establishing the role of municipalities in areas such as health care, economic development and the provision of free basic services;
- Studying the structure of actual and potential revenues, considering the new demarcation and restructuring of electricity and water; and
- Exploring the relationship between conditional grants and the equitable share formula.

Government's response

Government supports the FFC proposals and recognises the need for a comprehensive review of the local government fiscal framework. This review, which covers the equitable share and conditional grants, as well as other taxes and levies in addition to property rates is currently under way, and it is hoped that these will be finalised in time for the 2005 Budget. Government agreed last year that this review is necessary in the light of the 2000 demarcation, 2003 shifting of functions between district and local municipalities, and impending restructuring of electricity.

To the extent that Government had to clarify the functions of municipalities, it gazetted (Government Gazette No. 24228) on 3 January 2003, the functions of category B and C municipalities, including different roles of municipalities in performing functions like health, economic development and provision of other services.

FFC's proposals on municipal institutional capacity

The FFC proposes that the Institutional (I) element of the local government equitable share formula and capacity-building conditional grants to municipalities be assessed to ensure that it reflects the capacity needs of municipalities. In addition, it points out that the *I-Grant* allocations to district municipalities are determined by the same formula used to allocate the *I-Grant* to local municipalities, which suggests absence of targeting of the *I-Grants* to district municipalities according to size or economic condition.

Government's response

Government agrees that both the institutional element and capacity-building grants be assessed, but believes that this should be part of the review of the local government fiscal framework for the 2005 Budget. Government is also mindful of the differences between district and local municipalities, and the need for the local government equitable share formula to take account of the specific functions performed by them. However, since these functions differ for different district and local municipalities, more detailed criteria are required for all the components of the equitable share transfer.

With regard to capacity-building conditional grants, steps are being taken in 2003/04 to limit the size of conditional grants, and ensure that all such grants are transferred directly to municipalities, so that their efficacy is increased. Capacity building grants will increasingly be assessed in terms of outputs and outcomes.

FFC's proposals on financing development nodes

The FFC proposes an explicit policy to target funds to the development nodes. In this regard it proposes that:

- The effectiveness of the Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) and Urban Renewal Programme (URP) be carefully evaluated. This should include the collection of data on development indicators within nodes, so as to inform nodal policy development and implementation; and
- Funding for the urban and rural development nodes should not come from the local government equitable share allocation.

Government's response

Government believes that the FFC is raising valid issues on evaluating the ISRDS and URP programmes, and whether they are best funded through the equitable share, as the equitable share is designed to treat municipalities uniformly, taking account of basic needs and assigned functions. However, it must be recognised that current equitable share transfers still fund many transitional programmes, particularly where municipalities lack capacity to implement basic services like water to poor rural households. For the medium- to long-term, government supports the approach of the FFC on the equitable share grant, but believes that transitional funding arrangements are necessary and can only be phased-out over a few years. Government will consider the case for funding developmental nodes for ISRDS and URP from the national share, as part of comprehensive review of the local government framework for the 2005 Budget.

Government also agrees that all these programmes be continuously evaluated through performance and development indicators. Current reporting on these programmes focuses on process and management outputs, rather than on performance or specific projects.

FFC's proposals on the differentiated approach to municipalities

The FFC proposes that consideration should be given to developing a differentiated approach to municipalities in areas such as borrowing, revenue sources, and municipal service partnerships.

Government's response

Current pieces of legislation such as the Municipal Finance Management Act (No 56 of 2003) and the Municipal Systems Act (No 32 of 2000) already provide for a differentiated approach to municipalities based on predetermined criteria.

Government is considering developing differentiated (and asymmetric) approaches to municipalities based on capacity. However, as the FFC points out, it is difficult to develop one system of classification that could be used for a variety of purposes. The 'application of different classification systems to suit different needs' will require each sector to develop such systems (e.g. for water services, electricity), but will also need to ensure that such systems are compatible with the intergovernmental fiscal system. The problem is complicated by the fact that the weakest capacitated municipalities are invariably unable to provide good quality information, on their challenges and performance.

FFC recommendations on intergovernmental system

The bulk of the FFC's proposals on the intergovernmental system are largely work-in-progress research, and are intended for implementation in the medium to long term. These proposals are a welcome contribution towards assessing the intergovernmental fiscal system for the first decade of democracy, and to propose improvements for the next decade. The proposals relate to expenditure assignment, costed-norms, constitutionally-mandated services, performance management, funding instruments for poverty-alleviation programmes, and building institutional capacity.

Government's response

These proposals on the intergovernmental system are separate from the division of revenue proposals, and are for wider debate and discussion, so the Government's response should be seen as its first response to this discussion, which should be further debated in Parliament and all legislatures as part of the ten-year review process.

Government supports the FFC in seeking greater certainty with regard to what functions each sphere of government are expected to perform as this is necessary for any system where tax and budget powers are divided between different spheres of government, and for the division of revenue process. However, the expenditure assignment process is complex requiring co-operation between spheres of government. This is particularly the case in South Africa, as most delivery-type functions are shared between spheres of government. The only purely exclusive functions are often national functions like defence or foreign affairs. Most other functions (both concurrent Schedule 4 and exclusive Schedule 5 functions in terms of the Constitution) are almost always shared in terms of policy-making, planning and budgeting. This is the case for school education, health services, social development, housing, roads, public transport, water, electricity and agriculture. The exact assignment of such functions requires more discussion in sectoral intergovernmental forums like

² See page 108 of the FFC submission on the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework 2004-2007: "Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System"

MinMECs, as invariably, these have budgetary implications and involve tradeoffs with other sectors. To this extent, it is important that the implementation of basic delivery responsibilities for key service functions is clearly determined between spheres of government.

The FFC proposals also focus on the funding of poverty-alleviation programmes. Government undertook a review of these programmes and has decided to phase most of them into the equitable share or into the infrastructure grants. With regard to water provision and housing, national government notes that it is much more difficult to determine how functions are to be shared between local and other spheres of government, as capacity of various municipalities differs and may require asymmetric approaches. However, government accepts that water provision with regard to domestic consumption is largely a local function, and for this reason is transferring water schemes from the national government to municipalities. Financing mechanisms are adjusted accordingly. The issue of housing is more complex, as the Constitution makes it a concurrent national/provincial function, but not a local function. Housing legislation does, however, allow for municipalities to be accredited in order to perform the housing function, but progress in this regard has been slow. Government will review these specific functions to the extent that greater certainty and clarity is required.

The FFC proposals also focus on the re-assignment of social grants. Government already accepts this proposal, and legislation to this effect is before Parliament. The legislation sets up a National Social Security Agency to administer social grants. It is not clear at this stage how such an agency can be made accountable to both national and provincial governments as proposed by the FFC. It will take a number of years to implement the new legislation after it is enacted.

The FFC proposal on constitutionally mandated basic services is noted. It is worth noting that both the vertical division of revenue and provincial and local government formulae are predicated on the premise that each sphere should have sufficient funds to perform the functions assigned to it by the Constitution. Further, where possible and to the extent that data are available, the equitable share and conditional grant formulae take explicit account of certain basic services.

The FFC proposals also make suggestions on policy, delivery and financial output indicators. The issue of performance, accountability and co-ordination is a major priority for national government. These objectives are given effect in legislation like the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), the Municipal Systems Act and the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA), which focus on outputs, outcomes and performance. Government has also taken a number of other steps such as designing performance measures and targets, and implementing performance agreements to improve the system of accountability. These measures are designed to ensure that resources are used efficiently, in order to encourage each government to deliver services efficiency, and reduce wastage and inefficiency. Government has progressed to developing measures for each major concurrent sector, for education, health, social development, housing, roads and public works. The challenge facing each sector is to develop appropriate measures, using the current system of strategic and performance plans, budget documents and annual reports.

Part 3: Fiscal Framework for 2004 MTEF

Fiscal framework

Table E1 presents medium-term macroeconomic forecasts for the 2004 Budget. It sets out the growth assumptions, fiscal projections and policy targets on which the fiscal framework is based.

Table E1 Medium-term macroeconomic assumptions, 2003/04 - 2006/07

2003/04		2004	/05	2005	2006/07		
	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2004
R billion	Budget						
Gross domestic product	1 234,6	1 223,2	1 344,3	1 331,8	1 466,6	1 455,6	1 592,6
Real GDP growth	3,4%	1,6%	3,8%	3,3%	4,0%	3,6%	4,0%
GDP inflation	6,6%	4,7%	4,9%	5,4%	4,9%	5,5%	5,2%
National Budget Framewo	ork						
Revenue	304,5	300,3	331,0	327,0	361,2	360,3	394,0
Percentage of GDP	24,7%	24,6%	24,6%	24,6%	24,6%	24,7%	24,7%
Expenditure	334,0	331,7	363,3	368,9	395,6	404,7	439,1
Percentage of GDP	27,1%	27,1%	27,0%	27,7%	27,0%	27,8%	27,6%
Budget deficit	-29,5	-31,4	-32,4	-41,9	-34,4	-44,4	-45,1
Percentage of GDP	-2,4%	-2,6%	-2,4%	-3,1%	-2,3%	-3,0%	-2,8%

Table E2 sets out the impact of these policy decisions on the division of revenue. Before resources can be divided, provision must be made for national commitments such as debt service costs and a contingency reserve. Debt service obligations of R50,4 billion, R53,9 billion and R57,9 billion are projected for the three MTEF years, and a contingency reserve amount of R2,5 billion, R4,0 billion and R8 billion is set aside. Once these allocations are deducted, the total allocated to be shared between the three spheres amounts to R315,9 billion, R346,7 billion and R373,1 billion over the three MTEF years. This pool of revenue is divided between national, provincial and local spheres.

Table E2 Division of revenue between spheres of government, 2000/01 - 2006/07

	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
R million	Outcome	Outcome	Outcome	Revised	Mediu	m-term estir	nates
National departments	73 1 7 8	87 705	99 091	110 494	120 597	131 047	139 677
Provinces	108 899	121 099	136 925	161 476	181 130	199 704	216 344
Equitable share	<i>98 398</i>	107 460	123 457	144 743	159 971	173 852	186 392
Conditional grants	10 501	13 638	13 468	16 733	21 158	<i>25 853</i>	29 953
Local government	5 536	6 520	8 706	12 390	14 245	15 916	17 091
Equitable share	2 415	3 184	4 187	6 350	7 678	8 643	9 365
Conditional grants	3 121	<i>3 3</i> 36	4 519	6 039	6 568	7 272	7 726
Non-interest allocations	187 613	215 324	244 721	284 359	315 972	346 667	373 112
Percentage increase	10,1%	14,8%	13,7%	16,2%	11,1%	9,7%	7,6%
State debt cost	46 321	47 581	46 808	47 326	50 432	53 986	57 945
Contingency reserve	-	_	_	-	2 500	4 000	8 000
Main budget expenditure	233 934	262 905	291 529	331 685	368 904	404 653	439 057
Percentage increase	8,9%	12,4%	10,9%	13,8%	11,2%	9,7%	8,5%
Percentage shares							
National departments	39,0%	40,7%	40,5%	38,9%	38,2%	37,8%	37,4%
Provinces	58,0%	56,2%	56,0%	56,8%	57,3%	57,6%	58,0%
Local government	3,0%	3,0%	3,6%	4,4%	4,5%	4,6%	4,6%

The revised fiscal framework aims at further strengthening social service delivery, including:

- Scaling up of HIV and Aids treatment programmes through the roll out of antiretroviral drugs alongside current prevention measures.
- A renewed focus on employment creation through an Expanded Public Works Programme and a series of interventions to strengthen the skills base and empower communities.
- Support for provincial economic development programmes with high potential for creating employment opportunities, with specific focus on enabling provinces to scale up farmer support programmes to land reform programme beneficiaries.

- Extending social assistance through enhanced income support to the poor (including completion of the take up of 11, 12 and 13 year old children) and improvements in the social grant payment system.
- Enhanced spending on education programmes, specifically relating to the rollout of the Early Childhood Development Programme, and other inputs needed to further strengthen the quality of school education especially in poor communities.
- Accelerate the rollout of free basic electricity, water, refuse removal and sanitation to poor households and investment in municipal infrastructure to create sustainable local communities.
- Consolidate local government financial management and budget reforms as envisaged in the Municipal Finance Management Act (No. 56 of 2003).
- Expanded capacity in the safety and security sector in support of the sector policing strategy and the establishment of a new Protection and Security Services Division.
- Taking core administrative services to citizens, particularly in rural areas where access is limited.
- Supporting South Africa's ongoing commitment to actively promote peace in Africa and support regional trade and development.

The new priorities, and expansions of previous year's programmes, are accommodated through reprioritisation and growth in the resource envelope. Cabinet determines the division of revenue between spheres of Government using the previous year's baseline division as a point of departure and taking account of ongoing commitments, current and new policy priorities, and the FFC recommendations.

Both the shares for provincial and local government allocations increase significantly, with the provincial allocation increasing from 56,8 per cent to 58,0 per cent, and the local government allocation from 4,4 per cent in 2003/04 to 4,6 per cent in 2006/07. The share of national government decreases from 38,9 per cent in 2003/04 to 37,4 per cent in 2006/07. Over half of the additional resources are allocated to the provinces, in recognition of the challenges they face in delivering social services, building and maintaining economic infrastructure, employment creation, promoting rural development and coping with HIV and Aids. Local government, which must provide for free basic services and expand municipal infrastructure, gets a larger slice of additional revenue than its baseline proportion.

Table E3 reflects the additional resources available over last year's baseline allocations, totalling R9,7 billion in 2004/05, R14,1 billion in 2005/06 and R20,6 billion over the new baseline for 2006/07. The additional funds are divided between the spheres depending on which sphere is responsible for the prioritised functions.

Table E3 Changes over baseline, 2004/05 - 2006/07

table by Changes of	200011110, 20011	2000,01	
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/071
National	3 248	4 951	6 023
Provincial	5 458	7 880	13 001
Local	1 000	1 300	1 600
Allocated expenditure	9 706	14 131	20 624

^{1.} The assumed baseline for 2006/07 is the 2005/06 baseline plus 6 per cent.

Table E4 sets out Schedule 1 of the Division of Revenue Bill that reflects the *legal* division of revenue between the three spheres. In this division, the national share includes all conditional grants to the other two spheres in line with section 214(1) of the Constitution, and the provincial and local government allocations reflect their equitable shares only.

Table E4 Schedule 1 of the Division of Revenue Bill, 2004/05 - 2006/07

Sphere of government	Column A	Column	n B
	2004/05	Medium-term forw	ard estimates
R million	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
National 1, 2	201 255	222 158	243 301
Provincial	159 971	173 852	186 392
Local	7 678	8 643	9 365
Total	368 904	404 653	439 057

National share includes conditional grants to provinces and local spheres, debt service cost and the contingency reserve.

Nationally-raised revenue is distributed between spheres in accordance with the Division of Revenue Act and the Constitution. The national equitable share is divided between national departments through an Appropriation Act. Provincial equitable shares are direct charges on the National Revenue Fund and flow directly into Provincial Revenue Funds, where provincial legislatures appropriate the funds to votes and their main divisions — in this instance, votes and programmes of provincial departments. Various local government allocations are appropriated on national votes, as the Constitution does not make them a direct charge on the National Revenue Fund. The local government equitable share is appropriated on the vote of the Department of Provincial and Local Government. The actual division of all grants (whether appropriated or a direct charge) between provinces or municipalities is in accordance with the Division of Revenue Act and this memorandum.

Part 4: Provincial Allocations

The Constitution entitles provinces to a share of nationally raised revenue. National transfers to provinces for 2004/05, comprise more than 97 per cent of provincial revenues, with provinces raising less than 3 per cent of their revenues from own sources. Of the funds that are transferred, 88,4 per cent is through the equitable share and the remaining 11,6 per cent grants flow as conditional grants. Table E5 shows all transfers to provinces for 2004/05.

Table E5 Total transfers to provinces, 2004/05

	Equitable	Conditional	Total
R million	share	grants	transfers
Eastern Cape	26 990	3 138	30 129
Free State	10 551	1 613	12 164
Gauteng	24 547	4 461	29 008
KwaZulu-Natal	33 059	3 847	36 906
Limpopo	21 789	2 164	23 953
Mpumalanga	11 606	1 208	12 814
Northern Cape	3 839	573	4 412
North West	13 270	1 591	14 862
Western Cape	14 320	2 564	16 884
Total	159 971	21 158	181 130

Provincial equitable share

The provincial equitable share allocation is used to fund the bulk of public services rendered by provinces. It is divided between provinces on the basis of the provincial equitable share formula. The provincial equitable share is R159,9 billion in 2004/05, R173,9 billion in 2005/06 and R186,4 billion in 2006/07.

^{2.} The direct charges for the provincial equitable share are netted out.

The equitable share formula

Updates of data in the equitable share formula are effected on an annual basis, depending on availability of official data. Government committed itself to a major review of the formula for the 2004 Budget. Though the review process has begun, the process could not be completed in time as new data from the Census 2001 and other data sources were published towards the end of the budget allocation process. Government agreed to retain the structure of the provincial equitable share formula for the 2004 Budget, but to update for Census 2001 and other data. The more wideranging review will apply to the 2005 Budget, and will cover aspects pertaining to the structure of the formula, weights of components and other economic development and poverty-related policy considerations. The review is also timed to coincide with the imminent change in the financing and administrative arrangements relating to the delivery of social security grants.

For the 2004 Budget, a number of data updates to the formula are effected. The *education* component is updated by replacing average enrolment data with 2000-2002 enrolment figures and by lowering the school age cohort to cover the 5 – 17 school age cohort (by using Census 2001 data) to take account of Early Childhood Development. The *basic component*, which uses population shares, is updated with 2001 Census data. The remuneration data currently used in the *economic activity* component is replaced with Gross Domestic Product by Region (GDP-R) data.

The equitable share formula comprises seven components or indices of relative demand for services between provinces and takes into account particular provincial circumstances. It considers, for example, infrastructure backlogs and poverty levels. The provincial equitable share formula consists of the following components:

- An education share (41 per cent) based on the size of the school-age population (ages 5-17) and the average number of learners enrolled in public ordinary schools for the past three years
- A health share (19 per cent) based on the proportion of the population with and without access to medical aid
- A welfare component (18 per cent) based on the estimated number of people entitled to social security grants – the elderly, disabled and children – weighted by using a poverty index derived from the Income and Expenditure Survey
- A basic share (7 per cent) derived from each province's share of the total population of the country
- A backlog component (3 per cent) based on the distribution of capital needs as captured in the schools register of needs, the audit of hospital facilities and the distribution of the rural population
- An economic output component (7 per cent) based on Gross Domestic Product by Region (GDP-R) data
- An institutional component (5 per cent) divided equally among the provinces

Table E6 shows the current structure and distribution of shares by component. The elements of the formula are neither indicative budgets nor guidelines as to how much should be spent on those functions. Rather, the components are weighted broadly in line with expenditure patterns to provide an indication of relative need for the purpose of allocating funds. Provincial Executive Committees have discretion regarding the departmental allocations for each function.

Table E6 Distributing the equitable share, percentages by province

	Education	Health	Social	Basic	Economic	institu-	Backlog	Target
			welfare	share	activity	tional		shares
Weighting	41,0	19,0	18,0	7,0	7,0	5,0	3,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	17,3	17,0	19,6	14,4	8,1	11,1	20,7	16,6
Free State	6,0	6,5	7,1	6,0	5,4	11,1	5,6	6,5
Gauteng	13,6	14,7	13,9	19,7	33,4	11,1	5,0	15,3
KwaZulu-Natal	22,8	21,7	19,6	21,0	16,5	11,1	23,0	20,9
Limpopo	15,0	13,3	13,7	11,8	6,6	11,1	22,9	13,7
Mpumalanga	7,6	7,2	6,5	7,0	6,9	11,1	8,5	7,4
Northern Cape	1,7	2,0	2,2	1,8	2,0	11,1	1,3	2,3
North West	7,8	8,6	8,7	8,2	6,7	11,1	9,5	8,3
Western Cape	8,2	8,9	8,8	10,1	14,2	11,1	3,6	9,0
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

The phasing-in of the formula

The formula has been updated for latest available data (Census 2001, school enrolment and GDP-R) and to ensure stability in provincial budgets, Government agreed to phase in the impact of these updates over three years, from 2004/05 to 2006/07. This is mainly to ensure that none of the provinces receive an allocation lower than was previously indicated in the baseline allocations. Table E7 shows the phasing.

Table E7 Phasing in the equitable share, 2003/04 - 2006/07

	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Percentage	Base shares		3-year phasing	
Phasing	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Eastern Cape	17,0	16,9	16,7	16,6
Free State	6,6	6,6	6,5	6,5
Gauteng	15,4	15,3	15,3	15,3
KwaZulu-Natal	20,6	20,7	20,8	20,9
Limpopo	13,6	13,6	13,6	13,7
Mpumalanga	7,2	7,3	7,3	7,4
Northern Cape	2,4	2,4	2,4	2,3
North West	8,3	8,3	8,3	8,3
Western Cape	8,9	9,0	9,0	9,0
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

Education component

The education component targets primary and secondary schooling, which accounts for roughly 80 per cent of provincial education spending. For 2004, Government has decided to retain the weightings in the 2003 Budget. Both the school-age population and enrolment numbers are used to reflect the relative demand for education services. The school-age cohort, ages 5-17, is double weighted, reflecting Government's desire to eliminate out-of-age enrolment while the average school enrolment data for 2000-2002 are single weighted. Table E8 shows the weighted target shares for the 2004 MTEF after updating the education component for new data.

Table E8 Calculation of education component

Thousands			Medium-term esti	mates
	Weighted share	Enrolment	School-age	Weighted target share
	(%)		(5-17)	(%)
Weighting		7	2	
Eastern Cape	18,4	2 083	2 219	17,3
Free State	6,3	729	760	6,0
Gauteng	12,6	1 577	1 786	13,6
KwaZulu-Natal	22,0	2 706	2 946	22,8
Limpopo	15,4	1 834	1 915	15,0
Mpumalanga	7,3	910	969	7,6
Northern Cape	1,9	198	222	1,7
North West	8,0	907	1 021	7,8
Western Cape	8,0	927	1 095	8,2
Total	100,0	11 870	12 933	100,0

Health component

The health component (table E9) addresses the need for provinces to deliver primary and secondary health care services. As all citizens are eligible for health services, the provincial shares of the total population form the basis for the health share. The formulation of the health component recognises that people without medical aid are more likely to use public health facilities, and are therefore weighted four times more than those with medical aid support. The proportions of the population with and without access to medical aid are taken from the 1995 October Household Survey and applied to the census figures. Although there have been October Household Surveys in subsequent years, these do not improve the quality of this information and the 1995 data have been retained.

Table E9 Calculation of health component

Thousands	With	Without	Weighted	
	medical aid	medical aid	share (%)	
Weighting	1	4		
Eastern Cape	510	5 793	17,0	
Free State	467	2 166	6,5	
Gauteng	2 958	4 390	14,7	
KwaZulu-Natal	1 103	7 314	21,7	
Limpopo	376	4 554	13,3	
Mpumalanga	392	2 409	7,2	
Northern Cape	175	665	2,0	
North West	457	2 897	8,6	
Western Cape	1 127	2 830	8,9	
Total	7 566	33 018	100,0	

Welfare component

The welfare component captures provinces' responsibility for providing social security grants. The welfare component has two elements, the target population for the main social grants ('all grants' in Table E10) and the population in the lowest two quintiles of the income distribution ('income adjustment'). The constituent parts reflect the target populations of social security payments, weighted by the distribution of expenditure for each type of grant. For example, the bulk of social security payments are old-age pensions. Means-testing of grants is reflected through an income adjustment based on the provincial share of the population in the lowest two quintiles of the income distribution. This information was drawn from the 1995 Income and Expenditure Survey.

Table E10 Calculation of the welfare component

Percentage	Old age	Disability	Child care	All grants	Income	Weighted
					adjustment	share
Weighting	65,0	25,0	10,0	75,0	25,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	19,1	15,5	17,4	18,0	24,3	19,6
Free State	6,2	6,5	5,7	6,2	9,6	7,1
Gauteng	15,7	18,1	14,3	16,2	7,2	13,9
KwaZulu-Natal	19,8	20,7	21,7	20,2	17,6	19,6
Limpopo	13,0	12,1	14,8	13,0	15,8	13,7
Mpumalanga	5,9	6,9	7,3	6,3	7,1	6,5
Northern Cape	2,1	2,1	2,0	2,1	2,6	2,2
North West	7,8	8,3	8,4	8,0	10,7	8,7
Western Cape	10,4	9,7	8,4	10,0	5,2	8,8
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

One reason for the more comprehensive review for the 2005 Budget is the fact that the rapid takeup of social grants has resulted in a sharp increase in the share of social welfare expenditure. The share of social development averaged 24,7 per cent for the adjusted 2003/04 provincial budgets or 29,3 per cent of the total provincial equitable share, which is substantially above its 18 per cent weighting in the formula.

Economic activity component

The economic activity component is a proxy for provincial tax capacity, directing a proportion of nationally raised revenue back to its source. It also reflects costs associated with economic activity, such as maintenance of provincial roads. In 1999, the distribution of employee remuneration replaced provincial Gross Geographic Product (GGP) figures, since remuneration comprises roughly 60 per cent of provincial GGP. For the 2004 Budget, the remuneration data are replaced with 2001 GDP-R data. Table E11 shows the new target shares for the economic activity component taking into account the 2001 GDP-R data.

Table E11 Economic activity shares

Percentage	2003/04	2004 Medium-term estimates
	Remuneration of employees, 1999	GDP-R, 2001
Eastern Cape	6,5	8,1
Free State	5,3	5,4
Gauteng	41,6	33,4
KwaZulu-Natal	17,0	16,5
Limpopo	3,0	6,6
Mpumalanga	4,9	6,9
Northern Cape	1,7	2,0
North West	5,7	6,7
Western Cape	14,4	14,2
Total	100,0	100,0

Backlog component

In 1999, the basic component was split into a basic share distributed by population and a backlog component. The backlog component (table E12) incorporates estimates of capital needs as drawn from the Schools Survey of Needs and the 1998 MTEF health sector report on hospital rehabilitation. The backlog component also incorporates a rural factor, in keeping with

Government's focus on rural development. As no new information is available regarding its sub-components, the backlog component remains unchanged.

Table E12 Calculation of backlog component

Percentage	Health	Education	Rural	Weighted share
Weighting	18,0	40,0	42,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	16,3	22,0	21,3	20,7
Free State	3,8	7,8	4,4	5,6
Gauteng	10,8	6,3	1,2	5,0
KwaZulu-Natal	16,0	23,5	25,5	23,0
Limpopo	27,5	20,4	23,3	22,9
Mpumalanga	9,2	7,5	9,1	8,5
Northern Cape	1,2	1,2	1,3	1,3
North West	9,1	7,5	11,6	9,5
Western Cape	6,1	3,9	2,3	3,6
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

Basic components

The basic component is derived from each province's share of the total population of the country. This component has been updated with 2001 Census data and table E13 shows the new weighted target share.

Table E13 Basic component shares

Thousands	200	3/04	2004 Medium-	term estimates	
	1996 Census population	Weighted share	2001 Census population	Weighted target share	
		(%)		(%)	
Eastern Cape	6 303	15,5	6 437	14,4	
Free State	2 634	6,5	2 707	6,0	
Gauteng	7 348	18,1	8 837	19,7	
KwaZulu-Natal	8 417	20,7	9 426	21,0	
Limpopo	4 929	12,1	5 274	11,8	
Mpumalanga	2 801	6,9	3 123	7,0	
Northern Cape	840	2,1	823	1,8	
North West	3 355	8,3	3 669	8,2	
Western Cape	3 957	9,7	4 524	10,1	
Total	40 584	100,0	44 820	100,0	

Institutional component

The institutional component recognises that some costs associated with running a government, and providing services, are not directly related to the size of a province's population. It is therefore distributed equally between provinces, as was the in previous years. It constitutes 5 per cent of the total equitable share, of which each province gets 11,1 per cent.

Conditional grants to provinces

Schedules 4 and 5 of the Division of Revenue Bill list all conditional grants to provinces. Conditional grants are a small but significant portion of provincial revenue. These grants were introduced in 1998 to provide for national priorities and compensate provinces for cross-boundary use of services, particularly in hospital services. The current conditional grant system has been shaped by reforms introduced through successive Division of Revenue Acts since 2000. These

reforms have contributed to clarifying accountability between spheres. They have also helped sharpen description of policy objectives and grant outputs, thus resulting in improved use of grants in speeding delivery, and the strengthening of Parliamentary oversight. However, the recent reports of the Auditor-General for the 2002/03 financial year indicate that many national departments do not fully comply with the Act, as their monitoring systems for such grants are 'ineffective', and the audit 'could not be satisfied that the transfer payments were utilised as stipulated'³.

A major change in conditional grant funding is in the shift of the *Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP)* component of the *Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP)*, from health to education. In terms of the objectives of the grant and actual spending, the grant funded actual school feeding, administration of school feeding and a range of nutrition related activities and administration in provincial health departments. From 2004 national and provincial education departments will administer the school-feeding portion of the grant, so funding has been transferred to the national Department of Education. A portion of the grant funding to other non-school feeding remains as a health conditional grant with the national Department of Health until the end of 2005/06; thereafter it is phased into the provincial equitable share.

Allocations

Table E14 provides a summary of conditional grants by sector and province for 2004/05. Conditional grants to provinces amount to R21,2 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R29,9 billion in 2006/07, an average annual increase of 21 per cent over the next three years. Eight departments administer grants, with health (R7,7 billion), housing (R4,6 billion), CSG extension (R3,7 billion) and infrastructure grants (R3,3 billion) being the largest grants.

Table E14 Conditional grants to provinces, 2004/05

R million	Agriculture	Health	Provincial and Local Government	Provincial Infrastructure Grant	Housing	Education	Social Develop- ment	Sport and Recreation South Africa	Total
Eastern Cape	42	628	44	609	611	200	1,004	1	3,138
Free State	19	620	34	199	395	57	288	1	1,613
Gauteng	6	2,609	21	332	1,140	93	259	1	4,461
KwaZulu-Natal	41	1,209	41	706	776	211	861	1	3,847
Limpopo	33	318	24	593	381	173	640	1	2,164
Mpumalanga	24	227	24	255	304	74	298	1	1,208
Northern Cape	13	179	25	159	93	25	79	1	573
North West	32	268	24	288	430	82	466	1	1,591
Western Cape	17	1,596	24	205	460	47	213	1	2,564
Total	227	7,655	261	3,348	4,589	961	4,108	9	21,158

More detailed information, including the formula for each grant, is provided in the attached Appendix E1. The framework provides the conditions for the grant, the outputs expected, the allocation criteria to divide the grant between provinces, the audit outcome in 2002/03 and any other material issues to be addressed. Table E15 presents a summary of all the conditional grants listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the Bill for the 2003 MTEF.

³ General report of the Auditor-General on the audit outcomes for the financial year ended 31 March 2003, page 94

Table E15 Conditional grants per sector, 2003/04 - 2006/07

Table E15 Conditional grants per sector, 2003/04 -				
R million	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Provincial and Local Government	298	261	44	46
Local Government Capacity Building Fund	232	220	-	40
Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG	38	41	44	46
Disaster Management	27	41	44	40
National Treasury	2,534	2 240	2 724	4110
Provincial Infrastructure		3,348	3,731	4,118
Provincial Infrastructure - Flood Rehabilitation	2,334	3,348	3,731	4,118
	200	-	4.040	-
Education	1,144	961	1,048	1,243
Financial Management and Quality Enhancement	213	-	-	-
HIV and Aids	132	129	136	144
Early Childhood Development	88	~	-	
Primary School Nutrition Programme	712	832	912	1,098
Health	6,711	7,655	8,486	9,228
National Tertiary Services	3,995	4,273	4,529	4,801
Health Professions Training and Development	1,333	1,434	1,520	1,520
Hospital Revitalisation	718	912	1,027	1,180
Hospital Construction - Academic Hospitals	92	-	_	_
Comprehensive HIV and Aids Grant	334	782	1,135	1,567
Integrated Nutrition Programme	97	112	123	_
Hospital Management and Quality Improvement	133	142	150	159
Medico-legal	9	~	_	
Social Development	1,654	4,108	7,362	9,774
HIV and Aids (Community-Based Care)	66	70	74	79
Child Support Extension	1,200	3,650	6,900	9,284
Food Emergency Relief	388	388	388	411
Agriculture	36	227	290	345
Land Care: Poverty Relief and Infrastructure Development	36	27	40	45
Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme	_	200	250	300
Housing	4,355	4,589	4,868	5,160
Housing Subsidy	4,246	4,474	4,745	5,030
Human Resettlement and Redevelopment	109	116	122	130
Sport and Recreation South Africa	_	9	24	39
Mass Sport and Recreation Participation Programme	_	9	24	39
Total	16,733	21,158	25,853	29,953

Health grants

Health administers 6 conditional grants, constituting about 36,2 per cent of total conditional grants to provinces. This share declines to 30,8 by 2006/07, mainly due to the rapid growth in Social Development grants. Health grants are R7,7 billion in 2004/05, and are budgeted to increase at an annual average rate of 7,5 per cent to R9,2 billion by 2006/07. The National Tertiary Services grant (NTS grant) and the Health Professions Training and Development grant (HPTD) are the largest grants administered by the national Department of Health. The HIV and Aids and Hospital Revitilisation grants grow more rapidly over the MTEF (67,5 and 18 per cent annually).

The Comprehensive HIV and Aids grant, in addition to other interventions, is one of the key funding streams to mitigate the impact of the disease. An amount of R1,9 billion (R300 million, R600 million and R1 billion) is added to the baseline allocation of the grant in this budget to implement a comprehensive HIV and Aids care over the three years. The grant increases from R334 million in 2003/04 to R1,6 billion in 2006/07 to support various aspects of the programme. In addition to providing for ARV rollout, the grant provides for post exposure prophylaxis for

victims of sexual abuse, rollout of mother-to-child transmission prevention and targeted interventions for commercial sex workers – whilst still maintaining other HIV and Aids prevention programmes. The 2003 Adjustment Budget provided R90 million to the health sector to undertake preparatory work for the roll out of ARV.

The Hospital Revitalisation grant plays a key role in funding upgrading and replacement of hospital infrastructure and focuses particularly on projects in which an entire hospital is addressed. The grant includes a component aimed at improving systems for medical equipment. After a 17 per cent increase to R718 million in 2003/04 the Hospital Revitalisation grant is allocated R912 million in 2004/05 and R1 billion in 2005/06. A further R91 million is added to the grant in 2006/07 taking it to R1,2 billion. Over the next three years, the grant will fund the revitalisation of 27 hospitals, three in each province.

The National Tertiary Services grant (NTS grant) has declined in real terms for Gauteng and Western Cape mainly due to the anticipated scaling down of the number of hospitals offering tertiary services, and a corresponding shift of lower levels of care to community and district hospitals. However, such restructuring requires a broad strategy to shift staff, resources, assets, and a realistic phasing-in period. At a technical level, the national Department of Health and National Treasury recognise the need for a review of the funding of academic hospitals, and its link to the tertiary services grant. Such a review must also inform Government on the long-term vision for such hospitals and for tertiary services, their distribution between provinces, the restructuring required to effect such transformation, and the link to the financing of academic hospitals and university medical faculties. The review will also inform the comprehensive review of the equitable share formula and conditional grants, with a view to rationalising the number and size of health conditional grants, and the distribution formulae for any grants recommended through the review. The health sector will also finalise the Modernisation of Tertiary Services Project, which is examining a ten-year framework for future provision of highly specialised services. The outcome of these two projects will inform Government's approach to future funding of tertiary services from 2005 onwards.

The NTS grant is R4,3 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R4,8 billion in 2006/07 and targets subspeciality service units in 27 hospitals spread across provinces. Due to historic patterns of tertiary services, Western Cape and Gauteng receive 66,3 per cent of the grant as they provide a large proportion of these sophisticated services for the benefit of the health sector countrywide.

The Health Professions Training and Development grant (HPTD) compensates provinces for their role in supporting teaching and training of health science students. It increases from R1,4 billion in 2004/05 to R1,5 billion in 2006/07. The largest portion is distributed to provinces according to a formula based on the number of current medical students. In the 2002 Budget, an additional developmental component was introduced to provide for a phased increase in the number of medical specialists and registrars in historically under-served provinces to address inter-provincial inequities in post-graduate training capacity. This additional component amounts to R227 million over five years. The grant is kept constant in nominal terms in 2006/07, pending completion of the review of this grant and its improved alignment with higher educational funding streams.

The *Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP)* component of the *Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP) shifts* to education from 2004/05. The health sector will manage a small portion of the INP which assists malnourished pre-school children under the age of five. Health retains R112 million in 2004/05 and R123 million in 2005/06 to continue with the programme for another two years after which funding for this component shifts to the equitable share formula.

The Hospital Management and Quality Improvement grant is allocated R142 million in 2004/05, increasing to R159 million in 2006/07. This grant facilitates a range of management development initiatives, including personnel, and procurement delegations and financial management capacity. It also supports the implementation of a range of hospital quality of care interventions specified in

the national policy and can be seen as complimentary to the aims of the hospital revitalisation programme.

Education grants

For the past three years, the national Department of Education managed grants for Financial Management and Quality Enhancement, Early Childhood Development and HIV and Aids. The Early Childhood Development and Financial Management and Quality Enhancement grants have been phased into the provincial equitable share for the 2004 Budget.

Starting this year, the education sector will be responsible for the management of the Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP). The PSNP is allocated R832 million in 2004/05, R912 million in 2005/06 and R1,1 billion in 2006/07.

The funding for the HIV and Aids programme for life skills education in schools increases from R132 million in 2003/04 to R144 million in 2006/07.

National Treasury grants

The provincial infrastructure grant is increased by R2,0 billion over the next three years and grows from R2,5 billion in 2003/04 to R3,3 billion in 2004/05 and is budgeted to grow to R4,1 billion by 2006/07. Over the next three years, provincial infrastructure spending, in addition to provincial own capital funding, will be boosted by R11,2 billion. This growing allocation is in line with Governments aim of stimulating rural and provincial economic development and addressing unemployment through an Expanded Public Works Programme. In addition, the growth in this grant enables Government to direct funds towards provinces with large backlogs, without neglecting provinces that have inherited higher levels of infrastructure. Provinces are expected to use these funds mainly for rehabilitation and construction of roads, schools, and health facilities and to address infrastructure needs for rural development focusing on agriculture. Provincial treasuries administer this grant and allocations are made to the line departments. In order to deal effectively with backlogs, the provincial division has been effected using a combination of the equitable share formula and backlog component.

Housing grants

The Department of Housing administers two grants. The Housing Subsidy grant provides subsidies for low-income housing, and the Human Settlement Redevelopment grant funds urban pilot projects. Following significant growth in the Housing Subsidy grant in 2002, the 2003 Budget provided an additional R373 million for inflation adjustment of subsidies. The Housing Subsidy grant increases from R4,5 billion in 2004/05 to R5,0 billion in 2006/07. The Human Settlement grant increases from R116 million in 2004/05 to R130 million in 2006/07. Past and present spending trends on these grants reflect some sluggishness. However, following the National Housing Summit held during November 2003, and with the review of the programmes to be undertaken by the Department of Housing and National Treasury, it is expected that some of the impediments to speedy and efficient delivery will be reduced or eradicated paving the way to faster housing delivery.

Department of Provincial and Local Government Grants to Provinces

The Department of Provincial and Local Government transfers two grants to provinces – Local Government Capacity Building Fund and the Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG – to enable provinces to assist municipalities.

The Local Government Capacity Building Fund supports institutional arrangements and assists municipalities facing service delivery challenges. This fund is allocated R220 million in 2004/05 and will be phased out in 2005/06 and consolidated into the local government equitable share.

Further, project management support is provided to municipalities through provinces to implement the infrastructure programme. This component of the grant will be reviewed in 2004/05. Provinces are allocated R41 million in 2004/05, increasing to R46 million in 2006/07.

Social development grants

The Department of Social Development manages conditional grants to extend coverage of the child support grant to children until they reach the age of 14 years, ensure food security and to bolster HIV and Aids community-based care.

The Child Support Extension grant amounts to R3,7 billion in 2004/05 increasing to R6,9 billion in 2005/06 and R9,3 billion in 2006/07. The grant will fund the phased extension of the means-tested child support grant to children until they reach the age of 14 years. The phasing which started with 7 and 8 year old children in 2003/04, is extended to 9 and 10 year old children in 2004/05 and 11, 12 and 13 year-old children in 2005/06. These allocations also make provision for reasonable administration and payment costs as well as the carry-through cost of the phasing in.

The aim of the Food Relief grant is to provide emergency food assistance to individuals and households facing the risk of food security due to various factors such as drought or rapid rise in food prices, as was the case in 2002/03. The Food Relief grant amounts to R388 million in 2004/05, growing to R411 million by 2006/07.

The HIV and Aids Integrated Plan grant amounts to R70 million in 2004/05, increasing to R74 million in 2005/06 and R79 million in 2006/07. The main focus of this grant is to facilitate the implementation of an integrated HIV and Aids programme through home and community based care.

Agriculture grants

The Department of Agriculture has allocated R112 million to provinces over the next three years to implement the Land Care Programme from its allocation for poverty alleviation. The goal of the National Land Care Programme is to promote the sustainable use and management of natural resources. This is to encourage and empower communities to take responsibility for the management of resources in order to support food security and job creation through increased productivity. Some of the themes within the programme include: water care, soil care, veld care and junior land care.

A new grant has been introduced in Agriculture to support the implementation of the Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme (CASP). The agriculture sector has formulated the CASP as a strategy to provide effective agricultural support and to streamline the provision of services to meet the needs of developing farmers. Increasing access to agricultural services to these farmers is critical for the performance of land reform programme, especially LRAD. Although the implementation of the land reform programme is a national competency, the provision of agricultural support services is the responsibility of provincial departments of agriculture. Without these services the national land reform programme cannot fully achieve its objective to improve food security and alleviate poverty. The sector is allocated R200 million, R250 million and R300 million over the MTEF years to enhance its capacity to support developing farmers. Agriculture will also get additional resources from the infrastructure grant for the development and rehabilitation of agriculture infrastructure. Given that livestock farming is a major activity in communal areas, the sector has prioritised dipping infrastructure, which will improve animal health and productivity of livestock farms.

Sports and Recreation

The Department of Sport and Recreation has been allocated funds to promote mass participation within historically disadvantaged communities in a selected number of development sporting activities. A grant named 'Mass Participation in Sport' is being introduced to enable the department to transfer funds to provinces. A total of R9 million, R24 million and R39 million have been allocated equally among provinces over the MTEF years.

Part 5: Local Government Allocations

The local government share increases over the next three years by R3,9 billion over baseline (refer to table E3). These additional allocations give effect to Government's commitment to poverty relief and job creation, including the provision of free basic services, infrastructure development and institution building.

Local government's share of nationally raised revenue increases from 4,2 per cent in 2003/04 to 4,6 per cent in 2006/07, growing from R12,4 billion in 2003/04 to R14,2 billion in 2004/05, an increase of 14,5 per cent. The allocation grows to R17,1 billion by the end of the MTEF in 2006/07. Table E16 indicates national transfers to local government for the 2004 MTEF.

Table E16 National transfers to local government, 2003/04 - 2006/07

R million	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Equitable share	6 350	7 678	8 643	9 365
Water Services Operating Subsidy	1 001	858	934	991
Equitable share and related	7 352	8 536	9 578	10 355
Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme	2 246	-	-	-
Water Services Project	1 102	160	139	-
Community Based Public Works Programme	262	-	-	-
Local Economic Development Fund	117	-	-	-
Sport and Recreation facilities	123	132	0	
National Electrification Programme	230	248	258	-
Urban Transport Fund	9	•	-	-
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	47	4 446	5 193	5 987
Infrastructure	4 137	4 986	5 589	5 987
Restructuring grant	539	343	350	350
Financial management grant	211	198	199	199
Municipal Systems Improvement	151	182	200	200
Current transfers	901	723	749	749
Total transfers to local government	12 390	14 245	15 916	17 091

National allocations are divided into three major categories, namely the equitable share grant (together with the Water Services Operating Subsidy) and conditional grants for municipal infrastructure and capacity building. The unconditional equitable share allocation is the most important national allocation, in accordance with section 214 of the Constitution, and is growing in significance relative to conditional grants. Its share rises from 53 per cent in 2003/04 to 55 per cent in 2006/07. It has also risen significantly over the last eight years, from R1,5 billion in 1995/96, rising to R9,4 billion in 2006/07 (excluding the water operating grant), or close to 6 times what it was in 1995.

The most significant change to local government allocations in 2004/05 is the progress made in the consolidation of infrastructure grants, with the establishment of the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG). The process will be completed over the next two years, by the end of 2005/06. Capacity building and restructuring grants are allocated R2,2 billion over the next three years.

National allocations are an important (and growing) source of revenue for municipalities. In 2003/04 national allocations comprised around 13,7 per cent of total local government budgets, varying from between 3 to 6,7 per cent for metros, and as high as 92,1 per cent in some districts. The shares of national allocations in total municipal budgets (table E17) also differ across provinces, ranging around 4,0 per cent in Gauteng and Western Cape to about 55,2 per cent in Limpopo.

The analysis uses as a basis the size of municipal capital and operating budgets and varies between municipalities reflecting the extent of backlogs, income distribution and fiscal capacity of municipalities, urban municipalities raising more of their own revenue, whilst rural municipalities tend to raise less of their own revenue. Major sources of own revenue include property taxes, regional service levies, user charges on electricity, water, refuse removal and other municipal services. The analysis excludes the allocations for restructuring and disasters that were recently announced.

Table E17 Transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 - 2006/07

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu- lation, 2001	Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹		Rand per capita Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹ 2003/04	Transfers as % of budget ³ 2003/04			
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07		%
Eastern Cape	6,437	8,408,598	2,668,968	2,907,371	2,748,413	2,859,786	1,306	31.7%
Free State	2,707	4,904,217	1,176,945	1,292,262	1,111,728	1,121,298	1,812	24.0%
Gauteng	9,391	30,438,218	1,209,673	1,978,028	2,443,720	2,723,958	3,241	4.0%
KwaZulu-Natal	9,426	16,148,377	2,285,974	2,518,908	2,948,951	3,229,342	1,713	14.2%
Limpopo	5,498	3,290,196	1,815,694	2,060,352	2,542,093	2,774,193	598	55.2%
Mpumalanga	2,865	3,505,446	774,097	879,121	1,083,649	1,169,588	1,223	22.1%
Northern Cape	984	1,707,505	429,681	453,520	429,375	396,716	1,735	25.2%
North West	2,988	3,358,821	842,435	993,568	1,117,941	1,256,717	1,124	25.1%
Western Cape	4,524	14,483,860	594,570	680,225	805,983	845,100	3,201	4.1%
Total	44,820	86,245,238	11,798,036	13,763,355	15,231,853	16,376,698	1,924	13.7%

- 1. Includes total municipal capital and operating budgets and applies to the 2003/04 municipal financial year
- 2. Includes equitable share, infrastructure grants, recurrent grants and indirect grants but excludes unallocated transfers, for the national financial year.
- 3. Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

All grants to municipalities are now published per municipality to enable municipalities to plan fully for their coming 2004/05 budgets, and to promote better accountability by ensuring that all national allocations are included in municipal budgets. Table E22 indicates the allocations per municipality, and table E23 does so for the equitable share and infrastructure grants.

The allocations are published for both the national and municipal financial years. The municipal financial year commences three months later than the national and provincial financial year, on 1 July. The allocation in terms of the national financial year serves as the legal appropriation requirement for national and provincial transferring departments. The allocations in terms of the municipal financial year facilitate proper reconciliation for audit purposes.

In determining the allocations for this Budget, Census 2001 data were used to update the current formula. Government is committed to conducting a review of the equitable share formula for the 2005 Budget. The challenge will be to obtain quality disaggregated municipal information.

The equitable share for local government

Background

Section 227 of the Constitution requires that an equitable share of nationally raised revenue be allocated to the local sphere of government to enable it to provide basic services and perform the functions allocated to it. The size of the equitable share allocation to the local sphere of government takes account of the fiscal capacity, fiscal efficiency, developmental needs, extent of poverty and backlogs in municipalities, to the extent that such information is available for all municipalities.

The equitable share grant is an unconditional grant assisting municipalities to supplement their revenue to deliver services to poor households. Table E16 shows that the equitable share increase by R1,4 billion from the 2003/04 allocation of R6,3 billion to R7,7 billion in 2004/05.

The equitable share grant and formula were first introduced in 1998/99. It has undergone a number of changes since its inception, to take account of costs of transformation, data updates and new priorities. Transitional costs include the incorporation of former R293 town subsidies to shift functions from provinces to municipalities (2000/01), followed by R293 personnel subsidies (2001/02), the re-alignment of functions to the newly demarcated municipalities and funding of district municipalities (2002/03), as well as the alignment of the equitable share to the final division of powers and functions (water, sanitation, refuse) between local and district municipalities (2003/04). New priorities since 1998 included the creation of two windows (2003/04) to fund free basic services (electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal), and one window (2002/03) to support the operational costs related to nodal priority programmes in the acceleration of the provision of free basic services to poor communities. Other policy or data updates include adjustments to the method of measuring poverty (from income to expenditure), increasing the poverty threshold from R800 to R1 100 in 2001/02, regular updates to the alpha and beta parameters, and the institutional *I grant*.

No major technical changes have been introduced to the equitable share formula in the 2004 Budget, other than to update it with Census 2001 data that was released in 2003. The guarantee mechanism has also been adjusted to phase in the impact of the new census results. This adjustment is discussed elsewhere below. The census adjustments update population, urban/rural proportions, the number of poor households without access to the basic level of electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal, and the number of poor households spending less than R1 100 per month in a municipality.

In 2002 Government recognised the urgent need to review the formula, given the major restructuring that the local government sphere has undergone since 1998, and announced a comprehensive review for the 2004 Budget. The review is still under way and it is hoped that this process can be completed in time for the 2005 MTEF, but this depends on a number of factors, and the availability of new information for *all* municipalities (and by municipality). These factors include the impact on each municipality of the restructuring of the electricity distribution industry, the shifting of staff from water schemes administered by the national Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, a review of the future of the Regional Services Council Levies, the expected impact of the Property Rates Bill, and the revenue raising potential of every municipality.

Current equitable share formula

The local government equitable share formula used for the 2004 MTEF allocations per municipality is outlined below. The formula includes six budget windows, and allocates the equitable share grant for the 2004/05 *national* financial year. The adjustment of allocations to a *municipal* financial year is effected thereafter.

The allocation per window in the equitable share formula is based on the policy imperatives for the MTEF. Table E18 below indicates that the only significant change made to baseline for the 2004/05 financial year is a 69,2 per cent increase in the free basic services window, which increases from R867 million to R1 467 million. This increase also applies to the two outer years, where the free basic services window increases to R2 255 million in 2005/06 and R2 676 million in 2006/07.

Table E18 Local government equitable share, 2004/05 - 2006/07

	Baseline	Adjustments to baseline (2004 Medium-term estimates)						
R million	2004/05	2004/05 2004/05		2005/	06	2006/07		
		9	6 change		% change	9	6 change	
R293 allocations	263	263	-	184	-30,0	129	-30,0	
S-grant (including guarantees)	4 746	4 746	-	4 866	2,5	5 202	6,9	
I-grant	473	473	- (494	4,4	514	4,0	
Nodal allocations	228	228	-	244	7,0	244	_	
Free basic services (water, sanitation and refuse)	867	1 467	69,2	2 255	53,7	2 676	18,7	
Free basic electricity/energy	500	500	-	600	20,0	600	-	
Total equitable share	7 078	7 678	8,5	8 643	12,6	9 365	8,3	

Each of these windows is discussed below. STATS SA provides the demographic information used for the equitable share.

I-grant

The purpose of the I-grant is to provide resources to municipalities to assist in funding the basic institutional and governance arrangements. The grant is designed to target municipalities with little capacity to fund their own administrative infrastructure. Currently the I-grant formula allocates funding to selected local and district municipalities. Metropolitan and large local municipalities have relatively high fiscal capacity and do not qualify for the grant. The first part of the I-grant formula captures how the administrative costs of a municipality increase with population size. It assumes that these costs increase more slowly than population: larger municipality has more costs, but not proportionately more than a smaller one. The second part of the formula is compensating for the inability of the municipality to fund its own administrative overheads. The formula for the I-grant is:

$$I_i = I_0 P_i^{\ \gamma} - 0.075 (y_i - 250) P_i I_i = I_0 P_i^{\ \gamma} - 0.075 (y_i - 250) P_i$$

Where:

 I_0 = a per capita I-grant parameter that serves to determine the total amount of money allocated through the I-grant;

 P_i = is the population in the municipality i;

 $\gamma = \text{ a scale parameter that could take any value} > 0 \text{ and } \leq 1;$ and

 y_i = is the average monthly per capita expenditure in municipality i for values of y_i below the stated monthly per capita floor of R250, the term (y_i - per capita floor) is set equal to zero.

The following parameters are applied to arrive at an I-grant allocation per municipality for the 2004 MTEF:

	Parameter	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
I_0	Per capita parameter for category B municipalities	R175 684	R181 392	R186 799
	Per capita parameter for category C municipalities	R266 612	R273 153	R279 053
γ	Scale parameter		0.25	
yi	Average monthly per capita expenditure threshold		250	
	Population cut-off		5 000	
	Per capita floor		R250	

S-grant

The S-grant is the biggest component within the equitable share grant, and is designed to meet the operating costs of a municipality when providing a package of basic services to low income households. It is important to note that poor households are classified as those spending less than R1 100 per month.

The formula for the S-grant is:

$$S = \alpha \beta L H_i$$

Where:

 α = a phase-in parameter with $0 < \alpha \le 1$;

 β = a budget-adjustment parameter, set to adjust the size of the grants to the available budget;

L = an estimate of the annual cost of providing basic public services; and

 H_i = the number of poor households.

The following parameters are used for the 2004 MTEF, and are the same as the 2003 baseline values (excludes the budget adjustment parameter):

	Parameter	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
α	Rural alpha	0.85	1	1
	Urban alpha	1	1	1
β	Budget adjustment parameter	0,559	0,835	0,909
L	Annual cost of basket of basic services per poor household		R1 032	

The alpha parameters were introduced in recognition of the differences in the financial and administrative capacities of rural and urban municipalities. The alpha values for urban and metropolitan municipalities are set at 1 for the 2004/05 financial year, whilst the alpha values for

the rural municipalities are planned to reach 1 in the 2005/06 financial year. This will take account of capacity to spend efficiently and effectively. The threshold poverty level is set at R1 100 household expenditure per month. Initial indications from Census 2001 and the 2002 Household Survey suggest that based on this threshold 5,5 million households are living in poverty.

Free Basic Services (FBS) and Free Basic Electricity (FBE)

Two components were introduced in the 2003/04 financial year to accelerate the pace for the provision of free basic electricity/energy and free basic services (water, sanitation, refuse) to poor households. These are now the second most important windows in size, set at R2,0 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R3,3 billion in 2006/07. The FBS grant is worked out as the average of two calculations:

o The first calculation is proportional to the S grant, i.e. it depends only on the total number of poor households in the municipality and the urban-rural weighting factor θ. This allocation can be represented as follows:

$$FBS_1 = \theta FH$$

where F is an FBS allocation per poor household and H is the number of poor households in the municipality. If a B municipality does not perform all functions, then a proportionate amount of this FBS allocation is transferred to the appropriate C municipality.

o The second calculation takes into consideration how many poor households receive the particular basic service in question. This allocation can be represented as:

$$FBS_2 = FW * H_1 + FS * H_2 + FR * H_3$$

where FW is an allocation for free water, FS is an allocation for sanitation and FR is an allocation for refuse removal. H_1 is the number of poor households receiving water, H_2 is the number of poor households receiving sanitation services and H_3 is the number of poor households receiving refuse removal services. If a particular B municipality does not provide any of these services, then that part of the allocation is transferred to the appropriate C municipality.

o The final FBS grant is

$$FBS = \frac{1}{2}(FBS_1 + FBS_2)$$

The FBE grant is worked out according to the same process as the FBS grant, but only taking into account infrastructure for poor households as it relates to electricity/energy.

Nodal Allocations

The President announced 21 development nodes in his 2001 State of the Nation Address. Departments were subsequently requested to prioritise funding to these under-developed areas. Additional equitable share allocations have been made available to these nodes for non-infrastructure developmental programmes, beginning in 2002/03. The funding of the nodes is linked to the life span of projects. Similar to previous years, 65 per cent of the nodal equitable share allocation will be allocated to the rural nodes and 35 per cent to the urban nodes. Nodal allocations are based on the S grant and reflected for each municipality designated as a nodal area. Allocations are reflected in the schedules to the Division of Revenue Bill.

R293 allocation

The equitable share allocations for the 2001/02 to 2003/04 financial years included funding for the staff of former R293 towns, which were part of municipalities in the old Bantustans. Their staff and functions were transferred to provinces in 1994, and thereafter to the new municipalities. The R293 allocation originally had two components; one dealing with non-personnel and the other with

personnel. The non-personnel component of the R293 allocation was phased-out and included into the local government equitable share for the 2000/01 financial year. Most staff were only transferred after 2000, and municipalities accepting such staff were guaranteed to receive the full amount for this grant over a three-year period ending 30 June 2004. Thereafter the normal formula allocations will apply, but the guarantee mechanism (as discussed below) will also apply for the next three years. To ensure that R293 staff personnel subsidies are guaranteed at full 70 per cent levels, these allocations are still reflected as a separate window over the next three years. The baseline allocations reduce from R396 million in 2003/04 to R263 million in 2004/05, R184 million in 2005/06 and R129 million in 2006/07. Thereafter, this component will no longer exist.

'Guaranteed' amount

To create stability and prevent the disruption of services, municipalities are guaranteed 70 per cent of their previous year's allocation. However, given the new functions for the 2003/04 financial year for category B and C municipalities, the equitable share allocations have been adjusted to provide funds to the municipality legally assigned the function. The guarantee mechanism does not therefore apply to that portion where a municipality no longer carries out a specific function.

The introduction of the new census data creates substantial shifts in the distribution of allocations between municipalities. To phase in the impact and maintain stability in the system, a 100 per cent guarantee of the 2004/05 indicative allocations and a 70 per cent guarantee of the 2005/06 indicative allocations, as published in terms of the Division of Revenue Act (Act No. 7 of 2003), have been incorporated.

Minimum Allocation

In light of the fact that it is administratively cumbersome to deal with small allocations and that some district municipalities see their allocations dropping to zero since they no longer perform functions related to the provision of basic services, a minimum allocation of R1 million on the overall equitable share allocations to all municipalities has been introduced to provide for other district municipal functions.

Water Services Operating Subsidy

This grant is a transitional operational grant closely related to the local government equitable share grant, in that it should in principle be part of the equitable share. The grant is a transitional and indirect grant, in that it is used to fund over 300 water schemes in municipalities through the Water Trading Account on the vote of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). DWAF has administered a number of these schemes in poor areas prior to 1994. Such schemes are in the process of being transferred to municipalities. The operating grant amounts to R1 001 million in 2003/04, R858 million in 2004/05, R934 million in 2005/06 and R991 million in 2006/07 or a total of R3,8 billion over the MTEF.

DWAF is in the process of transferring schemes over the next two years, for which funding will be phased out from 2006/07. Like the process for the R293 staff, DWAF plans to conclude bilateral negotiations with municipalities by 30 June 2004. All funds on this programme will thereafter be transferred to municipalities directly as soon as such transfers occur. Direct grants to DWAF will be phased progressively downwards and those to municipalities upwards.

The transfer of water schemes involves the transfer of both assets and staff, and the resulting operating costs of salaries and free basic services. The 300 schemes employ 8 094 staff and affect 83 municipalities. Over 40 per cent of the staff is to be transferred to municipalities in Limpopo. Estimated personnel related costs over the three years amount to R393 million. Full costs for the operations of the schemes are being finalised. About 500 of the 8 094 staff have already been transferred to 5 municipalities. The medium-term plan is to transfer 1 000 staff in 2003/04 and up

to 6 500 in the 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years. However, it should be noted that many municipalities are reluctant to accept all the staff or schemes for several reasons: they either already have staff to operate such water schemes, the DWAF personnel are often poorly skilled or are remunerated at levels higher than rural municipalities can afford. Moreover some of these schemes are overstaffed, and DWAF may have to find alternative ways to deal with such staff, rather than shift them to municipalities.

All receiving municipalities will be required to conclude formal transfer agreements where the latest effective date of transfer is 30 June 2005. The operating and transfer subsidy will be treated as a grant-in-kind until the effective date of transfer, and thereafter progressively phased into the equitable share. The operating subsidy will cover staff related costs (HR component) and direct operating and maintenance costs (O component). The allocation per municipality will be according to the operational budget for each scheme and the funding requirements identified and agreed in the transfer agreement. Clear performance targets will be set with the assistance of the Department of Provincial and Local Government and SALGA to complete the process.

Conditional grants to local government

Schedules 6 and 7 of the Division of Revenue Bill present conditional grants to municipalities. Despite the growing importance of the unconditional equitable share grant, conditional grants are still a significant portion of national grants to local government. In particular, conditional grants are used to:

- Incorporate national priorities in municipal budgets
- Promote national norms and standards
- Address backlogs and regional disparities in municipal infrastructure
- Effect transition by supporting capacity-building and restructuring of municipalities.

Total conditional grants to municipalities increase from R6,0 billion in 2003/04, to R6,6 billion in 2004/05, R7,3 billion in 2005/06 and R7,7 billion in 2006/07. There are two categories of conditional grants, infrastructure and capacity-building/restructuring grants. The most significant development for 2004/05 is the establishment of the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant, which replaces all the other current infrastructure grants over the next two years. The capacity building and restructuring grants rise slightly, but are capped at R749 million for the two outer years, and are also rationalised. As a result, significant changes are introduced in the policy framework underlying some grants, particularly in infrastructure and capacity building. Below is a summary of all the conditional grants listed in Schedules 6 and 7 of the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill.

Infrastructure conditional grants to local government

Infrastructure grants are a critical instrument for achieving national Government's objective of expanding the delivery of basic services to poor households and to alleviating poverty. They complement the equitable share allocations to give effect to government's commitment towards poverty relief and the delivery of free basic services.

Infrastructure grants are also aimed at stimulating job creation and ensuring skills transfer over the medium term. Municipalities are therefore required to dedicate a portion of their capital budgets to labour-based infrastructure methods to meet the objectives of the Expanded Public Works Programme. The total allocation for infrastructure is R5,0 billion, R5,6 billion, R6,0 billion for each of the MTEF years.

The Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) gives effect to earlier Cabinet decisions and policy positions on the establishment of a single consolidated funding mechanisms to support municipal infrastructure. The MIG has been set up to merge the following funding programmes in a phased manner over a three-year period commencing in 2004/05:

- Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme, in support of internal bulk, connector infrastructure and community facilities to poor households;
- Water Service Capital Fund, in support of bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at a basic level;
- Community Based Public Works Programme, in support of the creation of community assets in rural, historically disadvantage communities;
- Local Economic Development Fund, in support of planning, and implementation of job creation and poverty alleviation;
- Building for Sport and Recreation Programme, in support of promoting sport and recreation facilities within disadvantage communities; and
- Electrification funding in support of addressing the electrification backlog of permanently occupied residential dwellings that are situated in historically under-supplied areas.

The MIG is a new infrastructure transfer mechanism and is geared to making the system of transfers to municipalities simpler, more certain and direct. Its conditions are more flexible, designed to support the capital budgets of municipalities, and to facilitate integrated development planning. The MIG will not fund specific projects, but is designed to complement the capital budgets of municipalities (similar to the provincial infrastructure grant). Reporting on spending will therefore be on the entire capital budget of municipalities, which also has to ensure that there are sufficient operational budgets in the future to fund such capital expenditure.

Much technical work has been undertaken over the past 6 months to ensure that the phasing in of the grant is smooth and fully operational by 2006/07, if not sooner, especially for the larger, more well capacitated municipalities. To this end, all committed and uncommitted infrastructure transfers to local government (except for the Sport and Recreation Programme and the Electrification Programme) have been moved to the vote of the Department of Provincial and Local Government with effect from 1 April 2004. Commitments made by the respective line departments prior to 30 September 2003 will be honoured by the municipalities through the imposition of conditions on the grant.

The above arrangement will not apply to those commitments where DWAF is the implementing agent, and is committed by way of contracts as part of its capital programme. These funds will be retained on the vote of DWAF over the next two years, before fully phasing into the MIG.

The MIG policy also makes provision for various capacities of municipalities namely, highly capacitated, medium capacitated and low capacitated municipalities. The fifty highly capacitated municipalities, as measured by budget size, will receive their allocations directly from the grant. The final allocations equal either the existing commitments for the municipality or the allocation as calculated by the MIG formula, whichever is higher. This arrangement is applicable to all municipalities categorised as having high capacity and that will be able to produce medium term capital plans and budgets, reflecting all projects to be funded in each sector.

Municipalities that are not classified as highly capacitated will receive their committed and uncommitted allocations via the district municipality in whose jurisdiction they fall. After determining the allocations to the highly capacitated municipalities, the remainder of the funds will be used to meet existing commitments in the medium and poorly capacitated municipalities. The Department of Provincial and Local Government will ensure that a plan exists to build in-house capacity over the next two to three years to ensure that these municipalities graduate into highly capacitated municipalities over the shortest possible time so that they could manage this programme allowing for funding to flow directly to them. Various levels of support will be rendered to municipalities either by the national government, provincial government and Project Management Units (PMU's) to ensure the smooth implementation of the MIG programme.

Medium-capacitated local municipalities that are able to submit three-year capital plans by 30 June 2004 will, however, qualify to receive allocations directly from the district municipality.

The MIG gives municipalities a central role in coordinating development activity and the delivery of municipal infrastructure within their jurisdictions. The MIG is focused on achieving a number of output conditions, including the achievement of service coverage targets, employment creation and linking Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) and budgets. The role of national government would be to support, and monitor policy outcomes and regulate municipal infrastructure investments. Crucially, the policy reform around infrastructure grants will bring the grant system in line with the general direction and path of the intergovernmental system, which is focused towards improving the capacity, efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and accountability of the local government sphere, and making integrated development plans the primary mechanisms for intergovernmental coordination.

The grant frameworks of the respective programmes reflect the output-based reporting as required in terms of the Division of Revenue Act. Municipalities will be required to report on spending and progress in implementing projects, focusing on priority areas, such as water and sanitation, refuse removal, roads and community facilities as well as reporting on labour utilisation. Municipalities will be required to improve the quality and content of annual reports. In this regard, specific outputs and outcomes will be monitored through this reporting mechanism.

MIG Formula

There are five main components of the formula, after accounting for funds flowing to the Special Municipal Infrastructure Fund (SMIF) (4 per cent of total funds) for innovation and region-wide programmes. The SMIF is an in-year allocation made by the Department of Provincial and Local Government to municipalities.

For the 2004/05 municipal financial year, the application of the formula begins with determining the allocation due to each municipality using the formula. Depending on the level of existing commitments and the capacity of the municipality, a proportion will flow as MIG funds to the municipality as described above. The key condition over this initial period is the submission of three-year capital spending plans by municipalities conforming to MIG criteria. The other applicable conditions and outputs are contained in the MIG framework as per the Division of Revenue Gazette.

$MIG_{(F)} = B + P + E + N + M$

• **B** Basic residential infrastructure (new and rehabilitated)

Proportional allocations for water supply and sanitation (72%), electricity (0%), roads (23%) and 'other' (5%) (Street lighting and solid waste removal)

- P Public municipal service infrastructure (new and rehabilitated)
- E Allocation for social institutions and micro-enterprises infrastructure
- N Allocation to all nodal municipalities
- M Negative or positive allocation related to past performance of each municipality relative to grant conditions

Over the 2004 MTEF, R15,7 billion is available for the MIG Programme. The Sport and Recreation Programme and the Electricity Programme are excluded from the MIG programme in 2004/05. The Electricity Programme will only be included in the MIG allocations after two years

upon finalisation of the restructuring of the electricity distribution industry. Until such time, the electricity portion has been shifted to water and sanitation over the 2004/05 to 2006/07 mediumterm because existing commitments exceed the amounts determined by the MIG formula. This effectively gives the water and sanitation component the largest allocation at 72 per cent (or R2,3 billion, R2,7 billion and R3,1 billion in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 respectively) of the B component.

Table E19 Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) allocations per sector, 2004/05 - 2006/07

	Weighted	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
R million	share	Mediu	um-term estin	nates
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	100,0%	4 446	5 193	5 987
Special Municipal Infrastructure Fund and Management	4,0%	178	208	239
Municipal Infrastructure Grant (formula)	96,0%	4 268	4 985	5 748
of which Municipal Infrastructure Grant (formula)	100,0%	4 268	4 985	5 748
B Component	75,0%	3 201	3 739	4 311
Water and sanitation	72,0%	2 305	2 692	3 104
Electricity	0,0%	-	-	
Roads	23,0%	736	860	991
Other	5,0%	160	187	216
P Component	15,0%	640	748	862
E Component	5,0%	213	249	287
N Component	5,0%	213	249	287

Table E19 captures the way in which the funds are distributed by each component using data from STATS SA to municipalities. The formula allocations have been adjusted to provide funds to the municipality legally assigned the function to perform the particular service, in line with Government Gazette No. 24228 of 3 January 2003 issued by the Minister of Provincial and Local Government.

Table E20 Horizontal Distribut	tion of Funds ¹
B Component	
Water & Sanitation	Number of Water Backlogs in Municipality * 0.5 * W & S Allocation +
	Total Number of Water Backlogs in SA
	Number of Sanitation Backlogs in Municipality * 0.5 * W & S Allocation
	Total Number of Sanitation Backlogs in SA
	Backlog = Household with less than basic access to water and sanitation
	Basic access to water = Access to water within 200m of dwelling
	Basic access to sanitation = Pit Latrine with Ventilation
Electricity	Number of Electricity Backlogs in Municipality * Electricity Allocation
	Total Number of Electricity Backlogs in SA
	Backlog = Household with no access to electricity for lighting
	Basic access to electricity = Use of electricity for lighting
Roads	Number of Roads Backlogs in Municipality * Roads Allocation
	Total Number of Roads Backlogs in SA
	Backlog = Household living in an informal dwelling
Other	Number of Other Backlogs in Municipality * Other Allocation
	Total Number of Other Backlogs in SA
	Backlog = Household with less than basic access to refuse removal
	Basic = Refuse removal by municipality at least on a weekly basis
New and Rehabilitated Infrastructure	Assume an 80:20 % split between new and rehabilitated infrastructure.
P Component	Number of Poor Households in Municipality * P Allocation
	Total Number of Poor Households in SA
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month
New and Rehabilitated Infrastructure	Assume an 80:20 % split between new and rehabilitated infrastructure.
E Component	Number of Poor Households in Municipality * E Allocation
	Total Number of Poor Households in SA
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month
N Component	Number of Poor Households in Nodal Areas in Municipality * N Allocation
	Total Number of Poor Households in all Nodal Areas
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month

^{1.} All the data used in the formula has been obtained from STATS SA; namely Census 2001 and poverty data based on imputed household income

Capacity-building and restructuring grants

There are two capacity-building grants, the Financial Management Grant and the Municipal Systems Improvement Programme, and a Restructuring Grant. These grants totalled R901 million in 2003/04, and reduce to R723 million in 2004/05 and R749 million each for 2005/06 and 2006/07. Government has limited the size of these grants to R749 million, and intends to reduce them further after 2006/07, as such grants should by their nature build capacity initially and phase-down thereafter. Such reductions also benefit the equitable share, which gains from any reductions in the capacity-building grants.

Over the past years, national and provincial governments have committed significant resources to capacity building. It is not clear what, or whether, capacity building funds have in fact brought about improved capacity in municipalities. Emphasis is now shifting towards building in-house municipal capacity, improve service delivery and value-for-money. For this reason, such grants will, in future, be allocated to municipalities directly, rather than to provincial or national departments. Government is also focusing its efforts on greater coordination between capacity-building initiatives.

The capacity-building grants were set up to assist municipalities in building management, planning, technical, budgeting and financial management skills.

The Department of Provincial and Local Government is working closely with sector departments to develop a comprehensive capacity-building strategy. An interim framework for municipal capacity building regulates the alignment of capacity-building programmes. In line with this approach, the Local Government Capacity Building Grant, which is currently distributed via provinces to municipalities, will be incorporated into the Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG) and will be transferred directly to municipalities.

The Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG) under the vote of the Provincial and Local Government Department assists municipalities to build in-house capacity through district and selected local municipal support and focuses on stabilising municipal and governance systems, supporting Planning and Implementation Management Support centres (PIMS), reviewing IDP's and implementing the Municipal Systems Act. To date 44 PIMS centres have been established in 44 districts and a further 3 are in the process of being established and IDPs have been completed and are currently being implemented. Allocations over the 2004 MTEF amount to a further R582 million.

The Financial Management Grant under the National Treasury vote funds budget and financial management reforms, including building in-house municipal capacity to implement multi-year budgeting, link integrated development plans to budgets, produce quality and timely in-year and annual reports, as well as the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act. It also includes a provision for an international technical assistance programme. In 2000 a pilot programme commenced to develop the reforms in selected municipalities. The number of participating municipalities grew from the initial 7 in 2000 to 63 in 2003. Countrywide roll out was promoted in 2003 and by the end of 2004 all municipalities will be participating in the programme. The programme provides for the gearing of international support and direct allocations to municipalities. The allocations amounted to R50 million, R60 million, R154 million and R212 million in 2000/01, 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04, respectively. The 2004 MTEF provides for a further R599 million. During 2003 sixteen international financial advisors were placed in selected municipalities to support the implementation of the reforms. This is to expand over the medium-term to over thirty advisors. Furthermore, to facilitate skills development in financial management, municipalities have utilised the grant to appoint over one hundred Municipal Finance Management Interns.

The Restructuring Grant under the National Treasury vote is a demand driven grant and is aimed at funding municipal restructuring initiatives of a financial, institutional and developmental nature that are locally designed and owned. Only large municipalities are eligible for this grant. Following an initial slow take-up rate on the restructuring grant, a noticeable increase in demand occurred during 2003. Multi-year contracts will be concluded with several new municipalities, and future efforts will focus on assessing the successful implementation in terms of agreed milestones. The grant has been capped at R350 million from 2006 and will be reviewed thereafter. The City of Johannesburg's restructuring plan encompassed a wide range of institutional and financial reforms including the implementation of iGoli 2002 strategy. First time applications from eligible municipalities were of a poor quality and standard. Three municipalities were allocated the grant R99 million during 2003, Cacadu District, Msunduzi and Mangaung local municipalities. Applications were received from Buffalo City, Emfuleni, Polokwane, uMhlatuze, Sol Plaatje, Matjhabeng, Mogale City, local municipalities and from the five metropolitan municipalities. These applications are being evaluated and it is anticipated that, for successful municipalities, funding will be disbursed by March 2004.

Part 6: Way Forward

The first decade of democracy witnessed remarkable progress in the development, evolution and consolidation of South Africa's intergovernmental grants system. Intergovernmental executive and legislative structures have been established. These include, among others:

- The National Council of Provinces which includes the Premiers, representatives of provincial legislatures and organised local government;
- The Presidential Coordinating Council comprising the President, Premiers and Minister of Provincial and Local Government Affairs
- The Budget Council constituted by the Minister of Finance and the MECs for Finance
- The Budget Forum, which is the Budget Council extended with representation from organised local government
- Sectoral MinMECs made up of the national minister and provincial MECs responsible for concurrent functions such as education, health, welfare, housing, agriculture and others
- Joint MinMECs which bring together sectoral MinMECs with Budget Council and in the case of local government, with the Budget Forum.

These are the building blocks on which the intergovernmental system has evolved. They bring together democratically elected representatives with the view of facilitating alignment of intergovernmental policy programmes and implementation across the three spheres. Several technical forum(s) of officials support each of these forums. Effective intergovernmental coordination among the three spheres during the first ten years of democracy saw the successful implementation of the following reforms, which have now become permanent features of the intergovernmental system:

- Introduction of three-year rolling budgets the Medium Term Expenditure Framework which initially focused on national and provincial budgets, and has been extended to local government in the last two years, with three year allocations of national transfers now published four months before municipalities table their budgets. Together with the early publication of the Medium term Budget Policy Statement, this gives South Africans advance indication of the future direction of government policies and sets out how coming budgets will give expression to national policies affecting them.
- Strategic planning, financial management and reporting, publication of performance information and other reforms underpinned by the Public Finance Management Act Promulgated on 1999.

• The enactment of the Municipal Finance Management Act, which is due to take effect in July this year, setting out the legal framework for extending all the reforms that have been implemented at national and provincial levels to local government, some of which are already being piloted in several municipalities.

In addition, the last decade saw the development and application of objective redistributive provincial and local government formulae that have been used to determine allocation of resources within each sphere. Notwithstanding that these formulae have been reviewed, revised and updated as circumstances change or new information became available, with the ending of the first decade of democracy, Census 2001 results released and more clarity on the division of powers and functions among spheres including the shifting of social grants and restructuring of electricity distribution, it is opportune that a more in-depth fiscal review of the intergovernmental grant system be undertaken. The review will be broad ranging and all encompassing.

Provincial fiscal framework

The review will consider the taxation and borrowing powers of provinces. With the passage of the Provincial Tax Regulation Process Act, Western Cape is in the process of applying for a surcharge on the fuel levy. Further, the last Budget Council lekgotla has also raised whether it is feasible for provinces to borrow with their limited fiscal capacity, and given the nature of their functions, many of which like education, health and social grants have no potential to raise significant revenue. The fiscal review will examine the way forward on the taxation and borrowing powers of provinces, taking into account past policy papers that have informed the current provincial fiscal framework.

With regard to the provincial grant formulae, it is instructive to assess the outcome of the current grants framework. The table below indicates how redistributive the current equitable share allocations are. It should be borne in mind that the actual allocations and percentages are outcomes of the formula (and underlying that, of the policy parameters and weightings of components). These outcomes can guide how the formula should be revised for the 2005 and future budgets. The table indicates that in 2004/05, the equitable share allocation per head is redistributive, from Gauteng which is 22 per cent lower than the per capita average, Western Cape at 11 per cent and to a lesser extent KwaZulu-Natal (2 per cent). The province that gains the most is Northern Cape (31 per cent), Eastern Cape (17 per cent) and Limpopo (16 per cent), and to a lesser extent Free State (9 per cent), Mpumalanga (4 per cent) and North West (1 per cent).

Table E21 Available resources: Per capita percentage above or below national average

		2004/05			1998/99	
	Equitable share (ES)	ES and conditional grants	ES, conditional grants and own revenue	Equitable share (ES)	ES and conditional grants	ES, conditional grants and own revenue
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Eastern Cape	17.5	15.8	14.7	14.5	9.7	8.5
Free State	9.2	11.2	12.0	5.8	5.9	6.1
Gauteng	-22.2	-18.8	-17.4	-18.3	-11.7	-9.4
KwaZulu-Natal	-1.7	-3.1	-3.5	-5.5	-3.6	-4.9
Limpopo	15.8	12.4	10.5	8.5	3.4	1.2
Mpumalanga	4.1	1.5	-0.4	-4.5	-9.5	-11.6
Northern Cape	30.7	32.7	38.3	19.9	13.1	24.4
North West	1.3	0.2	-0.4	4.5	-1.0	-0.7
Western Cape	-11.3	-7.7	-5.6	3.3	10.5	12.2

Conditional grants, however, tend to make the allocations less redistributive. This is not surprising as most tertiary services and medical training (the largest provincial conditional grants) are

provided in academic hospitals in wealthier provinces like Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. Hence, Gauteng and Western Cape lose less per capita at 19 and 8 per cent respectively, whilst KwaZulu-Natal loses 3 per cent. Eastern Cape (16 per cent), Limpopo and Mpumalanga (2 per cent) lose slightly, whilst Northern Cape and Free State gain significantly. If own revenue collected is added, the Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal budgets are lower per capita by 17, 6 and 3 per respectively, whilst Eastern Cape, Mpumalanga and North West are average, and all other provinces budget more per head on their revenue side.

Compared to 1998/9, more (equitable share) funds are redistributed per head in 2004/05 from Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. More funds flow per head to Northern Cape, Eastern Cape, Limpopo, Free State and Mpumalanga. Only the North West receives less funds per head than in 1998/99.

A second factor to assess on provincial grants is to compare the adjusted 2003/04 budget shares for social grants, and the social sectors, compared to the weight in the formula. Most striking is that provinces expect to spend 29,3 per cent of their total equitable share allocations on social development budgets, compared to its 18 per cent weighting. Similarly, total social services expenditure on education, health and social development (excluding the tertiary services and training grants) is now 85,6 per cent compared to its weighting of 78 per cent.

These are the sorts of factors that will require further analysis going forward, to determine the adequacy of funding for provinces, given the functions they are expected to deliver on.

Local government fiscal framework

More than provinces, the local government fiscal framework will require the greatest adjustments, given the significant changes expected. The restructuring of the electricity industry will have significant impact on the budgets of municipalities. A related issue will be whether REDs are funded directly for the provision of electricity to poor households, or whether this is done via municipalities using the REDs as an external provider in terms of the Municipal Systems Act. The future of the RSC levies will also be reviewed, and if replaced, will affect the current intergovernmental framework for local government. Any increase in fiscal capacity of municipalities through the new Property Rates Bill will also affect how allocations are divided between municipalities, as fiscal capacities will be affected differently between municipalities. The shifting of functions or new functions like municipal health, public transport and their subsidies, municipal roads, municipal police, municipal housing and other shared functions will also have to be taken into account. Hence the review of the fiscal framework, taking account of the above shifts in functions, will probably be very significant.

Municipalities will also be affected by the transfer of water schemes and their staff, which will also have to be taken into account when finalising the grant framework. This may have to be addressed in a similar manner as with the R293 staff transfer.

Whilst the intentions is to review all conditional grants, the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant formula is not expected to change significantly. On the other hand, the current equitable share formula was adopted in 1998, and has had to be adjusted to take account of the many changes to municipalities over the last 4 years, including the new municipal system introduced in December 2000. Though it is widely accepted that this formula needs to be restructured, any new formula is dependent on reliable information available per municipality. Official surveys conducted by STATS SA tend to be reliable only at a provincial level, and many municipalities are unable to provide relevant information on their revenue or expenditure in terms of internationally accepted economic classifications. The problem facing the allocations system is that it needs only one weak municipality to render unusable any information collected from all other municipalities. National allocations are an important (and growing) source of revenue for municipalities. In 2003/04

national allocations comprised around 13,7 per cent of total local government budgets, varying from between 3,0 to 6,7 per cent for metros, up to a maximum of 92,1 per cent for the Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge) in Limpopo province. The variance of the share of national allocations between municipalities reflects the different fiscal capacities, poverty and backlogs of municipalities, with more urban municipalities raising more of their own revenue, whilst more rural municipalities tend to raise less of their own revenue. Attached at the end of this memorandum are municipal allocations (Tables E22 and E23).

Review of conditional grants

An important part of the grants review for both provinces and local government is the reforms required to make the current system of conditional grants more coherent, transparent, performance-driven and accountable.

The first point of the review is to ensure that all conditional grants are clearly motivated, and that the formula used is equitable, and that all data used for the formula for a grant is of an acceptable quality, preferably certified by STATS SA or as audited, and available to the public. Many of the current conditional grants tend to reflect sectoral agreements secured through the relevant MinMECs.

The second point of the review is to assess whether conditional grants achieve their objectives, and are linked to clear performance objectives. Spending information on conditional grants is often poor. Many transferring national departments do not effectively monitor such grants after making their transfers, as noted by the Auditor-General on the audits for the 2002/03 financial year. Five key national departments administering conditional grants were found not in material non-compliance with the 2002 Division of Revenue Act, including ineffective monitoring, to the point where the Auditor-General could not determine whether such grants were utilised as stipulated. These comments affected some of the biggest grants for housing, health, education and local government. Neither is the audit process comprehensive at this stage in tracking whether grants transferred are budgeted for by provinces and municipalities receiving such grants. In this respect, it is important the all transfers are audited against the Schedules of the Division of Revenue Act.

Conclusion

In spite of the remarkable successes in the development of the intergovernmental fiscal framework, the review process for the 2005 framework will consider the outcomes of the current allocation formulae, and the broader fiscal framework. The coming 2004 Intergovernmental Fiscal Review, expected in May, will also cover more detailed analysis on provincial and local budgets, as well as on specific sectors like education, health, social development, water, electricity, housing and roads. Such information will enhance the review process.

The Division of Revenue Bill, attendant documentation (schedules indicating division and grant frameworks), and background material such as the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Review* are available on the National Treasury website (www.treasury.gov.za).

Table E22 Transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 – 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu-	Total 2003 municipal		_	. 3		Rand per capita	Transfers as a % of
	lation, 2001	budgets ¹		Total tra	ansfers²		Total 2003 municipal	2003/04 budgets ³
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	budgets ¹ 2003/04	%
Eastern Cape				*******				
Alfred Nzo (Umzimvubu)	550	323 882	282 778	307 271	284 640	302 784	588	87,3%
Amatole (Buffalo City)	1 664	1 958 090	685 300	743 701	699 285	740 158	1 177	35,0%
Cacadu (Kouga)	388	673 181	139 024	150 864	127 670	134 620	1 734	20,7%
Chris Hani (Lukanji)	810	622 301	391 367	423 492	405 753	412 657	768	62,9%
O.R. Tambo (King Sabata Dalindyebo)	1 676	1 272 049	736 911	823 015	761 875	809 129	759	57,9%
Ukhahlamba (Malatswai)	341	301 133	214 621	218 723	220 023	199 105	882	71,3%
Nelson Mandela	1 006	3 257 962	218 966	240 306	249 166	261 333	3 239	6,7%
Sub total	6 437	8 408 598	2 668 968	2 907 371	2 748 413	2 859 786	1 306	31,7%
Free State								
Lejweleputswa (Matjhabeng)	657	1 151 307	242 779	302 655	263 645	286 769	1 752	21,1%
Motheo (Mangaung)	728	1 679 204	357 146	339 263	259 620	270 647	2 306	21,3%
Northern Free State (Metsimaholo)	460	958 631	150 911	191 232	170 310	153 503	2 083	15,7%
Thabo Mofutsanyana (Maluti a Phofung)	726	940 761	369 778	392 599	358 198	345 977	1 296	39,3%
Xhariep (Kopanong)	135	174 314	56 330	66 514	59 954	64 402	1 289	32,3%
Sub total	2 707	4 904 217	1 176 945	1 292 262	1 111 728	1 121 298	1 812	24,0%
Gauteng							<u> </u>	_ -
Metsweding (Kungwini)	160	275 259	33 436	44 245	55 518	63 848	1 722	12,1%
Sedibeng (Emfuleni)	795	1 573 341	115 759	153 915	190 190	212 004	1 980	7,4%
West Rand (Mogale City)	744	1 335 366	124 593	201 881	258 150	287 089	1 794	9,3%
City of Johannesburg	3 226	12 175 136	385 118	682 829	800 484	900 266	3 774	3,2%
City of Tshwane	1 986	7 080 904	262 412	390 546	479 656	519 209	3 565	3,7%
Ekurhuleni Metro	2 480	7 998 212	288 355	504 612	659 721	741 542	3 225	3,6%
Sub total	9 391	30 438 218	1 209 673	1 978 028	2 443 720	2 723 958	3 241	4,0%
KwaZulu-Natal								
Amajuba (Amajuba)	468	613 731	87 992	103 390	125 095	137 296	1 311	14,3%
llembe (Kwa Dukuza)	560	404 710	157 172	184 064	200 770	219 646	722	38,8%
Sisonke (Kokstad)	298	480 014	104 521	104 159	125 404	143 035	1 609	21,8%
Ugu (Hibiscus Coast)	704	636 627	194 774	219 927	268 380	296 550	904	30,6%
Umgungundlovu (Msunduzi)	928	1 755 534	317 702	284 110	274 315	302 185	1 892	18,1%
Umkhanyakude (Jozini)	573	247 295	174 089	181 292	215 055	241 034	431	70,4%
Umzinyathi (Endumeni)	456	396 190	173 533	174 247	196 489	208 599	868	43,8%
Uthukela (Emnambithi)	657	389 700	129 518	170 488	211 460	236 880	593	33,2%
Uthungulu (Umhlathuze)	886	907 291	187 392	218 948	280 009	308 119	1 024	20,7%
Zululand (Abaqulusi)	804	515 409	221 567	235 016	289 477	311 990	641	43,0%
eThekwini	3 090	9 801 876	537 713	643 268	762 497	824 009	3 172	5,5%
Sub total	9 426	16 148 377	2 285 974	2 518 908	2 948 951	3 229 342	1 713	14,2%
Limpopo								
Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge)	598	267 085	246 012	247 930	294 827	330 307	447	92,1%
Capricorn (Polokwane)	1 155	1 058 887	327 047	387 313	482 260	535 055	917	30,9%
Greater Sekhukhune	967	380 054	343 506	417 670	507 655	529 564	393	90,4%
Mopani (Greater Tzaneen)	964	433 373	320 983	373 292	457 580	495 680	449	74,1%
Vhembe (Makhado)	1 200	672 101	425 214	445 059	561 210	611 441	560	63,3%
Waterberg (Mogalakwena)	614	478 696	152 932	189 089	238 561	272 146	779	31,9%
Sub total	5 498	3 290 196	1 815 694	2 060 352	2 542 093	2 774 193	598	55,2%
Mpumalanga								
Ehlanzeni (Mbombela)	945	897 149	314 937	319 805	394 990	420 104	950	35,1%
Gert Sibande (Govan Mbeki)	900	1 143 113	230 737	249 096	307 440	337 713	1 270	20,2%
Nkangala (Emalahleni)	1 021	1 465 184	228 423	310 220	381 219	411 771	1 436	15,6%
Sub total	2 865	3 505 446	774 097	879 121	1 083 649	1 169 588	1 223	22,1%

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu- lation, 2001	Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹		Total tra	ansfers²		Rand per capita Total 2003 municipal	Transfers as a % of 2003/04 budgets ³
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	budgets ¹ 2003/04	%
Northern Cape								
Frances Baard (Sol Plaatje)	325	679 253	109 055	116 071	121 194	114 907	2 091	16,1%
Karoo (Emthanjeni)	165	234 206	73 415	83 835	72 390	69 846	1 423	31,3%
Kgalagadi (Ga-Segonyana)	177	223 327	131 916	133 064	122 754	101 315	1 262	59,1%
Namakwa (Nama Khoi)	108	177 804	47 272	51 528	45 086	41 772	1 645	26,6%
Siyanda (//Khara Hais)	210	392 915	68 022	69 022	67 951	68 874	1 872	17,3%
Sub total	984	1 707 505	429 681	453 520	429 375	396 716	1 735	25,2%
North West								
Bojanala Platinum (Rustenburg)	1 185	1 454 700	315 862	411 040	486 968	538 553	1 227	21,7%
Bophirima (Lekwa-Teemane)	440	386 226	174 440	178 851	177 464	201 385	878	45,2%
Central (Mafikeng)	763	495 667	223 590	246 463	270 267	310 979	650	45,1%
Southern (City of Klerksdorp)	600	1 022 228	128 543	157 214	183 243	205 799	1 705	12,6%
Sub total	2 988	3 358 821	842 435	993 568	1 117 941	1 256 717	1 124	25,1%
Western Cape								
Boland (Drakenstein)	629	1 547 171	79 497	95 604	107 249	112 171	2 458	5,1%
Central Karoo (Beaufort West)	60	131 353	49 517	53 065	51 811	28 299	2 172	37,7%
Eden (Eden)	455	1 245 152	77 053	82 522	94 502	98 155	2 737	6,2%
Overberg (Overstrand)	204	536 974	36 139	40 120	45 307	48 379	2 638	6,7%
West Coast (Saldanha Bay)	283	772 040	47 677	47 929	52 828	54 033	2 731	6,2%
Cape Town	2 893	10 251 170	304 688	360 984	454 286	504 063	3 543	3,0%
Sub total	4 524	14 483 860	594 570	680 225	805 983	845 100	3 201	4,1%
Total	44 820	86 245 238	11 798 036	13 763 355	15 231 853	16 376 698	1 924	13,7%

^{1.} Includes total municipal capital and operating budgets.

^{2.} Includes equitable share, infrastructure grants, recurrent grants and indirect grants but excludes unallocated transfers.

^{3.} Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

Table E23 Equitable share & infrastructure transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 – 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities		Equitable sha	are transfors			Infrastructur	e transfers	
		Equitable sna	are transfers			mirastructur	e transiers	
R thousand	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Eastern Cape								
Alfred Nzo (Umzimvubu)	151,603	183,986	153,309	157,253	94,372	101,665	109,597	129,19
Amatole (Buffalo City)	420,413	473,751	403,734	428,232	163,264	230,003	254,321	277,090
Cacadu (Kouga)	83,992	93,686	80,883	87,372	26,578	34,942	35,841	39,768
Chris Hani (Lukanji)	221,071	257,441	221,796	228,988	109,162	127,412	137,827	155,68
O.R. Tambo (King Sabata Dalindyebo)	405,560	488,857	402,130	412,541	228,750	287,816	323,134	363,34
Ukhahlamba (Malatswai)	105,132	123,117	108,221	114,798	56,892	77,720	93,698	77,72
Nelson Mandela	149,300	161,075	155,976	174,216	64,616	75,731	89,690	86,11
Sub total	1,537,070	1,781,913	1,526,050	1,603,400	743,634	935,289	1,044,107	1,128,92
Free State								
Lejweleputswa (Matjhabeng)	187,668	214,293	165,701	174,167	39,317	80,937	90,459	106,11
Motheo (Mangaung)	226,299	235,291	167,864	174,002	54,469	71,731	84,349	92,06
Northern Free State (Metsimaholo)	109,344	122,754	97,227	100,876	30,226	63,234	67,801	47,09
Thabo Mofutsanyana (Maluti a Phofung)	222,252	252,499	206,305	194,853	97,631	112,549	123,532	123,64
Xhariep (Kopanong)	43,462	49,687	43,163	44,793	7,592	11,532	11,970	13,78
Sub total	789,025	874,524	680,260	688,691	229,235	339,982	378,112	382,70
	703,023	074,324	000,200	000,031	223,200	000,502	070,112	302,70
Gauteng Metsweding (Kungwini)	10.200	24,897	33,036	26 100	9,127	13,940	16,705	20,28
0 (0 /	19,209			36,189				
Sedibeng (Emfuleni)	72,003	97,776	129,310	146,709	35,706	52,038	56,611	61,02
West Rand (Mogale City)	77,818	119,375	167,683	188,550	33,911	78,406	86,199	94,27
City of Johannesburg	238,763	391,161	540,445	613,393	135,441	223,637	256,939	285,87
City of Tshwane	158,737	201,389	269,776	300,956	78,739	161,956	180,680	200,25
Ekurhuleni Metro	192,485	305,515	425,108	485,023	91,570	196,097	231,613	255,52
Sub total	759,015	1,140,115	1,565,358	1,770,820	384,493	726,075	828,747	917,22
KwaZulu-Natal	44.000	50.000	70.505	05.000	22.24	00.405	40.000	40.00
Amajuba (Amajuba)	44,980	59,932	78,525	85,326	23,914	36,485	40,933	46,20
llembe (Kwa Dukuza)	89,339	103,086	114,035	123,119	46,614	74,959	81,198	90,46
Sisonke (Kokstad)	51,134	61,334	81,147	89,008	27,483	37,005	39,818	48,41
Ugu (Hibiscus Coast)	94,340	110,909	146,429	158,447	74,318	101,729	115,073	129,79
Umgungundlovu (Msunduzi)	133,412	142,629	176,832	190,437	54,619	80,437	88,780	103,37
Umkhanyakude (Jozini)	79,737	91,904	123,210	131,485	60,928	78,770	83,524	99,97
Umzinyathi (Endumeni)	84,272	93,853	108,830	116,384	63,054	71,756	80,225	82,89
Uthukela (Emnambithi)	67,313	95, 44 8	130,443	140,409	34,136	64,046	71,267	84,69
Uthungulu (Umhlathuze)	90,435	119,065	166,969	179,577	50,034	91,124	104,274	119,07
Zululand (Abaqulusi)	93,714	114,818	156,623	167,630	74,402	102,929	115,617	125,85
eThekwini	373,607	392,243	474,524	525,556	157,419	244,599	282,982	297,45
Sub total	1,202,283	1,385,221	1,757,567	1,907,379	666,922	983,838	1,103,692	1,228,20
Limpopo								
Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge)	101,322	113,623	144,374	152,512	61,286	75,652	88,425	109,69
Capricorn (Polokwane)	120,161	164,070	238,862	262,676	69,950	133,442	149,873	177,36
Greater Sekhukhune	124,938	164,119	226,813	242,180	88,179	144,489	164,083	189,188
Mopani (Greater Tzaneen)	145,591	164,092	222,554	239,517	42,329	93,736	114,732	148,05
Vhembe (Makhado)	162,807	184,982	251,086	271,806	51,710	97,665	127,478	174,37
Waterberg (Mogalakwena)	74,071	97,868	133,131	146,075	34,993	63,393	75,067	94,16
Sub total	728,890	888,752	1,216,820	1,314,766	348,446	608,378	719,658	892,84
Mpumalanga								
Ehlanzeni (Mbombela)	120,481	145,470	199,263	217,881	63,295	109,609	125,284	130,61
Gert Sibande (Govan Mbeki)	117,589	139,681	182,851	202,877	53,987	89,034	102,453	111,85
Nkangala (Emalahleni)	90,398	139,713	191,825	211,453	49,665	103,366	116,643	124,193
	328,467	424,864	573,938	632,211	166,947	302,009	344,380	

Table E23 Equitable share & infrastructure transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 - 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities		Equitable sha	are transfers			Infrastructu	re transfers	
R thousand	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Northern Cape								
Frances Baard (Sol Plaatje)	61, 7 71	68,758	65,184	70,523	28,758	30,091	37,596	27,876
Karoo (Emthanjeni)	53,158	59,073	45,335	45,174	10,867	16,800	17,931	14,463
Kgalagadi (Ga-Segonyana)	46,514	54,415	50,499	51,353	40,773	60,482	53,080	30,852
Namakwa (Nama Khoi)	29,427	33,071	26,486	26,106	8,159	11,641	10,741	7,098
Siyanda (//Khara Hais)	41,052	46,775	42,381	44,859	9,819	16,194	18,849	16,494
Sub total	231,922	262,092	229,886	238,015	98,376	135,208	138,197	96,784
North West								
Bojanala Platinum (Rustenburg)	179,985	207,115	250,461	273,779	71,574	170,825	198,779	219,391
Bophirima (Lekwa-Teemane)	95,723	111,996	104,691	112,971	26,767	44,155	47,371	59,872
Central (Mafikeng)	122,743	141,583	153,637	165,979	41,369	67,955	80,460	106,211
Southern (City of Klerksdorp)	83,388	92,999	116,579	131,350	27,740	56,365	60,435	67,970
Sub total	481,839	553,692	625,368	684,079	167,451	339,301	387,045	453,445
Western Cape								
Boland (Drakenstein)	46,863	54,411	64,643	72,233	20,978	33,793	35,075	32,407
Central Karoo (Beaufort West)	13,990	15,341	15,694	16,258	27,384	32,044	28,398	2,972
Eden (Eden)	30,864	40,554	52,551	58,574	26,034	32,418	31,863	28,532
Overberg (Overstrand)	17,297	21,668	26,537	29,805	10,209	12,852	13,042	12,596
West Coast (Saldanha Bay)	22,861	28,621	33,121	36,741	12,805	15,558	15,848	13,183
Cape Town	159,992	205,778	275,550	311,970	137,540	151,207	174,736	191,094
Sub total	291,867	366,373	468,095	525,580	234,950	277,872	298,962	280,784
Total	6,350,377	7,677,546	8,643,341	9,364,941	3,040,455	4,647,952	5,242,899	5,747,577

^{1.} Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

APPENDIX E1 and E2:

FRAMEWORKS FOR CONDITIONAL GRANTS TO PROVINCES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Appendix E1 and E2: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Provinces and Local Government

Detailed Frameworks on Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 Grants to Provinces and Municipalities

Introduction

Appendix E1 and E2 provide a brief description of the framework for each grant in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Division of Revenue Bill. The following are key areas considered for each grant:

- Purpose and measurable objectives of the grant
- Conditions of the grant (additional to what is required in the Bill)
- Criteria for allocation between provinces or municipalities
- Rationale for funding through a conditional grant
- Monitoring mechanisms
- · Past performance
- The projected life of the grant
- 2004/05 Allocation
- The payment schedule
- Responsibility of national transferring department

This framework is not part of the Division of Revenue Bill, but is published in order to provide more information on each grant to Parliament, legislatures, municipal councils, officials in all three spheres of government and the public. Once the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill is enacted, these frameworks will be gazetted in terms of section 24(1) of the Bill. It is also expected that each transferring and receiving national and provincial department will provide more detail on the grant framework in its own departmental strategic plan to be tabled during February 2004 in terms of chapter 5 of the Treasury Regulations.

The financial statements and annual reports for 2004/05 will report against the Division of Revenue Bill and its schedules, and the grant frameworks as gazetted in terms of section 24(1). Such reports must cover both financial and non-financial performance, focusing on the outputs achieved. The Auditor-General is expected to audit compliance to the 2004 Division of Revenue Act and gazetted grant framework by both transferring national departments and receiving provincial departments or municipalities.

Appendix E1: Grant Framework for Conditional Grants to Provinces

AGRICULTURE GRANTS

Land C	are Programme: Poverty Relief and Infrastructure Development
Transferring Department	Agriculture (Vote 26)
Purpose	To address the degradation problems of natural/agricultural resources and improve the
•	socio-economic status, and food security of rural communities
Measurable	Rehabilitation of irrigation schemes to benefit small scale farmers
objectives/outputs	Improvement of veldt management systems
-	Improvement in production systems for small scale farmers
	Reduction in depletion of soil fertility and soil acidity
	Training facilitation
	• Raise the level of awareness to show how incorrect farming activities impact
	negatively on resources
Conditions	Implementation of approved projects according to the project schedule
Allocation criteria	Based on:
7 III OCALIONI CI IVCI III	Four thematic areas of soil care, water care, veldt care and junior land care
	Targeting the poverty nodes, and nationally targeted areas for poverty alleviation
	Targeting areas with high levels of degradation of natural resources
Reasons not incorporated	The funding originated with the special poverty allocations made by national
to equitable share	government
Monitoring mechanisms	Provinces report monthly and quarterly on implementation progress
	The Intergovernmental Technical Working Group on Land Care assesses progress
	and ensures sharing of best practises across provinces
Past performance	2002/03 financial outcomes
Tubi periorimane	Allocated amount of R38 million was transferred and spent by provinces
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	and the service derivery personnance
	• 2 800 people benefited from upgraded water supply for drinking and irrigation,
	115km of irrigation channels repaired
	• 60 000 ha of natural veldt planned, with 350 km fencing and 90 km of stock
	watering supply network constructed
	• 82 000 ha of invasive plants were eradicated in order to improve veld condition
	• 1 115 ha arable land was improved through the demonstration of various soil care
	practices
	• Training was done to ensure that land care groups are self-sustainable after
	completion of the projects
Projected life	3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R27,1 million, R40 million and R44,5 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of	Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
national department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any
	corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during
	audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring
	system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	• Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to
	SCOF in NCOP

	Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme
Transferring Department	Agriculture (Vote 26)
Purpose	To enhance the provision of support services to promote and facilitate agricultural development targeting beneficiaries of the Land and Agrarian Reform programmes and resource poor land-users
Measurable objectives/outputs	 Increased access to and improvement in the quality of agricultural support services provided to targeted beneficiaries (i.e. advisory, information and knowledge management, training and capacity building, market and business development support; financial, on and off farm infrastructure such as dipping) Improvement in the capacity of the departments to deliver agricultural support services Number of targeted beneficiaries [from Land and Agrarian Reform Programmes] getting access to public agricultural support services Increased access by resource poor farmers to timeous market and technical information Improvement in the availability of farm and off farm infrastructure supporting targeted farmers (for example dipping, fencing, rehabilitation of irrigation schemes etc)
Conditions	 Funds to be used to supplement provincial budgets to improve and increase farmer support services within the CASP framework Submission of business plans by 31 March 2004 indicating how this allocation will be used in line with CASP objectives. Information should indicate additional inputs to be acquired and services to be improved or developed Quarterly report on performance (i.e output) in terms of reporting schedule as agreed between provinces and national department Provincial departmental strategic plans for 2005/06 to clearly indicate CASP measurable objectives and performance targets as agreed with national department
Allocation criteria	 The formula used to allocate funds is a weighted average of the following variables: The size of agricultural land, with higher weights for arable land Number of farmers emerging from the land reform programme – considering restitution and LRAD – increasing number of farmers increases demand for support services Rural population as determined by Stats SA based on 2001 Census - farming mostly targets rural areas – this variable captures the possibility of rural people undertaking various types of farming including livestock
Reasons not incorporated to equitable share	 The development of support services is a national priority given that they will enhance the productive capacity and economic success of the resource limited land users; household and communal food producers; beneficiaries of the Land Reform and Agrarian Reforms programmes The grant will allow for the development and implementation of national norms and standard for service delivery, and for better targeting
Monitoring mechanisms	 Submission of quarterly reports reflecting outputs against the plans Provincial visits by national department to assess performance and provide advice Regular assessment by ITCA
Past performance	New conditional grant
Projected life	The grant will be reviewed after 3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R200 million, R250 million, and R300 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of national department	 Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	 Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

EDUCATION GRANTS

	HIV and Aids Grant (Life Skills Education)
Transferring department	Education (Vote 15)
Purpose	 To ensure access to an appropriate and effective integrated system of prevention, care and support for children infected and affected by HIV and Aids To deliver life skills, sexuality and HIV and Aids education in primary and secondary schools
Measurable outputs	 Additional 400 master trainers to be trained Additional training of 10 000 educators to deliver programme to learners Verified reporting of implementation of the life skills programmes in schools Peer education, care and support programmes implemented in selected schools in nodal areas
Conditions	 Provincial business plans with focus on the above outputs must be approved by the national Accounting Officer before the transfer of the first instalment The outcomes as outlined in the approved business plans must be achieved
Allocation criteria	Education component of the equitable share formula as explained in Annexure E of Budget Review is used to allocate this grant amongst provinces
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	Enables the Department of Education to provide overall direction such that congruency, coherence, and alignment with the Department of Education's National Strategy for HIV and Aids and the National Integrated Plan for Children Infected and Affected with HIV and Aids (NIP) is ensured, and also enables the Department of Education to play an oversight role over the implementation of life skills programmes in primary and secondary schools
Monitoring mechanisms	 Departments of Education, Health and Social Development will schedule interdepartmental and inter-provincial meetings as part of the National Integrated Plan for Children and Youth affected and infected with HIV and Aids Monitoring and evaluation will be conducted by the Departments of Education both at national and provincial level At least two site visits per province and 4 inter-provincial meetings/workshops held to support and monitor implementation of programmes
Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes Of the total allocation amounting to R144,6 million, R133 million was transferred to provinces, R11 million was not transferred to Limpopo due to slow spending Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and six of ten education departments received qualified audits 2002/03 service delivery performance
	 HIV and Aids learner support materials for Grades 1 to 9 was developed and distributed to schools Provinces trained more than 300 Master Trainers (mainly district-based officials) to cascade training to educators to deliver curriculum-based programmes to all learners Provinces trained and provided ongoing follow-up support to more than 50 000 educators on care and support, sexuality education, HIV and Aids and other related life skills Provided schools with age-appropriate Teacher Learner Support Material – more than 80% of schools covered According to surveys conducted in provinces, 70%-80% of schools are implementing the life skills programme through the curriculum
Projected life	It is envisaged that, given the nature of the epidemic, the need for such a grant will persist for at least another 5 to 8 years
Payment schedule	Four instalments (15 April 2004, 15 July 2004, 15 October 2004 and 14 January 2005)
2004 MTEF Allocations Responsibilities of	R128,6 million, R136,3 and R144,5 million • Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving
national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Primary School Nutrition Programme
Receiving department	Education (Vote 15)
Purpose	To improve the nutrition status of South African children: specifically to enhance active learning capacity and improve attendance in schools
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 Reach about 15 000 poverty stricken schools at which about 5 million learners will be fed Improve coverage of planned feeding days to a minimum of 156 in all 9 provinces Comply 100% with nutritional criteria for school feeding as directed by Cabinet decision (feed according to approved menu, feed by 10h00, and comply with food safety standards)
Conditions	 Provincial business plans with focus on the above outputs must be approved by the national Accounting Officer before the transfer of the first instalment Grant must be kept on separate responsibility and objective codes Compliance with the targeting criteria and minimum norms and standards as determined by Cabinet decision of 23/1/02 and implementation guidelines of the national Department with regard to approved menus, nutrition quality and quantity and food safety Measurable objectives as outlined in this document must be achieved
Allocation criteria	The distribution formula that is based on an index comprising of three indicators, namely the poverty gap (1996), poverty distribution according to population (1996) and anthropometric indicators of children (2000)
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	The Primary School Nutrition Programme is a government programme for poverty alleviation specifically initiated to uphold the rights of children to basic food. For this reason, there is a national mandate to fund, spend and account transparently before government and the public
Monitoring mechanisms	 Provinces must report quarterly in terms of progress indicators Monitoring visits by Education and Health on nutrition quality, quantity and food safety Periodic assessment commissioned by national Department
Past performance	This is the first year of this conditional grant in education
Projected life	It is envisaged that, given the economic climate in the country and the impact of HIV and Aids, the need for such a grant will persist for another 8 to 10 years at least
Payment schedule	Four instalments (15 April 2004, 15 July 2004, 15 October 2004 and 14 January 2005)
2004 MTEF Allocations	R832,2 million, R912,2 million and R1 098 million
Responsibilities of national department	 Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004 Information on allocation formula, and data used to be tabled with SCOF in NCOP
	 during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

HEALTH GRANTS

To fund national tertiary services delivered in 27 hospitals across the nine provinces, as identified by the national Department of Health (DOH), in order to ensure equitable access to basic tertiary health services by all South Africans Number of admissions, outpatients and day cases per specialised service unit, per institution Improvement in management of information for identified hospitals Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive Officer and delegation of general management functions and powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should cover – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provision of designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospital the allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, this information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National Treasury National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively
by the national Department of Health (DOH), in order to ensure equitable access to basic tertiary health services by all South Africans Number of admissions, outpatients and day cases per specialised service unit, per institution Improvement in management of information for identified hospitals Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions and powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should cover – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provision of designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospital the allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, this information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National Treasury National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively
Improvement in management of information for identified hospitals Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions and powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should cover – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provision of designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospital the allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, this information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National Treasury National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively
Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions and powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should cover – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provision of designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospital the allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, this information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National Treasury National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively
Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National Treasury National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively
collectively
These services benefit other provinces and the spill-over costs cannot be covered by the equitable share formula
terly submission of NTSG monitoring data via District Hospital Information System (DHIS) or other agreed to mechanism
Allocated amount of R3,7 billion was transferred and spent by provinces Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04 2/03 service delivery performance Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, including establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting Standards of reporting particularly in terms of statistics and data sets improved Management has in general improved in tertiary hospitals
The need to support the provision of tertiary services in provinces will continue into the foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation of the grant will be constantly reviewed
73 million, R4 529,4 million, and R4 801,1 million
al monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month 1/05 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 1/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services
1

	Health Professions Training and Development Grant
Transferring department	Health (Vote 16)
Purpose	• Support provinces to fund service costs associated with training of health professionals
	• Enable shifting of teaching activities from central hospitals to regional and district facilities
	Development and recruitment of medical specialists in under-served provinces
Measurable outputs	Number and composition of health sciences students by province and institution
•	Number of filled training placements per discipline and per institution as compared to plan
	Expanded specialist and teaching infrastructure in target provinces
Conditions	Business plans for provinces receiving developmental portion of the grant should be approved by 1 April 2004 for this portion to the national DOH, indicating planned deployment of additional registrars and specialists, showing current and proposed posts
	• Each province to publish in its strategic plan for 2004/05, information as required by the national DOH, on the training of all health care personnel by institution, including any subsidies and other associated costs, deployment of additional registrars and specialists by gaining provinces
	 and institutions showing current and proposed posts and related infrastructure Provinces to create and budget for additional posts related to registrars and specialists as agreed with retional DOM and the deeper of medical faculty in universities
	 with national DOH and the deans of medical faculty in universities Timely submission of monitoring information as agreed with national DOH. This should include annual reports on additional numbers of registrars and specialists in gaining provinces
Allocation criteria	 A specific increment has been allocated to provinces without health professional training facilities to develop specialist and teaching capacity
	The remaining funds are divided between the five provinces with medical schools on the basis of proportion of medical undergraduates; ten percent of the remainder is divided equally between the four provinces with no medical school
	 Target allocations per province to be phased in over 5 year period, subject to annual review, to accelerate the phase in period
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	Grant primarily targets certain provinces, which currently provide the bulk of health professionals training nationally
m equitable share	Expansion and shifting of location of teaching activities requires national coordination
Monitoring machanisms	
Monitoring mechanisms	 Bi-annual reporting by province on number of students enrolled by discipline, level and training institution (frequency to be significantly decreased once national DOH has adequate database) using the prescribed format
	Bi-annual reporting by province on the number and duration of practical placements by health sciences students by type/level of health facility (frequency to be significantly decreased once national DOH has adequate data-base)
	 Bi-annual reporting by targeted province on achievement of planned expansion of specialist and teaching infrastructure
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	All allocated amounts (R1,3 billion) were transferred to provinces and funding flowed to institutions as planned
	 Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ter health departments received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	The actual deployment of postgraduate employees was achieved with mixed results
Projected life	• The need to compensate provinces undertaking the bulk of training is likely to continue for the foreseeable future, but ongoing review of this grant is expected to improve its alignment with
	national human resource development policy
2004 MTEF Allocations	R1 434,1 million, R1 520,2 million, R1 520,2 million
Payment schedule	Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th working day.
Responsibilities of	2004/05
national department	• Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Selec
4	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP
	Continue research to improve and reconfigure this grant. It is envisaged that this grant will be completely reformed within the next two years
	Table new proposals to progressively improve this grant alongside modernisation of tertiary services proposals

Comprehensive HIV and Aids Grant	
Transferring department	Health (Vote 15)
Purpose	To Enable the health sector to develop an effective response to HIV and Aids epidemic: Support approved interventions including voluntary counselling and testing (VCT), mother to child transmission prevention (PMTCT) programmes, strengthening of provincial management, establishment of Regional Training Centres, post exposure prophylaxis (PEP), home-based care, and step-down care to provide a comprehensive treatment package Support implementation of the National Operational Plan for Comprehensive HIV and Aids Treatment and Care
Measurable outputs	 Number of health districts which have voluntary counselling and testing facilities Number of mothers receiving VCT and number of mother/baby pairs receiving PMTCT prophylaxis Number of facilities providing PMTCT services Number of home based care teams in operation, caseload and number of patient contacts Number of step-down facilities in operation, number of admissions and bed days Number of adults and children presenting after sexual assault, and number receiving PEP Number of projects targeting commercial sex workers and number of sex workers reached Increased condom distribution Number of persons enrolled in Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme Number of persons receiving nutritional support and supplementation Number of sites accredited to provide Comprehensive Treatment and Care programmes
Conditions	 Flow of instalments will be dependant on adequate performance on expenditure and outputs; in particular, continued poor performance in implementing Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme may result in reallocation of funds to other provinces Quarterly monitoring returns to be submitted Provinces must comply with all data reporting requirements of the National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care programme; non-compliance will result in withholding of funds Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be delivered at nationally accredited facilities only All treatment and care must follow national treatment and clinical guidelines as published by the National Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme Procurement of pharmaceuticals and nutritional supplements/products for use in the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be undertaken as agreed with the national Department of Health including through the appropriate use of national tenders All laboratory and diagnostic monitoring under the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be procured from the National Health Laboratory Service Payment of all suppliers (including NHLS) will be effected within 30 days of receipt of invoice, continuing late payment may result in withholding of funds Provinces should budget for long-term recurrent funding of home based care and step-down
Allocation criteria	Based on prevalence as determined by: 2001 Antenatal HIV Prevalence Survey, estimated share of
Possen not incompany	
	1
and the state of t	DOH Reporting requirements as specified by the National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme
Allocation criteria Reason not incorporated in equitable share Monitoring mechanisms	 All treatment and care must follow national treatment and clinical guidelines as published by the National Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme Procurement of pharmaceuticals and nutritional supplements/products for use in the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be undertaken as agreed with the national Department of Health including through the appropriate use of national tenders All laboratory and diagnostic monitoring under the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be procured from the National Health Laboratory Service Payment of all suppliers (including NHLS) will be effected within 30 days of receipt of invoice, continuing late payment may result in withholding of funds Provinces should budget for long-term recurrent funding of home based care and step-down care (i.e. once projects have matured) Based on prevalence as determined by: 2001 Antenatal HIV Prevalence Survey, estimated share of HIV+ births, share of reported rapes, and estimated share of Aids cases National priority Distribution of epidemic differs from equitable share distribution Quarterly reporting of output in terms of the monitoring framework established by national DOH Reporting requirements as specified by the National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme

Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes All funds (R210 million) were transferred to provinces. Most provinces spent their allocated amounts with the exception of Mpumalanga which spent 60 percent Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten health departments received qualified audits
	 2003/04 service delivery performance 400 new sites provided comprehensive PMTCT interventions bringing a total number of sites to 650, reaching out to more than 80,000 women Kwazulu-Natal, Western Cape and Gauteng achieved close to full coverage for the implementation of post-exposure prophylaxis Operational sites for Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) increased from 472 in 2001/02 to 1625 in 2002/03
Projected life	For duration of the allocation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R781,6 million, R1 135,1 million, and R1 567,2 million
Payment schedule	Equal monthly instalments
Responsibility of national	2004/05 – 2005/06
Department	 Finalise the output reporting framework for the programme to incorporate National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme Constant monitoring of outputs and spending trends under Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme to allow for revision of grant amounts and allocations as required to support implementation Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial
	departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by I April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select
	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed. • Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP

	Hospital Revitalisation Grant
Transferring department	Health (vote 16)
Purpose	To transform and modernise infrastructure and equipment in hospitals in line with national policy and to achieve a sustainable infrastructure from which modern, equitable and sustainable services can be delivered
Measurable outputs	 Number of hospitals approved and started on the revitalisation programme 27 hospitals currently in the programme will be completely upgraded or replaced
Conditions	 Compliance with Integrated Health Planning Framework (IHPF) Compliance with provincial priorities for sustainable service delivery as identified in the provinces' Strategic Position Statements (SPS) All projects must comply with the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual requirements for implementation Business cases in a standard format indicated in the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual must be approved by the National Department of Health by 30th May for any additional projects to be funded in the following years allocation Submission of prioritisation schedule for all hospitals reflecting order of implementation of revitalisation hospitals over the long-term and backlog reduction in lower priority hospitals Annual Project Implementation Plans for each approved project in a standard format indicated in the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual must be submitted to National Department of Health by the 28th February. Transfer of first instalment is subject to prior approval of implementation plans
Allocation criteria	 Allocations will be based on projects comprised of at least one hospital per province The number of projects per province will be agreed between the National Department and Treasury each year Monitoring of performance in achieving planned and national targets will affect allocations
Reasons not incorporated in the equitable share	 To provide the additional, targeted and time bound investment to provincial health departments to enable them to transform and modernise the hospital sector in line with nationally agreed goals and timeframes The large size of the whole hospital projects makes them difficult for provinces to fund from equitable share
Monitoring mechanisms	 Annual and Quarterly reporting on hospital monitoring modules in the prescribed format Prescribed monthly reports to Treasury Quarterly reporting on project implementation progress and expenditure to the National Department in the prescribed format
Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes The revised allocation for the grant amounted to R650 million. Provinces spent all transferred funds Administration of conditional grants a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four out of ten health departments received qualified audits 2002/03 Service Delivery Performance All provinces converted fully to project based funding for revitalisation by April 2003 18 new projects (two per province) were added to the 9 that were initiated in 2001/02, bringing the total hospitals earmarked for complete revitalisation projects to 27. Of these hospitals, 16 are new hospitals, either to replace existing dilapidated hospitals or provide a complete new service. Some of these projects (15) are already under construction, while the rest are in planning stage Three large hospitals funded through conditional grants have been completed, Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (846 beds) in Kwazulu-Natal, Nelson Mandela hospital (460 beds) in the Eastern Cape, and Pretoria Academic (777 beds) in Gauteng
Projected life	The National and Provincial Departments of Health agreed target is to achieve full revitalisation of all hospitals in 20 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R911,9 million, R1 027,4 million, and R1 180,3 million
Payment Schedule	Equal monthly instalments

Responsibilities of national department

2004/05 - 2005/06.

- Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
- Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
- Agree with provinces and Treasury on the number and distribution of projects by province to be funded through the grant over the MTEF
- Obtain and evaluate additional business cases for projected project start requirements through the entire MTEF
- The department must publish a detailed performance assessment and report on this grant in its 2004/05 annual report, including the performance of the national department and provincial health departments
- The department must submit non-financial performance information per province (and if requested, by hospital) to the National Treasury and Select Committee on Finance in the NCOP no later than 90 days after end of each quarter

	Integrated Nutrition Programme Grant
Transferring depart.	Health (Vote 16)
Purpose	To implement integrated nutrition activities aimed at improving the nutritional status of all South Africans
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 Reduce wasting to < 2%, stunting < 18%, underweight <8%, and severe underweight < 1% in children by 2006/07 Reduce Vitamin A deficiency <19%, Iron Deficiency <7.5% and Iodine deficiency <5% in children by 2006/07 Increase percentage of children 6 - 11 months receiving vit A supplementation to ≥90% by 2006/07 Increase percentage of children 1 - 5 years receiving vit A supplementation to ≥75% by 2006/07 Increase percentage of post partum mothers receiving vit A supplementation to ≥90% by 2006/07 Increase the percentage of infants exclusively breastfed for 6 months ≥10% by 2006/07 Increase percentage of 12 month olds with Road to Health Charts = 100% by 2006/07 Increase Percentage of baby-friendly maternity facilities ≥ 32% by 2006/07
Conditions	 Access of funding through business plans Grant must be kept on separate cost center codes Compliance with minimum norms and standards as determined by policy and implementation guidelines Funding for programme management functions restricted to a maximum of 1 % of the grant
Allocation criteria	The INP conditional grant is distributed in total to the provincial departments of health according to an index comprised of three indicators: Indicator 1: 1996 Poverty gap (65 per cent of Index) Indicator 2: 1996 Population 0 to 15 years living under the poverty line (30 per cent of index) Indicator 3: 2000 Anthropometric indicators (5 per cent of Index)
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	 The Primary School Nutrition Programme has shifted to education in 2004/05. The INP remains a conditional grant for two years, this establishes the level at which the programme has to be funded when it phases into equitable share in 2006/07
Monitoring mechanisms	 Provinces must report quarterly in terms of progress indicators Provinces must report monthly in terms of financial indicators The National office conducts regular monitoring and technical support visits to the provinces Formal assessments
Past performance 2002/03	 2002/03 Audited financial outcomes R592 million was allocated and transferred to provinces, and 98% was reported as spent Administration of conditional grants was matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four out of ten health departments received qualified audits 2002/03 Service delivery performance Feeding took place in 15,650 schools reaching 4,6 million children. This coverage constitute 95 per cent of targeted number of schools
Projected life	The programme will phased into equitable share in 2006/07
2004 MTEF Allocations	R112,2 million, R123,4 million
Payment schedule	Four installments based on cash flow plans: On approval of Business Plan (April) On receipt of monthly financial reports for 1st quarter (July) On receipt of monthly financial reports for 2nd quarter (October) On receipt of monthly financial reports for 3rd quarter (January)
Responsibilities of national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP

	Hospital Management and Quality Improvement Grant	
Transferring depart.	Health (Vote 16)	
Purpose	To transform hospital management and improve quality of care in line with national policy	
Measurable objectives/ outputs	Number of hospitals with approved management strengthening and quality improvement plans started on the programme	
Conditions	• Implementation plans in the prescribed format must be submitted and approved before the flow of the first instalment	
	• Significant progress must be reported on the quarterly targets as stated in the plan before subsequent payments are transferred	
	 This grant must support Revitalisation projects, but may additionally be used for appropriate projects in other Hospitals, provided revitalisation project needs are met 	
Allocation criteria	Project based allocation in order to achieve hospital management transformation within agreed period of revitalisation programme	
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	• To provide the additional, targeted and time bound investment to provincial health departments to enable them to transform and modernise the hospital sector in line with nationally agreed goals and timeframes	
Monitoring mechanisms	Annual and Quarterly reporting on hospital monitoring modules in the prescribed format	
	Prescribed monthly reports to Treasury	
	• Quarterly reporting on project implementation progress and expenditure to the National	
D4 - C	Department in the prescribed format	
Past performance 2002/03	2002/03 audited financial outcomes	
2002/03	• Allocation amounted to R126 million. All funds were transferred. Provinces spent around 85% of transferred funds, with somewhat lower spending in Mayuralance and Fastern Cape.	
	of transferred funds, with somewhat lower spending in Mpumalanga and Eastern Cape • Administration of conditional grants was matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten	
	• Administration of conditional grants was matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten health departments received qualified audits	
	2002/03 Service Delivery Performance	
	• The grant was originally targeted at financial management of tertiary hospitals, and this was	
	extended to support management and quality improvement in the revitalisation programme During the transition utilisation was hampered by split responsibility and lack of clarity, 2003/04 is the first year the grant has been largely focused on revitalisation programme	
	All central hospitals are now headed by chief executive officers and most have fully fledged general management teams in place	
	• Systems to support greater delegation of management authority to hospital level are being finalised by the provincial departments of health	
	Cost centre accounting system is in use in 46 public hospitals	
Projected for 2003/04	The grant is linked to the projected lifespan of the Revitalisation Programme, currently targeted at	
	completion within 20 years. It is expected that the rollout of management improvements can be	
	achieved in shorter timeframes than the infrastructure and so it is expected to be completed within 10 to 12 years	
2004 MTEF Allocations	R141,8 million, R150,3 million, R159,4 million	
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments	
Responsibilities of	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial 	
national department	departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any	
acpui uneilt	problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province	
	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select	
	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed	
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee	
	on Finance in NCOP	

HOUSING GRANTS

Housing Subsidy Grant	
Transferring department	Housing (Vote 29)
Purpose	To finance subsidies under the national housing programme
Measurable objectives/	 Number of subsidies financed per annum averages 180 000 houses
outputs	Number of houses under construction
	Number of houses completed per province
	Number of households benefiting
Conditions	 Provincial cash flows linked to projects for 2004/05 must be submitted to the national Department of Housing by 31 March 2004 for approval before the flow of the first instalment Provincial housing department to ensure that all subsidy allocations for 2005/06 are allocated by 31 October 2004 and such allocations should be submitted to National Housing Department for evaluation and approval by 15th November 2004. The provincial housing HOD must satisfy himself/herself that municipalities will duly provide for infrastructure before allocating subsidy Provinces must utilise the Housing Subsidy System for budgeting, subsidy administration, financial administration and reporting purposes Submit comprehensive reporting on expenditure on individual projects by the 15th of each and every month Housing allocations must be in terms of national housing programmes and priorities, as approved Provincial housing departments must set aside a minimum 0.75 percent of the allocation to finance emergency housing needs. The funding will provide for the needs of people who must be assisted in cases similar to Constitutional Court ruling in the Grootboom case.
Allocation criteria	 A formula which introduces an urban preference is used to determine allocations for 2004/05. The allocation is based on: The needs of each province as measured by the housing backlog. Backlog is a function of people who are homeless, staying in inadequate housing or conditions, and is assigned a weight of 50 percent; A poverty indicator as measured by the number of households earning less than R3 500 in each province and is weighted 30 percent; A population indicator as measured by each province's share of total population using statistics from 1996 census and is weighted 20 percent. The third component of the formula will be adjusted with effect from the 2005/06 financial year to: A population indicator, weighted at 20 percent, as measured by each province's share of total population as per the 2001 census data with effect from the 2005/06 financial year
Reason not incorporated in	The provision of housing to the poor is a national priority. The conditional grant enables
equitable share	the national government to provide for the implementation of housing delivery in provincial budgets, and the monitoring of provinces accordingly
Monitoring mechanisms	 The national Department of Housing has installed a transversal computerised subsidy management system (HSS) in all provincial housing departments for the administration of the subsidy scheme and to allow the national department to monitor progress and expenditure continuously through monthly reporting, visits to provinces, interaction by the housing sector Chief Financial Officers and Heads of Housing and MINMEC meetings The national Department of Housing requires that the provincial department of housing focus particular attention on the submission of comprehensive non-financial information to form part of the prescribed conditional grant reporting

Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	• R3,8 billion was allocated and transferred to provinces. When including unspent funds in 2001/02, the total funds available for spending amounted to R4,5 billion, of
	which R900 million was not spent
	 Little information provided on actual spending against transfers to municipalities and housing funds
	• Three of the ten housing departments and an unknown number of housing funds received qualified audit opinions. The Auditor-General noted as a matter emphasised that there was material contravention of the DoRA, and could not determine whether transfer payments were utilised as stipulated.
	2002/03 service delivery outcomes
	The number of subsidies approved in the last three years averaged 346 331 per annum, while the number of houses completed during the same period averaged 179 171 per annum
Projected life	Unless government directs otherwise and taking into account the level of backlogs in
	housing, it is anticipated that the need for funding will exist for at least the next 20 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R4 473,6 million, R4 745,4 million, and R5 030,1 million
Payment schedule	Monthly instalments (payment schedules) as determined through predetermined provincial expenditure projections
Responsibilities of national	Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audits. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province, linking housing outputs to previous years subsidies.
	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP
	Department and National Treasury to review the housing delivery mechanisms in order to improve spending capacity in the light of past underspending, and to determine interim measures in terms of section 35 of the Division of Revenue Bill

	Human Settlement and Redevelopment Grant
Transferring department	Housing (Vote 29)
Purpose	To fund projects that aim to improve the quality of the environment by identifying dysfunctionalities in human settlements
Measurable outputs	The outputs of the programme depend largely on the unique content of each project
Wieasurable outputs	funded in terms of the pilot programme. This will include:
	Upgraded infrastructure in depressed areas
	The number of existing depressed areas re-planned and redeveloped, such as
	inner city redevelopment, urban renewal and informal settlement upgrading; and
	 Completed plans of areas which could promote integration (new developments)
Conditions	• Provincial Housing Departments to ensure that business plans for the 2005/06
	financial year are submitted to the National Department of Housing no later than
	15 August 2004
	The business plan will form part of the contract (referred to as a Letter of Allocation) between the provincial government and the national Department of
	Housing on specific projects based on approved business plans
Allocation criteria	Division between provinces is made on the basis of the housing subsidy grant formula
Reason not incorporated	As a Pilot Programme, the Department of Housing needs to be involved in approving,
in equitable share	monitoring and evaluating the projects in line with expected outputs with a view to the
	formulation of a more comprehensive permanent programme, and it should remain a
	conditional grant. The programme is furthermore viewed as an initiative through
	which projects and programmes can be funded that are in support of the housing
Monitoring mechanisms	investment being made in an effort to create viable communities The Directorate: Special Programmes Support monitors projects on a monthly
Wountoring mechanisms	• The Directorate: Special Programmes Support monitors projects on a monthly basis through financial and implementation progress reports, as well as site visits
	in order to ensure compliance and correct reporting on Key Performance
	Indicators, in accordance with an approved monitoring framework
	• The National Department of Housing requires that provincial departments' of
	Housing focus particularly on the submission of comprehensive, non-financial,
	performance related information that forms part of the conditional grant reports to
D. d. C.	be submitted ito the approved Monitoring and Reporting Framework
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes • R106 million was transferred to the provinces, in 2001/02 the grant was
	• R106 million was transferred to the provinces, in 2001/02 the grant was underspent by R80 million, this increased total funds available for spending by
	provinces to R186 million, and spending amounted to R66 million resulting in
	provincial underspending by about R120 million
	• Three of the ten housing departments received qualified audit opinions. The
	Auditor-General noted as a matter emphasised that there was material
	contravention of the DoRA, and could not determine whether transfer payments
	were utilised as stipulated 2002/03 service delivery outcomes
	63 project plans were approved and implementation started:
	• Sixteen of the 63 business plans are for planning and/or replanning exercises.
	Furthermore 14 of the 16 business plans are located within urban renewal nodes
	• The remaining 47 business plans will upon completion provide upgraded
	infrastructure in depressed areas, of which 13 will lead to upgraded infrastructure
	in urban renewal nodes, and an additional 3 will provide infrastructure in rural
Projected life	development nodes The programme is an important tool in achieving functional human settlements, it is
- I SJected Into	expected to continue until all settlement areas that need improvements are covered
2004 MTEF Allocations	R115,5 million, R122,5 million, and R129,8 million
Payment schedule	Monthly instalments (payment schedules) as determined through predetermined
	provincial expenditure projections
Responsibilities of national	Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any
	corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with
	Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	• Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to
	Select Committee on Finance in NCOP

THE NATIONAL TREASURY

	Provincial Infrastructure Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	 Help accelerate construction, maintenance and rehabilitation of new and existing infrastructure in education, roads, health and agriculture Gradually increase the labour-intensity of certain specific types of projects over the next five years
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 Rehabilitation and maintenance of roads, schools, health facilities and agriculture infrastructure Average length of employment for labour intensive projects Number of persons participating in the training programs under the expanded public works programme
Conditions	 Submission and approval of detailed infrastructure plans by 1 April 2004. The flow of the first instalment depends on the submission and approval of plans. The plans must disaggregate the information by project or cluster of projects, and should demonstrate how its implementation fits into an overall infrastructure strategy in that province. These plans should form part of the treasury's strategic plan to be tabled 15 days after the Budget, as well as that of each of the line function departments receiving funds To adhere to the labour-intensive construction methods in terms of the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) guidelines agreed between Department of Public Works, National Treasury and SALGA Submission of quarterly reports on physical progress with implementation of infrastructure projects in addition to in year expenditure monitoring reports. Reported information should cover the full infrastructure budget in the province, not only the grant allocation. Reports should also indicate progress in terms of expenditure and jobs created with EPWP designated projects
Allocation criteria	 Amounts of R100 million, R115 million and R130 million in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 were top sliced for Northern Cape to take account of the vastness of its land area and the resulting length of road network to be constructed and maintained An average of the percentage equitable shares and backlog component of equitable share formula has been used to allocate the grant among funds to provinces. The aim is to introduce a bias in favour of provinces with substantial backlogs while at the same time supporting those that inherited substantial infrastructure
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This grant ensures that provinces give priority to infrastructure maintenance, rehabilitation and construction, and support rural development initiatives in line with Government priorities
Monitoring mechanisms	Provinces are required to submit detailed quarterly reports, which capture the full details of the projects including the allocation for the year, the expenditure for the period in question and on outputs achieved
Past performance	 Allocation of this grant amounted to R1 950 million, which include R400 million for flood rehabilitation, was transferred to provinces. The grant aims to encourage increased allocation for infrastructure on roads, health and education and improved performance in the implementation of projects. Provinces increased spending on capex from R11 056 million in 2001/02 to R14 473 million in 2002/03, which amounts to 31 percent. This has increased the share or capital spending from 6 percent in 1998/99 to about percent 13 percent in 2002/03. A large share of growth in capital spending is in social services and roads. This grant only constitutes 12 percent of total capex budgets in provinces, indicating that this grant has achieved its object of increasing provincial budgets for infrastructure. Detailed reporting done by National Treasury in the annual Intergovernmental Fiscal Review. Quarterly spending trends reflect that provinces have improved their spending capacity Actual spending outcomes of this grant must be based on total spending on capital in each province. Each line function department accounts for its spending separately, to its own legislature 2002/03 Service delivery performance The real outcome of the higher levels of spending on infrastructure is left to each province, and relevant Minmecs for key concurrent functions like education, health and roads
Projected life	To be reviewed after five years
Projected life 2004 MTEF Allocations	To be reviewed after five years R3 348,4 million, R3 730,8 million, and R4 118,1 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of national department	 National Treasury to ensure that all capital plans submitted are approved by 1 April 2004 This is a general Schedule 4 grant, and each provincial department accounts for its expenditure to its own legislature. The responsible national department could also, through its Minmec, prepare consolidated reports on infrastructure to the NCOP if requested. The National Treasury publishes the Intergovernmental Fiscal Review to report on spending trends and outcomes as reported

PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT GRANTS

Local Government Capacity Building Fund (LGCBF) (Provincial Component)	
Transferring department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To assist municipalities to build their institutional capacity and improve their systems for sustainable service delivery
Measurable objectives/	The following should be achieved by municipalities that are being assisted:
outputs	Prepare operating and capital budgets
	Prepare annual financial statements
	Council approval and implementation of consolidated credit control, indigence and tariff
	policies D1505
	 Monthly and quarterly financial reporting to take place as required by proclamation R1535 and R1536
	Debt restructuring plans to be approved by creditors and council, and implemented
Conditions	Provinces will access LGCBF through the submission of business plans
	Council to approve appointment of management support team (MST) and a team to conduct
	the financial analysis and prognosis
	Provinces will be expected to manage the available funds, providing the necessary support
	where required
Allocation criteria	Allocations are based on the current needs as expressed by the Provinces, after assessing the number
	of municipalities in financial distress and the extent of the support required. Larger municipalities
Reason not incorporated in	that can access funding from National Treasury have been excluded from the allocation process According to section 154 (1) of the Constitution, the national government and provincial
Equitable Share	governments, by legislative and other measures, must support and strengthen the capacity of
Equinole Billine	municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions
Monitoring mechanisms	Quarterly reports on the support provided to municipalities and the progress made with the
	implementation of systems in municipalities. Monthly reports of conditional reporting as stipulated
	in the Division of Revenue Act
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	Total allocation amounting R170 million was transferred to provinces, the available funds for
	spending was R187 million, including roll overs from the previous year. Provinces reported spending R146 million, with R41 million unspent
	The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, but reported generally as an
	emphasis of matter, material contravention of the requirements of the Division of Revenue
	Act. Two of the provincial local government departments also received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	• Municipalities have benefited from this grant for the past 3 years. Financial Systems have
	been put in place and helped municipalities to realise their tax base
Projected life	The fund is phased out into the local government equitable share after 2004/05.
2004 MTEF Allocations	R220,5 million
Payment schedule Responsibilities of national	Monthly instalments Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving
department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be
P	taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
	per province
	• Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select
	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) or reports with a quarter lag to Select
	Committee on Finance in NCOP

Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG (previously called the Provincial CMIP Grant)	
Transferring Department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To provide support to provinces to manage the implementation of Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) effectively and efficiently on behalf of the Department to ensure sustainability of MIG projects
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 The key outputs of the programme are: Proper co-ordination between all municipal infrastructure programmes in their respective provinces MIG projects are aligned to provincial development plans and integrated development plans of municipalities Support the establishment of municipal service partnerships through the MIG programme
Conditions	 Business plan setting out clear objectives and outputs in respect of programme management for MIG in their respective provinces must be submitted before the flow of the first instalment The submission of monthly and quarterly reports in respect of targets, key performance indicators and measurable outputs as required by the Department
Allocation criteria	Programme management costs ranging between 1,5 percent and 2,2 percent depending on the size of the provincial allocation
Reasons for funding through conditional grants	According to Section 154(1) of the Constitution, the national government and provincial governments, by legislative and other measures, must support and strengthen the capacity of municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions. Provinces monitor and report to the Department on a monthly basis on progress made with the implementation of MIG projects on the ground
Monitoring mechanisms	Through monthly and quarterly reports and monthly meetings in the provinces
Past Performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	 R104 million was transferred, and provinces spent R90 million The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, but reported generally as an emphasis of matter material contravention of the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. Two of the provincial local government departments also received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	Provinces assisted the Department successfully in managing the programme through improved monitoring and expenditure and putting capacity in place in municipalities
Projected life	The grant will phase into MIG in 2005/06
2004 MTEF Allocations	R40,7 million, R43,6 million, and R46,2 million
Payment schedule	Monthly payments to provinces
Responsibilities of national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP Grant to be consolidated into MIG in 2005/06

SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

HIV and Aids Grant (Community-Based Care)	
Transferring Department	Department of Social Development (Vote 19)
Purpose	 To provide social welfare services to orphans and vulnerable children who are infected and affected by HIV and Aids, within family and community context, in partnership with non profit making organizations (NGOs, CBOs and other community organisations) To develop and support institutional structures and professionals, community workers and child and youth care workers through targeted training programmes in order to ensure effective delivery of services
Measurable	Number of orphans receiving appropriate care and support increased
objectives/outputs	 50% of identified children and families receive essential material assistance 50% of the identified vulnerable children provided with alternative care 60% of care givers identified from communities, NGOs, CBOs, faith based organizations, families and volunteers to be capacitated through training and support 70% of the identified children and families provided with counselling and support services
	Number of coordinating structures and partnerships for management and maintenance of social welfare services to children infected and affected by HIV and Aids increased
Conditions	 Approved business plans with measurable outputs must exist for each province in line with the framework for the grant Each operational plan should be approved and signed by the Head of Department and submitted to the Director-General before 20 February of each year. The first installment will only flow if plans are approved Legal contracts signed between provincial departments of social development and the implementing agencies by 1 April each year
Allocation criteria	A formula based on antenatal HIV and Aids prevalence survey and poverty index
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	 The National Integrated Plan for Children Infected and Affected by HIV and Aids is a programme involving three social service departments (Education, Health and Social Development) The conditional grant provides the opportunity to establish a coordinated approach across the provinces in terms
Monitoring mechanisms	of planning and implementation, and also enables more effective monitoring by the national departments Monthly expenditure reporting by provinces Quarterly performance evaluation and reporting by national and provincial coordinators Quarterly provincial visits to evaluate implementation of the programmes Structured site visits twice a year by a team consisting of both Social Development and Health officials on the
Performance	 national and provincial levels 2002/03 audited financial outcomes 97% of the allocated amount of R47,5 million was spent by the provinces Audit did not specifically comment on conditional grant. Three of the ten social development departments received qualified audits 2002/03 service delivery performance The following outputs has been achieved: 314 centers/sites for home/community-based care and support were supported 29,612 additional children orphaned or vulnerable due to HIV and AIDS were identified which brings the number of children identified since the inception of this programme in 2000 to over 75,000 Services provided to children include food parcels, provision of clothing, counseling, support, provision of day care and after school centers/drop in centres, placement of children in foster care and residential care and addressing the education and health needs of children 13061 food parcels ranging between R154 and R350 per parcel were provided Over 1000 care givers received stipends, between R225 and R500 per household 2,695 families received support such as food parcels, counseling, placements, assistance with bereavement, and linking families with income generating projects 1,604 volunteers were recruited and trained 41 Child Care Forums have been established in some parts of the country
Projected life	85 Support groups for Persons Living With Aids are being provided with both financial and professional For the duration of the allocation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R70,2 million, R74,4 million, and R78,9 million
Payment schedule	Three instalments
Responsibilities of national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Child Support Extension Grant to Children Aged 7- 14 Years
T	Carial Davidson (Water 10)
Transferring department Purpose	Social Development (Vote 19) To fund extension of child support grant to eligible children between the ages of 7 to 14 years, (entitlement coming to and end on the child's 14 th birthday) phased in over three years, and to cover associated administrative and payment costs
Measurable objectives/ outputs	Number of children between the ages of 7 and 14 years who access the grant according to the proposed annual phasing in as follows: Children under the age of 9 years in 2003/04 Children under the age of 11 years in 2004/05 Children under the age of 14 years in 2005/06
Conditions	 The funds may only be utilised for the payment of child support grants to eligible children (as determined in the Social Assistance Act, 1992 and regulations) between the ages of six and fourteen years (i.e. from their seventh to fourteenth birthday) and to cover reasonable administrative and payment cost associated with this Provincial implementation plans must be submitted to the national transferring officer by 20 February and approved by 15 March each year. Provincial plans must consider capacity to implement and reasonable processes to build capacity. The flow of the first instalment depends on submission and approval of plans A consolidated implementation plan as per (2) above to be submitted to the DG of National Treasury by 1 April for information Provinces must submit to the DG: National DoSD, within 15 days after the end of each month, a report detailing: Beneficiary Numbers The total number of CSG children (seven to ten-years) registered and eligible Age distribution of registered children (seven to ten-years by year-group) Number of new children (seven to ten-years) registered for payment in the relevant month and the age distribution of these children Number of children (seven to ten-years) deregistered during the relevant month and age (by year-group) Number of applications still being processed and projected children (seven to ten-years) numbers for the year Expenditure Final grant expenditure for the month and composition of expenditure for the extension of CSG (children seven to ten-years). Final administrative and payment expenditure related to the child support extension grant Progress with extension of the child support grant relative to the provincial implementation plan Projected expenditure based on trends in beneficiary numbers A consolidated quarterly report as per (4) above to be submitted to t
Allocation criteria	Based on number of eligible beneficiaries (as per application of the means-test) by province, determined through the most reliable demographic and socio-economic data available and in line with the purpose of the Child Support Grant Extension grant (7 to 14 years)
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	 A conditional grant is used for the following reasons: Distribution between provinces may need to be different from proportions in the equitable share formula To ensure that undue pressure is not placed on provincial budgets. A need to have transparent and adequate budgeting for the extension. Provinces must be fully funded for this national mandate There is substantial uncertainty around population numbers, numbers of children that would qualify, their provincial distribution and the likely rates of uptake. A conditional grant will ensure that there is more flexibility to make adjustments in line with changing information and data A function shift to national is contemplated regarding the administration of social security grants. Transparent funding through a conditional grant will allow for greater ease in eventually transferring the function
Monitoring mechanisms	Monthly reports as set out under conditions

Past performance	Grant introduced in 2003/04. The take-up of the grant has been rapid in 2004/05, causing some pressure on provincial budgets.
Projected life	Maximum of three years while establishment of national social security agency for grant administration is in process of finalisation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R3 650 million, R6 900 million, and R9 284 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly transfers in advance to provinces in line with payment schedule
Responsibilities of national department	 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Food Emergency Relief Grant
Transferring department	Social Development (Vote 19)
Purpose	To provide food relief to vulnerable individuals and households
Measurable objectives/outputs	Number of beneficiaries (households and individuals) receiving food relief
Conditions	 The funds may only be utilised to provide food relief to vulnerable individuals and households and to cover reasonable administrative and payment cost associated with this. Such administrative and payment costs must not exceed 3% of total provincial allocation. The Director-General of Social Development must give prior approval of any excess amount above this limit A national business plan must be developed and approved by the Director-General of Social Development, in consultation with the National Treasury, by 30 October each year. Provincial implementation plans, which must be in line with the national business plan, must be submitted to the national DG: Social Development by 20 February for approval by 15 March of each year. Provincial plans must consider capacity to implement and reasonable processes to build capacity. The flow of the first instalment depends on the submission and approval of the implementation plans Joint centralized procurement of service providers to effect uniformity and economies of scale Provinces to submit to the DG: National Department of Social Development (DoSD), within 15 days of the end of each quarter, a report detailing: Services Number of beneficiaries reached per month by location (municipality) and composition (gender, age, and recipient of social security benefit) Nature of food relief interventions (food parcel / drop in centres/ soup kitchens) Implementing agents (whether departmental offices, NGOs, etc.) and nature of association Number of beneficiaries that have exited the programme and whether alternative support has been arranged Provinces to submit to the DG: National Department of Social Development (DoSD), within 15 days of the end of each month, a report detailing: Expenditure Expenditure Expenditure on food relief for the month and the composition of expenditure Projections regardin
Allocation criteria	The allocation per province is based on the proportional shares of poverty and income adjustment distribution per province
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a high priority, national Government intervention for which capacity in provinces is limited. A conditional grant is therefore required to ensure adequate monitoring and national support and to ensure that the capacity is established without impacting on other provincial functions
Monitoring mechanisms	Monthly/quarterly reports as set out under conditions
Past performance	The grant was introduced in 2003/04
Projected life	3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R388 million, R388 million, and R411,3 million
Payment schedule	Three instalments
Responsibilities of national	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee
department	on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

SPORT AND RECREATION SA GRANTS

Mass Sport and Recreation Participation Programme	
Transferring department	Sport & Recreation SA (Vote 20)
Purpose	Promotion of mass participation within disadvantaged communities in a selected number of sport activities and the empowerment of communities to manage these activities in conjunction with provincial departments responsible for sport
Measurable outputs	 Coaching and sports administration training within the short and long term (focus on maximizing local community empowerment) Number of coaches and referees trained Number of people participating actively in sport Number of sustainable clubs/leagues created 27 000 new participants in sport
Conditions	 18 local municipalities empowered to continue with sports programmes Provincial departments responsible for sport will be required to enter formal agreements after approval of business plans, before funds are transferred Each approved project must have a sustainability plan Provinces identify priority areas in accordance with government nodal areas
Allocation criteria	Funds are distributed equally among provinces
Monitoring system	Regular performance monitoring based on in-person inspections by SRSA and reporting by the provinces
Past performance	The programme is to commence in April 2004
Projected life	SRSA will provide project funding and support for the 2004/05 financial year for specific areas, thereafter the projects will be introduced in new areas. Provinces will implement and monitor the projects on an ongoing basis
2004 MTEF Allocations	R9 million, R24 million, and R39 million
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	A conditional grant is necessary to ensure: National Coordination and facilitation National Campaign to get the nation active
Payment Schedule	The first payment will be made once a Memorandum of Agreement has been signed between SRSA and the relevant province. Thereafter, payments will be effected monthly on the basis of certified expenditure reports

Appendix E2: Grant Framework for Conditional Grants to Local Government

Government	
	Local Government Financial Management Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA)
Measurable outputs	Outputs include:
	 The preparation and implementation of multi-year budgets which meet uniform norms and standards The implementation of accounting reforms Improvements in internal and external reporting on budgets, finances and annual reports Implement the Municipal Finance Management Act
Conditions	Conditions include:
	 The submission of a Council resolution striving to achieve multi-year budgets and implementation of accounting and reporting reforms The employment of an appropriately skilled chief financial officer Completion and submission of a checklist identifying critical financial management areas to be addressed Submission of a plan to implement budget and financial management reforms
Allocation criteria	• The allocation of funds was initially targeted at pilot municipalities in all categories of
	municipalities (A, B and C) to implement and refine the financial reforms. With the phased implementation of the MFMA and the country-wide roll out of the reforms, the grant will be extended to cover all municipalities over the 2004 MTEF
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	To provide for the critical need to develop municipal financial management capacity and to lend support to the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management legislation
Monitoring system	Monthly monitoring is undertaken by the National Treasury per the requirements contained in DoRA and the agreements
Past performance	 R221 million was transferred to 39 pilot municipalities. The monthly reports submitted by most municipalities on actual spending does not provide sufficient information on spending against amounts transferred, but reflected R117 million or 53 per cent spending. No audit issues were identified, as the department received an unqualified audit opinion for 2002/03. The department's 2002/03 annual report contains a detailed explanation of the grant outcomes. The audit process for municipalities receiving these grants has also not been concluded, as some municipalities have not submitted their financial statements, so it is not possible to assess whether the funds received were spent as stipulated Service delivery performance 24 of the 39 pilot municipalities tabled three-year budgets. All employed suitably qualified municipal managers and chief financial officers. 7 prepared annual reports in the new format as proposed One hundred and forty nine (149) municipalities are currently participating in the reform programme. Over 120 finance interns have been appointed using this funding to increase finance management capacity in local government
Projected life	The programme is designed to support and implement the MFMA. All municipalities will receive funding over the 2004 MTEF. This initiative is also linked to governments' international contractual obligations with regards the international advisor programme. The programme will continue to 2007/08 financial year. The grant forms part of government's broader Capacity Building initiative and focuses on building in-house municipal capacity
2004 MTEF allocation	R197,9 million, R198,7 million and R198,7 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years
Payment schedule	The grant will be disbursed in three instalments – April, July and November
Responsibilities by national department	 Treasury officials are responsible for monitoring and management of the programmes Funds will continue to be transferred to municipalities as well as leveraging a portion of the grant to secure international expertise through the Municipal Finance Management Technical Assistance Programme. DBSA has been appointed to manage the latter The programme will encompass implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act, including the preparation of supporting material

	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)
Transferring Department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To assist municipalities in building in-house capacity to perform their functions
Measurable Outputs	Capacity improvements in each category B and C municipality, including for
-	Stability and governance systems
	Institutional systems aligned to IDPs
	Implementation of division of powers and functions
	Implementation of municipal legislation
	Participation of communities as required in terms of Municipal Systems Act
Conditions	Capacity Development Plans submitted by district municipalities, covering capacity needs of
	each local municipality and the district.
	 Local municipalities receiving direct support to submit capacity needs. The implementation of district-specific capacity development plans must also provide the
	following information:
	Support to Planning and Implementation Management Support Centres (PIMS) Povinging and aligning institutional systems to integrated days logger than (IDPs)
	Reviewing and aligning institutional systems to integrated development plans (IDPs) - Interpretation of the division of sources and functions.
	Implementation of the division of powers and functions Implementation of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act
	Implementation of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act Addressing a supervision of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Systems Act
	Addressing community participation issues District Municipalities to provide work and questionability plans for PIMS contract
	District Municipalities to provide work and sustainability plans for PIMS centres Task district to the subscript of the susception level and sustainability plans for PIMS centres.
	• Each district to show the allocations for supporting local municipalities, both as transfers or
Allocation Criteria	Allowations are made to district municipalities and calcuted extensive B local municipalities
Anocation Criteria	Allocations are made to district municipalities, and selected category B local municipalities. Allocations to be determined according to assessed need
Reason not incorporated in	The grant gives effect to assist municipalities in implementing new legislation, Structures and
Equitable Share	Systems Acts.
Monitoring system	Submission of quarterly reports on support provided to municipalities and the progress made with
	the implementation of systems in municipalities.
	Submission of monthly expenditure reports by municipalities as stipulated in the Division of
	Revenue Act
Past Performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
	The Auditor-General reported as an emphasis of matter material contravention of the requirements
	of the Division of Revenue Act. The audit process for municipalities receiving these grants has also
	not been concluded, as some municipalities have not submitted their financial statements, so it is not
	possible to assess whether the funds received were spent as stipulated. The monthly reports
	submitted by most municipalities on actual spending does not provide sufficient information on spending against amounts transferred.
	Service delivery performance
	PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the
	PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established
	PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established • IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning
	PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established • IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete)
	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have
	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework
	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework
Projected Life	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its
Projected Life	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs
2004 MTEF allocation	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years
	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07
2004 MTEF allocation	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R40 million in 2004/05 to
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule Responsibilities by national	 PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the process of being established IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete) Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have established PMS framework The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its multiplicity of outputs The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government legislation, with a review in 2006/07 R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress in implementation Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R40 million in 2004/05 to

	Local Government Restructuring Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	To support municipal restructuring initiatives of large municipalities
Measurable outputs	Outputs of individual grants are specified by municipality in their restructuring plans, and are subject to negotiation and agreement with the National Treasury
Conditions	 Funds will be made available on the basis of a municipality's commitment to a locally owned restructuring plan that addresses challenges in a sustainable manner The municipal council must pass a resolution agreeing to the plan Quarterly reports measuring progress towards achieving agreed benchmarks and milestones Transfers will depend upon the progressive implementation and achievement of agreed benchmarks and milestones
Allocation criteria	Municipalities with total annual budgets exceeding R300 million qualify for this grant, on the grounds of the macroeconomic risk should they not restructure or modernise. This allocation is demand-driven, with applications subjected to an intensive assessment, evaluation and review prior to negotiation on benchmarks and milestones, and credible plans to achieve such restructuring or modernisation
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	The grant supports implementation of municipal restructuring or modernisation plans necessary to avoid financial distress and possible risks to the national fiscus
Monitoring system	National Treasury conducts a technical evaluation of applications and reviews regular reports in terms of the grant agreements
Past performance	 2002/03 Audited financial outcome No audit issues were identified, as the department received an unqualified audit opinion for 2002/03. The department's 2002/03 annual report contains a detailed explanation of the grant outcomes. Four of the receiving municipalities did not fully comply with the conditions of the grant, resulting in a non-transfer of R224 million. Three of these municipalities (Msunduzi, Mangaung, Cacadu district) complied after the start of the financial year, and received R99 million of this amount in May 2003. The remaining R125 million due to Johannesburg has not as yet been transferred, due to non-compliance. Further explanations of the grant outcomes are contained in the departments annual report Service delivery performance The City of Johannesburg restructuring plan encompassed a wide range of institutional and financial
	reforms including the implementation of iGoli 2002 strategy. First time applications from other eligible municipalities were of a poor quality and standard. Three municipalities were allocated a total of R99 million during 2003 (Cacadu District, Msunduzi and Mangaung local municipalities). Applications were also received from Buffalo City, Emfuleni, Polokwane, uMhlatuze, Sol Plaatje, Matjhabeng, Mogale City, local municipalities and from the five metropolitan municipalities. These applications are being evaluated and it is anticipated that, for those successful municipalities, funding will be disbursed by March 2004
Projected life	The grant is anticipated to continue for a further four years and will be subject to a progress review in 2006/07
2004 MTEF allocation	R342,9 million, R350 million, R350 million for 2004/05, 2005/06, 2006/07 financial year
Payment schedule	Quarterly transfers is planned in accordance with restructuring agreements and achievements of milestones
Responsibilities by national department	 Five applications will be reassessed during 2004 depending on quality submission and renegotiation of milestones with three municipalities is planned Ongoing assessment to determine progress against agreed milestones and the future of the grant to be reviewed following the achievements of restructuring plans in 2007

	Building for Sport and Recreation Programme
Transferring department	Sport & Recreation SA (Vote 20)
Purpose	Promotion of sport and recreation within disadvantaged communities and upgrading of existing sports facilities
Measurable outputs	 Number of jobs created with focus on employment from local communities Number of existing facilities upgraded and new facilities constructed Value assessment of facilities constructed Number of communities empowered to promote sport and manage facilities
Conditions	 Programme Management System/policy to be adhered Municipalities are required to operate and maintain the facilities Sustainability planning for all projects is required Project identification should be done in conjunction with IDPs
Allocation criteria	The allocations are made in accordance with a Poverty Targeting Formula based on census 2001 information Allocations between District and Local municipalities are made on the basis of regional scope of the facility and the most appropriate authority to develop and maintain the facilities At least 24 per cent of projects are to be situated within the nodal areas The allocations to balance between rural and urban/peri urban disadvantaged communities
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	A conditional grant is necessary in order to ensure that: appropriate facilities are created within target communities sustainability plans are developed municipalities and communities are empowered to promote sport; and employment targets are met
Monitoring system	A Management Monitoring Information System has been introduced in terms of which monthly performance monitoring is carried out by the provinces, on the basis of monthly inspections and reports provided by the municipalities
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome The department received an unqualified report. No specific comment was made in regard to the grant Service delivery performance The following reflects past performance since 2001/02: Allocation: R259 million Number of projects/ facilities/ sports councils: 256 Jobs provided during construction: 9 667 Spent on community wages: 24% on average Women employed: between 40 to 44%
Projected life	2004/05 financial year thereafter incorporated into the MIG
2004 MTEF allocation	R 132,270 million in 2004/05 financial year
Payment Schedule	The first payment will be transferred once the implementing agent agreement has been signed between the department and the municipality. Thereafter, payments will occur monthly on the basis of actual expenditure.
Responsibilities by national department	 Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Implementation of Water Services Projects
Transferring	Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)
department	
Purpose	To fund bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at a basic level of service and to implement such projects on behalf of municipalities
Measurable outputs	Number of people to be served with water – 107 000 (targeted)
•	Number of jobs to be created: 3 200 for all categories
	• Number of people to be impacted through health and hygiene programme – 30 800 (targeted)
	Number of toilets to be constructed: 7700
Conditions	Municipalities are required to meet the following outputs;
	Submit the necessary service plans (e.g. WSDP) and budget for the operation and maintenance costs
	of projects, including the implementation thereof
	Have demonstrated acceptable level of expertise in managing water services projects
	Have established the mechanisms and structures for reporting
	• Enter into formal service provision agreements (including provision for payment of services
	rendered by the department) with the department
	Completed projects will be transferred to municipalities
Allocation criteria	The commitments for ongoing projects as well as operational, capacity and transference of completed
	projects will receive preference in the project selection process
	The Minister of DWAF to approve all projects earmarked for implementation
Reason not	This is a specific capital transfer focused on the national policy priority of ensuring all South Africans
incorporated in	have access to safe water sources and acceptable sanitation systems
equitable share	F
Monitoring system	Projects are managed and monitored by DWAF and through contracts to Build, Operate, Train and
	Transfer arrangements
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
•	The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, though the department received an
	adverse qualification
	Service delivery performance
	Approximately nine million people have been provided with access to basic water services and 113
	projects have been transferred to municipalities. Approximately 200 000 toilets have been constructed in
	the past five years and 1,2 million people have benefited from health and hygiene programmes
Projected life	Two years. The grant will be fully incorporated into MIG in 2006/07
	DWAF to continue service provision and/ or hand over to other service providers where
	municipalities are unable to take over services responsibilities of already completed projects not yet
·	transferred
2004 MTEF	R 160 million and R139 million for 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years on the Vote of DWAF R787
allocation	million and R898 million for 2004/05and 2005/06 financial years has been moved to the MIG programme
	on the Vote of DPLG.
Payment schedule	Based on the requirements of WSAs the payment will be made on a quarterly basis and in terms of the
D	transfer agreement for each specific project/municipality/WSA
Responsibilities by	• Assessment will be carried out to rank all recipients in preparedness for acceptance of transfers.
national	Priority will be given to those municipalities ready to accept transfers. This will be undertaken in co-
department	operation with DPLG and SALGA
	• Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be
	taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in
	2002/03
	• Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be
	submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

Integrated National Electrification Programme (INEP)	
Transferring department	Minerals and Energy (Vote 31)
Purpose	To implement the Programme (INEP) by providing capital subsidies to municipalities to address electrification backlogs of permanently occupied residential dwellings
Measurable outputs	The number of connections to households, schools and clinics
Conditions	Municipalities must contractually undertake to:
	 Account for the allocated funds Pass all benefits to end-customers Adhere to the approved electrification programme and agreed cash flow budgets Ring-fence electricity function
Allocation criteria	Applications from licensed municipal distributors based on:
·	 high backlogs the requirements to furnish appropriate documentation, approved tariffs, ring-fenced functions the financial, technical and staff capabilities to distribute electricity, to expand and maintain networks effective credit control policies consultation with communities in terms of the IDP process
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a specific capital transfer in support of the Integrated National Electrification Programme
Monitoring system	Monthly reports in accordance with PFMA and DoRA together with a technical audit process
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
_	There were no specific comments on the INEP with regards to 2002/3 financial year
	Service delivery performance From 2001, 129 237 households and 3 schools were connected with a total expenditure of R812 million
Projected life	The INEP will be incorporated into the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) in 2006/07 or sooner
2004 MTEF Allocation	R 248 million and R 258 million for the 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial year.
Payment schedule	Transfers are made monthly based on pre-agreed plans and cash flows
Responsibilities by national department	 Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be
	submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)
Transferring department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor households
Measurable outputs	 Number of existing and new households receiving water per annum, backlogs to be removed by 2008
	Number of existing and new households receiving sanitation services per annum, backlogs to be removed by 2010
	Implementation of labour-intensive methods on new projects, particularly projects identified in EPWP guidelines
	Progress on removal of backlogs
	Maintenance of existing infrastructure for water, sanitation, electricity and other infrastructure
Conditions	 Prioritise residential infrastructure for water, sanitation, electricity, refuse removal, streets, housing connector, and other municipal infrastructure like roads, in line with any MIG or sector policies established before the start of the municipal financial year
	Approval by the municipal council of a three-year capital plan linked to its IDP and budget no
	later than 30 June 2004. Approved budget must reflect operational and maintenance costs for the three years and beyond
	District municipalities must provide detailed information by 30 June 2004 on expected
	transfers from the district to local municipalities, as well as any information on projects
	undertaken directly by it. All spending on capital projects by the district municipality must be
	 also approved by the local municipalities that such project will service Municipalities receiving the MIG grant must prioritise commitments made on CMIP and
	DWAF capital programmes before 30 September 2003
,	To adhere to the labour-intensive construction methods in terms of the Expanded Public Works
	Programme (EPWP) guidelines agreed between Department of Public Works, National Treasury
	and SALGA, for at least low-volume roads, sidewalks, storm-water drainage, trenching etc.
Allocation criteria	 Quarterly reporting on budget and project implementation Part 5 of Annexure E spells out the new MIG formula in detail and the appendix E4 provides the
	basic data used in the formula. The formula incorporates backlog and poverty-weighted data
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a specific purpose grant with objectives and distribution criteria (eg backlogs on infrastructure) different from that of the equitable share
Monitoring system	 This grant requires monitoring of the overall capital budget of municipalities. Each sector national or provincial department will be expected to fulfil sectoral monitoring role A comprehensive monitoring system will be developed by DPLG and National Treasury Department of Public Works will be responsible for monitoring and training on EPWP at a
	municipal level National and provincial treasuries will monitor municipal capital budgets, and the reporting on spending information
	The overall monitoring system will be based on one reporting system, rather than through a plethora of departmental monitoring systems.
Past performance	This is a new programme, which consolidates a number of previous municipal infrastructure programmes
Projected life	10 years
2004/05 allocation	R4 446 million, R5 193 million and R5 987 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years
Phasing arrangements	Uncommitted funds from infrastructure grants on the vote of DWAF and DPLG will be
	transferred into the new programme Electrification funding will be incorporated once the framework for restructuring of the
	electricity distribution industry has been finalised
	 Commitments made before 30 September 2003 by national departments administering grants incorporated into MIG must be prioritised by municipalities
Payment schedule	Transfers will be made in terms of the Division of Revenue Act
Responsibilities of national departments	DPLG- Transfer funds as per schedule, co-ordinating MITT meetings, comply with DoRA and MIG policy and frameworks.
панонаі перагинентя	MIG policy and framework DWAF –to support and monitor municipalities to prepare and implement Water Services
	Development Plans (WSDP's) and monitor progress on water and sanitation budgets
	Public Works –secure agreement of NT and SALGA on EPWP by 1 April 2004, provide
	training to municipalities by 30 June 2003, and monitor compliance with EPWP conditions
	National Treasury – ensure receipt of budgets of municipalities by 31 July 2004, monitor
	spending trends • Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated P177.8 million in 2004/05
	 Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R177.8 million in 2004/05 to the National Treasury and SCOF by 31 March 2004
	to the tradicial freeze y and SCOT by 31 Widten 2004

Wate	er Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy (via augmentation to the Water Trading Account)
Transferring	Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)
department	
Purpose	To augment the Water Trading Account of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF) to subsidise
•	water schemes owned and/or operated by the department or by other agencies on behalf of the department
Measurable outputs	This grant is used to fund over 300 water schemes involving 8094 staff. Both the schemes and their staff are to be transferred to 83 municipalities over the three years. The key measurable output is on the speed and success of effecting such transfers to municipalities Operating outputs: Operation of water services schemes and improved revenue collection Support to complete Water Services Development Plans linked to municipal operating budgets and IDP's All transfer agreements signed and formalize by 30 June 2005 Successful transfer of all appropriate staff, budgets and schemes to municipalities by 30 June 2005 Transfer outputs: Schemes refurbished to standards outlined in terms of the agreed policy framework
	Sustainability assessments completed per scheme or group of schemes to be transferred
	 Water Services Authority/Provider has developed sufficient capacity in line with funding requirements Cost recovery plan in place to support the sustainability of schemes
Conditions	• The operating and transfer subsidy is a grant in kind until the effective date of transfer. The operating subsidy (grant in kind) will cover staff related costs (HR component), the direct operating and maintenance costs (O component) and will facilitate the transfer of schemes
	All receiving municipalities and service providers will be required to conclude formal transfer agreements where the latest effective date of transfer is 30 June 2005
	 2004/05 – Where transfer agreements are in place by 30 June 2004, schemes transferred during the year will be transferred with the remaining O component for 2 years and the HR component for 3 years 2005/06 – All transfer agreements concluded, receiving institutions continue to receive the O component
	for 1 year and HR Component for 3 years
Allocation	Basic allocation per Water Services Authority in accordance with the operational requirements identified and
criteria	agreed to in transfer agreements
Reason not	To facilitate the transfer of schemes to Water Service Authorities/Providers, following which funds will be
incorporated in	incorporated into the local government equitable share
equitable share	
Monitoring	A comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system is being developed
system Past Performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
Past Performance	The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, though both the department and the trading account received an adverse qualification
	Service delivery performance Progress can be summarised as follows: 11 agreements signed, 316 staff and 48 schemes with a total asset value of approximating R648,4 million transferred. The department has conducted a joint study with dplg outlining the process of transferring over 300 water schemes with their staff to 83 municipalities.
Projected life	The transfer process to be completed by 2005/06. DWAF role as service provide will terminates in 2005/06
2004/2005	R 858,3 million and R934,4 million for 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years.
allocation	· ·
Payment schedule	The payments will be made on a quarterly basis as agreed to in the transfer agreement for each specific scheme/municipality.
Responsibilities	• Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken
by national	on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
department	• Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to
	SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP
	 Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R10 million in 2004/05 to the National Treasury and SCOF by 31 March 2004

RECURRENT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6)

(National and Municipal Financial Year)

	National	al Govern	ment ritian	Local Government Financial Management Grant	nent Grant	Ī.	To	Local Government Restructuring Grant	똷	rring Grant		N	unicipal Sy-	tems Impr	ovement Pr	ogramme			STIR-TO	STIR-TOTAL BECLIABENT	PPENT	ļ	Г
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	705/06 20	-	National Fi	2004/05 2005/06 2005/07	1	Municipal Financial Year	rial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municipal	ional Financial Year Municipal Financial Year	Ц		nancial Ve		Municipal Financial Year	icial Year	т.
-	(8.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R	_	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'000)		(R'000)	(8.000)	(8,000)	00/007	/0/00/07	2 COV4/02 Z	2005/06 20		2004/05 200	_	_	05 2005/06	2000/0	2
ASTERN CAPE						_			_	-			+-	+-	+	_	-	-	(X.000)	(K.000)	(8.000)	(8.000)	
Nelson Mandela	3 500	3 500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000																	
	250.	250			280	9								-			T	3 200	3 500	1 000	3 500 3 500	000 1	21
EC102 Blue Crane Route	250	250	200	250	250	200											_	250	250	200	250 25		9
	250	250			250	200			_		_	_		_		_	=	250	250		50 250	200,	Q 5
			_	-		_										_	=						_
	250	250	200		250	200								_	_		-	-					
ECIO Bayiaans ECIO Koung	250	250		250	250	200	_			_				_				250	250	200	250 250	200	0 1
	250	250		1030	090	9												062	000				9
DC10 Cacadu District Municipality	1 000	000	1 000	000	000	000	10.000		200											200	50 250	200	0
otal: Cacadu Municipalities	2 500	2 500	4	2 500	П		10 000		10 000			3300	3 480	3 480	3 300	3 480	3 480	14 300	4 480 4	1480 14 300		41,	010
	250	250	200	250	250	9							-		-	l.	<u>_</u>		2 200	\perp	2 980	1 481	>i
				2	ì	8							_			-	_	250	250	200	250 250	200	0
EC123 Great Rei	250	250	200	250	250	200							-				-						
	200	5															_	067	067	200	250 250	200	0
	250	250	900	2500	2 500	000							_				=	2 500		1 000	00 2 500	000 1	-
					-	3				_							_		250		250 250		0
DC12 Amatolo Dienici Municipatio	250	250	200	250	250	200									_		=	250	260				
1.5	2000	1 500				000						3 350	3 533	3 533	3 350		_						
	2 000	2 000	4 000	5 000	2 000	4 000	+					3 350	3 533	3 533	3350	3 533	3 533	8 350	8 533	7 533	8 350 8 533	7513	নাক
EC131 Inxuba Yethemba																1	L.		L			1	51
EC132 Isolwana	250	250	200	250	250	200											_	90	-				
	250	250		250	250	200										_		250	250	200	250 250	000	5 6
	250	250		250	250	9							_				_						
	250	250		250	250	200										_	_	250	250				_
EC137 Engenths	250	250	200	250	250	200										_	=	250	250	200	250 250	200	_
DC13 Christ Hast Dierica Manipilate	250	250		250	250	500										_		250	250				<u>~ .</u>
12	000	000	-/	1 000	-	000	-					3 438	3 625	3 625	3 4 3 8					7	_		
	7 200	2 500	4 000	2 500	2 500	4 000						3 438	3 625	3 625	3 438	3 625	3 625	5 938	6 125 7	7 625 5 938		7 625	~1 <i>i</i>
EC141 Elundini	250		400		250												L						- I
EC142 Sengu	250		200		250	200		_			_	_					_					200	_
EC143 Maletswai	250		200		250	200		_															
DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	250	250	200	250	250	200								_			_	250	250	200	250 250	200	
al: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	2 000	1.	3 000	- 1	2 000	000	-	+	1			3 400	3 585	3 585	3 400	3 585	3 585				4	4	
		1		ì		200	+	1			1	3 400	3 585	3 585	3 400		Ш	Н		Н	П	6 585	ne i
EC151 Molizana EC152 Mahambah	250	250	200	250		200									_		_						
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250		250 250	200	
EC154 Port St. Johns	250	250	2009	250		900						_					_						_
	250.	250	200	250		200	•••						_				_					200	_
EC157 King Sahata Daliadwaha	250	250	200	250		200									_	_	=			200			_
DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality	2 500	2 500	200	000		000												_		-	_	000	
al: O.R Tambo Municipalities	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000 2	- "	000	+	-				2 975	3 137	3 137	2 975	3 137	3 137	v			- 0		
					1	200	+	+			1	2 975	3 137	3 137			137			-		Ш	
ECOSE Umzimkhuju ECOSE Ilmzimunku	250	250	200	250		200									_		_						
DC44 Affred Nzo District Municipality	250	250	200	250		200								_			_				250 250	2 2	
al: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	1 500	1 500	2 000	2000	000	000	+					2 800	2 953	2 953	2 800	2 953 2	2 953	3 800	3 953 3	3 953 3 800	3 953		_
					1	900	1	+	1			2 800	2 953	2 953						П	Ш	4 953	
												_								_			
al: Eastern Cape Municipulities	22 000	22 000	23 000 22 000		22 000 23 000	000 16 000	000	+	10 000	1	+	10 323	- 1	- 1						- 1			
				1			200	-	10 000			19 263	20 313	20 313	19 263 2	20 313 20	20 313 51	51 263 42	42 313 43 313	313 51 263	3 42 313	43 313	_

_
4
1
- 5
2
ū
- 5
9
٤
9
=
Ε
=
4
2
5
2
Ξ
>
~
Ξ
'n
Z
0
Ξ
×
Ç
Q
7
7
_
7
~
~
Ü
RECURRENT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MINICIPAL ITIES (SCHEDILLE O
Z
₹
Ž
5
Ü
Ξ
×

		3	al Coverni	rent Finan	Local Government Financial Management Grant	ement Gran	-	2	Local Government Restructuring Grant	nent Restra	ecturing Gra	nut	_	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	vstems Imp	rovement	rogramme			SIIR.T	SUB-TOTAL DECTIONENT	CITODEN		
1		Nation	National Financial Year	1	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	7	National F	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	ancial Year	Nati	National Financial Year	l Year	Municin	Municinal Financial Vear	Vear	National	National Chancies	1	CONNE		
Calegory Numb	Number Municipality	CUVAVUZ			2004/05 2005/04	2002/06	2006/07 2	2004/05 204	2005/06 2006/07	6/07 2004/05	1/05 2005/06	2006/07	7	2004/05 2005/06	2006/07	2007000	2004/05 2005/06 2004/07	-	C 300 FIANC	2005/06 2005/07	1	앜-	I Dancini	Luc .
		(8,000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (i	(R'000) (1	(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (R'000)			(8.000)		(8,000)	(8,000)	1000.87	000007	=		000000			_	70/00/07
PREESTATE					_	-					-	_	+-		-	_		+			+-	(K 000)	(K 000)	(8.000)
									_	-		_								_		_		_
IB FS161	61 Letsenneng	250	250			250	100				_	_				_	•••		-	_				
B FS162		000	000			230	200					_						_	250	250	200	250	250	200
B FS163		250	350	900	200	980	300	-	_		_		_			-	-	_	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	000	000
C DC16		000				062	900			_		_	_			_		_	250	250	SON	250	250	200
Total Vhorian Municipalities	Missississississississississississississ	067		- !	1	250	200			_		_	2 675	2 82!	2.821	2 675	2 821	2 821	2 0 2	3 0 7 1	1000	200	2021	3 :
The state of the s	merbannes	1750				1 750	2 500		_	_		_	2675	l		27.75	1831	1 631	4 475	1 641	2 321	C76.7	701	3 321
				_				-						l		2	7 0 7	1707	4 445	4 3/1	175 6	4 472	43/1	5 321
		250	250	200	250;	250	200									_		_				-		
B FS172		2 000	2 000	1 000	2 000	2,000	000	25 0000	_	30	000							_	250	250		250	250	500
B FS173		250	250	500	250	050	8 9	200	-	C7	000	_						_	27 000	2 000	000	27 OOO	2 000	000
C DC17	17 Mothen District Municipality				200	7	3	_				_				_		-	250	250	200	250	250	200
Total: Motheo Municipalities	ricipalities	2 500	2 500	2 000	2 500	2 500	000 6	35 000	+	1	1000		2 450	-	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584
			1			2000	1	22 000		5	25 000	-	2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	29 950	5 084	4 584	29 950	5 084	4 584
B FS181	81 Masilonyana																		-	-	L		L	
B FS182		250	2501	202	350	090	503	_	-	_		_							_	_			_	
B FS183		250	250	000	250	250	200	_			_			_	_			_	250	250	200	250	250	500
PS 184			0030	000	0.52	007	200				_							_	250	250	200	250	250	(808)
58153		7 200	0000 7	000	7 200	2 500	000							_		-		_	2 500	2 500	000	2 500	2002	200
Drie D								_										_	8	3	000	W. 7	700.7	CAN.
Total Lamb	e cejweiepuswa District Municipality	000	000	000	1 000	000	1 000	-					3 305	3 485	3 485	3 3015	1.485	3 485	4 305	4 405	4 405	1 306	- 406	, ,00
Total: Lejweichucswa Municipalities	wa Municipalnies	4 000	4 000	3 000	4 000	4 000	3 000	_	_	-		-	3 305	ı	3 485	3 305	3.485	1485	7 205	7 405	ł		C04 t	4 483
B FC191	Solecie		_			_	_	_	_	L	-	_				2			COC	7 402			1 400	0 493
101			_		_	_			_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_				_	-
			-				_	_		_	_	_	_			_	_	=		_		_		_
B FS193						_	_	-										_						_
		2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	_	_	_		_	_				_	_			_			
R FS195		250	250	200	250	250	2005		_	_	_			_	_	_	_	=	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 0000		2 ()()()
C DCI9	9 Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality									_			000					_	250	250	_	250		200
Total: Thabo Mofut	Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	2 250	2 250	2 500	2 250	2 250	2 500		-	1	-		3 500	ı	3 691	3 500	4	3 691	3 200	3 691	4	3 500		3 691
B F6201	Months				-							-	2 300	3 091	3 691	3 300	3 691	3 691	5 750	5 941	1619	5 750	5 941	161 9
B FS203																						_		
н Есэоч		000		0000						_	_	_				-						_		
B ECOUS		2000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	_			_					-	-		2 000	2 000	2 000	0000	0.00	000
02.30		250	250	200	250	250	200			_			_		_			-	250	250	200	250	250	200
Total Northern Fran	10	1				+	4		_	_	_		2 875	3 032	3 032	2 875	3 032	3 032	2.875	3.032				1032
Total: Mortillerii Fre	ee state Municipalities	2 250	2 250	2 500	2 250	2 250	2 500	_	-				2,875		3.032	2875	3.032	3 033	2013	2002		ł		7070
																			2	107.0]		2 207
Total: Free State Municipalities	unicipalities	13.750	13.760	12 500	13.750	22.5		000		-	-							_						_
		UC/ 71	- 1	- 1		_	12 500	25 000		25 000	000		14 805	15 612	15 612	14.805	15,612	15 613	52 555	28 363	30 113	53 55	20 363	20 613

	2	cal Govern	Local Government Financial Management Grant	icial Mana	gement Gr.	ını		Local Gove	rnment Re	Local Government Restructuring Grant	rant		funicipal S	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	rovement	Programme			CHIRT	SUB TOTAL DECTIONER	Nadding		
	Nation	National Financial Year	ai Year		Municipal Financial Year	A Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	H	Municipal Financial Vear	nancial Vear	Natio	National Financial Venn	J Veer	Municipa	Municipal Changle! Ven	1			L			
Cotegory Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	,,	-	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07		004/05 200	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	+-	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	200000	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	DAME SONSON SONA		2004/05 2005/02 2004/01	Financial	Year
ı	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000)	-	(000.87		(000,0)	1000.00	100000	0000.07	10000		20000	2 00/00	10000
								+-	+	7-		+-	1	1	1000		T TOOL V	-+	(A CAND)	(M 000)	(N 0000)	(KOOD)	(K 000)
CAUTENG																							
- Ekurbarg'uni	1 000	2 000	000	500								_					_						
A City of Johannesburg	000				3 000	000	000	_		000	_				-		_	3 000	3 000	1 000	3 000	3 000	0001
A City of Tshwane	3 000					000	000 00			000 00				_				000 89	3 000	000	000 89	3 000	1 000
							-	-	-	-				1	+	1	T	3 000	3 000	000	3 000	3 000	000
B GT02b1 Nekeng tsa Taemane	250	250	200	250	250	200			-				_	_			_	-	-			-	
B CBLC2 Kungwini		_	_				_										_	720	250	200	250	250	200
C CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality	250	250	200			200						7875	3.032	3.032	2 875	2 020	3 033	3 175	000	-		-	
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	200	200	1 000	500	500	1 000	-	-	+	-		3 075	l	1	100	2005	7000	5 163	3 484	3 332	5 (25)	3 282	3 532
							+	+	-			707	1		5/87	3 032	3 032	3 375	3 532	4 032	3 375	3 532	4 032
B GTf421 Emfuleni	000	1000	1,000	1 000	0000	000	-	-	_			_		_			_	-		-		_	
B GT422 Midvaal	-					3						_		_	-			000 1	000	000 ~	000	000	1 000
							-				_	_						-					
C DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality			_	_	-				_	_	_	200	2 320	2,000	001	0,00	-			-			_
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1	+	+	-	-	3016		1	001	3 209	3 269	2 100	3 269	3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269
							1	-	-	-	-	On c	3 709	3 709	3 100	3 269	3 269	4 100	4 269	4 269	4 100	4 269	4 269
B GT411 Mogale City					-									_			_						_
B GT412 Randfontein	1 000	1 000	1000	1 000	0001	1 000	~	-			_	_	_		-	_		-	-		-		-
B GT414 Westonaria					3	200	-							_			_	000	000	000	000	000	000
B CBLC8 Merafong City							-	-						_		_	_			_			_
C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality		_							_			2 100	3 360		001	0,50	-			-			_
Total: West Rand Municipalities	1 000	1 000	1 000	000	1 000	1 000	1	-	+	-	1	100	1	1	2 100	3 209	3 700	3 100	3 269	3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269
					000	1 000	+	1	-	1		3100	3 269	3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269	4 100	4 269	4 269	4 100	4 269	4 269
												_						-		-	_	-	
		- 1											-										
Total: Gauteng Muneipanties	11 500	11 500	0009	11 500	11 500	9 000	65 000			65 000		9 075	9 570	9 570	9 075	9 570	9 570	85.575	21 070	15 570	85 575.	21 070	15 570
																-	J				١.	1	200

		Local	Governme	ant Financi	al Manage	Local Government Financial Management Grant	-	Local Governme	Local Government Restructuring Grant		Mun	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	15 Improve	ment Prog	ramme			SHR-TOTAL - RECTIREENT	I - PECTIL	PENT		Γ
		Nationa	National Financial Year	-	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	-	National Financial Year	_	\vdash	National	National Financial Year	L	unicipal Fi	Municipal Financial Year	L	ational Fina	National Financial Year	\vdash	Municipal Financial Year	cial Year	Т
Category Num	Number Municipality	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2004/05 2 (R'000) (I	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 20 (R'000) (A	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	_	2004/05 200: (R'000) (R'0	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	20	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	706 2006/07	2 2	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2006/03	N
KWAZULU-NATAL				-										-			1		+	1		J
<	eThekwini	3 500	3 500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000						_				3 500	3 500 1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000	
B KZ218	218 Vulamehlo	250	250	2000	250	250	200			-			_			_					1	
B K7212						2	2			_						_	007	5 007	2000	250 250		200
		250	250	200	250	250	200						_			_						- 5
		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	-				_									2 2
		250	250	200	250	250	200	_			_					_	250	250 56	500	250 250	200	2 0
B KZ216	216 Hibiscus Coast 21 Ugu District Municipality	_			-				_	-	3 800	4 007	4 007	3 800	4 000		•					- 1
Total: Ugu Municipalities	palities	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000			-	3 800		ĺ			1007	1	4 007	3 800		4 007	
0.77		0.00				İ						<u> </u>			┸		2	700	\perp	2000	\perp	<u> </u>
D K7223		250	250	200	250	250	200	_		_		-				_	250	250 56	500 250	0 250	200	0
	222 UMJugeni	030		9	-		000	_	_			-				_						
10 PCC273		250	250	200	250	250	200					_					250	250 56	500 250			ç
		2 500	067	200	062	250		000	000	_			_			_						0
		2500	0000	000	2 200	2 500		000 00	000 00				_	_		52		_	25	۲)	_	0
		050	000	000	720	250	200	-		_	_		_									0
		2 200	067	006	052	750	200	-	_							_						0
Total: uMgungund	İş	5 750	4 750	4 500	2 750			50 000	00000	-	3075	3875	3875	3 675 3	3 875 3 875	_[50]
4				+	2				00000	+	2/00				1	1	27 472	8 675	59 475	8 625	8 375	না
B KZ232	132 Enmanbithi/Ladysmith	1 000	000	000	000	1 000	000				_					_		_	_	_	_	0
		057	06.7	8	007	067	200			_	_			_	_	_	250	250 500	250	0 250	500	0
		250	250	200	250	250	200			_							250	250.	250	250	1005	-
B KZ236		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_		_	_	_			_						
C DC23	23 Uthukcla District Municipality	250	250	200	250	250	200				3 475					3		4	т.		4	
Total: Uthukela Municipalities	unicipalities	2 000	2 000	3 000	2 000	2 000	3 000				3 475	3 665	3 665 3	3 475 3	3 665 3 665		5 475 5	5 665 6 665		L		
B KZ241	241 Endumeni												_									25
		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_	_	_		_			_	250	250 500	250	250		_
		250	250	200	250	250	200	_			_					_					2005	
B KZ245	_	250	250	200	250	250	200	_								_						
C DC24	24 Unizinyathi District Municipality						_				3 400	3 585	3 585 3	3 400 3	3 585 3 58	_	3 400 3 5	m	٣	33		100
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	Municipalities	750	750	1 500	750	750	1 500				3 400	3 585	3 585 3	3 400 3	3 585 3 585		L				5 085	ilvõ
B KZ252	52 Newcastle	1 000	1 000	000	000	1 000	000			-				_			000	000	900	000	1 000	
B K7253	253 Utrecht	250	250	200	250	250	200								-	_					000	5 6
B KZ254		250	250	200	250	250	200			_						_					200	
C DC25	25 Amajuba District Municipality	250	250	200	250	250	200				2 875	- 1							3		3 532	2
Total: Amajuba Municipalities	unicipalities	1 750	1 750	2 500	1 750	1 750	2 500				2 875	3 032 3	3 032 2	2 875 3	3 032 3 032		4 625 47	4782 5 532		4 782	5 532	120

	Local	Governm	ent Financ	ial Manage	Local Government Financial Management Grant	-	1	eal Govern	ment Restre	Local Government Restructuring Grant	in the	×	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	ne Improv	ement Prog	ramme		13	D TOTAL	STIR TOTAL BESTIBBENT	1	
	National		1	_	Municipal Financial Year	٦	National	National Financial Year	-	Municipal Financial Year	ancial Year	Nation	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	nancial Ye	L	National Financial Vear	cial Vear	Municing	Municipal Financial Veer	Veor
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 20 (R'000) (F	2005/06 20C	2006/07 2004/03 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	06 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	20	2004/05 2005/06	2005/06 2006/07	7 20	05 2005,06	2005/06 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	20/900
-1						-	-	+	1	-	+-		+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	(4 (400)
1077V	250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_		_			_		_	_	_				250	200
B KZ263 Abaquing	250	250	200	250	250	200		-						_			_	250 25	250 500	250	250	200
KZ265	350	080	003	090	030	000	_	_			_	_	_	_	_		_					_
KZ266	2	007	900	067	720	900				_	_			_	_		_	250 250	00 200	250	250	200
C DC26 Zululand District Municipality	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500						2 900		3 0 58	2 900	3.058		4 400	4 550	7 400	1 650	0 2 2 7
Total: Zululand Municipalities	2 250	2 250	3 000	2 250	2 250	3 000				-		2 900	3 058		ĺ		3 058				5 308	4 558 6 058
B KZ271 Umhlabuyalingana	250	250	8	250.	250	000				_	_					-						
KZ272		22	8	000	007	3			_		_							250 250	000	250	250	200
	250	250	200	250	250	200		_							_		_					
KZ274	250	250	200	250	250	200	_							_	_	_		250 250	0 200	250	250	200
	250	250	200	250	250	200					_			_		_					250	200
C DC27 Umkhanyakude District Municipality						-					_	3 000	3 164	3 164	3 000	3 164	,		,		2 164	2000
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000					-	3 000			ĺ		3 164		L		1 164	5 164
B KZ281 Mbonambi	250	250	200	250	250	200	-				-								,			
KZ282	1 000	1 000	1 000	000	1 000	1 000				_			_				-	250	000	250	250	200
KZ283	250	250	200	250	250	200				_					• • •			_			000	000
KZ284			_	_		-					_		_			_	_				720	200
B KZ285 Mthonjaneni	250	250	200	250	250	200								_		_	-2			250	250	200
0877X	250	250	200	250	250	200							_	_	_		- 2	250 250	0 200		250	200
Total of the control						-						2 900				3 058 3	3 058 2 9	2 900 3 058	3 058	2	3 058	3 058
Total: u Unuguiu Municipannes	2 000	2 000	3 000	2 000	2 000	3 000						2 900					L	Ĺ.	1		5 058	6 058
B KZ291 eNdondakusuka	_	_		-	_			_							_							
	_				-	_	_	_	_						_		_					
KZ293	250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_					_				_					
KZ294	250	250	200	250	250	200	-	_					_	_		_		250 250	200	250	250	200
Total: Hamba Municipalities	100	000										2 900				3 058 3	3 058 2 9	e	3	2	3 058	
	nne	200	200	200	200	1 000						2 900	3 058	3 058	2 900 3	3 058 3	3 400	3 558	8 4 058	3 400	3 558	4 058
	250	250	200	250	250	200	_						_							0		
KZ5a2	250	250	200	250	250	200		_		_				_	_	_				250	720	200
B KZSa3 Matatiele	250	250	200	250	250	200				_					_		- 7	250 250	200	250	250	200
K7505		-	-			-				_	_			_	_		_			_	-	
DC43	052	250	000	250	250	200			_								_	250 250		250	250	200
Ĩ.	1 250	1 350	2 500	1 760	050	200	+			+	1	2 950				_				3 200	3 361	3 611
	0071	DC7 1	MC 7	067 1	0C7 1	7 200	+	-	+		1	2 950	3111	3111	2 950 3	3111 3	3111 42	200 4 361	1 5611	4 200	4 361	5 611
Total: KwaZulu-Natal Municipalities	21 750	20 750	26 000	21 750	20 750	26 000	20 000		50	50 000		31.875	33 613	33.613	11 875 11	11612 13	11 611	26 64 363	EU 213	103 601	24.363	60.00
													J	⅃		1			i	- 1	24 303	59 613

Category Number Municipality LIMIPOTO B NPGA2 Makhaduttamaga B NPGA2 Makhaduttamaga B CBLC3 Greater Marbhe Hall B CBLC4 Greater Tubates CRLC3 Greater Tubates CRLC5 Greater Tubates CRLC5 Greater Tubates CRLC5 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Greater Tubates CRLC6 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCLGBC4 Bushbucketing CCCCBC4 Maynate B NP331 Greater Traneen B NP331 Greater Traneen B NP331 Morania District Municipality CA11: Moranbe District Municipality CA22 Capter District Municipality CA33 Laphabetes B NP33 Adelenable B NP33 Adelenable B NP33 Lepcile-Natural CA35 Capter District Municipality CA36 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA36 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA36 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA36 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA37 Capter District Municipality CA38 Capter District Municipality CA38 Capter District Municipality CA38 Capter District Municipality CA39 Capter Di	Nation 2004/05 (R'000) 250		al Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Vear	Notional Discountary	iol Veer					Dal Systems		CHILL Frogra							
Category Number Menticipality LIMPOPO B NPDAA2 Makhaduntamaga B CBLC3 Greater Grobbestal B CBLC3 Greater Grobbestal CBLC3 Greater Grobbestal CBLC3 Greater Grobbestal B CBLC3 Greater Tubatic CGBC3 Greater Tubatic CGBC3 Greater Tubatic CGBC4 Bushbuckettige CGBC6 Bushbuckettige B CBLC6 Bushbuckettige CGBC6 Bushbuckettige CGBC7 Bobblabela District Municipality Total: Grobbest Bushbuckettige B NP332 Greater Taneen B NP333 Greater Taneen B NP334 Greater Taneen CGBC3 Mopeni District Municipality CGC3 Mopeni District Municipality CGC3 Mopeni District Municipality CGC DCC3 Mobilities B NP342 Municipalities B NP343 Published B NP343 Published B NP343 Published B NP344 Makhado CG DCC3 Agamag B NP345 Polokwane B NP345 Polokwane B NP345 Polokwane B NP345 Capricon District Municipality CG DCC3 Capricon District Municipality CG CAPRICON Municipalities CAPRICON Municipalities B NP345 Polokwane B NP345 Polokwane B NP345 Tanbarinbis B NP346 Tanbarinbis	(R'000) (R'000) 250			1		t	National Phram.	INI I can	-	Municipal Financial Year	Year	National Fi	National Financial Year Municipal Financial	+	nicinal Fina	Municipal Financial Vear	1	National Financial Vons	ol Vent	SUB-101AL: RECURRENT	1	
LIMPOJO B NPGNAZ Makhudunhanaga B NPGNAZ Teatekgrono B CBLC3 Greater Grobbesdal B CBLC4 Greater Grobbesdal B CBLC5 Greater Tobalice CBLC3 Greater Schluklune Cross Bo Totali Greater Schluklune Cross Bo Totali Greater Schluklune Cross Boundary Municip B NPGNAT Mawdeng B NPGNAT MAWGENG B NPGNAT M	250	(R'000)	2006.107 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 2/	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)		2005/06 20	_	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	77	ч	2005/06 2006/07	50		2005/06 2006/07	2004/05	<u> </u>	2006/07
B NPDAA2 Fetskgronn B CBLC3 Creuer Marbe Hall B CBLC3 Creuer Marbe Hall B CBLC4 Creuer Grobbessdal B CBLC4 Greuer Grobbessdal CBLC4 Greuer Tubates C CDC3 Greuer Tubates C CDC3 Greuer Schrukhune Cross Bo Total: Greater Schrukhune Cross Boundary Munigin B NPOAA1 Marveleg B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBLC7 Bushbuckridge CBLC7 Marveleg B NP332 Greater Traneen B NP343 Bar Phalaborwan C DC33 Mopani District Municipality Total: Whembe Municipalities B NP343 Palenter B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Agaman B NP343 Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricorn Municipalities CBCS Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricorn Municipalities B NP343 Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricorn Municipalities B NP344 Thabazianbi	250							 		-	+	+-	-	+	+	(K 000)	(KDDD)	(8,000)	(K'000)	(R.000)	(R'000) ((R'000)
B					050	Ş									<u></u>	_						
B CBLC3 Creuer Marble Hall B CBLC3 Creuer Marble Hall B CBLC3 Greater Tubtate CBLC5 Greater Tubtate CBLC6 Greater Tubtate CBLC6 Greater Tubtate CBLC6 Bushbure Cross Boundary Municipality CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Sebbukhure Cross Boundary Municipality CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer Greater Tuber CBLC6 Bushburer GBLC6 Bushburer GB	250	0 250	2005	250	250	200						_	_	_	_		25		200	250	250	200
B CRLC3 Grener Tubutse CRLC3 Grener Tubutse CRLC3 Grener Tubutse CRLC3 Grener Tubutse CRLC5 Grener Tubutse CRLC5 Grener Tubutse CRLC5 Grener Tubutse CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC6 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge CRLC7 Bushuckridge B NP33 Grener Gywni B NP33 Grener Tymen B NP34 Morenian Bushuckrid CC DCC3 Morenian Bushuckridge B NP34 Makado CC DCC3 Agamag B NP34 Adamag CC DCC3 Agamag B NP34 Adamag B NP34 Adamag B NP34 Adamag B NP34 Adamag B NP34 Capricon District Municipality Col. Capricon Municipalities C DCC3 Capricon District Municipality Col. Capricon Municipalities Col. Capricon Municipalities Col. Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon District Municipalities Capricon Municipalities Capricon Capricon District Municipalities Capricon Capricon Municipalities Capricon Capric	250				250	200											250	0 250		250	250	200
Total: Capter Schukhune Cross Boundary Municipalis NPOAA1 Mavdeng B CBLCS Bushbuckridge C CBDCA Bushbuckridge C CBDCA Bushbuckridge C CBDCA Bushbuckridge C CBDCA Bushbuckridge C CBDCA Bushbuckridge B NP33 Greater Jeachab B NP33 Greater Jeachab B NP33 Greater Jeachab B NP34 Ba-Phalbowa C DC3 Mopani District Municipality. Total: Mopani Municipalities B NP34 Municipalities B NP34 Municipalities B NP34 Matchab B NP34 Matchab B NP34 Matchab B NP34 Matchab B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump C DC3 Aganong B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump C DC3 Capricon District Municipality Total: Captricorn Municipalities B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP35 Lepelle-Nkump B NP36 Lephalabe								_		_	_	_					7			720	720	200
Total: Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary, Municipalis B NPOAAA Maruleag B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBDC7 Bushbuckridge Total: Bohlabela District Municipalis B NP33 Greater Tyancen B NP33 Greater Tyancen B NP33 B-Phalabaryan C DC33 Mopani District Municipality Total: Mopani Municipalities B NP34 Musina B NP34 Musina B NP34 Musina B NP34 Musina B NP34 Makhado C DCA Vlembe District Municipality Total: Whembe Municipalities B NP35 Aganna B NP35 Aganna B NP35 Aganna B NP35 Aganna B NP35 Caption District Municipality Total: Captriorn Municipalities C DC33 Captrion District Municipality Total: Captriorn Municipalities B NP35 Captrion District Municipality Total: Captriorn Municipalities C DC35 Captrion District Municipality Total: Captriorn Municipalities	250 250	520	200	250	250	200			_								1 25	0 250	2001	250	2501	200
B NPOAA! Marcheng B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge CBC6 Bushbuckridge CBC6 Bushbuckridge CBC6 Bushbuckridge CBC7 Boshbucha District Municipality B NP342 Grener Letaba B NP343 Grenter Transen B NP344 Bas Phalaborava C DC33 Mopani District Municipality B NP341 Musina B NP341 Musina B NP344 Makhado C DC34 Mande B NP345 Musina B NP345 Musina B NP345 Musina B NP345 Agamang B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi C DC35 Agamang B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP355 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP356 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP356 Lepell-Nkumpi C DC35 Agamang B NP357 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP357 Lepell-Nkumpi B NP357 Lepell-Nkumpi C Leptabalities C Lept	palities 1 000	1 000	2,000	1 000	1 000	2,000		1			-	1	_					4	4	3 900	4 113	4 113
B					000	0007					+	3 900	4113 4113		3 900 4 113	13 4 113	4 900	5 113	6113	4 900	5 113	6113
CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBLCA Bushbuckrietge CBCA Bushbuckrietge B NP33 Greater Jameen B NP34 Ba-Phalborwa CCCA DC3 Moponi District Municipality Total: Moponi Municipalities B NP34 Municipalities B NP34 Municipalities B NP34 Municipalities B NP34 Municipality Total: Vhembe Municipality Total: Vhembe Municipality Total: Vhembe Municipality Total: Captriorn Municipality DC35 Capricon District Municipality DC35 Capricon District Municipality Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities B NP36 Capricon District Municipality Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities B NP36 Capricon District Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities B NP36 Capricon District Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities Total: Captricorn Municipalities B NP36 Capricon District Municipalities Total: Captriorn Municipalities Total: Captriorn Municipality Total: Ca	250	0 250	200	250	250	200	-			_	_						_					
Total: Bohlabela District Municipality	-	_					_									_	250	0 250	200	250	250	200
1 0 tate Boots between the consistence of the con	у 250	0 250	Į		250	200	_			_		2775	2000 3000		2000	2000	000					
NP331 Greater Giguni	200		1 000	200	200	1 000				-	-	ĺ			2775 2926	ļ	Ĺ		3 0 2 6	3 376	3 476	3 426
B	250		003		0 4 6	000					-	}		L			L		L	6/76	2 470	3 9 20
B	750		2005		050	200			_			_			_	_	25			250	250.	200
B	000	000	000	1 000	1 000	000										_	250	052	200	250	250	200
C				_									_	_			90-			1 000	1 000	1 000
Total: Moparit Municipalities	250	0 250			250	200						1 176					_					_
B	1 750		2 500	1 750	1 750	2 500						1	3 340 3 340	1	3 1/2 3 348	3 3 4 8	3 425	1	. {	3 425	3 598	3 848
B						-			1		+	Ĺ			l	1		2 098	5 848	4 925	2 098	5 848
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	250	0 250	200	250	250	200										_	36			030	0,50	- 6
N 1973 Information	250		200		250	200			_	_	_	_					250		000	062	000	200
DCA Otal: Vhembe Municip NP351 NP352 NP354 NP355 DC35 Otal: Captricorn Municip NP361	2 000		2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000				_	_	_		_			2,000	2 000	,	2 000	0000	200
Total: Whembe Municipalities	000	000	000	000	000	000										_	000 -			1 000	000	0007
NP351 Bloukerg B NP352 Agamag B NP352 Agamag B NP353 Moleunde B NP354 Polokwamag B NP354 Polokwamag C DC35 Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricon Municipality B NP361 Thabazimbi B NP362 Lephalae	3 500	3 500	4 000	3 500	2 500	900											_		3 480	3 300	1 480	3.480
NP351 Blouberg B NP352 Agamang B NP353 Adamang B NP353 Moleunele B NP354 Polokwane B NP354 Lepelle-Nkumpi C DC75 Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricon Municipalities B NP361 Thabazambi B NP362 Lephalale	2000		4 000	2 200	3 500	4 000						3 300	3 480 3 480	3 300	90 3 480	3 480			ļ	008 9	080 9	7.480
N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N.	250		200	250	250	9			_									L				
B NP335 Moleunole	250		200	250	250	200				_							250		200	250	250	
18	250		200	250	250	200	_	_	_		_		_	_			250	250	200	250	250	27 85
B NP35 LeptellchNumph C DC35 Capricon District Municipality Total: Capricorn Municipalites B NP361 Thabazimbi B NP362 Leptable	2 500	2	1 000	2 500	2 500	1 000			_				_	_			250	•	200	250	250	
Colst: Capricon Municipality Total: Capricon Municipality B NP361 Thabazinbi B NP362 Lephalae			200	250	250	200	_	_	_	_			_	_	_		7 200	7	000	2 500	2 500	90
101ai: Laptrorn Munetpaultes B NP361 Thabazimbi B NP362 Lephulate		7	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000						3 175 3	3 348				7 175	067	200	250	250	200
NP361 NP362	4 500	4 500	4 000	4 500	4 500	4 000				-	-		3 348 3 348	3175	1348	3 348	1	1	7 340	27.7	4 348	4 348
NP362		2005	9	200	90	00.5					-			1	1		1		0,040	6/0/	040	/ 348
	-		202	8	905	2000				_		_					200	200	200	200	200	200
NP364	250		200	250	250	200		_		_						_	_			_		
NP365	250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_	_					_			250	250	200	250	250	200
NP366					ì	-	_		_		-						250		200	250	250	200
NP367			-								_			_								_
Total: Waterberg Municipalities	+		902									3 150 3	3 322 3 32	3 150			3 150		3 322	3 150	3 322	1 222
town the Englishmen	1 000 I	2 000	1 500	1 000	1 000	1 500		_					322 3 322		3 322	1 132		4 322	4 822	4 160	4 333	2770
	_		_									-			L				770	OCT +	776	1 977
Total: Limpopo Municipalities	12 250	12 250	15 000	12 250	12 250	15 000		1	+	+	-		- 1		- 1	ı		1				
				- 1	- 1	200			-		_	19475 20	20 537 20 537	7 19 475	5 20 537	7 20 537	31 725	32 787	35 537	31 725	32 787	35 537

	Loc	cal Govern	ment Fina.	Local Government Financial Management Grant	gement Gr	ant		ocal Gover	ument Rec	Local Government Restructuring Grant	rant	-	Municipal										
	Nation	National Financial Year	al Year	Municia	Municipal Financial Year	al Year	National	National Financial Voca-	╢	The second second		+	Municipa	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	пргочете	r Program	ne		SUB-	-TOTAL: 1	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	LZ	
Cutenom Number Ministra	2004/05	2005/06	2004/05 2005/06 2004/07	Ľ	2005000	1	COSTONIA	Fulancial	1	Municipal Financial Year	inancial Yea			cial Year	_	Municipal Financial Year	ial Year	Nation	National Financial Vear	Vear	Municins	Municipal Financial Vear	Venr
- 1	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)			_	5004/02	2005/06 2006/07		_	15/06 2006,	_	_	⊢–	2	-	2005/06 2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2006/07	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2005/06	2009000
						+	+	+	(K 000) (K	(K000) (K000)	JOU) (R 000)) (R'000)	O) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
MPUMALANGA	_													_								+	
B MP301 Albert Lubuli	-										_		_	_						_			
	000	720	200	250	250	200				-								750	050	909	020	9	9
									_				_					7007		COC	067	000	200
							-	-											_		_		_
		_		_			-														_		
	250	250	200	250	250	500	-				_	_				·							
	2 000	2	,	,	2 000	000							_	_				250	250.	500	250	250	200
C DC30 Ciert Sibande District Municipality	1 500				2002	0007												2 000	2	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000
Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities	4 000		ľ		000	000	+					2.5	2 500 2 636	36 2 636	2 500	2 636	2 636	4 000		1636	4 000	901 7	2 636
	1000		200	4 000	4 000	4 000						2 500	00 2 636		ĺ			900		2000	000	000	2 030
B MP341 Deimas								-									7 020	0 300	0 0.30	0 0.30	0 200	0 030	0 636
							_		-	_		_	_								_		
210,110	2 000		000	2 000	2 000	000	_							_		_	_			_	_	-	
MP 51.5	000	1 000	_		1 000.	1 000								_	_			2 000		1 000	2 000	2 000	000 1
MP314	250	250			250	008						_		_				1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
B MP315 Thembisile					7.70	335		-						_			_	250	250	200	250	250	200
B MP316 Dr 3S Moroka	250	260	003			1		_	_	_	_						_				ì	2	
C DC31 Nkangala District Municipality	V-7			007	067	200		_	_				_					250	250	200	250	250	ÇUN)
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	3 500	3 500	3 000	2 500	2 500	0000	-		-			2 700			2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	7 847	2 847
		2000	2 000	nne c	0000	3 000			-	_		2 700	00 2847	7 2 847	2 700	L	2 847	6 200	6 247	2000	000	2 347	107
B MP321 Thaba Chweu						_	_	_		_	_				L			200	1	100	0070	1	7 04/
B MP.322 Mbombela	2 500	2 500	900	000					_		_		_	_							-		
B MP323 Umjindi	•	7000		2 300	2 200	000		_										2 500	2 5001	000	2 500	2 500	000
B MP324 Nkomazi										_		_	_						2005	900	2000	2000	000
C DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality	0000	000	000	0000		-	_	_													_		
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	4 500	7 500	000	0007	7 000	000		-	-			3 100	3 269	9, 3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269	\$ 100	\$ 269	4 260	0015	090 \$	036
	1000	1 200	7007	4 200	4 500	2 000	-					3 100	3 269		l		3 260	7 600	2.760	2020	200	207	607
															Ĺ		2000	000	(0/)	2 209	000	/0/	2 269
																					_		
Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	12 000	12 000	000 6	12.000	12 000	0000			1									_					_
					000	2 000	-	-				8 300	90 8 753	3 8 753	8 300	8 753	8 753	20 300	20 753	17 753	20 300	20 753	17751

Continue Continue	Number N		AUTON 1	Overnme	'nt r manca	al Manager	nent Grant		Ioca	Covernment	Dest.													
The control of the	1,00,000 1,00,000		National I	inancial	-	Municipal	Financial Y	╀	ational Fin	ancial Vear	-	cinal Financia		E	unicipal Sys	ĮĮ.	ement Pro	gramme		S	UB-TOTAL	L: RECURI	RENT	
The control of the	Control Cont					_	005/06 20	7 20	14/05 2003	5/06 2006A	5	5 2005/06	2006/07	2	2005/06 3	12	Aunicipal P	inancial Ve	1	ational Finar	ncial Year	Н	ipal Financ	al Year
1,000 1,00	Participating 250		+	+	+	-+-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-+			_	(R'000)	_	(R'000)		000)	00/2			2006/0	_		2006/07
The control of the co	Manuclapulity 250	CAPE		-			_										+-	+		+	+-	+	(4,000)	(R.000)
The continue of the continue	Manuacipality 100		250	250	200	0,00	0 0 0									_	_	_						
Marie Mari	Description 1 color		250	250	200	250	250	200			_							_	_					005
1.00 1.00	1		-		_			-			_							-						200
1	Marticipality 250	adi Cross Border Municipalities	1 500	000	000	000	ļ	000						3 500	3 691	3 691								
1	1 250			200	7	000	-	2 000						3 500	3 691	3 691	Ĺ	Ĺ						4 691
Market 1789	Second Color Seco		240	250	9	9													1					5 691
Mathematical Paris 150 1	100 100			007	900	067	250	200			_				_		_	_						9
150 250	Service Municipality 250		250	250	200	030	0				_		_			_		_	_					200
The control of the	Service Municipality 2.50		050	050	200	067	250	200	_	_					_	_	_	_	_					
150 150	Section Manicipality 250		000	250	200	250	250	200			_			_				_	_					200
120 120	1		007	000	200	250	250	200	_	_		_	_		_	_	_		_					200
1,100 1,10	Exercise Municipality 1 250 1250 250		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_						_		_						200
1.524 1.524 1.525 1.5	1 000 1 000 2 260 3 500 2 260 3 50	Manda District Municipality		000	1 000	1 000	1 000	000	_	_		_			-				_					200
100 100	Hamicipality 220 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 23	wa muncipalities		2 250	3 500	2 250	L	1,500				+	1	3 100	3 269	3 269			_		4	4	4	4 269
1000 1000	1000 1000		-	L	-	-				+				3 100	3 269	3 269			L.	L			ĺ	072 9
1	Et Municipality	_	000 1	1 000	1 000	000	000	1000			_			-						L	Ĺ	Ĺ		60/0
E 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	E 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	_	250	250	200	250	000	200			_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_			8
8 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	et Municipality 250				3	007	007	200	_	-				_			_							000
## 150 250	Et Municipality 2 500 2 500 2 500 2 500 5		250	250	200	050	0 0 0 0	- 6		_	_													200
250 250	tet Municipality 2 500 250 500		250	050	200	250	720	200		_	_	_		-	_	_	_	_	_					
1,000 1,00	Commiscipality Comm		250	350	900	007	250	200		_		_		_	-	_								200
1,500 1,50	tet Municipality 1250 250 500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1		250	260	200	007	250	200						_				_	_					200
1	tic Municipality 1 500 1		050	000	200	007	720	200		_	_		_			_			_					200
3500 3500	3.500 3.500 3.00 1.000	DC7 Karoo District Municipality	000	000	000			200	_	_				_			_	_	_					200
250 250	250 250	funicipalities	2 500	200	000			000			-	_	_	2 675	2.821					_				200
250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	250 250		one c	2 300	2 000		ļ	000	_					2 675	2 821				1		1			3 821
250 250 350 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 2	250 250		o c	-	-		_								1707	1		1	1	1	1		6 321	7 821
250 250	10 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		250	250	200	250	250	200	_									_						
250 250 500 250 250 500 250 250 250 250	Section Color Co		250	250	200	250	250	200		_	_				_			_					250	200
250 250	250 250							_	_			_		_				_	_				250	200
Columnic Columnic	Second Control of Market Municipality 250 500 250 500 250		250	250	200	250	250	200	_				_						_					
Control Cont	Section 1,500 1,		250	250	200	250	250	200				_				_		_					250	200
15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	100 1000 1		250	250	200	250	250	200					_		_	_							250	200
250 250 <td> 2560 2260 2360 2560 </td> <td>Amisional District Municipality</td> <td></td> <td>000</td> <td>1 000</td> <td>1 000</td> <td></td> <td>000</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>250</td> <td>200</td>	2560 2260 2360 2560	Amisional District Municipality		000	1 000	1 000		000	_					,									250	200
250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	District Municipality 2 500 2 50	sample and the sam		. 250	3 500			200	-			1	+	207	1 821	1	-		_		-		3 821	3 821
250 250 100 2 500 1 200 2 500 2 500 1 200 2 500 2 500 1 200 2 500	250 2500 1000 2500 1000 2500 1000 2500 25			-									-	2013	707	\perp		1	J		_		5 071	6 321
250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	District Municipality			200			_	000					_			_								
District Municipality 2 5500 2 000 1 000 2 5500 5 000 1 000 2 500 1 000 2 500 5 000 1 000 1 5 000 1 000 1 5 000 1 1	District Municipality 2 500 2 000 1 000 2 500 2 000 1 000 3 000 3 000 1 1 0 00 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0		250	250	200	250	250	200				_	-	_	_	_			7		-		2 500	000
District Municipality 2 500 2 000 1 000 2 500 5 000 3 000 1 000 0 2 000 1 000 0 2 000 1 000 0 2 000 1 000 0 2 000 1 000 0 2 000 1 000 0 1 0 00 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 District Municipality 2 500 2 000 1 000 2 500 1 000 3 000		000	720	200	250	250	200						-	_		_		7 (250	200
2 500 2 000 1 000 5 500 5 00 5 00 5 00 1 000 1 000 1 000 1 000 1 000 1 000 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 1 000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5500 5 000 3 000 5 500 5 000 3 000 3 823 15 000 14 500 17 000 14 500 17 000 14 500 17 000 15 775							_		_	_	_					_	_	- 5				250	200
5 SUU 3 WO 5 SUO 5 SUO 5 SUO 5 SUO 6 O34 6 034 6 034 4 034 4 034 4 034 4 034 4 034 4 034 5 SUS 6 034 7 SUS 6 034 7 SUS	3 500 5 500 5 500 5 500 5 600 3 000 3 500	Saard Municipalities						000						3 825										
15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 18	15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 17 000 17 000 18 775							000					-	3 825		1		1	1	-			6 034	5 034
15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 15 000 14 500 17 000 15	15 000 14 500 17 040 15 040 17 040 17 040 15 775		_	_						_						Ĺ							9 034	7 034
15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 15 000 17 000 17 000 17 000 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 18	15 000 14 500 17 000 15 000 14 500 17 000 15 775							_							_								_	
15 775 16 635 16 635 16 635 16 635 30 775 31 135 33 635 30 775 31 135	15775	Cape Municipalities	1		1	1	⊥.	000	-	-					ı									
The same of the sa							1						-						L		i .	l	31 135	33 635

Validation Figure Authority Part Authority	Colored Number Numericality		Loca	Governm	Local Government Financial Management Grant	ial Manage	ment Gran	_	Local Gover	The Do	The state of the s													
Column C	Column C		Nationa	Financial	Year	Municipa	Financial	Vear	National Financial	1	or uctually Gra		N.	unicipal Sy	stems Impr	ovement P	rogramme			SUB-TOIL	AL: RECL	RRENT		_
National Particles 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	NAV21 Mortele NAV22 Mortele NAV23 Mortel			3005/06	-	2004/05	2 90/5/00	-	004705 2005 A	1,		incial Year	Nation	Financial		Municipa	Financial Y	L	National Fin	ancial Year	-	licipal Fina	ncial Vear	_
No. 1971 No. 1972	NAV21 Motorie NAV21 Motori	1			_	_		_		_		70/00/07	2004/05	2005/06	_	2004/05	002/06 20	_	04/05 200	706 2006	-	05 2005/0	6 2006/0	1
NAVEX NAVES NAVE	NVINTR Note the NVINTR N	RTH WEST	_				+-	+-		+	+-	+	(K.000)		+	-	- 1	7	\rightarrow	-	\neg	-	-	
N.Y.137 Matter N.Y.137 M	NVA72 Materials NVA72 Mate			-			-	_										_					_	_
NNV31 Newtoning NNV31 Newtonin	N. W. V. V. M. Reinscheight V. W. V. V. M. Reinscheight V. W. V. V. M. M. M. M. M. V. V. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M.		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_						_		=		_	_	_	_	
WWYNY Residency 100	NW373 Reactioning NW373 Reactioning NW373 Reactioning NW373 Reactioning NW373 Reactioning NW373 Reactioning NW374 Reactioning NW375 Alones (Reactioning District Municipalities 1500 1500 1500 2500			-		0	057	200	_	_	_	_			_	-	_	_	250	2501				
NNT-15 Packed Refuncional Pa	NW374 Kentengerivier 250		1 000	1 000	1 000	000	8	000	_			_			_	_		=		-				5
NATION MANY Representation 1500	NWY82 Patients Patients NWY84 Patients NWY84 Patients NWY85 Patients Patients NWY85 Patients Patients NWY86 Patients NWY86 Patients Patients NWY86 Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients Patients NWY87 Patients Pat		250	250	000	000	000	000		_		_		_		-		_			_			_
Cuttle Department Numbrigating Cuttle Department Numbrigating	Decide D			0	8	067	067	200	_				_		_		_	_			_		_	5 6
NAVIST Polimial Platiman Municipalities 1500	NWASE Teaming NWASE TEAMINING TEA	DC37 Bojanala Platinum District Municipality									_	_												_
NVWSR Kulturu NVWSR Kulturu Samara Sa	NWY381 Rathon 250 2	id: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	1 500	1 500	2 000	000	0021	9000					2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700		2 847						
NNMSR NUMBER 250 260 <t< td=""><td>NWT932 Temporal Experiment Particular Municipalities 250<</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>2000</td><td>000</td><td>7 000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2 700</td><td>2 847</td><td>2 847</td><td>2 700</td><td></td><td>2 847</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>-11-</td></t<>	NWT932 Temporal Experiment Particular Municipalities 250<				-	2000	000	7 000					2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700		2 847				1	1	-11-
NWYST Twenty NWYST Markety NWY	NVV38A Exemple Desired Municipality 256 250		250	250	900	090	-	-	_					-					1	Ĺ			1	. T
NVWSI NAME Marked Marke	NVW384 Discrete Desire Municipality 2 50 1 50		250	067	200	057	250	200		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_						_
NAVNSS Zerord NAVNS Zerord NAVNS	NAVEST DESCRIPTION 2.790 1.500 1.500 2.750 1.500 2.600 2.500 2.600 2.500 2.600 2.500 2.600 <td></td> <td>0000</td> <td>007</td> <td>200</td> <td>250</td> <td>250</td> <td>200</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>		0000	007	200	250	250	200	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_					_
NVNSS Zeconnet NVNSS Zeconnet 2675 2821 2	NW395 Zectoral District Municipality 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 2675 281 281 281 2675 NW391 Nuv391 Nelodi NV392 Nelodi 250		7 /30	200	1 500	2 750	1 500	200	_		_	_		_		_	_	_		_				_
Carticipality Carticipalit	DCCORT Municipalities DCCORT District Municipalities DCCORT District Ductor District Ductor District Ductor District Ductor District Ductor District Ductor		_			_			_			_					_	_	_					_
Auxy 12 Registant 2.60 2.50 2.50 2.60 <td>Ordit. Gentral Municipalities 3 250 2 600 2 500 2 607 2 607 2 821 2 821 2 675 NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW930 Kalevian NW9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>=</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Ordit. Gentral Municipalities 3 250 2 600 2 500 2 607 2 607 2 821 2 821 2 675 NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW939 Kalevian NW930 Kalevian NW9				_	-	_				_						_	=	_	_		_	_	_
NW393 Kigkisun 250	NW391 Kagisano Valeti NW392 Kagisano Valeti 2675 2821 2821 2831 2831 2635 NW392 Nateri NW392 Kagisano 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	il: Central Municipalities	3.250	2 000	2 500	3 350	0000	-		-	-		2 675	2 821	2 821	2 675		_			_			
NW393 Names 250 <th< td=""><td>NW391 Kaptean NW392 Marcial Septemble 250 25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0007</td><td>3 430</td><td>2 000</td><td>7 200</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2 675</td><td>2 821</td><td>2 821</td><td>2 675</td><td>L</td><td>L</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>_1.</td></th<>	NW391 Kaptean NW392 Marcial Septemble 250 25				0007	3 430	2 000	7 200					2 675	2 821	2 821	2 675	L	L	1		1		1	_1.
NW 999 NW 900 N	NW392 Natesit NW392 Natesit Num392 Natesit Num392 Natesit Num392 Natesit Num392 Natesit Num392 Natesit Num393 Natesit Num394 Circumstrate 250		250	250	909	030	0	-	_	_				-	-	-		1			1			<u>-</u> -
NWW943 Gmentrust 250	NW394 Grane Taung NW395 Melyae			000	Onc.	067	720	200		_	_			_		-		=						,
NW3935 McDivide Biblishiral Multicipality 256 250	NW.395 Africate Tatung 250 2		250	250	200	250	250	9		_					_	-		=						_
NW/930 Model NW/930 Model<	NW 306 Lew Maniepalities 250		250	250	200	250	250	200	_			_						=						_
NAVIOL Protection Protect	NV-2029 Captivities District Municipality 1 000 1 000 2 000 1 000 2 000 1 000 2 000		250	250	200	250	250	200	_	_			_		_	_		_						_
10th Beptimina Municipalities 2000 1 600 1 600 1 600 2 600 2 600 2 600 3 902 <th< td=""><td>ratic Bophirina Municipalities 1 000 2 000 2 000 1 000 2 000 2 000 3 00 3 00 3 00 3 700</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td>=</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></th<>	ratic Bophirina Municipalities 1 000 2 000 2 000 1 000 2 000 2 000 3 00 3 00 3 00 3 700						_				_				_			=						_
NW401 Ventersdorp NW401 Ventersdorp NW401 Ventersdorp NW402 Parterstorm NW403 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW403 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW403 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW403 Ricksdorp NW403 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW403 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404 Ricksdorp NW404	NW401 Ventersdop Ventersdop	l: Bophirima Municipalities	1 000	000	0000		-	-					3 700	3 902	3 902	3 700								_
NW401 Venterschop Ventersc	NW401 Portexchorp NW402 Portexchorp NW403 Portexchorp NW403 Portexchorp NW403 Portexchorp NW403 Portexchorp NW403 Portexchorp NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW404 Marquaxsi Hills NW405 Marquaxsi Hills NW406 Marquaxsi Hills NW406 Marquaxsi Hills NW407 Marquaxsi Hills NW407 Marquaxsi Hills NW408 Marquaxsi Hills NW408 Marquaxsi Hills NW409 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400 Marquaxsi Hills NW400		000 1	1 000	7 000	1 000	1 000	2 000					3 700	3 902	3 902	3 700	1	1	1					
NW402 Porthefolton NW402 Porthefolton NW402 Porthefolton NW403 Porthefolton NW403 Porthefolton NW403 Porthefolton NW403 Porthefolton NW404 Material Southern Municipalities	NW402 Proteferform 2 GO 1 250 250 550 500 NW402 Misterskiller District Municipalities 111 250 15 50 15		0,00	-	0.00	_	_			_						200	ł		1					
NW443 Kickkslop NW443 NW44 Minicipalities	NW403 Kick-klopp NW403 NW		2 000	720	200	250	250	200							_			_						_
Nav44 Matteres Hills Nav4 Matteres Hills Nav4 Matteres Hills	NW404 Maquaesi Hilk DC40 Southern District Municipality 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 2478 2350 247		1 750	900	000	2 000	000	000	_	_		_	_	_		_	-	_						_
DC40 Southern District Municipality 1 500 1 1 250 1 500 1 1 250 1 500 1 1 250 1 500 1 1 250 1 500 1 1 250 1 2 478 1 1 2 5 1	DC40 Southern Municipalities 1 500 1 500		1,30	990	000	1 750	000	000	_			_			_	_	-	=						
5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3750 4000 5500 3978 3978 3978 3978 3978 3978 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 11 425 12 048 11 405 17 048 <td< td=""><td>5 500 3 750 4 000 5 500 3 750 4 000 2 350 2 478 2 478 2 350 1 1 250 8 250 1 0 500 1 1 250 8 250 1 0 500 1 1 250 8 250 1 2 048 1 2 048 1 1 4 25 1 2 048 1 1 4 25 1 2 048 1 1 4 25</td><td>DC40 Southern District Municipality</td><td>1 500</td><td>200</td><td>200</td><td>000</td><td>000</td><td>000</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	5 500 3 750 4 000 5 500 3 750 4 000 2 350 2 478 2 478 2 350 1 1 250 8 250 1 0 500 1 1 250 8 250 1 0 500 1 1 250 8 250 1 2 048 1 2 048 1 1 4 25 1 2 048 1 1 4 25 1 2 048 1 1 4 25	DC40 Southern District Municipality	1 500	200	200	000	000	000			_				_	_		_						
2 350 2 478	11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 12 048 11 255 12 048 11 255	: Southern Municipalities	5 500	3 750	4 000	2000	200	2000			-		2 350	2 4781	2 478	2 350		_						
11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500	11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 425 12 048 12 048 11 425				000	DOC C	06/6	4 000		-			2 350	2 478	2 478	2 350				İ	l	Ĺ	1	
11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500	11 250 8 250 10 500 11 250 8 250 10 500 11 425 12 048 11 425		_	_	_	_		_	_		_			-				_	L	1				
11 425 12 048 11 254 12 048 12 048 11 245 12 048 11 245 12 048 12 0	11 250 12 500 11 250 12 500 11 425 12 648 12	: North West Municipalities	11 250	- 1	- 1		- 1		-			_	_											
	CTL II OLO TY OLO TY		VC4 11	- [- 1	Ⅎ	Į	0 200		-			11 425			-	_	1		- 1	- 1			_

National Timerial Variation Communication			7 1000	O Manual Co	The state of the s	177		-																	
National Procession 1,000			Notional	ojo no di	計	Manage	ment Grant		Loca	Governme	칠	uring Grant		W	unicipal Sy	stems Impr	vement Pr	ogramme	L		SUR.TO	LAI . DECI	TODGAL		Γ
Control Cont			2004/05 200	2 90/50	1		POSMS 200	2	National Fin	ancial Year	۲;	icipal Finan	cial Year	Nations	l Financia	H	Municipal	Financial Y		National Fir	nancial Yes	-	Municipal Financial Vear	ncial Vear	Τ.
Control Note Cont	- 1		-				2.000) (R	_					_	2004/05			004/05 2	005/06 200	7 20	-	5,06 200	07 2	/0.5 2005/06	06 2006/07	20
Control Cont	WESTERN CAPI	8						-		+	+	+	+	(000 V	(A 000)	+	-	+		-	-	(R'000)	(8.000)	(R'000)	6
WORD CONTROLL WORD CON	<	City of Cape Town		4 000	1 000	4 000	4 000	000																	
W.D.S. Seminately S.D. Sample			250	250	200	250	250.	200										-	<u> </u>		ĺ		4 000 4	4 000	000
Victor Security Victor Sec						-	}	8												250	250	200	250	250 5	200
March Marc	B O	015 Swartland C1 West Coast District Municipality	200	200	200	200	200	200	_																-
WIGUST Description WiguST Description WiguST Description Application A	Total: West Coast	Municipalities		1 750	2 000	1 750		2 000	-	+				2 000	2 109	2 109	2 000						3 000 3 1	3 109	3 109
WORDS Description Among Description														7 000	2 109	2 109	2 000				\perp		3 750 3 859		4 109
W. Charles Selective Level, village Level Michaelsh 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00 1 00 2 00				2 000	2 000	2 000		2 000										_					_		
WCOST Brown Brine Windshale 200 100 200<				000	000	1 000		000	_						_				_				2 000 2 0	2 000 2 0	2 000
Control Hollender Cont															_		_		_						00
Maintengement 1500	C	22 Boland District Municipality		2 000	2 000	2 000		2 000						,											
WOOD Topogrammals of WOOD Topogrammals with WOOD Topogrammals of WOOD Topogrammals with WOO	Lotar: Boland Mu	neipalities		2 000	5 000	2 000		2 000	-		-			2 400	2 531	2 531	2 400			\perp		_	4 400 4 531	31 4 531	न्न
WCDA Coveragement 1500 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>201</td> <td>1007</td> <td>1007</td> <td>7 400</td> <td></td> <td>L</td> <td></td> <td>L</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>531 7.5</td> <td>31</td>								-	-	-				201	1007	1007	7 400		L		L		-	531 7.5	31
W. C. H. Sameric Municipality 1500 150				200	1 500	1 500		1 500											_						
DC3			C S C	-	-	-								-					_				1 500 1 500		1 500
WCM24 Langeholdites 3.569 3.56	DC	3 Overberg District Municipality	1 500	220	200	250		200									_						250 250		8
WCD41 Example 250 250 250 500 1000	I otal: Overberg A	unicipalities	Ш	1250	3 500	3 250		1 500	-	-				2 350	2 478	2 478	2 350			\perp	ĺ	ĺ		3 9 7 8	78
March 21 Jungebre 22 March 24 March 25 March			-											7 220	0/47	2/4/2	066.7	-			┙		5 600 5 728		28
WCM243 Moscel Bay WCM245 Consequence WCM245 Con			720	250	200	250	250	200				_		_	_				_	250	250	200	250	250	200
W.CMAS Petersheeper Bay 1 000 1 00								_	_																
W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicentengonis W.C.9.5 Peicengonis W.C.9.5 Peicengonis <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>000</td> <td>000 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>000</td> <td></td> <td>13</td>				000	000 1			000																	13
WCD24 Eden District Municipality 1 000				_														_					1 000	000 00	
National Patricipality 1500 150				000	1 000			000							-			_							
WCO51 Laingshurg 250	X .	4 Eden District Municipality		200	1 500			200	_					7	-	-			_				1 000 1 000		00
WCOS1 Laingshug 250 <th< td=""><td>Jotal: Eden Munic</td><td>palities</td><td></td><td>750</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td>000</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>2 400</td><td>2531</td><td>2531</td><td>2 400</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>┙</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>3</td></th<>	Jotal: Eden Munic	palities		750		L		000			-			2 400	2531	2531	2 400	_	_		┙	1		-	3
WCOS2 Prince Albert 250			250	250	200	250	750	9								1007	2	166	L	\perp		1	0 150 6 281	6 531	<u></u>
W.CO53 Beaufort West W.CO52 Courtal Karoo Division West Rarvo Municipalities Karvo Municipalities 1. Cape Municipalities 1.			250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250		250 2.	250 50	200
State Stat																			_	250	250				00
Cape Municipalities 18 500	Fotal: Central Kara	Municipalities		250	200	250	250	200						3 100	3 269	3 269									
1. Cape Municipalities 18 500 18 500 18 500 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 19 500 17 000 19 50 000 18 500 17 000 19 50 000 19		campanines		750	1 500	750	750 1	200						3 100	3 269	3 269	1						3 850 4 019	0 4 760	200
18 500 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 500 17 000 18 50 000																	L.		<u>L</u>						
192 900 350 000 192 900 350 000	total: western Ca	ne Municipalities	1	łΙ		Ιł	!	000						12 250	12 918		1		\perp		_	018 20 750	50 31 418	20 00 00	10
192 900 350 000 192 900 350 000 192 900 350 000 192 900 192 900 192 900 192 900 192 900 192 900 350 000 192 900 350 000	Unallocated and other	ner National Allocations inprovement Programme														1				1	1	1			21
	Kestructuring Grant												350 000	9	30.000							000 40 000	000 350 000	0 50 000 0 350 000	<u> </u>
NATIONAL 1 O.3.1 137 000 135 000 136 000 137 000 135 000 135 000 342 900 342	vational 1 otal		137 000 132	500 13	36 000 13	7 000 13	2 500 136					_	350 000			- 1		- 1			207		100		

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

(National and Municipal Financial Years)

APPENDIX E4 (1 01 2)

		Mations		-			+			ı	Targett Transport Toler (1975)	,		SUB-101AL: INFRASIRUCIURE	A	CONTROL OF	O. C.	
N.		2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 200	Xear 2006/07	Municip	O06/07 2004/05 2005/07	+	National I	National Financial Year	1	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Nation	National Financial Year		Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality		(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)		(R'000) (R	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'C	= 2	705 2005/06 301 (R:000)	6 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	2006/07
EASTERN CAPE						 	+-	+	+-	+	+-	-	(000 W)	+	+-	+	+-	200
A Nelson Mandela								11 781	000 51								-	
3 EC101 Camdeboo										-		200	11 /91	15 000	+	13910	12 750	
	e e		-					1 249	400		88 8	850	1 249	1 000		1 188	850	
B EC103 IKwezi B EC104 Makana						_								-		3	2	
EC105								130	100			88		100		15	82	
	alley						_	859	200	_	5/0	425	1 730			1 370	307	
EC107			_	_			_	}	200	_		3 8	60	2002		/80	170	
EC108	-						-	866	1 500	_	124 1 275	75	668	1 500	_	1 124	1 275	
	Municipality	900								_					_			
otal: Cacadu Municipal	vient panty	3 000			2 550	-	+	4 737	1700				3 000			2 550	-	
					2		-	16/4	3 / 00	4	3 145	2	7737	3 700	1	7 024	3 145	
B EC121 Mbhashe			_		_										_	_		
	_																_	
EC123								403	200		478 47	425	403	200		478	425	
EC125									- 000									
EC126		_					_	10 200	12 000	12	12 060 10 200	0	10 260	12 000		12 060	10 200	
								1 550	200	_							-	
EC128							_	2 325	800	7 7	2 445 68	089	2 325	800	_	2 445	595	
otal: Amatole	Municipality	9 700		1	8 245		-						9 700			8 245		
an inches de la company de la		9 700			8 245	-		14 538	14 000	16	16 483 11 900	0	24 238	14 000		24 728	11 900	
B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba								2 480	1 000	_	0366		7 400			0000		
								558	009				258	-		7 280	820	
EC133 Inkwanca			_					410	400	_	437 340	0	410	400		437	340	
								1 445	1 600	_		0	1 445	1 600		1 685	1 360	
	-	_	_					1 705	1 800	_	276		302					
		_						3	2	-			60/1	000		1 930	5/71	
EC138 Sakhisizwe DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality	Municipality	10.1				_				_								
ŀĕ	ct municipanty	4 104			3 488		+	K 507	100	1			4 104			3 488		
				1	2 400	-		\perp	001 c	١	6 799 4 335	2	10 701	2 100		10 288	4 335	
EC141 Elundini						-		4 168	1 600	3	3 708 1 360		4 168	1 600		3 708	1 360	
EC142 Sengu EC143 Maleteuni		-																
				_				1 550	2 000		850 1 700	0	1 550	2 000		1 850	1 700	
DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	rict Municipality	1 000			850	_			200				1 550	009		840	210	
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities		1 000			850			7 268	4 200	9	6 398 3 570	0	8 268	4 200	-	7 248	3 570	
EC152 Nabankulu												_						
EC153 Qauken EC154 Port St. Johns		_				_									_			
				_			_											
EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo	idyebo							5 580	8 000	9	6 780 6 800		5 580	8 000		6 780	6 800	
Total: O.R Tambo Municipalities	net Municipality	5 500	+		4 675								5 500			4 675		
campa di mara		0000			4 675	+	+	5 580	8 000	او:	6 780 6 800		11 080	8 000		11 455	008 9	
EC05bl Umzimkhulu		_									_							
ECUSDS Unizinvubu DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipality	Minicipality	900			326													
1.21	(ameliana)	1 500			1 275			+	+	+			1 500		+	1 275	-	
		_					_		-									
Total: Eastern Cane Municipalities								- 1										
rate pastern cape intumerpantes		54 804			21 083			50 502	20 000	27 8 72	100 CY 24		75 306	20 000		0000		-

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

		Buildi	ing for Spor	ts and Reci	reation Pro	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant		National	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	n Program	me (NFP)			EOT GITS	TAT. TATE	SHARO		
		Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Nati	National Financial Vear	al Vear	Municit	Municipal Cinemaial Vac-	Vest		OI-dos	SUB-IUIAL: INFRASIRUCIURE	ASTRUC.	TOKE.	,
Category Number	Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	20	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	04/05 2005/06 2006/	100	2004/05	2005/105 2005/06 2006/1	2006/07
		(mmv)		+	(K 000)	(K.000) (K.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
FREE STATE																		
B	a december										_				_	-		
B ES163	Kommon						620			420	340		029	400		720	340	_
	Moboling		-		-			400		09	340			400	_	071	340	
	Monokare		_				_	_		ì		_		200		3	045	_
Mal. Vharian	Ahariep District Municipality	1 600			1 360								1,600			1360	_	
A Orani Andriep Municip	Milles	1 600			1 360		620	008		480	680		2 220	000		1 300	00)	
							-				200		7 770	Mo	+	1 840	080	
FS171	Naledi		_		_	_	_											
	Mangaung					_	4216	2000		7 0 66	030						-	
B FS173	Mantsopa									4 900	05.4		4 216	2 000		4 966	4 250	
C DC17	Motheo District Municipality		-					7007		30	170			200	_	30	170	
Total: Motheo Municipalities	alities						1 216	1		1								
							4 71	007 0		4 996	4 420		4 216	5 200		4 996	4 420	
	Masilonyana						_					_					-	
	Tokologo						-				_			_				_
	Tswelopele						403			203			403	_		203		
B FS184	Matjhabeng	_	_				-					_		_		_		
	Nata	_				_	620	1 000		770	820		620	1 000	_	770	850	
C DC18	Lejwelcputswa District Municipality	4 309			3 663			700		105	295			700	_	105	295	
Total: Lejweleputswa Municipalities	unicipalities	4 309	-		3,663			,					4 309			3 663	-	_
				1	6		1 020	00/1		1 078	1 445		5 332	1 700		4 741	1 445	
	Setsoto		-	_						_								
FS192	Dihlabeng	_		_		_			-			_		_	_			
FS193	Nketoana			_						,		-		-				
	Maluti-a-Phofung			_			016			355	255		310	300		355	255	
FS195	Phumelela						17.873	15		14 193	13 582		17 873	15 979		14 193	13 582	
C DC19	Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality				_			200	_	75	425	_	_	200		75	425	
Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	ane Municipalities						10 103	022.71		-								_
FS201	Moohaka						10 10			14 023	14 262		18 183	16 779		14 623	14 262	
	Newathe						620	1 000		770	850		620	1 000		770	850	
FS204	Metsimaholo						1116		_	466	850	_	1116	000	_	466	850	
	Mafube			_	_		1 240			1 390	820	_	1 240	1 000	_	1 390	850	
C DC20	Northern Free State District Municipality	7 500					310	1 000		460	820		310	1 000		460	850	_
Total: Northern Free State Municipalities	te Municipalities	4 500			3 823								4 500		_	3 825		
					2 042		3 286	4 000		3 086	3 400		7 786	4 000		6 911	3 400	
												_					-	
Total: Free State Municipalities	palities	10 409	1	+	0100		900											
		70L 04			0 040		27 328	28 479		24 263	24 207		37 737	28 479		33 111	24 207	Γ

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

		Buildin	ng for Spor	ts and Reco	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	gramme Gr	ant.	Ż	ational Elec	trification P	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	(EP)	Ļ	STIR-TO	TALINE	SUB-TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE	FIIDE	
		Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Vear	_	Municinal Fi	Municinal Financial Vear	Nation	National Financial Voor	Voor	Municipa	M. icinal Financial V	
Category	Number Municipality		-		2004/05	2005/06	2	2004/05	2005/06 20	07 20	2004/05 2005/06	106 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	1 ear
		(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (A				(R'000)			(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
GAUTENG																+-	+-	
	i	_				_			_									
<	Ekurhuleni	1 350	_		1 148		_	2 000	10 000		4 900	8 500	6 350	000001		070	000	
V.	City of Johannesburg	1 350			1 148			10 000	000 6			7 650	11350			0 0 0 0	0000	
V	City of Tshwane	3 250			2 763			10 000	7 000			2 950	13 250	7 000		0 673	0697	_
	H 14COLD									-			007 01			0 0.62	0.550	
2 0					_	_	_		200		75	425		200		75	425	
9 (CBLCZ Kungwim			_	_				200		75	425		200		75	425	
Total: Metanodi	CBLC2 Metsweding District Municipality	1 370			1 165				_				1 370			1 165		•
Total: Metswed	Joint: Metsweding Municipalities	1 370			1 165				1 000		150	850	1 370	1 000		1 315	850	
<u>m</u>	G7421 Emfuleni	036			-													T
<u> </u>		000		-	1 103			_	1 000		150	850	1 368	1 000		1313	850	
		000			820			2 240	1 000		1 790	850	3 240	1 000		2 640	850	
2 0		_						2 530	2 000		300	700	2 530	2 000		300	1 700	
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities	Municipalities	335.6			1013				000	1								
		7 300			2 013		+	4 770	4 000		2 240 3	3 400	7 138	4 000		4 253	3 400	
<u>B</u>	GT411 Mogale City	1 500			1 275								,					
В	GT412 Randfontein	009			017				1 300			5/7	200	_	_	1 500	1 275	
8					OTC			7 130	2000		2 205	425	2 730			2715	425	
8					_				1 000			820			_	150	820	
C	CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality							4 070	1 500		3 795 1	275	4 070	1 500		3 795	1 275	
Total: West Ran	Total: West Rand Municipalities	2 100			1 785			6 200	4 500	+	5 375	3 825	6 300	4 500		0.120	200	
												670	o Sono			o Ton	3 873	
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	Municipalities	11 788			10.020	+	+	35 070	26 500	ľ								
		20, 20			70.07	-		0/6 00	35 500	7	26 162 30	30 175	47 758	35 500		36 182	30 175	

		Building for	Sports and Re	creation Pro	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant		National Electrification Programme (NED)	fication Proces	Ommo (MED			on or or				
		National Financial Year	ancial Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Vear	-	Municipal Financial Voca	iol Voor		SUB-10	IAL: INF	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	LORE	
Category	Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	706 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	20	2005/06 2006/07	07 2	5 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05		Year 2006/07	Municip 2004/05	Municipal Financial Year 204/05 2005/06 2006/0	1 Year 2006/07
KWAZULU-NATAL	NATAL		+	(000)	+	+-	(K'000) (K'000)	00) (R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000)
<	c'Ihekwini															
	1000					73 /17	25 000	18 597	77 21 250		23 712	25 000		18 597	21 250	
<u>n</u>																
<u> </u>	KZ213 Umamiya						· <u>-</u>									_
B					_	_										
В			_		_	843	2 500	1 218	8 2 125		843	2.500		1 218	2 135	
B												1		0171	6717	
O.	DC21 Ugu District Municipality	4 500		3 825										_		
1 otal: Ugu Municipalities	unicipalities	4 500		3 825		873	2 500				4 500			3 825		
a						C#6	7 300	1 218	8 2125		5 343	2 500		5 043	2 125	
9 80	K2222 uMshwathi K2222 uMngani					_	_	_								
В			_			620	3 000	1 070	0 2 550		620	3 000		1 020		
В								_			070	2000		0/01	OSC 7	
В	KZ225 Msunduzi		_		_					_	_			_		
В	KZ226 Mkhambathipi					4 041	1 500	3 954	4 1 275		4 041	1500		3 054	1 275	
В					_								_	+0.4	6/7	
C	DC22 uMgungundlovu District Municipality	3 600		3 060							_					
Total: uMgung	Jotal: uMgungundlovu Municipalities	3 600		3 060		1777	4 700				3 600	_		3 060		_
	KZ333					100 +	4 500	5 024	3 825		8 261	4 500		8 084	3 825	
. B	KZ233 Indaka KZ233 Indaka	-				2 324	1 000	1 862	850		7 374	0001				
	. –	_			_			_			+767	000		798 1	820	
	_					1 798	1 000	1 080	058 (0		1 798	1 000		1 080	850	
В		-			_		_								3	
C	DC23 Uthukela District Municipality	3 000		2.550.	-		_									
Lotal: Uthukela	10tai:Utnuketa Mumicipalities	3 000		2 550		4 122	2 000	2,042	000		3 000			2 550		
8	K7241 Endumeni							7	1		/ 177	2 000		5 492	1 700	
. T						1 245	1 000	1 395	850		1 245	1000		1 205	050	_
В											2	200		282	000	
3										-						
נו	DC24 Umzinyathi District Municipality	1 400									_					
Fotal: Umzinya	Fotal: Umzinyathi Municipalities	1 400	1	061							1 400			1 190		
				1190		1 245	1 000	1 395	850		2 645	1 000	+	2 585	850	Γ
~ .			_	_		-050	- 000								L	
						1 240	1 000	1 390	820	_	1 240	1 000		1 390	850	
n -							2006	45				300		45	255	_
oful. Amounto	Oct. Americk M	1 500		1 275	_	_		_		-					_	_
one amalana	cyanicipantes	1 500		1 275		1 240	1 300	1 435	1 105	Ť	1 500	900	+	1 275		
						1	2000	1 100		-	1 14	41161	_	2710	1 105	-

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports and	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant		National Electrification Programme (NEP)	SUID TOTAL INI	The Action of Contrasts
	ial Financia	Municip	Nation	Municipal Financial Voca	NI : TOTOL ACC	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE
Category Number Municipality	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	07 2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 0) (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	7 2004/05 2005/06	2004/05 2005/06	2005/06	Municipal Financial Year 2004/05 2005/06 2006/07
			(ann w)	(R.000) (R.000) (R.000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)
KZ261						
KZ262			000 1 6//	1 000 1 275	775 1 500	1 000 1 275
KZ263					200	
			1 350 4 000	2 150 3 400	1 550 4 000	3
0077A			6 346 7 000			
Total: Zululand Municipalities	3 000	2 550		2 848 5 950	3 200	2 848 5 950
campaint transfer and the second seco	3 000	2 550	8 671 13 000	6 073 11 050	11 671 13 000	2 550
B KZ271 Umhlabuvafinoana						
					_	
KZ273						
B KZ274 Hlabisa						
KZ275						
C DC27 Umkhanyakude District Municipality	1 500	1 275	3 922 1 000	3 324 850	3 922 1 000	3 324 850
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	1 500	1 275	3 022			1 275
B K7281 Mhommehi			2376	3 324 850	5 422 1 000	4 599 850
	_					
79707 72767			200	307		
17794 17794					200	75 425
KZ284			2005	200	:	
V877X			200	674 67	200	75 425
NZ286						
DC28 u Unugulu District Municipality	2 700	2 295				
Autas: u i nungutu Municipalities	2 700	2 295	1 000			
100000			7 000	058 051	2 700 1 000	2 445 850
KZ291						
KZ292						
KZ293			000 / 68/ 0	6 649 5 950	000 2 682 9	6 649 5 950
KZ294						
C DC29 Ilembe District Municipality	3 100	2 635				
Total: Hembe Municipalities	3 100	2 635	000 7 087 9		3 100	
B KZ5al Innwe				0045	9 889 7 000	9 284 5 950
KZ5a3						
			200		\$00	
B KZ5a5 Ubuhlebezwe			973 1 200	968 1 020	973 1 200	968
C DC43 Sisonke District Municipality	1 582					
Total: Sisonke Municipalities	1 582	1 345	002		1 582	1 345
			00/1 2/2	1 043 1 445	2 556 1 700	2 388 1 445
Total: KwaZulu-Natal Municipalities	25 882	22,000	- 1	ı		
		2000	90 09 6/1 90	47 850 51 000	82 061 60 000	69 850 51 000

	Building fo	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	creation Prog	ramme Grant		National Elec	trification	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	NEP)		SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRIICTURE	INFRASTR	HCTUBE	
ı	National Fin	ਕ⊩	Municipa	1.E.F		LSI	Year	Municipal F	Municipal Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	-	Municipal Financial Year	al Year
Category Number Municipality		(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000) (2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	707 2004/05 70) (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 21 (R'000) (1	2004/05 200 (R'000) (R'0	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	2	5 2005/06	2006/07
LIMPOPO				-			╁	 	+	(coo.w)	+	+	+	(A 1000)
CBLC3 CBLC4	1 000		850							1 000		850	ō	
CBLC.3 Greater I ubatise CBDC3 Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	3 000		2 550							3 000		0.550		
Total: Oreater Seknukhune Cross Boundary Municipalities	4 000		3 400							4 000		3 400		
B NP04A1 Maruleng B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge C CBDC4 Bohlabela District Municipality	1 500		1 275							005		275) v	
Lucal: Bontabeta Municipalities	1 500		1 275				-			1 500		1 275	n w	
B NP331 Greater Giyani B NP332 Greater Letaba														
				-	446	200		75	425	446	500	75	5 425	•••
DC33 Mopani District Municipality	3 000		2.550				_							
Total: Mopani Municipalities	3 000		2 550		446	1 000		206	850	3 000	1 000	2550	Ì	
B NP34 Musina B NP32 Musica						1		93	527	PH C	620	916	93 527	
NP343	1 500		1 275							1 500		127		
B NF344 Makhado C DC34 Vhembe District Municipality	1 500		1 275		302	1 500	····	385 1	1 275	305	1 500	385	5 1 275	•
Total: Vhembe Municipalities	3 000		2 550		302	2 120		1 827	1 802	1 500	2130	1 275	2	
B NP351 Blouberg B NP352 Aganang	1 966		1671						850	1 966	000 1	3 928		
B NP353 Molemole B NP354 Polokwane	9 000		5 100		4 650	300		5 080	255 3 953	10 650	300	45	255	
D DC35 Capricorn District Municipality			<u>.</u>											
Total: Capricorn Municipalities	7 966		6 771		4 650	5 950		5 275 5	5 058	12 616	5 950	12 045	5 5 058	T
B NP361 Thabazimbi B NP362 Lephalale B NP364 Mookeanone						200		75	425		200	7.5	425	
NP365					3 875				1 700	3 875	2 000	4 17:	1 700	
NP367	1 500		1 275	-	812	500		530	425	812	500	530		
C DC36 Waterberg District Municipality	1 500		1 275						617	1 500	720	1 275	213	-
Total: WaterDerg Municipalities	3 000		2 550		4 821	3 250		4 951 2	2 763	7 821	3 250	7 501	2 763	
								<u></u>						
Total: Limpopo Municipalities	22 466		19 096		10 218	12 320	H	11 300 10	10 472	32 684	12 320	30 395	10 472	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		National	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	reation Programn	ne Grant	N	National Electrification Programme (NFP)	ation Progra	nme (NFP)		a diagram			
Category Number Municipality	nicipalita.	2004/05 2005/06		щ.	ncial Year	National	National Financial Year	Munic	Municipal Energial V		SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRI	CTURE	
- 1	repair	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05 2	2005/06 2006/07	2	2005/06 2006/07	200	National Financial Year	100	.∺-	d Year
MPIMALANGA		-	+-	(NOO) (NOO)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000)	_		70/ 2004/05		2006/07
THE CHARLANGA						-					+	+	(M 000)	(K.000)
MP301	Albert Luthiti													
MP302	Msukaligwa	000		850		3 100	3 000	7.510						
MP303	opuc	000		850		1 705	2 000	2310		4 100		3 360	2 550	
MP304		000		820		2 083	2 000	1 130	700	2 705		2 006		
	'a					133	340	118	00/ 1	3 083	2 000	2 223	1 700	
MP306	Dipaleseng					1 626	1 500	1155	1 275	133	340	118	289	
B MP307 Govan	Govan Mbeki					930	009	070	5/7	1 626	1 500	1 155	1 275	
C DC30 Gert S	Gert Sibande District Municipality					1 653	4 000	1452	3 400	930	009	970		-
10tal: Gert Sibande Municipalities	lities	3,000							0	600	4 000	1 452	3 400	
		2000		2 550		11 231	13 440	8724	11.434					
B MP311 Delmas	as							5	17 474	14 231	13 440	11 284	11 424	
MP312	hleni					096	1 000	0.00	1					
MP313	Steve Tshwete	1 197		1 018	_	3 000	3 931	1 220	850	096	1 000	1 050	850	-
MP314	spues					1 864	2 000	1 130	3 341	4 197	3 931	2 739	3 341	
MP315	pisile					1 120	1 000	050	00/ 1	1 864	2 000	1 139	1 700	
B MP316 Dr.JS P	Dr JS Moroka					_		056	920	1 120	1 000	950	850	
C DC31 Nkang	Nkangala District Municipality	3,000				_	1 000	150	850		900			
10tal: Nkangala Municipalities		4 107		2 550						000	000	150	820	
		1611		3 568		6 944	8 931	5 010	7 591	3 000	0 031	2 550		
MP321	Thaba Chweu										10.60	8 278	7 591	
	bela	1 000		0						_				
MP323	-		_	820			5 000	3 765	4 250	4 350	000			
MP324	izi					1 779	2 000	2.079	1 700	000	0000	4 615	4 250	
C DC32 Ehlanze	Ehlanzeni District Municipality	9	-			4 986	2 000	1 785	4 750	1119	2 000	2 079	1 700	
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities		2 500		1 275				3	007 +	4 986	2 000	1 785	4 250	
		7 200		2 125		10 115 1	12 000	0697	10 300	1 2000		1 275		
									007.01	C19 71	12 000	9 754	10 200	
Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	ies	0 607												
		1000		8 243		28 289 3	34 371	21 373	29 215	37 006	34.34			
									1,000	37 700	34 3/1	29 616	29 215	

		Build	Building for Sports and	s and Recr	Recreation Programme Grant	ramme Gra	int	Natio	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	tion Progra	mme (NEP			SHR-TOTAL : INERASTRICTURE	INFPA	CTDIICT	DE	
		Nation	73.	Year	Municips	Municipal Financial Year	Year	National Financial Year	ancial Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	rial Year	Nations	National Financial Vear	-	Minioinol	Municipal Financial Voca	Ţ
Category	Number Municipality	(R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2	2006/07 2C	2004/05 2005/06	5/06 2006/07	2	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 20	07 2	2004/05 20	2005/06 200	2006/07
NORTHERN CAPE	APE			-	┼—	+	+	+	 	+		(N 000)	(W 000)	(14,000)	(K 000)	(K000) (K	(K'000) (R'	(8,000)
		3 413			2 901													
									000	2	425		3 413	200	_	2 976	425	
9 O	CBLCI Ga-Segonyana CBDCI Kgalagadi District Municipality			-					200	30	0/1 0			200		30	170	
Total: Kgalagae	Total: Kgalagadi Cross Border Municipalities	3 413			2 901		-	-	200	105	202		1413	901		7000	100	
	NOOK Richtenguald												0.410	B	+	3 000	565	
2 80					-													
								720	150	623	3 128	~	720	150		623	128	
	NC065 Hantam							728	200	ĕ ;			728	200		30	1.70	
	NC066 Karoo Hoogland							252	100	12.			252	100		123	85	_
								76.4	100	- -				200		30	170	
C	DC6 Namakwa District Municipality							t07	001	<u> </u>	88		792	001		79	82	_
I otal: Namakwa Municipalities	Municipalities							1 964	750	884	819		1 064	750	+	700	630	
	NC071 Thursday												100	ne/	-	994	620	
2 2								620	100	558	85		620	100		858	8	
							_)	_	2	3	
, Z						-		264	200	294	170		264	200		294	170	
	NC075 Renosterberg																	
	NC076 Thembelihle	3 413			2 901									_				
)		_	7								3 413			2 901		
	œ						_											
٦	DC7 Karoo District Municipality													_				
Total: Karoo Municipalities	ınicipalities	3 413			2 901			884	300	851	356		4 207	900		2 2 2 2	-	T
										8			167 1	anc .	-	7¢/ ¢	667	T
Ž B	NC082 Kai ! Garib							_	000							_		_
								1 550	200	1 635	170		1 550	200		30	170	
										1 020			0001	2000		579 1	472	
	NC085 Tsantsabane																_	
2 0	NCU60 - Rgatetopete DC8 - Siyanda District Municipality							540	150	428	128		540	150		428	128	
otal: Siyanda N	unicipalities				-	-												
					-			060 7	058	2 083	723		2 090	820		2 083	723	
		_	_		_	_	_	_	200	180				- 000				_
								•	200	30	170			2000		081	020	
2 2	NCO93 Magareng													202	_		-	
C	DC9 Frances Baard District Municipality																	
Total: Frances Ba	Total: Frances Baard Municipalities							-	1 400	310	1 100							
				-						717				1 400		210	1 190	T
Total. Mindhom															-			
Lotar: Northern	Lotal: Northern Cape Municipalities	6 827			5 803			4 937 4 (4 000	4 133	3 400		11 764	4 000	1	9 935	3 400	T

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	ts and Recre	ation Progra	mme Grant		National E	National Flectrification Processes (NIPP)	Drogge	(MED)							
	National Financial Year	Year	Municipal I	Municipal Financial Year	Natio	National Financial Vear	Voor	Municia	IE (INE.F.)	T		SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	IL: INFR	STRUCI	URE	
Category Number Municipality	2002/06	-	2004/05 20	2005/06 2006/07	20	2005/06	20	2004/05	004/05 2005/06 2006//	1	National	National Financial Year	1	Municipa	·#}	Year
	(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)				_					(R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
NORTH WEST																
NW371									_			_			-	-
	1171		000		117	1 000		179	850		117	000 1		179	850	_
	1010		966		620			995	2 125	_	1 791	2 500	_	1 991	2 125	
NW374	2 500		2 125	_	2 480	2 000		3 230	4 250		3 499	2 000		4 096	4 250	
B NW375 Moses Kotane C DC37 Bojanala Platinum Dietrica Municipalita											2 500			2 125		
otal: Bojanala Platinum				_		_			_	_						
cannot hanne	4 690		3 987		3 217	8 500		4 404	7 225	T	7 907	8 500	-	8 301	7 375	
B NW381 Ratlou										I	-			0.331	C77 /	
NW382	000		030													
NW383	2 200		830								1 000			850		
NW384			0/01							_	2 200	_		1 870		_
NW385						1 000	-	150	850			1 000	_	150	850	
C DC38 Central District Municipality								-							-	
Total: Central Municipalities	3 200		2 720			1 000		150	850	$\frac{\perp}{1}$	2 200	900	+			
B NW391 Kagisano									200	T	2 200	7 000	+	7 870	820	
NW392				_							_	-				
						200		75	425			200		75	425	_
NW394	1 000		850													
NW395	1 000	_	850								000			850		
	1 200		1 020		465		-	465			1 665			850	_	
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	3 200		2.720		476	9	1						-			
d tormix				-	504	ONC		040	425		3 665	200		3 260	425	
					2 170	700		2 275	595		2.170	200		2775	202	
NW403				_	2 536	1 500		2 761	1 275		2 536	1 500		2761	1 275	-
NW404					1 550	000 I		1 700	850		1 550	000 1		1 700	850	
C DC40 Southern District Municipality			_		620				_	_	620		_)	
Total: Southern Municipalities			-	+	72.6 7	2 200				1	-			_		
		-			0/00	3 200		6 736	2 720	1	928 9	3 200		6 736	2 720	
			-					_	<u>-</u>							
Total: North West Municipalities	11 090		9 427		10 558	13 200		11 030	000				-			
					22.2	40.400	-		11 220		21 648	13 200	-	21 257	11 220	

		Building	Building for Sports and R	ecre	ecreation Programme Grant	H	National	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	n Program	ne (NEP)		SUB	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	FRASTRUC	TURE	
N State of the sta	M	2004/05	04/05 2005/06 2006/07	2	Municipal Financial Year	1	National Financial Year	lal Year	Municip	.5		힏	ncial Year	Municip	ncia	Year
Domina	uncipanty		(R'000) (R'000)					(R'000)	(R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	06 2006/07 0) (R'000)	2004/05	2005/06 2	2006/07
WESTERN CAPE										 		+-	+	(200	-	(000
A	City of Cape Town	1 000		850			8 302 9 000		8 722	7 650		- 0300				
WC011	Matzikama										<u> </u>			7106	000 /	
B WC013 Ber	Cederberg					-	558 300	_	417	255			300	417	255	***
WC014	Saldanha Bay		_				377		188	425	_		200	188	425	
B WC015 Sw.	Swartland West Coast District Municipality	909		515		. 4	465 600		555	510		372	009	372	210	
Total: West Coast Municipalities	alities	909		515		2.4	2 489 1 400		1 532	1 190	ľ	3 096 1 4	400	2 047	1 190	
WC022	Witzenberg						107		-							
WC023	Drakenstein								105	505		197	.002	301	505	
B WC025 Bree	Stellenbosch Breede Vallev	2 000		1 700					105	595	- 2		7007	1 805	595	
WC026 DC2	Boland District Municipality						1 240 400 1 116 300		780	340 255		1 240 4	400 300	780	340	
Total: Boland Municipalities	5	2 000		1 700		3 245	45 2 100		1841	1 785	<u>'</u>	5 245	100	3 541	1 705	
WC031	Theewaterskloof						L				T T	1	8	1400	1 /02	
WC032	Overstrand				_		620 500		695	425		620 5	500	695	425	
	Cape Agulhas					-			/30	340	_		00	730	340	
C DC3 Ove	Swellendam Overberg District Municipality						775 300		820	255		775 3	300	820	255	
Total: Overberg Municipalities	lies					2 465	65 1 200		2 245	1 020	1	465 1 200	18	2 245	1.020	
WC041	Kannaland	1 250									<u> </u> -	-				
WC042	Langeberg	007		1 003			23 200		253	170		250	000	1 063	9	
WC044	Mossel Bay George	650		553		2 790	-		905	1 615		_	006	1 458	1 615	
	Oudtshoorn					- 22	293 1 500		818	1 275		П	200	818	1 275	
WC047	Plettenberg Bay					<i>ò</i>			089	340		620	400	089	340	
WC048 DC4	Knysna Eden District Municipality	200		425			465 800		585	089		8 596	008	1 010	089	
I otal: Eden Municipalities		2 400		2 040		5 391	1 4800		3 241	4 080		7 791 4 800	98	5 281	4.080	
WC051	Laingsburg	1 000		850			220								3	
B WC052 Princ	Prince Albert	350		298					0/0	787		815	330	1 520	281	
C DC5 Cent	Central Karoo District Municipality	1 600		1 360			620 1 300		815	1 105	2	2 220 1 300	00	2 175	1 105	
Total: Central Karoo Municipalities	ipalities	3 300		2 805		707	1 630		1 405	1 307	<u> </u>			298		
									604 1	1 300		2 000 5	06	4 290	1 386	T
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	palities	9 306		7 910		23 506	20 130		10.001							
									13 004	111 /1	32	32 902 20 130	9	26 975	17 111	T
												-				
National Total		132 270		112 430		247 577	7 258 000		010 010	10 300	220 020	200 000				1
							-		210 077	2000	6/6		n n	333 249	219 300	

		MIG F	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	cations (i)	Implem	Implementation of Water	-	Consolidat	Consolidated Municipal								
		(Bef.	(Before Commitments)	ments)	Services	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	\dashv	Infrastructu	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	0	Munici (Final A)	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii) (Final Allocations After Commitments)	ucture Gran ter Commit	t ¹ (iii) ments)	
1		2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	al Year	Nation	National Financial Year	ļ	National F	National Financial Year	Н	National Financial Year		National Financial Year	d Year	Municipa	I Financial	Year
Category Number	Municipality	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R	0 62	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	20	2005/06 2006/07		2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	5	2006/07
EASTERN CAPE									 	\vdash	+	(ana v	(000)	(W 000)	(K000)	(8.000)	(8.000)
<	Nelson Mandela*	63 950	74 690	86 117				51 328	56 318	51 328	815.42	050 89	24 600	21.70	-		
	Camdeboo	1 556		2 096	1 300					300		055.00	<u>L</u> .		151 69	/5 94/	80 117
B EC103	Blue Crane Route	2 827	3 302							700				3 807		231	2 096
	Makana	5 715			1 025	2 000				1 025	2 000			1 007		11	1 007
B EC105	Ndlambe	5 268		7 094						950				7 696		847	7 696
	Sundays Kiver valley Bayjaans	4 324					_							5 823		/80	7 094
	Kouga	5 499		7 405								_		1 147		126	1 147
B EC109	Koukanıma	2 390	2 7				-							7 405		815	7 405
otal: Cacadu	Cacadu District Municipality	352	411	- 1				20 509 2	23 565	20 509		27 205		3 219 474	27 748	354	3 219
		166 67	⊥	39 768	3 275	2 000			23 265	23 784		27 205	32 141	39	27 748	32 979	39 768
	Mbhashe	8 968						_									
B EC122	Mnquma	12 249	14 306		_	-								12 077		1 328	12 077
	Oreat Kei Amablathi	1 894		2 551										2 551		2814	16 494
	Buffalo City*	5 292										_		7 126	_	784	7 126
	Ngqushwa	3 342			009	200		51 152 5	56 173	51 752	56 673	919 69	81 308	93 748	70 902	82 676	93 748
EC127	Nkonkobe	4 772					_							4 500		495	4 500
	Nxuba	1 054												6 426		707	6 426
Total: America	Amatole District Municipality*	98 578	=	=	30 160	31 979			111 294	128 934	143 273	136 149	160.013	1 419	***************************************	156	1419
Lotal, Amarone Munici	pannes	205 765	240 321	277 090	30 760	32 479	14	149 926 16	167 467	180 686	1	205 765	240 321	277 090	200 566	150 124 244 366	277 000
B EC131	Inxuba Yethemba	1312		1 767											+-		
EC132	Tsolwana	1 148	1 34 1	1 547										1 767		194	1 767
EC133	Inkwanca	657	768											885		170	1 547
	Intsika Yethu	7 333	8 565	7 873					-					7 873		998	7 873
EC136	Emalahleni	3 941				_								9 875		1 086	9 875
EC138	Engcobo	5 299												2 308		284	5 308
C DCI3	Chris Hani District Municipality	9007	2 402	2 769	76991	202 31	-							2 769		305	2 769
Total: Chris Hani Municipalities	tcipalities	115 610	1	155 685	16 686	16 727	2 5	100 024 100	109 565	116710	- 1	116 710	132 727	118 526			118 526
FC141	Flundini								2002	116 710	126 292	116 710	132 727	155 685	118 472	135 252	155 685
	Sengu	5 487	6 367	7 341										7 341		807	7 341
EC143	Maletswai	2 102	2 455	2.830	_									7 388	_	813	7 388
B EC144	Gariep	1 139	1 330	1 534		_								2 830		311	2 830
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	nicipalities	57 716	50 849	58 629	11 164	26 975	2	58 288 62	62 523	69 452	89 498	69 452	89 498	58 629	71 657	86 103	58 629
				77	11 104	0/607	ń		2 523	69 452	ŀ	69 452	89 498	77 722	71 657	88 203	77 722
EC151 EC152	Morgana	7 344	8 577	6886										6886		1 088	6 889
	Qaukeni	8 424	9 839	11 345										8909		899	8909
EC154	Port St. Johns	4 860	2 676	6 544		_		_						11 345		228	11 345
EC156	Mhlondo	9 163	10 702	12 339										12 339		1 357	12 339
ECI57	King Sabata Dalindyebo*	13 380)	15 628	18 019												1 091	9 920
DCIS	O.R. Tambo District Municipality*	214 776	250 845	289 224	49 873	55 907	213	213 483 237	237.386	263 356	203 203	13 380.	15 628		13 628		61081
10tal: O.K Lambo Municipalities	icipalities	269 820	315 134	363 348	49 873	55 907	213		237 386	263 356	293 293	276 736	315 134	363 348		298 375	289 224
	Umzimkhulu	5 7 19	6 6 7 9	7 701										2		\perp	010 000
EC05h2	Umzimvubu	14 462	16891	19 476										7 701		847	7 701
otal: Alfred Nzo Muni	Allred Nzo District Municipality	75 755	88 477	102 014	0019		6	94 065 102	102 738	100 165	102 738	100 165	109 597			2 142	02 014
		75 75t	117 040	161 671	9 100		7		738	100 165	102 738	100 165	109 597		101 202 101	Ш	129 191
																_	
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities	nicipalities	838 328	1 811 626	1 128 921	117 858	134 089	789	750	750 563		147 500	_ !		- 1			
				_		500 LCT	100		700	805 481	893 651	829 984	994 107 1 128 921		874 737 1 008 936 1 128 921	08 936 1 1	28 921

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula denotes a high capacity municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

				-												
	MIG For	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	ations (i)	Services	Implementation of Water Services Project (Capital)		Consolidat frastructus	Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme	Total Exict	Total Existing Commitments (ii)		Muni	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (iii)	tructure Gra	nt¹ (iii)	
		The community		C	Commitments	+	Grant Co	Grant Commitments		ang communicates		(Final	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	After Comm	itments)	
1	Nation	National Financial		Nationa	Tel.		Vational Fi	National Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	, ite	National Financial Year	rial Vear	Munici	Municipal Financial Vear	Veor
Category Number Municipality	(R'000)	(R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 20 (R'000) (R	2006/07 200 (R'000) (R'0	2004/05 20C	2005/06 2006/07 (P:000) (P:000)	02	2005/06 2006/07	200	5 2005/06	5 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
FREE STATE						-	+	-	(200 11)	+	(K OW)	(K 000)	(K 000)	(K 000)	(8,000)	(R'000)
	3 681	4 299	4 957	-	_								-	_		
B ES162 Mobilion	3 753		5 053										4 93/		556	4 95/
DC16	2 805	3 277	3 778							_			3 778		416	3 778
1.5	000	1						8 965	7 948	8 965	9312	11 170		9 516	0	1
and the state of t	10 239	11 958	13 788				7 948	8 965	7 948		9312		13 788			13 788
B FS171 Nafedi	2 487	2 904	3 340			_										
	60 739	70 9391	81 703	1 404	3 500	-									368	3 349
FS173	5 139	6 002	6 920	100	2 300	4	40 151 04	45 094	51 645	48 594	60 739	9 70 939	w	61 861	72 133	81 793
C DC17 Motheo District Municipality				201	_	_	8 428	1363	90 5				6 920		191	6 920
Total: Motheo Municipalities	68 364	79 845	92 062	11 504	3 500	1		2070	2 4 28	ı	6/1/9			-	7 307	
						ŕ		222	5/1/3	54 855	67 515	5 79 149	9 92 062	68 795	80 269	92 062
FS181	8 140	9 507	10 962													
FS182	3 695	4 316	4 976										10 962		1 206	10 962
FS183	5 805	6 780	7 818										4976		547	4 976
	49 111	57 359	66 135		_	24	24 266 2	27 262	24 266	27 262	-				860	7 818
FS185	12 050	14 073	16 226						77.7		49 []	600 / 0	00 133	20.018	58 324	66 135
Twelf Leiweler Municipality				5 613	4 000	7	16 177	18 232	21 790	22 232	26.40	31 400		27 033	27 046	16 220
A Oraci. Lety mereputs wa municipalities	78 802	92 036	106 117	5 613	4 000	4	40 443 4	45 494	46 056		75,604		104 117	1	27 940	20,000
10133									000	-	7		1		20 000	100 117
B ES102 P.11-1	17 542	20 488	23 623	6 232	4 843				6 232	4 843			23.623		003 0	22,622
FS193	11 076	12 936	14 916										14 916		1 641	14 916
FS 194	/// 0	7 916	9 127								_		9 127		100	0177
	30 4 14	42 529	49 036	5 613	8 000	23	23 704 2	23 704	29 317	31 704	36 414.	42 529	7	37 087	43 245	49 036
C DC19 Thabo Mofutsanyana District	15 136	17 678	70 207												721	6 557
Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	91815	107 235	133 643	11 045	13.042	6	37 750	58 033	51 720	58 033	57 952			58 642	59 401	20 383
R ECON Market				2611	12 043	-		81 737	87 269	94 580	94 366	6 106 753	3 123 642	П	108 611	123 642
FC303	906 6	11 570	13 340	_							9000	11 570	13 340	10.000	376 11	13 340
B FS204 Meteimabolo*	10 431	12 182	14 046	5 275	6 847		_		5 275	6 847					1 545	14 046
FS205	101/2	11 881	13 698	_		_					10 172	11 881		10 360	12.081	13 608
DC20	4 404	5 213	6 011	-	0								_		199	1109
Total: Northern Free State Municipalities	34 073	40 846	77.005	/087	3 000	27		30 504	30 094	33 504	35 369	9 40 351		35 917	35 912	
		0.00	C60 /+	9 007	784/	27	27 287 30	30 504	35 369	40 351	55 448	8 63 801	1 47 095	56 366	61 963	47 095
										-						
Total: Free State Municipalities	284 193	331 921	382 704	37 134	30 189	196	196 681	218 055	223 016	240 244						
							1	222	010 007	140 047	302 24.	349 033	382 /04	307 458	353 270	382 704

1. This tuble should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula • denotes a high capacity municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MIC	The state of		Implement	Implementation of Water	ater	Concolide	Concolidated Manie									
	(Befor	(Before Commitments)	ations (i)	Services Project (Capital)	oject (Capi		nfrastruct	Infrastructure Programme		Existing (Total Existing Commitments (ii)		Munic	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	ructure Gra	ut, (iii)	
				Comi	Commitments	_	Grant	Grant Commitments			CHICAL COLOR	-	(Final A	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	After Comm	(tments)	
	Nation			National F	National Financial Year	ear	National	National Financial Year	-	Vational Fi	National Financial Vear	T T	National Pinancial V				
Cafegory Number Municipality	7004/02	2002/06	2009/02	2004/05 20	2005/06 20	2006/07 20	2004/05	2005/06	20	2004/05	100 JOOC 10	ŀ	mar culanci	al rear	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R	(R'000)			_	_	/D/00/2 70/00/0	_			2004/05	2005/06	2009/02
CAUTENC		_					╁	╀	+	+	(N 000)	(M 000)	(K.000)	(8.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
	_			_													
A Ekurhuleni*	100 141			-	_			_				_					
A City of Johanneshure*	109 /4/		255 520					104 588	6	94 206 10	104 588	189 747	7 221613	255 520	103 253	226 342	003 330
A City of Tshwanc*	148 706	173 680	282 873		2 000	_		162 084	14	147 051 16	164 084	212 287				252 243	026 662
	00/ 04/	1/3 080	200 253				81 511	90 441	80	81511 9	90 441	148 706				176 603	200 253
GT02b1	5 060	5 910	6 814		_									L	L		
B CBLC2 Kungwini	10 002	_	13 460			_			_		_			6 814		750	6 8 1 4
C CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality						_	000				_			13 469		1 482	13 469
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	15 062	17 591	20 283		-		8 905	10 428			10 428	12 570	15 705		12 915	13 978	
			207.07		+		\$ 905	10 428		8 905	10 428	12 570	15 705	20 283	12 915	16 209	20 283
B GT421 Emfuleni*	35 082	40 974	47 242	_				-									
B GT422 Midvaal	5 4 7 5	6 394	7372			-	657.72	26 167	2,	22 759 20	26 167	35 082	40 974	47 242	35 730	41 663	47 242
	4 761	5 561	6 411											7 372		811	7 372
C DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality				_	_	_	000	-						6 4 1 1	_	705	6 411
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities	45 317	52 928	61 026				502.6	10 750			10 750	9 8 19	11 637		10 019	10 357	
							31 904	36 917	3.	31 964 3	36 917	44 900	52 611	61 026	45 749	53 537	61 026
GT411	22 268	26 007	29 986			_	12 763	14.560	_						-		
GT412	8 095	9 455	10 902	_	_	_		14 558		12 753 14	14 558	22 268	26 007	29 986	22 679	26 445	29 986
GT414	18 011	21 036	24 254			_				_	_	8 095	9 455	10 902	8 245	9 614	10 902
	21 271	24 844	28 645					_	_	-				24 254		2 668	24 254
C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality	359	419	483			_		0.0				21 271		28 645	21 664	25 262	28 645
Total: West Rand Municipalities	70 004	192 18	04 270	-	1	1		21 218	٦		21 218	18 472	21 393	483	18 793	19 093	483
						7	31 225	35 776	31	31 225 35	35 776	70 106	81 699	94 270	71 381	83 081	94 270
									-								
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	681 123	705 513	117 224		000,		- 1			- 1							
			771 254		2 000	35	394 862 4	440 234	394	394 862 442	442 234	678 317	793 247	917 224	656 069	806 884	917 224

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula denotes a high capacity municipality

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		MC E	MG Formula All	-	Impleme	Implementation of Water	'ater	Concolid	Consolidated Municipal									
		(Befor	(Before Commitments)	rents)	Services	Services Project (Capital)	oital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	itments (ii)		Municip	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	ucture Gran	t¹(iii)	
		Nation	al Financia	Year	Nationa	National Financial Vear	'ear	Notional	Grant Commitments	,				(Final All	Final Allocations After Commitments)	Ter Commi	ments)	
Category Number	Number Municipality	2004/05	-	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	20/	2004/05 2	2005/06 2006/07	,	ᇍ	Year	Nations	a	Year	Municip	ia	Year
		(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)		-				(8:000)	700007	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	<u></u>	2006/07
KWAZULU-NATAL													(600)	(A)	(000 W)	(000)	(N 000)	(8000)
V	eThekwini*	220 886	257 982	297 453	11 326			146 860	161 080	158 186	161 0801		200 000	000 230				
B KZ211	Vulamehlo	2 611	3 (140	2515						10010	⊥_		770 880	786 / 67	297 453	224 967	262 324	297 453
	Umdoni	2 233	2 608	3 008	_					-					3 516	-	387	3 516
K7213	Umzumbe	5 977	086 9	8 048			_								3 008		331	3 008
KZ214	uMuziwabantu	2 923	3414	3 936				_	-	_				_	8 048		882	8 048
K2216	Ezingolweni Hibisene Const*	1 738	2 030	2 341	_										3 936		433	3 936
DC21	Ugu District Municipality*	6 244 74 660	7 292	8 408	9 774	6 540		- 070					6 244	7 292	8 408	6329	7 415	8 408
Total: Ugu Municipalities	ies	96 386	112 573	120 706	0 774	0.340	+	11 349	77 073	81 123			90 142	105 281	100 540	108 16	104 759	100 540
				0001 (770	7	0 240	1	71 349	77 073	81 123	83 613		96 386	112 573	129 796	991 86	114 467	129 796
	uMshwathi	3 359	3 923	4 524									-					
KX.222	uMngeni	2 393	2 795	3 222	_	_						_		_	4 524		498	4 524
KZ223	Mpolana	1 205	1 408	1 623											3 222		354	3 222
	Impendie	871	1 018	1 173	_										1 623		621	1 623
N7,223	Wishnauzi.	36 977	43 187	49 794	_			21 766	24 666	21766	24 666	-	26.077	10.01	1173	-	129	1 173
K2220	MKnambathini	2 235	2 610	3 009		_				-			11600	43 18/	49 794	37 660	43 913	49 794
(1)(1)	Memoring down Digitals	2 827	3 301	3 806		-									3 009	_	331	3 009
2	Municipalities	26 896	31 413	36 220	6 201	870		22 250	25 185	28 451	26 055		35 199	41 093	36 220	35 847	419	36 220
		0/ 0/	620 69	103 372	6 201	870		44 016	49 851	50 217	50 721		77 176	086 280	103 272	72 507	100000	22.00
B KZ232 F	Emnambithi/Ladysmith*	2 687	6 642	7 658									100	007 10	710 017	10001	00 380	103 3/2
V.7234	Indaka	3 032	3 541	4 083	_	_		_					2 007	2 6 0 0	7 658	5 792	6 754	7 658
	Omsnezi	1 278	1 493	1 721									_		1 721		449	4 083
	Impahazane	61 6	4 864	2 608	_	_		_		_			_		2,09		617	17/1
C DC23 L	Uthukela District Municipality	3 240	52 120	4 363		-									4 363		480	4 363
Total: Uthukela Municipalities	alities	62 891	73 453	84 691	18 647	24 049	-	23 813	26 863	42 460	-		51 237	62 625	61 258	52 490	62 474	61 258
									20 903	42 460	50 912		56 924	69 267	84 691	58 282	70 963	84 691
B KZ242 N	Sauthi	1 195	1 395	1 609											1 609		177	1 600
B KZ244 N	Msinga	5 471	4 301	2 259			_	_							5 259		\$78	5 250
	Umvoti	11 303	13 202	15 222											7 368		810	7 368
DC24 U	Umzinyathi District Municipality	39 679	46 343	53 434	13 200	19 575		55 011	20 650						15 222		1 674	15 222
Lotaf: Umzinyathi Municipalities	cipalities	61 554	71 891	82 890	13 200	19 575			59 650	111 60	79 225		69 111	79 225	53 434	70 224	76 388	53 434
N (222X) N	***************************************			-					000	02 111	277 6/	Ī	69 111	79 225	82 890	70 224	79 628	82 890
	Urrecht	858	22 971	26 486	954			11 372	13 511	12 326	13 511		19 68	22 971	26 486	20 032	23 358	26 486
	Dannhauser	2 695	3 148	3 629								_	_	_	1 155		127	1 155
DC25 A	Amajuba District Municipality	11 093	12 956	14 938	5 200	5 750		8 039	0 677	13 330			,	-	3 629		399	3 629
otal: Amajuba Municipalities	alities	34 314	40 077	46 208	6 154	5 750			23 183	35 565	30 00	Ī	14 076	16 662	14 938	14 361	16 472	14 938
This table should be seen	This trible should be used in societies									200			35 /45	39 633	46 208	34 392	40 357	46 208

1. This tuble should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula "denotes a high capacity manicipality."

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		200			Implem	Implementation of Water	Water	Concolid	Consolidated Municipal										
		MIG FOR	(i) (Before Commitments)	ations (i)	Services	Services Project (Capital)	ipital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme		tal Existin	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	nents (ii)		Munici	pal Infrastr	Municipal Infrastructure Grant [†] (iii)	ıt¹ (iii)	
		New			ٽ :	Commitments		Grant	Grant Commitments	П					(Final A	llocations A	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ments)	
ı		1004 WE	Mational Financial Year	Year	Nationa		1	National	ᆲ	_	National	National Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	l Year	Manicin	Municipal Financial Vear	Vear
Category Nun	Number Municipality	200400	2002/00	70/00/7		_	_	_	2005/06 2	2006/07 2	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
		(K000)	(K.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(8.000)	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
B KZ	KZ261 eDumbe	2 140	2 499	2 881															
	KZ262 uPhongolo	3 662	4 278										•			2 881	-	317	2 88 1
	KZ263 Abaqulusi	4 394	5 132					_								4 932		543	4 932
		4 845	5 658	6 524				_								5 917		159	5 917
B KZ	KZ266 Ulundi	5 709	899 9	7 689		_		_	_		_					6 524		718	6 524
C	DC26 Zululand District Municipality	72 711	84 922	97 915	25 248	16 672		892.29	67 647		910 88	-012 00	_	03010		7 689		846	7 689
Total: Zululand Municipalities	Municipalities	93 461	100 158	125 858	35 348	16 673	+	00/ 70	07.047		00 010	84 319		91 258	102 617	97 915	92 507	102 100	97 915
			200	000 071	017	7/0 01		99/ 70	0/ 64/	+	88 016	84 319		91 258	102 617	125 858	92 507	105 174	125 858
B KZ	KZ271 Umhłabuyalingana	4 421	5 164	5 954		_				_								- ;	
		5 677	6 630	7 644	_							_				4560		655	5 954
B KZ	KZ273 The Big 5 False Bay	1115	1 302	1 501												7 644		841	7 644
		4 106	4 796	5 530				-								1 501		165	1 501
		945	103	1 272		_				_						5 530	_	809	5 530
C DC	DC27 Umkhanyakude District	57 977	67 713	78 073	12 497	2 060		50 530	537.63				_			1 272		140	1 272
Total: Umkhanya	Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	74 240	007 20	41000	104 65	000 /	+	29 339	03 /3/	-	/2 036	70.817.		73 348	82 524	78 073	74 357	82 034	78 073
	ware trained annes	74.240	80 / 08	979.974	12 497	7 060		59 539	63 757		72 036	70 817		73 348	82 524	99 974	74 357	84 444	99 974
	KZ281 Mhonambi	3 071	1 507	7 136															
B KZ		21 339	24 001	20 130	_										-	4 136		455	4 136
		1671	1 050	1366		_	_	101/11	13 880		11 716	13 880		21 338	24 921	28 734	21 732	25 341	28 734
	KZ284 Umlalazi	6 334	7 307	0 520		_										2 251		248	2 251
		1841	7 150	0 7 7 7									=			8 529		938	8 529
		4 102	4 701	5 5 2 5						_		_				2 479		273	2 479
C DC	DC28 uThungulu District Municipality*	50 067	58 475	67 422	6.428	4 043		36 730	11 367		,	000				5 525	-	809	5 525
Total: uThungulu Municipalities	Municipalities	VCD 88	102 274	110.075	0470	500		30 / 30	41.237		43 166	45 300		67 086	78 353	67 422	68 325	77 150	67 422
			103 4/4	200,017	9740	4 043	1	48 454	55 137		54 882	59 180		88 424	103 274	119 075	850 06	105 012	119 075
B KZ2		4 807	5 614	6 473						-						,		-	,
		7 625	8 906	10 268										7 608	9000	0700	2700	717	0 473
		5 027	5 871	6 1 69			_			_				020	006 6	0727	09//	000	807.01
		3 572	4 172	4 810		_		_								0100		740	60/0
C DC29	229 Ilembe District Municipality	46 151	53 902	62 148	12 575	6 446		41 764	46912		54 339	83 3 3 8		57 445	65 203	971 69	50 300	676	4 810
Total: Ilembe Municipalities	nicipalities	67 181	78 464	90 469	12 575	6 446		41 764	46 912	+	54 339	53 358	T	65 070	74 198	90 469	66 074	75 988	00 460
	KZSaf Incue	3476	100	,,,,,															
		0745	1100	4 013	_		_	-	_						-,-	4 613		207	4 613
B KZ5a3		670	735	878			_				-	_				828		16	828
		1 022	30,6	0,00			_	_								848	-	93	848
		2 932	2 423	2 749	_						_					3 949		434	3 949
	-	21377	70 62	2010												5 165		268	5 165
otal: Sisonke	inicipalities	25 954	78 034	33.015	13 211	5 291		19 027	21 988	-	32 238	27 279		34 450	38 118	33 015	34 853	37 557	33 015
Total Carrier	merpannes	35 Y34	41 992	48 417	13 211	5 291	+	19 027	21 988		32 238	27 279		34 450	38 118	48 417	34 853	39 251	48 417
	i																		
Total: KwaZulu-N	Total: KwaZulu-Natal Municipalities	912 054	912 054 1 065 226 1 228 203		135 262	96 297	+	592 912	653 141	1	728 174	749 438	Ī	777 100	901 777 1 043 692 1 338 303	1 228 203	200 200 1 1 050 1000	000 170	110 101
							-	١	120	`		000		777 700	1 043 074	1 240 405	11/00/11	1007 200	279 203

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	Ŀ	1 5		-						CENTRO OF						
	Ξ	MIG Formula All (Before Comm	TG Formula Allocations (i) (Before Commitments)	=-	Services Project (Capital)	[Water Sapital)	Consolids Infrastruct	Consolidated Municipal								
		National Fig	National Financial Von.	+	Commitments	ts	Grant C	Grant Commitments	Total Exis	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	_	Munici	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (iii)	cture Grant	(iii)	
Category Number Municipality	200	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	02 20	National Financial Year 04/05 2005/06 2006/	- 20	National 1	National Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Natio	(Final Allocational Vational Financial Variance	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	er Commitn	ients)	
LIMPOPO	(K)	oou) (R'000)	(R'000)	(0) (R'000)				(R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	20/0	2004/05 2/		Year 2006/07
B NP03A2 Makhuduthamaga				_									+		(A 000)	(R'000)
NP03A3		8 470	9 893 11	11 406			_						_			
CBLC3	_			4 072		_				_		_	11 406		1 265	
B CDLC4 Greater Groblersdal				5 068									4 072		448	406
C CBDC3 Greater Sobhitchmes		_	_	12 995									2 068		557	5 068
132	101	- 1	126 781 146 178		25 10 140								9 469	_	_	6946
	4	140 489 164	164 083 189 188	188 7 625		+	74 203	80 586	81 918	90 726	140 489	164 083	12 995			12 995
		_						00 200	81 918	90 726	140 489	164 083			1	146 178
CBLC6		15,060	3 981 4 5	4 590		_							1	143 034	166 845 18	189 188
CBDC4			73 572 20 280									_	4 590		- 505	-
rotat: bohtabela Municipalities	-		ľ	13 180	- [_	50 221	53 632	62.401	2000			20 280		_ `	4 290
IB NB331					16 000		1	53 632	63 401	69 632	74 152	88 425	84 828	75 722		0287
ND223	7	7 479 8	8 7351 10 072						TO# 60	69 652	74 152	88 425	109 699		1	070 401
		8 522 9		1 1/2					_			_	-	L	1	
NP334	13	13 752 16 1		6.								_	10 072	_	1 108	10 072
C DC33 Morani Diction	_	_		7. 7.		_		_			200		11 476	_		11 476
I.B			89 069 102 69	96 12 579	12 000	_					70/01	10 061	18 5 19	14 006	16 332	18 519
	109	109 946 128 411	111 148 057	1	1		-1	39 603	47 625	56 603	76 530				582	5 294
B NP341 Musina							35 046 3	39 603	47 625	56 603	90 290	113 723	102 696			102 696
NP342				0.	_	_	_				07707		-	92 869 11	117 508 14	8 057
NP343	7			2	_	_				_	_		2,600			
			67 23 598	∞		_			_			_	3 552			2 650
Total: Vicantia Service District Municipality		946 107 387							_				23 598		2 5961	3 552
voiai. Viembe Municipalities	129 486	1				_	40 741 45	963	007.07	50003			20 754			20 754
ND361		1		1 959	7 000	7	40 741 45	45 963	42.700	52 903	94 364			97 773 12	_	123 817
B NP352 Agency	61	6119 7146	16 8 240							25 703	94 364	125 358	174 371 9	1		174 371
NP353	4 782						_							_	-	T
	4 127					_		_					8 240		906	8 240
NP355	56 728	_	,	298	4 000		10 013				_	-	6 439	_	_	6 436
C DC35 Capricorn District Municinality	lity 6 982					_		20 348	18 630	24 348	56 728	256 99	365.0	_		5 558
1 otal: Capricorn Municipalities		12 151 871	71 337	1		2	24 953 28	28 149	700 00					/9 0///	0/3/0 76	76 392
B NP361 TE-L-1				23 730	25 794	4	42 985 48	48 497	000 04	74 301	. 1			92 165 29		71 337
196 N	90.2 6	06 11 336	13.021			_	-		+	17.77	120 826	143 923	177 368 12	123 367 147		368
NP364	10 563							_	_		_	-	L	L	1_	1
	3 100							_	_		_		13 071	_	1 438 13 1	13 071
	859 6	_			_								14 224	_	_	14 224
NP367	3 336						_	_					4 175	_	459 4	4 175
C DC36 Waterberg District Municipalis	33 563	3 39 199	4	3 374	8 000								13 006	<u>-</u>	1 431 13 006	900
Total: Waterberg Municipalities	+			1611	3 000		20 474	_	3 374	8 000	-		4 493			4 493
	976 60	81 670	94 165	4 985	11 000	2 62	29 474 33 249		- 1	36 249				57.350 62.63	4 972 45 197	97
		_				-			34 459	44 249		1	94 165 57	57 359 74 275		_];
Total: Limpone Municipalities								_				-	1		201 44 103	<u>a</u>
Cannada	663 021	1 774 370	892 847	64 057	86 934	-	- 1									
1. This table should be read in conjunction					200	7/7	272 760 301 530		336 817 38	388 464	575 604		-			_

575 694 707 338 892 847 590 175 727 744 892 847 1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula *devotes a high capacity municipality

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MIG For	MIG Formula Allocations	tions (i)		Implementation of Water	/ater	Consolid	Consolidated Municipal	L								
	(Before	(Before Commitments)	ents)	Services	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	pital)	Infrastruci Grent (Infrastructure Programme		Existing Com	Total Existing Commitments (ii)		Munici	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (iii)	ucture Gra	1t (iii)	
	Nations	781	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	(ear	National	National Financial Ver-	+	1			(r) IIIai A	(Final Alice ations After Commitments)	iter Commi	tments)	-
Category Number Municipality			2000/02	2004/05	2005/06 2	20%	2007005	2005/06 200	200	라	ial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	l Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	1 Year
	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	-	\dashv			(R'000) (R'000)	72 2005/06 (R'000)	(R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
MPUMALANGA							-						(000 11)	1000	(A 000)	(000)	(x 000)
	18 231	21 203	133 00													-	
	8 687	10 140	11 601	1750	3 200			_		5 317 3 500	0			24 551	_	102.6	133 70
	13 708	16 010	18 460	1 738	2005									11 691		1 286	11 691
MP304	6 156	7 190	8 290	999	2 000			_			0			18 460		2 031	18 460
MP305	9 713	11 344	13 080)))				_	665 2 000	0			8 290		912	8 290
MP.306	3 693	4 313	4 973	920										13 080		1 439	13 080
	22 881	26 723	30 812	3 000	1 553		8 776	8 6 6 6	920	776 11 531		.000		4 973		547	4 973
ηĘ	100						29 383	32 903	20 383			18877	26 723	30 812	23 303	27 173	30 812
and the state of t	83 064	97 014	111 857	13 390	8 553		38 159	42 881	51 549	1		576 10	62 289		53 063	55 437	
B MP311 Delmas	00)						-			1		74 804	89.013	111 857	76 367	91 526	111 857
MP312	24 088	3476	6 3 13	-										6313	_		
	8 259	/61.87	32 465	3 000			11 390	12 846	14 390	90 12 846	-	24 109	28 157	32.465	24 554	167 97	6313
MP314	2 509	2 931	3 3 770				8 017	9 164	8 017	17 9 164		8 259	9 646	11 122	8 412	008 6	11 132
MP315	26 421	30 858	35 579			_	_	_						3 379		372	3379
MP:316	26 239	30 645	35 334	5 250	1 000									35 579		3 914	35 579
otal: Nkangal							22 214	24 898	2 250	27 808		-		35 334		3 887	35 334
Samuel annual frames	92 224	107 713	124 193	8 250	1 000		L	46 908	17 77			/58.65	606 69		60 963	62 219	
B MP321 Thaba Chweu	7.577	8 840	10.202	000 0	000					L		72 224	107 713	124 193	93 928	109 526	124 193
B MP322 Mbombela* B MP323 Umijndi	49 916	58 299	67 218	10 882	9 578		21 873	24 594	2 000	35 34 172		710 07	000	10 203		1 122	10 203
MP324	27,78	6 678	7 700		_			-				016.64	667 90	2 7 200	20.838	59 280	67 218
C DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality*	33 646	39 296	45 309	14 183	3 915				14 183					7 700 45 309		847	7 700
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	96 964	113 284	130 616	27.065	14 003			21 657	19 310			47 079	54 985	186	47 948	48 957	186
				3	27, 17	-	41 183	46 251	68 248	18 61 244		96 96	113 284	130 616	98 286	115 190	130 616
Extol Masses						····	<u>.</u>										
Lotai: Mpuntalanga Mumicipalities	272 283	318 010	366 665	48 705	24 546	1	120 963 1	136 040	169 668	160 586		264 003	310.000	2000			
1. This table should be read in conjunction with the second										1		204 023	210 003	300 005	269 081	316 241	366 665

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amerure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula denies a high capacity municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		MIG Fo	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	cations (i)	Implem	Implementation of Water	Water	Consolic	Consolidated Municipal						;				
		(Befo	(Before Commitments)	ments)	Service	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	apital)	Infrastrue	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	g Commitm	ents (ii)		Municit (Final All	oal Infrastri locations Al	Municipal Intrastructure Grant' (iii) (Final Allocations After Commitments)	it' (iii) (men(s)	
		Natio	21	-1	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	'ear	National	National Financial Vear	100	National	National Eineneigl Voor	Veer	Manie		
Category Number Municipality	unicipality	2004/05		2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	07	2004/05	2005/06 20	407	2004/05 2	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006.007	Municip 2004/05	2004/215 2008/02 2008/2	Year
		(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000)	(R'000) (A			_	(R'000)	(R'000)		(8,000)	(8,000)	00/00/2	(0/0/07
NORTHERN CAPE																	(GOO)	(COO)	(ann vi
B NC01B1 Gamagaga	magara	750																	
NW Ja 1	Moshaweng	101 01	11 700													875		96	875
	Ga-Segonyana	7 565		13 003						_						13 603		1 496	13 603
C CBDC1 Kga	Kgalagadi District Municipality	4 594			21 500	16,000		0,5								10 188		1121	10 188
Total: Kgalagadi Cross Border	rder	22 910	1	1	21 500	000 51	+	32 369	37 380		57 069	52 380		22 069	52 380	6 186	56 553	47 299	9819
				ı	200	DOUG CT	1	35 509	37.380		57 069	52 380		27 069	52 380	30 852	56 553	50 012	30 852
NC061	Richtersveld	318	372	428			-						_						
NC062	Nama Khoi	1 862	,	2 507	063				-							428		47	428
NC064	Каппеврегд	778			600				_		853		_			2 507		276	2 507
NC065	Hantam	1015	-				-						_			1 048		115	1 048
B NC066 Kare	Karoo Hoogland	109		•		_		-		-			_			1 367		150	1367
NC067	Khai-Ma	988														931	-	102	931
C DC6 Nam	Namakwa District Municipality	71			2,400	003 6	_									721		42	721
Total: Namakwa Municipalities	lities	5 271	2017		2 400	2 300		0 424	7 491		8 824	166 6	_	6 677	1666	95	9 712	8 902	95
		3 4/1	0010		3 253	2 500		6 424	7 491		6 677	1666		6 677	9 991	7 098	9 712	9 673	7 098
B NC071 Ubuntu	into	1 008	1 202	1 720							-								
NC072	Umsobomvu	1 747		2352					_		_					1 479		163	1 479
NC073	Emthanieni	1 646		2007							_		_		_	2 352		259	2352
B NC074 Kare	Kareeborg	285		783			_				_		_			2 217		244	2 2 1 7
NC075	Renosterberg	645		698		_			_							783		98	783
NC076	Thembelihle	1 069	-	900		-							_			898		96	898
NC077	Siyathemba	721		071					_				_			1 439		158	1 439
oc.	Siyancuma	2 952	3 448	3 075		_										126		107	971
C DC7 Karo	Karoo District Municipality	281	328	379	2 500	9		10.003	11 631			107 (1		-		3 975		437	3 975
Total: Karoo Municipalities		10 740	12 544	14 463	2 500	000 9		10 002	11 031	1	12 303	17 631		12 503	17 631	379	13 067	15 733	379
1800/14								CON OT	100 11	+		17 631		12 503	17 631	14 463	13 067	17 283	14 463
NCOOL		538	628	724	_	_		_		_			_			10,4	_	0	-
NC082	Kai Canb	4 065	4 747	5 474												42/		08	724
NC084	// Kinara mais	3 500	4 088	4 713					_							4713		700	4/40
NCO85	Temperature	1 195	1 396	1 610			_				-	_				1 610		100	CI/ +
980UN	Kestelcoste	1 546	1 806	2 082			_	-	_						_	2.082		220	2000
DC8	Sivanda District Municipality	394	093	667									_			799		8	7007
18	s control party	811	ğ ,	1 092	2 500	4 500		11 604	13 499		14 104	17 999		14 104	17 999	1 092	14 532	16 139	000
		12 249	14 3006	16 494	2 500	4 500		11 604	13 499		14 104	17 999		14 104	17 999	16 494	14 532	17.834	16 494
	Sol Plaatje*	10 642	12,429	14 331				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			L	_							
NC092	Thusanang	3 492	4 079	4 703	_			19 304	020 02		19 364	20 620		19 364	20 620	14 331	19 502	19 928	14 331
B NC093 Magareng	areng	1371	1 602	1 847			_					_	_	_		4 703		217	4 703
CBLC7	Phokwane	4 794	5 599	6 456	_	_							_			1 847		203	1847
C DC9 France	Frances Baard District Municipality	402	469	541	2 500	6 000		700	25.30							6 456		710	6 456
Total: Frances Baard Municipalities	ipalities	20 701	24 177	27.876	2 500	2000		777 8	9.276	-		15 576		10 727	15 576	541	11 260	13 922	541
					2002	200.0	-	166 /7	30 196		30 091	36 196		30 091	36 196	27 876	30 763	35 281	27 876
						-	-												
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities	ipalities	71 871	83 941	96 784	32 253	34 000	1	101 10	100 107										
						2200		- 1	1767 001	-	123 444	134 197		123 444	134 197	96 784	124 627	130 082	96 784
This said a second																			

1. This suble should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula derived allocations, depending on the expansity numicipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

				mulom	Tall Company of the Company	1												
	(Before	MIG Formula Allocations (i) (Before Commitments)	ations (i)	Services	Services Project (Capital)	npital)	Infrastru	Lousondated Municipal Infrastructure Programme		otal Existin	Total Existing Commitments (fi)	(ii) shoot		Municip	oal Infrastr	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (iii)	11, (111)	
			(all l	J	ommitments		Grant	Grant Commitments						(Final All	locations A	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	(ments)	•
1	Nationa		al Year	Nations		Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	/ear	Nation	National Financial Vear	Vear	Municip	Municipal Einemeist Vena	1
Category Number Municipality		(R'000)	(R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2000/02	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
NORTH WEST					+		+-	+-	+	(K 000)	(000)	(K'000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
									_	_	_							
	24 567	28 693	33 083					_	_									
NW3/2	49 197	57 459	66 250				21 117	23 708		21117	23 708	_	40 107	67 460	33 083	90.00	3 639	33 083
NW3/3	25 907	65 296	75 286				18 174	20 326		18 174	20 326		55 907	65 706	26 200	50 105	58 426	66 250
NW375	3 289	3 841	4 429				_	_					2	067.00	007 57	50 434	265 00	087.07
	29 956	34 987	40 340	13 600	0000							_			40 340		487	4 429
Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	162 918	190 270	210 201	000 51	00001		22 841	25 708		36 641	35 708		57 815	67 524	4	58 883	260 09	4
		270 47	175 717	MO CT	10 000	+	62 132	69 742	1	75 932	79 742		162 918	190 279	219 391	165 928	193 481	219 391
NW381	3 526	4 119	4 749		_	-			_									
NW382	4 441	5 187	5 980	_		_					_				4 749		522	4 749
NW383	9 119	10 650	12 279	_	_				_	_		_			2 980		859	5 980
	5 9 19	6 9 13	7 970	_		_					_				12 279		1321	12 279
NW385	4 973	2 808	969 9	_			_		_			=	_		7 970	_	877	7 970
C DC38 Central District Municipality	50 894	59 441	68 536	000 6	2 000	_	34 991	39 046		43 991	44 046		257 77	10.460	969 9		737	969 9
Lotal: Central Municipalities	78 871	92 117	106 211	0006	2 000	-	34 991	39 046		43 001	44 046	Ť	24 755	0046/	08 230	00 3/3	/8 258	68 536
B NW19! Kanicano	203 0								l			Ī	50	72 400	100 711	00 3/3	87 403	106 211
NW392	1 746	2 030	7 /4/	_					_			_			4 747	_	522	4 747
B NW393 Mannusa	2377	2 776	3 201	_	_		_					_			2 351		259	2 351
NW394	6 346	7 412	8 546	_				_				=			3 201		352	3 201
NW395	169	806	030						_			_	_	-	8 546		940	8 546
	2 198	2 567	2 960									-			930		102	930
C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality	27 578	32 209	37 137	10 000	2 000		24 649	27 723.		34 640	22 723	_	40 400	1000	2 960		326	2 960
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	44 461	51 928	59 872	10 000	2 000		24 649	27 723	1	34 640	32 723	Ī	40 400	40 8/1	3/ 13/	41 192	45 800	37 137
	4 352	5 083	5 861								CT 100	Ī	OF OF	1/0 04	7/9/6	41 192	48 301	29 872
NW402	6006	10 522	12 132	_			080 9	7 048		080 9	7 048		0000	0.530	198 0	- 22	645	2 861
NW403	30 283	32 369	40 780				12 928	14 546		12 928	14 546		30 283	35 360	40 780	20.643	36 064	261 21
	6 830	7 977	9 197											600	9 197	2000	1 012	0 107
1.5	727.03	1000	0,000	4 000	000		4 748	5 543		8 748	6 543	-	10 197	11 344		10 3231	10 096	
	30.474	166.00	97.67.0	4 000	1 000	1	23 756	27 137		27 756	28 137		49 489	57 235	026 29	50 341	58 416	67 970
A Otar: Porth West Municipalities	336 725	393 275	453 445	36 800	21 000		145 528	163 648	-	182 328	184 648	T	317 653	373 845	453 445	227 024	107 505	153 445
													200	21,000	24.0	343 000	304 UUI	400 440

1. This suble should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula derived allocations, depending on the capacity municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MICE	MIC Formula Allea	() another	Implem	Implementation of Water	Water	Consolid	Consolidated Municipal	led									
	(Befo	(Before Commitments)	nents)	Services	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	apital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	Commitm	ents (ii)		Municip (Final All	al Infrastru ocations Af	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii) Final Allocations After Commitments)	ıt' (iii) ments)	
	Natio	National Financial Year	I Year	Nations	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	1 5	National	National Financial Veer	100	Notion],
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	20	2004/05 2	2005/06 20	20/	2004/05 20	2005/06 2	2006/07	2004/05	National Financial Year	Year 2006/07	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
ı	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000)			(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(00000)
WESTERN CAPE														+			(00)	(and w
A City of Cape Town*	141 905	165 736	191 094				137 867	151 032		137 867	151 0321	-	141 905	752 231	101	363 641	202 031	-
B WC011 Matzikama	2 222						-		-	┺		Ì	200	06/ 601	191 094	144 320	108 320	191 094
WC012	1 572		2 127			_						_		-	3 127	_	344	3 127
WC013	1367							_				_			2 117		233	2 117
	2 134				-						-				1 841		203	1841
WC015	2 171	2 535				_							2 134	2 492	2 873	2 173	2 534	2 873
C DC1 West Coast District Municipality	224	262			-		10 329	926			11 056		0000	73011	2 923	903.01	322	2 923
Lotat: West Coast Municipalities	9 790		13 183		-		10 329	11 956		10 329	956	T	12 463	000	302	10.508	10 6/4	302
B WC022 Witzenberg	3 120												CO+ 71	011	20162	12 001	14 309	13 183
	7.461	8 717	10.047	710	_					_					4 202		462	4 202
WC024	5 046		6 795	101			6 690	7 594		7 704	7 594		7 704	8 714	10 047	7 815	8 860	10 047
WC025	5 286		7 118				4 402	2 138	_	4 402	5 138		5 046	5 894	6 795	5 139	5 993	6 795
WC026	2 967	3	3 996			_					-		5 286	6 173	7 118	5 383	6 277	7 118
Trade Reland M	185	216	249				10 512	12 194		10 512	12.194	_	10.512	12 104	3 996	10.502	440	3 996
rotal: botand Municipalities	24 065	28 107	32 407	1 014			21 604	24 926			24 926	T	28 548	32 075	20 407	10 69 /	10 880	249
B WC031 Theomotopics						-			-		07/ 27	T	70 340	27,713	32 40 /	29 035	32 912	32 407
	5 126	5 987	6 903	157					_	157	_				6 903		759	6 903
WC033	702	819	978	2 013						2 011			2 509	2 930	3 378	2 555	2 979	3 378
WC034	1012	1 182	1 363									_			945		104	945
C DC3 Overberg District Municipality	5	9	7				7 722	8 912			010		1 070	0	1 363	000	150	1 363
10tal: Overberg Municipalities	9 353	10 924	12 596	2 168			7 722	8 912	+	068 6	8 912	1	10 388	8 912	7 505	10 540	7 932	70201
B WC041 Kannaland	000	1 272	1 460	2300	-							 	10 300	71 947	17 290	10 248	526 11	12 596
WC042	1 385	1 618	1 865	7 333	1 200					2 355	1 200				1 468		191	1 468
WC043	2 471.	2 886	3 328									-		7000	1 865		205	1 865
B WC045 Childrehom	6 857	8 000	9 234				5 365	6 171		5 365	6 171		6 857	8 009	9 234	6 984	2 935	3 328
WC047	1 926	2 249	3 480				_								3 480		383	3 480
WC048	4 381	5 116	5 899									_			2 593		285	2 593
Total: Eden Municipality	494	276	999				12 944	14 968		12 944	14 968		15 200	091 91	5 899	300	649	5 899
rotal ratell winnerpanties	21 187	24 746	28 532	2 355	1 200		18 309	21 139			22 339	Ė	24 627	27 063	28 532	24 895	27 224	28 532
	227	265	305															70007
WC052	345	403	465									_		_	305		£ ;	305
	795	929	1 071											-	1071	_		465
15	2 207	106	2 073	1 362	670			26 098	. 1		26 768		27 039	26 768	1 131	27 009	23 948	1 131
				706.1	0/0	+	729 677	860 97	+	27 039 2	26 768		27 039	26 768	2 972	27 009	24 150	2 972
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	208 508	243 525	280 784	6 8 9 9	1 870	1	221 508 2	244 063	72	228 407 24	245 933	+	244 060	278 623	100 704	340 (04	10000	000
Unallocated and other National Allocations							L			1		<u> </u>		\perp	HO/ 007	740 094	\perp	780 /84
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	133 378	155 778	179 612	_									133 378	155 778	179 612	133 378	155 778	179 612
National Total	4 401 484	5 140 K77 S	281 700 2	478 040	430 034	-	000	-										
		4		- 1	430 924	7.7	2 724 028 3 016 470	116 470	3.20	3 202 997 3 447 394	7 394	4	4 401 484 5 140 677 5 927 188 4 480 331 5 224 572 5 927 188	140 677 5	927 188 4	480 331 5	224 572 5	927 188

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula denotes a high capacity nunicipality.

INDIRECT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 7)

(National and Municipal Financial Year)

Control Cont			(via aı	Water Ser	Water Services Operating Subsidy	r Tradine	dy		Implen	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Canital)	ater Service	es Projects (C	anital)		T all 3	TAT OF			
March 1700		2000	Vational F	inancial Yes		Municipal	Financial Ye	++	National	Financial Year	-	funicipal Fina	ncial Year	SiteN	ojououd Line	1,000	TOTAL CA	CINIC	
Control Cont	ı	(R'06	00) (R	000) (R.	2 5 	04/05 2t (000) (R	05/06 20		204/05 20	005/06 2006	20 20	1,05 2005/0	70/9002 90	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Particular Par	EASTERN CAPE		 		+		+	+	+			-	-	(R'000)	(8.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
The change of th		,		_															
From the minimal part of the control	EC.101				-			-		-	+	+	+						
The continguity The co	EC102		-	_										_		_	_		
The National Part of the Color	EC103												_						
The Manipolarity and the Manipolarity and	EC104							_											
Trick Maninepally Total Brook S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	EC'105			-	_														
Variation Vari	EC106			_						_								_	
The Manicipality (1.6 kg 1.7 kg 1.5	EC107				_	_													
The control of the co	EC.108			_			_					_							
Control Manicipality Control Manicipality	EC109 Koukamma			_															
Total Channelpully	DC10 Cacada District Municipal	lity		_		-		_	700						-				
The control of the co	otal: Cacadu Municipalities					-		1	6 436	4 967	2	1	49	6 436	4 967		6 612	4 249	
The control of the	FC131 Melanica									100	+		48	6 436	4 967		6 612	4 249	
The particular control of the cont	EC122	_	_	_												_			
The control of the	EC123			_		_	_						_			_	-	_	
Fig. Fig.	EC 123				_	_						_					_		
Transport Tran	EC 124			_	_	_			_			_					_		
Fig. 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	EC 125	7			52.1	7 767	_	67.4	-	_									
Foreign Fore	EC126	_				_		9 3 / 4	001		_	002		8 731	8 063	8 521	8 774	8 221	8 574
Character Manicipality 14 580 18 702 15 704 18 70	EC127				_			_		_	_		_			i i			0
Indicated Municipality 1 4 550 18 50 18 7	EC128			_						-	_				•				
Detect Municipality 16 834 20 699 20 359 1 468 20 697 20 491 2	DC12				202				_				_		_				
Detect: Municipality 16 824 20 50 5 50 1 4 4 50 1 5 5 7 15 6 6 7 317 8 5 7 1 5 6 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 5 6 7 1 5 7 1 7 1	otal: Amatole Municipalities				707		ĺ		8 286	5 767	∞		33	22 866	24 635,	18 782	23 700	23 908	18 91
Particle Municipality 1 6 824 20 409 20 339 17 438 20 607 20 510 15 877 19 506 17 36 16 687 21 71 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	-			L	-				9.380	5 767	6	Í	33	31 597	32 698	27 303	32 474	32 129	27 491
District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 697 20 599 17 580 17 317 19 506 17 342 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 399 34 800 1	EC 131			_		_	•	_		_					_			-	
DBITCH Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 15 1 4 95 1 1 4 95 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EC132				_	_	_	_							_		-		
District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 607 20 505 15 877 19 566 17 342 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 399 14 800 District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 607 20 505 15 877 19 566 1 317 8 006 6 259 12 38 67 13 80 13 80 14 80 12 318 11 445 12 35 14 14 60 6 136 4 57 3 9 77 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	EC133	_								_							-		
District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 697 20 509 15 877 19 566 17 362 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 697 30 509 17 586 7 317 8 606 6 229 12 466 13 518 12 381 District Municipality 28 648 22 258 25 102 27 999 23 776 25 323 9 719 5 21 7 9 66 4 465 39 77 37 37 13 39 77 25 11 387 17 75 1 38	EC134					_			_						_				
District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 607 20 500 15 877 19 506 17 362 16 687 33.701 40 005 20 359 34 880 12 48 90	EC135						_	-			_		_		_				
District Municipality 16 824 20 4599 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 500 15 877 19 506 17 362 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 17 362 16 87 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 17 362 16 87 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 17 362 16 87 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 17 362 17 362 16 87 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 800 17 362 17 3	EC130					_		_		_			_			-			
District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 607 20 50 50 15 877 19 506 17 362 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 880 District Municipality 16 824 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 607 20 50 50 15 877 19 506 17 362 16 687 32 701 40 005 20 359 34 880 District Municipality 28 648 22 22 28 25 102 27 999 23 776 25 324 11 445 12 584 11 445 1	EC 137		_		_	_		_		_		_	_		_		_		
District Municipality 16 84 2 20 499 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 593 15 877 19 566 17 362 20 16 87 20 490 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 593 15 877 19 566 17 362 16 887 20 697 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 359 17 438 20 667 20 359 20 17 438 20 697 20 499 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	DC13																	_	
District Municipality 1.0 Servict Municipal	otal: Chris Hani Municipalities			-	ł					9 206	17		7	32 701	40.005	30.350	2,000		
Definition by the control of the con		R 97	-	1	ĺ	Į				19 506	12			32 701	40.005	20 359	34 800	37 294	20 503
District Municipality 2 8 648 2 13 258 2 10 2 27 79 99 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4463 9 83 67 8 604 84 151 86 94 13 87 61 1445 12 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 604 84 151 88 10 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15														10/ 70	COO 04	VCC 02	34 800	37 294	20 20
Dalindycho District Municipality 11184 12715 11387 11445 1284 1419 1 55 139 47 1486 15 1319 8 006 6 259 12 463 12 518 11 387 17 75 1486 12 518 11 387 17 75 1489 12 13 187 17 75 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18			_													-	-		
LDistrict Municipality 4 900 5 201 4 975 4 491 7 586 7 317 8 006 6 259 12 486 12 518 12 981 1		_		_				_							_	_	_		
District Municipality 4 900 5 201 4 975 4 491 7 586 7 317 8 006 6 259 12 486 12 518 12 981				_			_		_			_		_	-			_	
1 Justice Municipality								_					_			_	-	-	
12 486 12518 1298 1298 1298 1298 1298 1298 1298 12	1914 The hallowing Manier 111			201	4		1 491	1-4		7 317	-					_			
Dalindycho District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 17 380 17 320	Chiamanna Municipalities	49		201	4		1491			7317	0			12 486	12 518		12 981	10 750	
Dalindycho District Municipality 2.8 648 2.3 258 2.5 102 2.7 999 2.3 726 2.5 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 2.8 475 2.5 102 37 655 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 187 11 187 11 187 11 187 11 188 11 460 6 136 4 567 6 281 3 9 77 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	_						l					17.400	17.518	+	12 981	10 750	
District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 184 12 715 11 187 11 184 12 715 11 187 11 184 12 715 13 8 604 88 604 88 604 88 604 88 604 88 604 88 777 85 139 7 7 339 7 7 339 7 7 339 7 7 339 7 7 7 347 7 7 349 13 8 966 13 8 9 7 19 14 60 15 10 2 3 7 655 16 2 8 1 3 9 7 7 17 320							_	_	_			_						_	
Dalindycho District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 27 655 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 35 35 35 37 655 35 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3						_				_	_	_			_		_		
Dalindycho District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 3		_		_	_		_	_							-			_	
Dalindycho District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 Pistrict Municipality 11 184 12 715 11 387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 6 136 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 284 17 265 17 284 17 265 27 10 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 38 405 38 405 38 405 38 405 38 405 38 405 48 4777 48 4567 48 567 48 567 48 567 48 567 48 463 38 367 38 475 25 102 37 655 38 367 38 475 25 102 37 655 38 475 38 475 38 405 38 405 38 405 48 4777 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 4			_							_		_		-	_				
District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 3		_	_											_	-		_		
District Municipality 28 648 23 258 25 102 27 999 23 726 25 323 9 719 5 217 9 656 4 463 38 367 28 475 25 102 37 655 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 3											_						_		
Istrict Municipality 1 1 184 12 715 11387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 6 136 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 28 475 25 102 37 655 13 1387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 4567 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 382 11 387 17 28 475 17 26 17 320 17 3	DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municit										_			_	_				
District Municipality 11 184 12 715 11 387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 4 567 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 282 11 387 17 282 11 387 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 286 17 286 17 286 17 286 17 286 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 282 17 286 17 287 17 286 17 286	lat: O.R Tambo Municipalities		L	l			ı	İ		5 217	96			38 367	28 475	25 102	37 655	28 189	25 323
District Municipality 11 184 12 715 11 387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 4 567 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 282 11 387 17 726 1 1 1 3 2 2 2 3 3 3 7 5 7 3 8 604 84 151 84 900 88 604 84 777 55 139 47 339 57 347 40 498 138 906 13 8 4151 14 3 3 1 2 3				ļ	ı	ĺ	1	l	-	2717	96			38 367	28 475	25 102	37 655	28 189	25 323
District Municipality 11 184 12 715 11 387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 4 567 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 282 11 387 17 726 1 1 184 12 715 11 387 11 445 12 584 11 460 6 136 4 567 6 281 3 907 17 320 17 282 11 387 17 726 1 2 3 3 3 7 67 88 604 84 151 84 900 88 604 84 777 55 139 47 339 57 347 40 498 138 906 135 915 14 7 3 347 14 7 3 347 14 1 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							-											-	
11 184 12 17 17 184 17 17 184 17 184 17 184 17 184 1	DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipa														_	_	_		
83 767 88 604 84 151 84 900 88 604 84 777 55 139 47 339 57 347 40 498 138 906 135 943 84 151	al: Alfred Nzo Municipalities				-	-	-	-		1 567	6.2			17 320	17 282	11 387	17 726	16 401	11 460
83 767 88 604 84 151 84 900 88 604 84 777 55 139 47 40 498 138 906 135 943 84 151							ĺ			4 567	6.2			17 320	17 282	11 387	17 726	16 491	11 460
83 767 88 604 84 151 84 900 88 604 84 777 55 139 47 40 498 138 906 135 943 84 151			_		_						_								
57 347 40 498 138 64 84 777 55 139 47 339 57 347 40 498 138 64 151 138 9106 135 943 84 151 143 343	al: Eastern Cape Municipalities	83.76		-	- 1		- 1	ĺ				_			_		-	_	
120 200 133 243 64 131				ļ		ł	İ		ĺ	7 339	57.3			138 906	135 943	84 151	142 247	129 102	24 777

	oin)	Water S	Water Services Operating Subsidy	erating Sub	sidy		Imple	mentation	of Water Se	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Canital)	ts (Canital)			STIB.T.	OTAT - IND	STIR TOTAL INDIBECT CBANTS	51.N.	
	Notional	(via augmentation to the water Trading Account)	on to the w	ater 1 radit	g Account)		-			afor control				T-GOS	OTHE. HAD	INECT OR	GIAIN	
	TOTAL STREET	≅⊦	15	Municip	룕	Year	National	ᆲ	1	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality		(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 2	2005/06 2	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
FREE STATE					├		\vdash		-	-		(000	(ann vi	(A 000)	(000 V	(2000)	(K 000)	(K 000)
B 13(6) atemana																		
	-	_				-		-						_				
10107	750	250	200	625	313	500		-			_	_	750	250	200	509	113	200
B 15163 Mohokare		_			_	_	_				-	_						200
C DC16 Xhariep District Municipality				_			120	-	-	-011	_		130			-		
Total: Xhariep Municipalities	750	250	200	625	313	200	130		1	110	+		120	1		113		
							2	+		ATT.	+		8/0	062	2000	744	313	200
							_	_							•		_	
B FS172 Mangaung	0000	3 333		100 0	140												_	_
FS173	2000	2.36.3		7 081	747						_		2 000	2 323		2 081	1 742	
				-			100										_	
Total: Motheo Municipalities	0000	1 222		.000		1	167	,		291			291			291		
	2 000	2 3 2 3		7 081	1 /42		291			291			2 291	2 323		2 372	1 742	
B FS181 Masilonyana	_				····-				-									
10101	_	_		_	_			_		_								
	_														_			
FS183								_		_	_			-		_	-	
B FS184 Matjhabeng	-			_	_				_			_				_		
B FS185 Nala			_									_						_
C DC18 Lejweleputswa District Municipality							130			:							-	
Total: Leiweleputswa Municipalities			1				071			119			120			119		
						+	120			119			120			119		
B FS191 Setsoto					_													
FC102	-		_		_	-			_	-								
FS103	0	-		x 0							_		10			80		-
FC104		-					_					-						
FS105	21 200	22 420	21 291	21 606	21 767	21 291	_			_			21 500	22 420	21 291	21 606	21 767	21 291
DCIO	_																	
13							291			291			291			291	_	
Total: Thang Montesanyane Municipalities	21 510	22 420	21 291	21 614	21 767	21 291	291			291			21 801	22 420	21 291	21 905	21 767	21 291
B FS301 Mochania											_							
				_						_								
EC207			_															
F3204					-	_	_		_			_					_	
															_			
C DC20 Northern Free State District Municipality	_						120			110			120			- 01		
Total: Northern Free State Municipalities							120		+	110		Ī	120			611		
						l			1	,,,,	1	Ī	140			1119		
																_	****	
Total: Free State Municipalities		2007																
Total: Free state Municipalities	24 260	24 993	21 791	24 320	23 822	21 791	941			939		Ī	25 201	24 993	21 791	25 250	23 822	21 791

INDIRECT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 7)

	_	water	water Services Operati	verating Substay	Sidy		Terror											
	Nation	National Einemeist Value	Vacar	ater Tradir	Trading Account)		dun	iementation	imprementation of water Services Projects (Capital)	rvices Proj	ects (Capital)			SUB-T(SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	IRECT GR	ANTS	
Colonory Number M.	2004/05	2005/05	5	Municipa	₫.	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	(ear	Nation	National Financial Vear	Vear	Mariot	9	
Caregos Francisco Minicipality	(R'000)				2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/	2006/07
GAUTENG					+ -		(000)	+	+	(A 000)	(000)	(8,000)	(8'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(8'000)	(R'000)
A Ekurhulcni A City of Johannesburg								5										
City of Tshwane	19 200	20 200	17 000	19 345	19 738	17 000	5 000	6 000		45	86 5 133		24 200	26 200	17 000	45	86	17 000
B GT02bl Nokeng tsa Taemane B CBLC2 Kungwini C CBDC3 Metwording District Magistralia.	2 032	2 245	3 344	2 080	2 500	3 347							0000	360			1/0/7	3
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	2 032	2 245	3 344	000 0	002								760.7	7 742	3 344	2 080	2 500	3 347
			-	7 000	7 200	3 347							2 032	2 245	3 344	2 080	2 500	3.347
GT421 Emfuleni						-					_							
		_				_									_			
DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality	_		_	_				-		_								
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities			+						1									
GT411 Mogale City								-		-	+	T						
							_		_		_	_						
						_	_							_	_			
CBCC Meralong City CBDC8 West Rand District Manifoldulia.			_				-			-		=	_					
			+	+													_	
				-														
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	21 232	22 445	20 344	21 425	22 238	20 347	5 031	901.9		000								
							Y 00 0	2010		4 298	5 219	-	26 263	28 545	30 344		200	

APPENDIX E5

	<u>:</u>	Water Services Operating Subsidy (via augmentaion to the Water Trading Account)	Water Services Operating Subsidy ementaion to the Water Trading A	ating Subsi er Tradino	dy Account)		lmpleme	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	· Services Pr	ojects (Capit	[F		STIR.T	OTAL	STIR-TOTAL INDIBECT CBANTS	ANTE	
	Nationa	National Financial Year	-	Municipal	Municipal Financial Vear	Par	National E	National Einemoiol Voor		,			Table	THE CASE AND	DAINECT OF	ervis	
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'	2006/07 20 (R'000) (R	2004/05 20 (R'000) (B	2005/06 201 (R:000) (R	200	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	2004/05	04/05 2005/06 2006/	2006/07	2004/05	National Financial Year 14/05 2005/06 2006	2006/07	Munici 2004/05	Municipal Financial Year 104/05 2005/06 2006/	1 Year 2006/07
KWAZULU-NATAL		-	 	-	+ -	+-	+	+-	(x 000)	(14.000)	(4,000)	(8.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
A cThekwini	1 308	1413		1 339	1 055		1 619	73	1 348	65		2 927	1 401		1076	-	
B KZ211 Vulamehlo	_											1	1		7 007	1114	
KZ212																	
KZ213																	
KZ214								-					•				
						_										-	
KZ216			•														
C DC21 Ugu District Municipality	870			1 001	1 855	2 300	1619	78	1 330	1.3		7					
I otal: Ugu Municipalities	870	1 792	2 300	1 001	1 855	2 300	1 619	200	1 339			2 489	0/81		2 340		2 300
7							70.7	0/	1339	è		2 489	1870	2 300		1 922	2 300
17777										_	_						
K2222								-			-						
N2.223					_					_							
B K7255 Menduri											-						
KZ226							_			_							
KZ227		_			_		_										
C DC22 uMgungundlovu District Municipality																-	
Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities			1	-	1		1 619	78	1 339	29		1619	78		1 339	29	
			-		-	1	4 019	8/	1339			1 619	78		1 339	29	
						•											
KZ233																	
KZ234		_															
K2235											_				-		
N2230						_				_							
UC23 Uthukcla District Municipality	3 900	4 008	5115	3 930	4 186	5 143	1 619	78	1 130	19	-	5 510	7007	91.9			
Lotal: Unukeia Municipalities	3 900	4 008	5 115	3 930	4 186	5 143	1 619	78	1 339		Ī	5 519	4 086	5115	697 5	4 253	5 143
B KZ241 Endumeni																	
KZ242			-														
	_																
KZ245																	
C DC24 Unzinyathi District Municipality	2 870	3 020		2 900	3 196		1,610	100									•
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	2 870		4 239			4 261	1 619	0/	1 339	/9	Ī	4 489	3 098	4 239	4 239	3 263	4 261
				-			1 012	0/	1 339	<i>\</i> o		4 489	3 098	4 239	4 239	3 263	4 261
KZ253				_									-				
K2254 DC36							 -										
Total: Amainha Municipalities	730	777	230	741	705	242	1 619	78	1 339	-67		2 349	855	230	2.080	777	242
ommaline.	1361	111	230	741	705		1 619	78	1 339	19		2 349	855	230	2.080	777	242
				!]			7.7.	700 m	×//	767

		Water Services Operating Subsidy (via augmentaion to the Water Trading Account)	water Services Operating Subsidy gmentaion to the Water Trading Ac	ating Subsi er Trading	ly Account)		Implemen	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	Services Pro	jects (Capital)			SUB-T(TAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	ANTS	
		National Financial Year	ar	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	ear	National Fin	ancial Vear	Manie	Laiona Gian		17 14					
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 21 (R'000) (1	2006/07 20 (R'000) (F	2004/05 20 (R'000) (F	2005/06 20 (R'000) (R	007	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	74/05 2005/06 2006/07 000) (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006 (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07	2004/05	1/4/05 2/005/06 2/006	2006/07	2004/05	Municipal Financial Year 04/05 2005/06 2006/	2006/07
B KZ261 cDumbe B KZ262 uPhoneolo													(and the second	(000)	(ooo w)	(ann w	(2000)
N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N.	10 500	11 850	12 443	736	1 000	213 61	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	o,		1						1 1 2 2 2 2	
Fotal: Zululand Municipalities	10 500		12 443	10 736	11 999	12 514	1 619	78	1 339	/0	Ť	12 119	11 928	12 443	12 075	12 066	12 514
K K K L L L L L L L	2 000		4 411	4 875	4 091	4 449	1 619	78	1 339	25		91999	2 22	100	716 9	000	1440
oral. Cummany akune Municipalities	5 000	4 080	4 411	4 875	4 091	4 449	1 619	78	1 339	19		619 9	4 158	4 411	6 214	4 158	4 449
B K7281 Mbonambi R7282 wMthathazc K7282 wMthathazc R7283 Nambanana R7284 Umilatzi R7284 Umilatzi R7285 Mthonjaneni B K7285 Mthonjaneni B K7285 Nkambana R7286 Nkambanana R7286	640	930	6	718	704							640	930		718	704	
Total: uThungulu Municipalities	2 240		3 409	2 477	3 506	3 409	1619	78 78	1 339	1.9	T	3 219	2 778	3 409	3 098	2 869	3 409
B K7291 eNdondakusuka B K7292 KwaDukuza B K7293 Ndwedwe B K7294 Maphumulo DC29 Ilembe District Municipality	1 000	1 900	2 000	1130	1914		1619	2 %	1 339	5		2 619	3 / 108	3 400	3816	3 573	3 409
Total: Itembe Municipanties	1 000	1 900	2 000	1 130	1 914		1 619	78	1 339	29		2 619	1 978	2 000	2 469	1981	2 000
B KZ5al Ingwe KZ5az Kwa Sani B KZ5az Kwa Sani B KZ5az Kwa Sani B KZ5az Kwa Sani KZ5az Kwa Kokatad KZ5az Chublebezwe KZ5az Ubublebezwe C DC43 Sisonke District Municipality Iotali Sisonke Municipalities							619	7.8	1 339	79		1 619	78		1 339	19	
Trial: Kwo Zulu Notel Massisser							1019	78	1 339	29		1 619	78		1 339	29	
real avazuru-yaral Municipatties	28 418	32 470	34 147 2	29 129	32 507 3	34 318 I	17 806	098	14 741	729	T	46 224	33 330	34 147	43.870	33,736	34 310

APPENDIX E5

		Wat	Water Services Operating Subsidy	Perating S	ubsidy		Imp	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Canital)	of Water Sea	vices Projec	ts (Capital)		15	B.TOTAL	STIB. TOTAL INDIDECT CDANTS	CDANTE	
	Natio	National Financial Year	al Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	al Vear	Netions	National Financial Voca	Voor	7	El Capación	<u> </u> T	5	Terorac	INDINECI	GRAINES	ļ
Category Number Municipality	2004/US (R'000)	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	107	2004/05 2		200	∄—	706 2006/07	-	-[[2006/07
LIMPOPO				(000)	(nan w	(ago w)	(noo w)	+	(8,000)	(8,000)	(R'000) (R'000)	(8,000)	(R'000)	(0) (R'000)	9) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
B NP03A2 Makhudurkamaga										_						<u></u>	
NP03A3																	
							_							_			
CBLC4									_								
CIRCO						_											
Total: Greater Sekhukhung Cross Boundary	80 039		92 083			92 521	24 123	26 360		24 429	22 551	104	104 162 111 647		105 468	590 001	00 531
Service Schuld Closs Boundary Municipalities	80 039	85 287		81 039	86 514		24 123	26 360		24 429	22 551	104 162		1	92 083 105 468		ĺ
B NP04A1 Maruleng													L	1_		_	
											_						
C CBDC4 Bohlabela District Municipality	54 720	58 602	64 169	55 472		103 89	099		_								
Total: Bohlabela Municipalities	54 720			55 472	50 636	ł	000			264		55	55 380 58 6	58 602 64	64 169 56 036	36 59 636	64 501
		1		714.00			000			564		55					
NP331						-											
NP332					_									_	•		
NP333							_	-	_						_		
NP334							-						_			_	
C DC33 Mopani District Municipality	86 153			87 750		102 914	24 386	20 600		23.821	17.632		_				
Jotal: Mopani Municipalities	86 153	94 596	102 258	87 750	96 155		24 386	20 600			17 633	110 539	539 115 196	196 102 258	175 111 571	71 113 778	102 914
B NP341 Musica														_			
NP347										_							
NP343					_	_		-								_	
NP344																	
C DC34 Vhembe District Municipality	137 000	147 146	100	000													
Total: Vhembe Municipalities	137 000			130 332	149 885	159 231	18 612	28 520		-	24 399	155 612	-	566 157 785			
		İ	1	137 334	1	152 651	18 612	28 520		20 030	24 399	155 612	612 175 666		85 159 362	174 284	159 231
B NP351 Blouberg									-					-			
NP352				_													
			_						-								
NP354				206	299	313	_										
NP355					1				_				_		206	96 299	313
C DC35 Capricorn District Municipality	75 030	ĺ	87 663	991 97	82 304.	88 133	7 097	4 700		6 746	4 021	82		577 653	620 60		
Local: Capricorn Municipalities	75 030	80 977	87 663	76 372	82 603	88 446	7 097	4 700		6 746	4 021	82 127	127 85 677			86 624	88 446
B NP361 Thabazimbi			-														
B NP362 · Lephalale	19 900	22 041	27.085	20.461	722	301 50	_									_	
NP364			000 /3	104.07	2 334	C71 /7						19 900	900 22 041	27 085	85 20 461	2 334	27 125
									_			_	_				
NP366			_						_	_							
NP367				97	140.	147	_	_									
C DC36 Waterberg District Municipality						-	3 778	4 000	_	3 806	3 422		3 7 7 8		97	97 140	147
Lotal: Waterberg Municipalities	19 900	22 041	27 085	20 558	2 474	272 72	3 778	4 000		3 806	3 422	23 678		27 085			577 77
	,								-					L			
							_										
Lotal: Limpopo Municipalities	452 842	488 649	531 043	460 523	477 267	534 885	78 655	84 180		70 396	72 016	531 407	000 623 630	230 521 043		-	
						-	13000	27 120	-		010 7/	, rcc		ı	43 539 919	549 283	534 885

INDIRECT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 7)

	_	water	Services O	Water Services Operating Subsidy	sidy													
		(via augmentaion to the Water Trading Account)	ion to the V	Vater Tradi	ng Account)		JduuT	ementation	of Water Se	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	ts (Capital	_		SUB-TC	TAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	STZ	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Vear	Notiona	Notional Pinancial										
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	200000	2004/05	r mancial	1	Municipa	CE L	Year	Nations	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	_		(R'000)	_	(8,000)	70/00/07	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/02	-	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
MPUMALANGA							╀	+	+	+	+	(x 000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
		_												_			_	-
B MP301 Albert Luthuli	5			_		_	_	_						-		_		_
	13 200	15 500	16 343	14 007	15 659	8 508	380	_		380		_	2000	000				_
B MP303 Mkhondo		_								2	_		13 000	000 01	10 343	14 387	15 659	8 208
B MP304 Seme							_			_						-		
MP305		_	_	_							_	_		_	_	_		
B MP306 Dipaleseng					_		-	_		_			_			_	_	
B MP307 Govan Mbeki		_					_	_	_	_						-	_	
C DC30 Gert Sibande District Municipality		_				_										_		
Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities	13 500	15 500	16.343							_			_					_
		000001	10 343	14 007	15 659	8 208	380			380		Ī	13 880	15 500	16 343	14 307	15 650	0000
	_	_		-				-				T		2000	C+C OI	14 30/	15 059	8 208
B MP312 Emalableni			_	-				_			-	_		_				
MP313			_				_		_			_						
MP314				-									-					
B MP315 Thembisile	16 900	10 061	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							_					_	_		
	44 042	18 831	19 961	17 443	19 209	20 045	_					_	16 900	18 851	10 061	17 442	0000	2000
C DC31 Nkangala District Municipality		700 /+	20.517	45 002	48 450	50 533	_				_	_	44 042	47 552	50 317	45 062	48 450	50 043
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	60 942	66 403	270 270	202 67	020					_			!			200	000	20.333
			0	07 202	0/ 02%	70 578							60 942	66 403	70 278	62 505	67 650	70 570
	200	450	404	263	430	707					_							
B MP323 Hamiladi	30 079	32 679	34 472	30 758	33 170	34 517							200	450	404	263	439	404
MP324			_			_	_	-		_		_	30 079	32 679	34 472	30 758	33 170	34 517
C DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality	26 847	29 546	31 462	27 598	30 136	31 578							26.847	20 546	31 460	200	, ,	-
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	57 126	57.9 63	66 330	20 7.10			-							040	204 16	27.398	30 136	31 578
		6/070	00 338	28 619	63 745	66 4 6 6					-		57 126	62 675	8118	58 610	63 745	7000
												T	-		00000	20 017	05/40	00 499
Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	131 568	144 579	020 021							_								
		0/6	127 737	100 101	147 063	145 585	380			380			131 948	144 578	152 050	135 511	147 063	145 605
]		2	100 100	4	1	145 585

		Water Services Operating Subsidy	Water Services Operat	erating Subsidy	sidy		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	of Water Sei	rvices Proje	cts (Capital			SUB-TG	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	RECT GR	SINI	
	i i	(via augmentation to	on to the w	Municina	r 1 rading Account)	Vear	National	National Financial Year	'ear	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nations	National Financial	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	20/	2004/05	2005/06	200	2004/05 2	2005/06 2	20/9	2004/05 2	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
	(8.000)	+	+-	+-	╁	+	+-	+	+	╁	-	(20)	(222)	(2)	ì			
NORTHERN CAPE																		_
B NC01B1 Gamagara				19	25	30			-,				000	-	9	61	25	30
B NW1al Moshaweng	009 6	10 144	9 440	9 725	9 935	9 440							0096	10 144	9 440	577 6	2 845	2 080
CBLCI	3 500	3 800	3 980	3 575	3 845	3 980	9	40		72	25		9900	2 800	7,000	72	34	7000
C CBDCI Rgalagadi District Municipality	13 100	13 944	13 420	13 319	13 805	13 450	99	9		72	8	Ī	13 166	13 984	13 420	13 391	13 839	13 450
Lotal Arganghan Cross rotor remerphism														i i	9		0 5 7	50
NC061	350	200	200	438	929	200							320	700	200	438	059	200
NC062	1	9	9	303	200	000							2009	009	200	525	575	5000
	500	009	200	313	5/5	200							250	200	200	313	200	200
NC065	730	2000	900	010		200												
	301	200	300	351	450	300							301	200	300	351	450	300
DC6							99	40		72	34		99	40		72	7	
otal: Namakwa Munic	1 401	2 300	1 800	1 627	2 175	1 800	99	40		72	34		1 467	2 340	1 800	1 699	2 209	1 800
			000	900	000	000							000	000	1 000	000	1 000	1 000
NC072	000 1	000	000 1	30.1	990	3												
B NCO/3 Emthangent	222	950	896	404	955	896							222	950	896	404	955	896
NC076																		
NC077														013	000		215	430
NC078	200	813	420	278	715	420	99	40		72	25		99	40		72	34	27
C DC7 Karoo District Municipality	,		3 300	1 062	0.029 €	2 188	99	9		72	32		1 788	2 803	2 388	2 054	2 704	2 388
Total: Karoo Municipalities	1 722	2.763	7 388	786 1	0/07	7 300	90	0+		1	5	T						
	400		400	200	700	400							400	800	400	200	700	400
NC082	313	260	300	300	270	300							313	260			270	300
NC083													0				196	300
	300	200	300	350	450	300							300	000	200	0000	450	300
B NC085 Tsantsabane																		
NC086	9	20	200				99	40		72	34		116	06	200	72	34	
Total: Siyanda Municipalities	1 063	16	1 200	1 150	1 420	1 000	99	40		72	34		1 129	1 650				1 000
	1 0 0	3 808	4 173	3 148	3 893	4 173							2 931	3 808	4 173	3	3 893	4 173
NC092	800		1 137	006	1 184	1 137							800				1 184	1 137
	4 100	4 332	4 164	4 150	4 266	4 164	-						4 100		4 164	4	4 266	4 164
CBLC/ DCe	-						99	40		72	34	·	99	40			34	
2	7 831	9 340	9 474	8 198	9 343	9 474	99	40		72	34		7 897	9 380	9 474	8 270	9 377	9 474
							-											
			-	250 35	20.413	10 111	328	200		350	170		25 445	30 157	28 282	26 635	29 583	28 112
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities	25 117	29 957	28 282	26 276	1	711 27		2007	1	100	177							

APPENDIX E5

		Water	Water Services Operating Subsidy	perating Su	sidy		lamI	ementation	of Water S	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Canital)	octs (Comits	_		T GILD	77.4	40 000		
) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ia augment	alon to the v	vater Iradi	(via augmentation to the water Trading Account)					for a constant	and (cabin	,		orp-17	JIAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	SINIS	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	α.	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Manicin	Municipal Financial Van	Ven
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
WORTH WEST						(222	+	+	(ann w	+-	(K 000)	(K UUU)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8.000)	(R:000)	(K'000)	(8:000)
NORTH WEST																		
	11 000	13 400	15 918	11 588	13 992	15 918							- 90	2				
NW372	6 300	7 490	12 890	6 598	8 840	12 890							11 000	13 400	816 01	11 588	13 992	15.918
NW373	3 300	3 900	2 133	3 441	3 432	2 133						-	0000	7 490	12 890	6 598	8 840	12 890
NW374					!		-						J 3000	2,000	2 153	3 44	3 432	2 133
NW375	7 300	8 590	9 595	7 623	8 841	9 595							000	0	4		•	
C DC37 Bojanala Platinum District Municipality							1 000			213			7 300	8 290	9 595	7 623	8 841	9 595
Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	27 900	33 380	40 536	29 250	35 105	40 536	1 000			110			0000	000	0,0	677		
										+			00% 07	33 380	40 536	29 927	35 105	40 536
										-								
NW382				_				_										
								_							-			
B NW384 Ditsobotta																		
B NW385 Zeerust						_	•			-		_				•		•
C DC38 Central District Municipality	30 000	31 350	33 468	30 100	31 663	22 474	000							_				
Total: Central Municipalities	30 000	31 350	34 468	30 100	21 663	23 474	000			677			31 000	31 350	33 468	30 876	31 662	33 474
	200	000 10	23 400	30 133	20010	334/4	1 000			229			31 000	31 350	33 468	30 876	31 662	33 474
B NW391 Kagisano				_														
NW392							-							-				
B NW393 Mamusa		•				_							_	-				
B NW394 Greater Taung			_							•					-			
				_				_			-							
-			-										-	_				
C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality	000 81	20 500	22 640	18 332	20.638	22,640												
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640	+			+	1		18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640
B NW401 Venterrolom													18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640
NW402		-		_	-										-			
													-					
NW404					-													
DC40			•	-								_						
15										1								
		t	1	+	+	+	1		1						!			
						<u>-</u>												
Potol: North West Municipalisies	- 000						_					_					_	
A OTAL MATERIAL MAINTENANCES	75 900	85 230	06 644	77 781	87 405	טע עבט	000	-				I					_	-

Hinancial Year 2005/06 2006/07 R (R 000) R (47	Water Se	Water Services Operating Subsidy	ting Subsid	y		Impleme	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	Services Pr	ojects (Capit	(la		SUB-TOT	TAL: INDII	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	NTS	
Particle Particle		Notional	Financial Ve	ar to take 11 di	Municinal	'inancial Ve	1	National Fin	ancial Vear	Munic	inal Financia	1 Year	National	Financial Y	⊢	Municipa	Financial \	'ear
### CD of Cape Town WOULD Michaelm WOULD Secretaria WOULD Secret	1	2004/05 2 (R'000)	2005/06 21 R'000) (A	20 60	04/05 20 (000) (R	95/06 20C 000) (R'L	00)	704/05 200 1'000) (R'0	5/06 2006/07 00) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	000) (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 (R'000) (2004/05 2005/06 2006/ (R'000) (R'000) (R'00	2006/07 (R'000)
WCD1 Matchesters WCD1 Matchesters WCD1 Matchesters WCD1 Matchesters WCD1 Searchest Bay WCD1 Searchesters WCD1 Searchesters Searchesters WCD1 Searchesters Searchesters WCD2 Searchesters Searchesters WCD3 Matchesters Searchesters WCD3 Searchesters Searchesters WCD3 Matchesters Searchesters WCD3 Searchesters Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliter Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliter Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliters Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliters Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliters Searchesters WCD3 Lengtheliters Searchesters	WESTERN CAPE	 											-					
WOTH Manakama WOTH Manakama WOTH Manakama WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Desired Managanging WOTH Statement Conference Desired Managanging WOTH STATEMENT WOTH S							+											
WOUTD Exceptions WOUTD Registrate WOUTD Registrate WOUTD Registrate WOUTD Registrate WOUTD Registrate WOUTD Security WOUTD Security WOUTD Security WOUTD Proteins WOUTD Pro	MCOIL				,									-				
W.COLD Solution Brown World Solution Brown World Solution Brown World Solution Brown World Solution Brown World Solution Wor	WC012													-				
WOURS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Swartland By WOLDS Workload By WOLDS Broade Swide Windspatifies WOURD Broade Swide Windspatifies	WC013																	
ACCTOR 20 Acctor ACCTOR	WC014	_					•											
GIP Visit Cannal National Fallow County Standard Seed of Standard WVCD2 National Americanisty NVCD2 National Americanisty NVCD2 National Americanisty WVCD2 National National National National Americanisty NVCD2 National	WC015																	
W.C.D.2. Wilcording W.C.D.2. Wilcording N. W.C.D.2. Wilcording N. W.C.D.2. Statement W.W.C.D.2. Statement by W.C.D.2. Broader New Vincinates, D.C.2. Related District Municipality N. W.C.D.2. Related District Municipality N. W.C.D.2. Related District Municipality Acta: Bland Municipalities W.C.D.3. Coverage of Coverage Statement o	otal: West Coast Mun																	
W.O.23 Discussion W.O.23 Witzenbreagh W.O.23 Witzenbreagh W.O.23 Discussion W.O.23 Discussion W.O.23 Stellenboard W.O.23 Exclusional burst Athenically S.O. Discussion S.O. Discussion A.O. 2. Distant Durict Athenicipality S.O. Distant Durict Athenicipality S.O. Distant Durict Athenicipality W.O.21 Conclusion Durict Administration W.O.22 Overstrain W.O.22 Overstrain W.O.23 Stellenboard W.O.23 Overstrain Graph Conference And Conference																		
W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.024 Selections. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Asplants. W 0.03 Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap Cap	WC022											_				-		
WORDS Encest River Wiley	WC023								-							,		
Decret Rever Winchmarks Decret Rever Winchmarks Decret Rever Winchmarks Decret Rever Winchmarks	WC025												_					
Victor Edge Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Cutter Municipalities Victor Victor Victor Cutter Victor	WC026						_											
WC031 Coverational Municipalities WC032 Coverational Municipalities WC033 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC034 Coverational Municipalities WC035 Coverational WC035 C	DC2				+	+									-			
WC033 Therewaterskiorf WC033 Cape Agailuss WC034 Cape Agailuss WC041 Kannatuaul WC042 Langebug WC043 Cape Boulout WC043 Langebug WC044 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC045 Cape Boulout WC046 Cape Bo	Total: Boland Municipalities			+	1		+											
W. W. Color Angeleration	100,001																	
W.CO3.5 Caper Agaillass W.CO3.5 Caper Agaillas P.C. Agaillas	WC031					-												
Partic Municipality	WC033		_					-										
Albertic Municipality 1,0000 10,0	WC034				_										_			
The state of the s	C DC3 Overberg District Municipality			1	+		-		+									
WCO41 Kannalanul WCO42 Langeberg WCO44 Googe WCO44 Googe WCO44 Googe WCO44 Skrysta WCO4 Elea Dsirric Municipality 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 500 3 890 4 519 WCO44 Googe WCO44 Skrysta WCO51 Elea Dsirric Municipality 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 500 4 519 Action Figer WCO51 Laingsburg WCO51 Laingsburg WCO52 Prince Albert WCO53 Beaufort West DCC Central Karoo District Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 2298 3 850 4 300 DGB: Central Karoo Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 DGB: Western Cupe Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 1740 8 819 Inallocated and other National Allocations namedial Subsid	Total: Overberg Municipalities			+														
WCD42 Langeberg Langeberg WCD43 Googe Bay A 519 3 500 3 890 4 519 WCD43 Googe Bay WCD44 Googe Bay WCD44 Googe Bay 4 519 3 500 3 890 4 519 WCD44 Coulshoom WCD48 Kaysua WCD48 Kaysua 4 519 3 500 3 800 4 519 ARI: Eden MucCyal Eden District Municipality Bay Samuel Coulshoom 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 800 4 519 WCD5 Frince Albert WCD5 Frince Albert Bay Samuel Coulship	WC041						-											
WCOH3 George Bay WCH45 Outshoom 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 500 3 890 4 519 WCH45 Outshoom WCH45 Outshoom WCH45 Outshoom 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 800 4 519 WCH45 Coulcibron WCH48 Each District Municipality 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 800 4 519 WCH48 Each District Municipality 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 WCH45 Each District Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 WCH45 Each Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 DC3 Central Karoo Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 Add: Central Karoo Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 Ader Services Operating Subsisty 10 000 12 320 10 060 10 106 10 111	WC042				_													
WC044 Céorge WC047 Pietenbre Bay 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 500 3 800 4 519 WC045 Pietenbre Bay WC048 Knyana WC048 Knyana Bay 3 400 3 808 4 519 Bay 4 519 Atal: Eden Municipality Boal Charles Rayona Bay 4 519 Bay 4 519 Bay <	WC043			-				_										
WC048 World Mode WC048 A 519 5 500 4 519 5 500 4 519 WC048 Krysna WC048 Krysna 3 400 3 808 4 519 3 800 4 519 WC048 Eden District Municipality 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 WC051 Laingsburg WC052 Peince Albert 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 DC5 Central Karoo District Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 otal: Central Karoo Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 inalicated and other National Allocations 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 iunicipal Infrastructure Grant Lingbal Infrastructure Grant Lingbal Infrastructure Grant Lingbal Infrastructure Grant	WC044	7	000	013.6	3 500	3 600	4 510						3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4519
WCQ47 Peterleneng Basy WCQ48 Krysva August Peterleneng Basy A 519 3 500 3 800 4 519 A 500 A 519 A 5	WC045	3.400	3 808	4 319	000	060 6	<u> </u>								-			
Control Edge Municipalities State Services Operating Subsidy State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating State Services Operating Services Operating Servi	WC047	-														-		
Marcial Municipalities 3400 3808 4519 3500 3890 4519	DC4		_															
WC051 Laingsburg WC052 Prince Albert WC053 Bearlor West 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 DC5 Central Karoo District Municipality DC5 Central Karoo Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 otal: Central Karoo Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 otal: Western Cape Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 otal: Western Cape Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 inallocated and other National Allocations 10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 106 10 111	otal: Eden Municipali	3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4 519						3 400	3 808	4 519	3 200	3 890	4519
W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3 Entroce Albert W.COS3	WCOST					-	-	_										
WC053 Beaufort West 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 DC5 Central Karoo District Municipalities 1 830 3 700 4 300 2 298 3 850 4 300 otal: Western Cape Municipalities 5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 nanlocated and other National Allocations 10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 106 10 111	WC052					_											,	
DCS Central Karoo District Municipality 1830 3700 4 300 2298 3850 4 300	WC053	1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300						1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 820	4 300
1830 3700 4300 2288 3850 4300 35230 7508 8819 5798 7740 8819 10100 10000 12320 10069 10106 10111	DCS				-		000,						1 020	3 700	4 300	3 298	3.850	4 300
5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 101	Total: Central Karoo Municipalities	1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300	+		1		T	0001	ON 1 C				
5 230 7 508 8 819 5 798 7 740 8 819 10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 106 10 111																		
10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 1011	Total: Western Cape Municipalities	5 230	7 508	8 819	5 798	7 740	8 819						5 230	7 508	8 819	5 798	7 740	8 819
10 000 10 000 12 320 10 069 10 106 10 111	Unallocated and other National Allocations					_	_						860 09	66.240	62 657	516 09	70 2 49	74 464
	Financial Management Grant - DBSA Witter Semicon Operation Subsides	000 01	10 000	12 320	690 01	10 106	10 111						10 000	000 01	12 320		10 106	111 01
-	Municipal Infrastructure Grant												44 459	51 926	59 871		51 926	59 871
National Trans	Notional Total	858 334	934 434	990 500	875 352		985 395	1_	38 679	158 81		2	1 124 071	1 191 279	1 113 028	1 139 541	1 166 972	1 119 730

TOTAL ALLOCATIONS BY NATIONAL AND MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL YEAR:

Equitable Share (Schedule 3) + Recurrent Grants (Schedule 6) + Infrastructure Grants (Schedule 6A) + Indirect Grants (Schedule 7)

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

				Kanitahle Share	e Chare					Crond Total	Total		
		Nation	al Financial	Year	Munici	pal Financial	Year	Nation	nal Financial	Year	Munici	oal Financial	Year
Category Number	ver Municipality	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'000) (R'	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07	2004/05	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07
EASTERN CAPE									in the second	(account			
V	Nelson Mandela	161 075	155 976	174 216	150 846	149 759	174 216	240 306	249 166	261 333	233 387	241 956	261 333
B EC10	11 Camdeboo	8 555	7 298	•	8 053	996 9	8 014	10 054	8 548			8 297	01
B ECIC	2 Blue Crane Route	10 717	290 6		10 076	8 652	9 884	10 967	9 717			9 661	
B ECIO	33 Ikwezi	4 168	3 766			3 605	4 006	4418				3 966	
B)5 Ndlambe	13 420	11 808			11 287	13 151	15 150	13 662		14 009	12 068	
B ECIC	6 Sundays River Valley	12 436	866 6			9 514	10 741	13 545				10 829	
B ECI(7 Baylaans	\$ 080	4 074			3 878	4 341	5 330				4 424	
BCIC	/8 Nouga	11 099	10 380			9 948	11 587	11 998				12 038	
ECIT C	9 Koukamma 0 Cacado District Municipality	7 627	7 540			7 243	8 253	7877				7 847	
Total: Cacadu Municipalities		93 686	80 883	87 372	88 064	77 254	87 372	150 864	-	134 620		123 607	134 620
ECIZ	Mohashe	49 641	41 216	41 678			41 678	49 891	41 466			40 861	54 255
BCL	22 Mnquma	54 811	45 253	48 400			48 400	54 811	45 253			44 936	64 894
B FCI7	Ocean Net	27 472	33 337	32 687			9 3/1	10 00/	77 277			22 0439	20 909
B EC12	S Buffalo City	192 698	168 569	178 474		161 172	178 474	283 806	22 22			264 769	281 746
B EC12	6 Ngqushwa	20 213	16 549	16 994			16 994	20 463	16 799			16 507	21 994
B EC12	7 Nkonkobe	24 982	22 460	23 714			23 714	26 532	23 160			22 795	30 141
B EC128 Nxuba	8 Nxuba	6 266	5 451	5 756	5 839	5 211	5 756	8 841	6 501	7 675	8 534	6 297	7 675
C	2 Amatole District Municipality	87 653	73 036	80 214	-	-	80 214	261 217	261 716	1		254 639	236 412
Total: Amatole Mun	cipalities	473 751	403 734	428 232			428 232	743 701	699 285			682 286	740 346
B EC131 Inxuba Yet	I Inxuba Yethemba	8 589	8 306	9 067	00	7 975	6 067	11 069					10 834
B EC13	52 Tsolwana	9 0 74	7 141	7 161	00	6 189	7 161	9 882					
B ECI:	13 Inkwanca	5 583	4 910	5 192		4 695	5 192	6 242	•	6 578	5916	5 382	
BC1.	94 Lukhanji 15 Intsika Vethii	26 289	24 311		42 5	23 302	25 573	27 734					
B BC13	66 Emalableni	26 659	21 519		25	20 482	20 997	28 614					
B EC13	77 Engcobo	30 544	25 177		28	23 987	25 588	30 794					
B EC13	18 Sakhisizwe	11 398	10 142		10	9 702	10 685	11 648					13 954
C	3 Chris Hani District Municipality	94 387	82 964	1	8	79 271	89 205	252 341	-	-	1	- 1	
Total: Chris Hani M	unicipalities	257 441	221 796	1	243 110	211 776	228 988	423 492		1	1	-	
B EC141	11 Elundini	31.054	25	26 913	29 348	24 122	26 913	35 473			33	26 539	34 754
		28 045	24	26 275			26 275	28 295				24	34 163
B EC143		992 9	9	698 9			698 9	8 566				00	10 199
B EC144	 4 Gariep 4 Theathamba District Municipality 	6 950	6 333	6 749	6 524	6 064	6 749	8 750	7 183	8 783	7 614	6 993	8 783
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	200	123 117	8	114 798			114 798	218 723				211	199 105
		41 780	34 675			33 048	35 200	42 030				34 386	45 589
B EC152	22 Ntabankulu 53 Osubani	25 903				20 267	22 /99	26 153			24	21	29 367
B ECISA		30 252				23 753	24 114	30 502			78 7	24.5	31 158
		51 184				40 582	43 438	51 434			48	42	56 277
	Mhlontlo	39 182				30 808	33 480	39 432			37	32	43 900
ECI3/	5. OR Tambo District Municipality	184 650				143 053	150 403	901 100		477 056	491	476 155	478 177
otal: O.R Tam	unicipalities	488 857	402 130	412 541	463 278	383 035	412 541	823 015	761 875			746	809 350
B EC05b1	b1 Umzimkhulu	32 603				25	28 089	32 853					
B EC0562		78 134		æ		62 227	64 636	78 384					
C DC44	4 Alfred Nzo District Municipality	73 249	61 216	64 528	69 627	8	64 528	196 034	192 047	181 882	193 631	187 552	181 955
Total: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	unicipalities	183 986		157		146 118	157 253	307 271	284 640				
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities	Municipalities	1 781 913	1 526 050	1 603 400	1 681 922	1 456 726	1 663 400	2 907 371	2 748 413	2 859 786	2 826 098	2 679 578	2 860 412
				1					1				

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

					Equitable Share	e Share					Grand Total	Total		
			Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category	Number	Number Municipality	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
			(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R'000)
FREE STATE	F=3													
	17132													
9 0	19191	Letscmeng	12 357	10 787	11 857	11 655	10 306	11 857	13 227	11 437	17 314	12 325	11 441	17 314
ם מ	FS162	Kopanong	16 906	16 051	17 927	15 938	15 395	17 927	18 656	17 701	24 480	17 623	17 603	24 480
8	FS163	Mohokare	17 044	13 166	11 867	16 096	12 503	11 867	17 294	13 416	16 145	16 346	13 168	16 145
0	DC16	Xhariep District Municipality	3 380	3 158	3 142	3 141	3 029	3 142	17 337	17 400	6 463	17 061	16 041	6 463
Total: Xharlep Municipalities	Municipa	lities	49 687	43 163	44 793	46 830	41 233	44 793	66 514	59 954	64 402	63 355	58 255	64 402
В	FS171	Deleg	11 048	700 0	0 100	676 11	667.0	901.0	901 61	17.0	2000		1300	13.046
æ	FS172	Mangaing	700	143 830	017 67	507 11	0000	0616	12 190	7 24 7	10.040	515 11	107 / 10	
2 00		Managaning	087 507	143 829	148 0/8	190 135	135 992	148 678	298 240	224 091	231 471	286 042	216 117	231 4/1
a C	PC17	Marksopa Mothes Dietrice Municipality	18019	13 898	15 126	17 008	13 197	15 126	18 269	14 348	22 546	17 288	14 378	22 546
Total. Mathe		TO DISCIPLIA	1 038	45	1 000	200	1 000	000	10 555	11 834	3 284	10 6/2	10 890	5 584
A OTAL: MOUNEO MUNICIPALITIES	Municipa	ities	235 291	167 864	174 002	219 406	158 821	174 002	339 263	259 620	270 647	325 519	250 636	270 647
В	FS181	Masilonyana	26 813	20 590	21 432	25 322	19 546	21 432	26 813	20 590	32 394	25 322	20 752	32 394
m_	FS182	Tokologo	12 372	. 9 507	10 018	11 665	9 027	10 018	13 025	9 757	15 494	12 118	9 824	15 494
8	FS183	Tswelopele	21 556	16 634	14 502	20 356	15 794	14 502	21 806	16 884	22 819	20 606	16 904	22 819
m		Matjhabeng	121 457	92 220	98 847	114 494	87 501	98 847	173 688	153 079	165 981	167 783	149 175	165 981
В		Nala	30 437	25 684	28 369	28 668	24 502	28 369	30 437	26 384	44 595	28 773	26 882	44 595
O	DC18	Lejweleputswa District Municipality	1 658	1 066	1 000	1 438	1 007	1 000	36 886	36 952	5 485	36 558	33 438	5 485
Total: Le weleputswa Municipalities	putswa Mi	ınicipalities	214 293	165 701	174 167	201 944	157 377	174 167	302 655	263 645	286 769	291 160	256 976	286 769
В		Setsoto	39 563	32 588	35 808	37 577	31 039	35 808	39 563	32.588	59 431	37 577		59 431
В		Dihlabeng	26 752	23 944	26 824	25 274	22 902	26 824	26 762		41 740	25 282	24 543	41 740
e i		Nketoana	26 140	20 207	17 669	24 703	19 189	17 669	26 450		26 796	25 058		26 796
m.		Maluti-a-Phofung	131 286	103 763	88 036	124 001	98 652	88 036	209 073		160 363	198 887		160 363
m (Phumelela	15 135	12 555	13 619	14 271	11 966	13 619	15 385		20 676	14 596		20 676
U	DC19	Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality	13 622	13 248	12 897	11 994	12 754	12 897	75 365		36 971	74 426	75 846	36 971
Total: Thabo N	Aofutsanya	Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	252 499	206 305	194 853	237 820	196 503	194 853	392 599	358 198	345 977	375 826	347 084	345 977
В	FS201	Moqhaka	42 731	32 777	32 476	40 306	31 116	32 476	53.257	45 347	45.816	\$1.165	43 730	45 816
B		Ngwathe	39 052	29 383	29 616	36 704	27 870	29 616	40 168	30 383	43 663	37 170	30 265	43 663
<u>B</u>		Metsimaholo	21 751	19 492	21 780	20 457	18 649	21 780	35 164	34 373	37 478	34 207	33 579	37 478
В		Mafube	18 061	14 534	16 003	16 997	13 833	16 003	18 621	15 784	22 514	17 707	15 594	22 514
	DC20	Northern Free State District Municipality	1 159	1 041	1 000	1 005	1 000	1 000	44 023	44 424	4 032	43 741	39 944	4 032
Total: Northern	n Free Sta	Total: Northern Free State Municipalities	122 754	97 227	100 876	115 468	92 468	100 876	191 232	170 310	153 503	183 990	163 113	153 503
			-											
Total: Free State Municipalities	te Municip	alities	874 524	680 260	688 691	821 468	646 402	688 691	1 292 262	1111728	1 121 298	1 239 851	1 076 064	1 121 298

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

			Equitable Share	e Share					Grand Total	Total		
	Natio	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year			Municipal Financial Von	Voor
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
1	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(8.000)	(8,000)	(8.000)	(8,000)	(8.000)	(8,000)
GAUTENG												200
A Ekurhuleni	305 515	425 108	485 023	296 535	412 885	485 023	504 612	167 979	741 542	408 814	640 738	741 547
A City of Johannesburg	391 161	540 445	613 393	380 545	524 760	613 393	682 829	800 484	900 266	672 583	787 607	900 266
A Carry of 18hwane	201 389	269 776	300 956	191 810	261 870	300 956	390 546	479 656	519 209	378 485	472 295	519 209
B GT02bl Nokeng tsa Tacmane	7 326	9 787	10 945	6 948	0 500	10 045	7.576	10 537	03691	1,172	10000	03001
B CBLC2 Kungwini	14 986	71 587	24 145	14 570	20000	27.70		10001	10.23	1 213	10 923	10 239
C CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality	2 586	1 663	1 099	2 243	1 570	1 000	19 651	24 552	40 958	10 448	25 393	40 961
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	24 897	33 036	36 189	137.61	33 057	26.100		00007	4 0.51	13 440	10 020	4 031
				10/2	150.75	30 189	44 745	816 66	63 848	43 445	55 147	63 851
B GT421 Emfuleni	77 076	105 871	120 47	74 253	102 811	120 471	114.526	148 845	168 714	112 296	146 324	168 714
B G1422 Midvaal	11 233	11 238	12 559	10 580	10 802	12 559	14 473	12 238	16 631	13 220	12 463	10 031
Lesedi	8 379	11 160	12 679	7 873	10 835	12 679	606 01	13 160	19 090	8 173	13 240	19 090
C DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality	1 088	1 041	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	14 006	15 948	4 269	14 119	14 626	4 269
Lotat: Sedibeng Municipalities	97.776	129 310	146 709	93 707	125 448	146 709	153 915	190 190	212 004	147 808	186 654	212 004
	32 985	46 587	52 914	31 041	026 24	62 014	£K 753	77,007	0000	7	100 55	000
GT412	14 225	19 626	22 314	13 603	10.065	22 214	26.050	30 601	34716	20 120	166 7/	26 200
B GT414 Westonaria	27 282	38 498	43 106	26.086	37 422	43 106	27 782	30 408	212 45	22 303	30 104	24 213
B CBLC8 Menfong City	42 752	61 046	68 625	41 941	40 117	2CA 8A	707 77	07 190	07.760	20 230	40 940	07 360
C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality	2 131	1 927	1 591	1 996	1 844	1 591	23 703	26 588	5 343	23 880	34 206	5 243
Total: West Rand Municipalities	119 375	167 683	188 550	115 566	162 920	188 550	201 881	258 150	287 080	199 208	254 005	287 080
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	1 140 115	1 565 250	1 770 070	, , ,								
	CIT OLY T			1 101 924	1 519 940 1 770 820	1 770 820	1 978 028 2 443 720	2 443 720	2 723 958	1 940 363	2 405 526	2 723 961

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

					Equitable Share	e Share					Grand Total	Total		
			Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	(ear	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category	Number	Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
KWAZULU-NATAL	NATAL								-			-		
V.		cThekwini	392 243	474 524	525 556	366 181	459 431	525 556	643 268	762 497	824 009	615 931	747 619	824 009
٥	1,123,11	Villamahlo	13 677	12.764		13 030	12.741	14 045	13 022	13 534	18 061	13 180	13 388	18 061
9 4	7221	Indon	7/0/1	107 57	376.2	4 650	16/41	7 345	4 904	200	10 353	4 652	6 848	10 353
<u>q</u> <u>q</u>	72213	Unam k	10 2 14	27.017		18 108	76.06	20 134	19 364	27 262	37 682		27 401	37 682
9 44	K7214	uMuziwahantu	11 610	14 643	15 676	10.976	14 191	15 676	12 703	17 393	20 112	12 444	16 999	20 112
п	KZ215	Ezingolweni	7 535	9 4 56	10 002	7 116	9 163	10 022	7 785	9 706	12 862		0.09 6	12 862
<u>m</u>	KZ216	Hibiseus Coast	15 394	177 22	24 700	14 704	22 165	24 794	21 638	30 063	33 202	21 063	29 580	33 202
O	DC2I	Ugu District Municipality	38 680	52 554	57 432	36 343	51 056	57 432	139 610	163 712	164 279	138 116	161 /45	104 2/9
Total: Ugu Municipalities	lunicipaliti	X2	110 909	146 429	158 447	104 838	142 108	158 447	219 927	268 380	296 550	215 188	060 607	000 047
В	KZ221	uMshwathi	11 529	13 108	13 863	10 865	12 660	13 863	11 779	13 358	18 886		13 407	18 886
	KZ222	uMngeni	7 822	9 489	998 6	7 294	9 188	998 6	8 442		13 088	8 364	12 093	13 088
<u> 8</u>	KZ223	Mpofana	4 768	6 525	7 082	4 597	6 336	7 082	5 018		9 205	4 847	6 764	9 205
В	KZ224	Impendie	4 574	5 653	5 873	4 295	5 476	5 873	4 824		7 546		5 855	7 546
В	KZ225	Msunduzi	74 873	86 475	91 769	69 469	83 611	91 769	168 391	133 661	142 563	Ξ	131 300	142 563
B	KZ226	Mkhambathini	5 925	8 077	8 583	2 600	7 846	8 583	6 175	8 327	12 092		8 427	12 092
m	KZ227	Richmond	8 927	10 473	11 211	8 479	10 124	11 211	9 177	10 723	15 518		10 793	15 518
Ü	DC22	uMgungundlovu District Municipality	24 211	37 032	42 190	22 835	36 091	42 190	70 304	83 079	83 285		81 591	83 283
Total: uMgui	naolbungu	Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities	142 629	176 832	190 437	133 433	171 332	190 437	284 110	274 315	302 185	275 788	270 773	307 185
	KZ232	Emnambithi/Ladysmith	18 537	24 895	26 677		24 159	26 677	27 548				32 763	
В	KZ233	Indaka	11 519	16 102				17 278	11 769	16 352	21 861	_	16 348	
щ	KZ234	Umishezi	7 714	8 663		7 202		8 762	9 512				9 405	
B	KZ235	Okhahlamba	13 082	18 981		12 619		20 346	13 332	19 231	26 455		19 328	26 455
m (KZ236		11 658	16 529	17 634	11 244	16 065	17 634	11 908	16 779	120 240	11 494	114 601	120 277
Total: Uthukela Municipalities	sla Municio	Others District Municipality	95 448	130 443		91 818	"	140 409	170 488	Ľ	236 880			236 908
							100	70,7	0713	700 7	9 203	127.5	V 844	8 203
n m	K7247	Engument Norths	14 919	19.830	20 075	13 994	19 253	20 975	15 169		26 734			
1 #	K7244	Msinea	22 142	25 321			24 456	27 149	22 392					
ı eq	KZ245	Umvoti	16 009	14 790			14 163	15818	16 259	,				
ر	DC24	Umzinyathi District Municipality	36 259	42 893			41 506	45 847	114 659				124 742	107 127
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	ıyathi Muni	cipalities	93 853	108 830			105 195	116 384	174 247	196 489	208 599	169 403	193 271	208 621
_	K7252	Newcastle	39 663	50 828	55 422	38 123	49 257	55 422	61 572	75 800		60 545		
щ	KZ253	Urecht	4 089	5 231			5 071		4 339					
œ.	KZ254	Dannhauser	9 727	13 412	14 326		13.030	14 326	7766	13 662	18 456	90 50	13 680	18 456
O)	DC25	Amajuba District Municipality	6 4 5 2	9 053					706 / 7	1		1		
Total: Amajuba Municipalities	uba Munici	palities	59 932	78 525	85 326	57 340	1010/	976 68	103 390					

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

					Equitable Share	e Share					Grand Total	Total		
			Natio	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Natio	National Financial Year	1.		Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category	Number	Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2006/07
			(000)	(8.000)	(8,000)	(8.000)	(8,000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
_8	K2261	cDumbe	8 157	11 449	12 3%6	7 844	361.11	1326	0.0					
æ	KZ262	uPhongolo	12 960	18 376	10 622	17 370	17 016	12 330	7016	13 199		9.094	17.908	15 /5/
Д	KZ263		15.081	20 017	13 027	14 403	010 71	770 61	017 51		25 054		19 033	25 054
~	7365		1000	11+07	21 804	14 491	19 820	21 804	16 631	24 417	27 721		23 871	27 721
	77427		/ 00 / 1	73 290	25 030	16 084	22 927	25 030	17 317				23 895	32 054
	2007	Ottauat	19 729	26 365	27 710	18 588	25 599	27 710	26 076			21 436	32 394	35 398
)	9730	Zululand District Municipality	41 824	56 476	61 108	39 603	54 843	61 108	152 600	_	_	151 135	173 568	176 095
Fotal: Zululand Municipalities	Munic	palities	114818	156 623	167 630	108 987	152 131	167 630	235 016	289 477	311 990	277 343	285 730	110 041
													(m)	100
η_	K.221	Umhlabuyalingana	14 692	19 404	20 869	13 878	18 832	20 869	14 942		27 323	14 128	19 737	27 323
	KZ272	Jozini	16 944	24 414	26 310	15 988	23 755	26 310	16 944	24 414	33 954	15 988	74 596	33 054
a	K2273	The Big 5 False Bay	4 879	6 391	6 775	4 626	6 200	6 775	5 129		8 776	4 876	6615	8 776
	K2274	Hlabisa	13 221	18 822	20 21 :	12 500	18 307	1000	13.471	_	24.240	12 750	231 01	36.740
	KZ275	Mtubatuba	5 2 2 5	5 801	5 808	4 835	2 600	808 5	705.0		01707	20,71	001 61	04.7 0.7
C	DC27	Umkhanyakude District Municipality	36 943	48 377	51 422	34 866	46 940	51 422	121 400	118 223	07071	0 409	136 206	137 108
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	vakude		01 004	173 210	121 405	200 200			200.00	22021	0/0/01	(1) (1)	130 430	137 100
			11704	14.5 410	131 403	90 024	119 030	131 485	181 292	215 055	241 034	175 865	213 252	241 072
B	KZ281	Мьопаты	9 587	13 739	14 777	0 133	13 362	14 777	0.817	13 080	10.413	0 303	14.067	10.413
	KZ282	uMhlathuze	35 308	47 731	¥1 03¢	24.753	10000	700	700 03	10 200	11.00	700 6	14 007	CI+61
	K7283	Nambanana	25.57	10111	000 0	667.4	40 250	00 10	08780		1/\08	8///6	13 /90	80 //1
	K7284	Imajori	4000	0 100	4000	/CT 0	8 703	8 894	908		11 645	6 407	8 707	11 645
	10777	Militaria	20 240	28 /63	30 730	19 210	27 971	30 730	20 246	29 263	39 260	19 285	29 334	39 260
<u>a</u> a	7,720	Muchaneni Marada	7.294	10.095	10 799	7 093	9 802	10 799	7 544		13 778	7 343	10 325	13 778
	200	TARAININ	14 401	19 26/	20 897	13 567	19 007	20 897	14 651		26 921	13 817	19 865	26 921
2	3	u nunguid District Municipality	72 0/0	38 611	42 443	24 381	37 607	42 443	101 581	İ	116 332	101 000	120 684	116 332
Total: u I hungulu Municipalities	In Munk	cipalities	119 065	166 969	179 577	113 793	162 279	179 577	218 948	280 009	308 119	215 012	276 772	308 119
	KZ291	eNdondakusuka	16.727	18 643	10 613	36931	17 006	10.613	202.71	077 01			101	0000
<u>B</u>	KZ292	KwaDukuza	10112	91001	20.481	2001	10 200	19 313	121 01	16 042		00000	18 707	25 980
	KZ293	Ndwedwe	17 722	21 848	23 444	(36.71	10 200	79 77	57.071	776 40			33 203	30 /49
	K7294	Manhimulo	15 502	17 227	10 400	10 / 32	/51 17	23 444	1/9/2	27 098			22 152	30 713
	DC29	Hembe District Municipality	31 945	37 192	41 102	30 288	16 /30	10 400	740 CI	107 521	23 /98	14 973	17 509	23 /98
Total: Hembe Municipalities	unicipal		103 086	114 035	123 110	97 753	920 011	123 110	184 064	200 770		ľ	102 530	110 599
	K7Kal	n autori	27.53	3.0	90							1	100	200
	7.36.7				10 033	17 000	10 393	10 00%	12 02/	1001 / 1	717 67	17 918	17 150	717 57
	7207	KWa Sani	4 174	4419	4 645	3 931	4 257	4 645	4 424	4 669	5 972	4 181	4 598	5 972
	CEC TO	Malatiete	3 381	4 167	4 479	3 193	4 035	4 4 79	3 631	4 917	5 826	3 518	4 803	5 826
	4 1	Kokstad	8 105	11 424	12.737	7 663	11 108	12 737	6206	12 624	16 685	8 631	12 562	16 685
n (3		12 269	16 578	17 876	11 595	16 100	17 876	12 519	16 828	23 541	11 845	16 918	23 541
ار	3	Sisonke District Municipality	19 998	27 646	31 173	19 045	26 861	31 173	60 849	69 203	64 199	59 783	67 845	62 799
Total: Sisonke Municipalities	Aunicipa	littes	61 334	81 147	80 008	58 096	78 753	89 008	104 159	125 404	143 035	100 877	123 876	143 035
Total: KwaZulu-Natal Municipalities	-Natal N	Aunicipalities	1385 221	1 757 567	1 907 379	1 306 639	1 703 711	1 997 379	2 518 908	1 048 051	1 220 342	3 441 371	3 006 300	2 220 612
			1022	122 :21 :	170: 2:21	1 300 000	1 100 1441	1 227 227	4 310 700	474077	3 447 574	7 447 211	7 200 720	010 477 0

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

			Panitahla Chara	Chara		Ī			,			
	Natio	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Natio	National Financial Year	Vear Lota		nel Finencial	Veer
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	4/05 2005/06 2006/07	2006/07
T MPOPO	(000.)	(K000)	(R:000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
CTEORIN		,										
B NP03A3 Felakonno	10 666	35 183	37 862	22 708	34 247	37 862	24 120	35 433	49 768	22 958	35 752	49 768
CBLC3	13 943	18 581		13 354	13 802	151/8	10 916		19.751		14 500	19 751
CBLC4	24 452	37 716	33 910	73 550	31 745	33 910	24 452	317 75	43 270	14 404	10 030	43 370
CBLCS	25 397	36 784		24 201	35.786	30 410	254 47		50 000		191 75	52 004
C CBDC3 Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	167 291	89 332	96 360	62 922	86 739	96 360	317 342	369 175	338 734	317 925	362 030	339 172
Total: Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary Municipalities	164 119	226 813	242 180	156 820	220 349	242 180	417 670	507 655	529 564	413 672	501 371	530 002
B NP04AI Maruleng	11 285		17 188	10 687	15 604	17 188	11 535	16 294	87. CC		16 359	877 CC
CBLC6 Bushbuckridge	921 09		74 06	56 202	69 871	74 065	60 176	72 187	94 345	56 202	72 102	94 345
C CBDC4 Bohlabela District Municipality	42 162		61 259	39 977	54 494	61 259	176 219	206 346	213 683		205 336	214 015
Total: Bohlabela Municipalities	113 623	144 374	152 512	106 866	139 969	152 512	247 930	294 827	330 307		293 797	330 639
B NP331 Greater Giyani	36 820		40 925	34 071	39 730	40 97 \$	37 070	41 384	\$1 497		41 088	51 497
NP332	24 710		37.601	23 444	34 297	37 601	24 960	36 013	49 577	23 769	36 234	49 577
	37 926		60 141	35 938	54 456	60 141	53 124	73 498	79 660		72 212	099 62
NP334 Ba-Phalaborwa	16 491	18 701	18 821	15 163	18 076	18 851	16 491	18 701	24 145		18 659	24 145
C DC33 Mopani District Municipality	48 144	71 518	81 999	45 803	69 631	81 999	241 647	287 983	290 801		285 230	291 457
Totat: Mopani Municipalities	164 092	222 554	239 517	154 419	216 189	239 517	373 292	457 580	495 680		453 423	496 336
	7 302	8 647	9 345	6 854	8 364	9 345	7 552	9 517	12 495		9 433	12 495
NP342	13 470	15 051	15 332	12 507	14 535	15 332	13 720	15 301	19 384		15176	19 384
	56 884	76 720	81 421	53 317	74 522	81 421	60 384	78 720	107 019		79 118	107 019
NP344 Makhado	50 831	67 751	70 483	47 401	65 797	70 483	52 133	70 251	92 237		70 355	92 237
Total vit. 1. M. 1. 194	30 494	87 918	577 56	34 149	80 080	95 225	311 270	387 422	380 307		383 638	381 753
Lotal: Ynembe Municipalities	184 982	251 086	271 806	174 229	243 905	271 806	445 059	561 210	611 441	441 192	557 720	612 887
	19 752	24 592	26 134	18 657	23 823	26 134	21 968		34 873			34 873
NP352	14 491	21 308	22 887	13 997	20 731	22 887	14 741		29 827			29 827
B NP354 Polokwane	12 807	18 674	20 027	12 473	18 160	20 027	13 057	19 224	26 085	12 768	19 277	26 085
NP355	21 432	31 378	13 600	20 837	20 510	10/801	135 122		185 193			183 500
DC35	30 344	45 369	52 128	27 607	44 231	52 128	180 744		215 476			215 946
Total: Capricorn Municipalities	164 070	238 862	262 676	157 318	232 378	262 676	387 313	482 260	535 055	383 523	479 509	535 838
	13 786	17 074	18 944	13 113	16 534	18 944	14 286	18 074	37 515		18 896	37 515
B NP362 Lephalale	16 350	23 134	25 792	15 624	22 490	25 792	36 250	45 175	67 101	36.085	26 389	67 141
NP364	4 945	6 844	7 538	4 778	6 647	7 538	5 195	7 094	12 213		7357	12 213
NP365	. 10 535	14 877	16 582	10 125	14 460	16 582	14 660	17 127	30 088		17 840	30 088
NP366	8 159	066 6	11 155	7 732	9 672	11 155	1168	10 490	15 648	8 262	10 201	15 648
B NP36/ Mogalakwena C DC36 Weischerr Dietrict Municipality	38 425	57 568	63 654	37 277	56 031	63 654	40 058	57 818	108 851	38 820	61 355	108 998
otel: Weterhers Municipalities	0000	100	116 075	4 910	1441	7 409	800 60	82 /82	5 /31	0000/	/4 102	2 / 31
total: Water Del & Municipanines	97.808	133 131	146 075	93 565	129 275	146 075	189 089	238 561	272 146	186 939	216 530	272 333
				-		-						
Total: Limpopo Municipalities	888 752	1 216 820	1 314 766	843 216	1 182 065	1 314 766	2.060 352	2 542 003	2 774 103	2 035 431	2 502 351	2778 035
										J		

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

					Equitab	Equitable Share					Grand Total	Total		
			Natio	National Financial Year	Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Natio	National Financial Vest	Ι.		Municipal Financial Voor	Voor
Category	Number	Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
			(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R.000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
MPUMALANGA	GA													
80	MP301	Albert Luthuli	11 434	10.000										
~	MP303	Manhallania	474 10	29 027	47 400	29 681	37 803	42 460	49 654	57 777	83 854	47 678	58 963	76 019
1 4	Maron	Military	17 929	22 819	25 735	16 879	22 123	25 735	20 634	24 819	37 427	18 885	25 109	37 427
o e	MF303	MKhondo	17 478	25 835	28 632	16 969	25 135	28 632	20 561	27 835	47 092	19 192	28 866	47.002
<u>n</u> <u>n</u>	MF304	Seme	12 628	17 245	19 188	12 207	16 741	19 188	12 761	17 585	27 478	12 325	17 942	27 478
9 6	MF303	Lokwa	14 131	18 365	20 743	13 303	17 817	20 743	15 757	19 865	33 822	14 458	20 531	33 822
<u>n</u> n	MF306	Dipaleseng	7 911	10 276	11 312	7 519	996 6	11 312	9 091	11 126	16 785	8 739	11 273	16 785
ه ر		Govan Mbeki	34 813	47 120	53 376	32 696	45 772	53 376	61 347	79 843	86 188	59 452	78 345	86 188
0	25.30	Gert Sibande District Municipality	3 368	2 165	1 431	2 921	2 045	1 431	59 291	68 591	5 068	59 985	61 619	5 068
Jotal: Gert Sibande Municipalities	bande Mu	nicipalities	139 681	182 851	202 877	132 176	177 403	202 877	249 096	307 440	337 713	240 714	302 648	329 878
В	MP311	Delmas	8 385	11 404	079 C1	200		000						
В	MP312	Emalahleni	34.433	11 12	12.019	200 6	70111	17.8/9	9 345	12 494	19 192	9 112	12 706	19 192
	MP313	Steve Tehwele	77 65	48 139	34 242	32 965	46 780	54 242	64 728	82 227	87 708	62 258	80 753	87 708
<u>m</u>	MPTIAM	Hishlands	17.375	20 937	23 655	16 365	20 263	23 655	28 498	33 584	35 777	26 916	32 772	35 777
a m	MP315	Thombialle	7 545	8 730	9 640	7117	8 436	9 640	8 915	0866	13 519	8 317	806 6	13 519
n m		Dr 18 Moreka	35 /84	51 536	56 020	35 298	50 081	26 020	52 684	70 387	111 560	52 741	73 204	111 644
. O		Nemasia Dietrict Municipality	34 902	49 939	54 014	34 407	48 521	54 014	79 194	98 741	140 165	698 62	101 957	140 381
Total Mencels Musicianiti	Marie	ara Cisti ICt IX	1 299	1 050	1 003	1 119	1 004	1 003	928 99	73 806	3 850	67 331	66 070	3 850
ACCES TANGER	ia iviutili.	allucs	139 713	191 825	211 453	135 333	186 247	211 453	310 220	381 219	411 771	306 544	377 370	412 071
£	MP321	Thaba Chweu	18 600	19 604	21 832	17.654	18.876	21 832	008 81	20.054	33.430		007.00	047.00
м		Mbombela	63 561	91 405	99 964	60 323	88 010	700 00	150 405	180 883	22 439	140 034	20 438	32 439
eq.		Umjindi	10 690	12 936	14 397	10 103	12 520	14 307	12 469	14 036	23 000	12 107	150 110	2007
8		Nkomazi	47 021	71 713	79 300	44 945	69 861	79 300	78 854	106.250	1 56 070	74 370	15,001	160 77
O	DC32	Ehlanzeni District Municipality	5 599	3 605	2 389	4 857	3 404	2 389	59 277	63 859	6 844	59 180	167 601	136 166
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	ni Municit	palities	145 470.	199 263	217 881	137 882	193 580	217 881	319 805	394 990	420 104	312.641	390 484	420 265
Total: Mumalanea Municipalities	anea Mun	irina Itiae	120000	000										
TOTAL INTERNATION	HIER ITIME	Icipalities	424 864	573 938	632 211	405 391	557 229	632 211	879 121	1 083 649	1 169 588	859 890	1 070 501	1 163 714

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

Category Number M	Nat	National Financial Year	l Year	Muni	Municipal Financial Veer	Veer				Grand Total		
-)	7004/03	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	7004/05	National Financial Year	l Year	Munk	Municipal Financial Year	al Year
NORTHERN CAPE	(app w)	(000.4)	(R'000)	(8:000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	Z006/07 (R'000)	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
g iv			-							ann w	(MOO)	(K000)
	5 240											
NW lai	18 496	16 461	3 050	4 943	3 740	3 050	8 904		4 474	0		
CBLCI	14 090						28 346	26 855			4 536	
Total, Wanter 11 C. B. C. Balagadi District Municipality	16 590				14 736		17 590				07.470	
A Standard Cross Border Municipalities	54 415				14 164	14 230	78 224		25 107		19 872	
			51 353	51 411	48 380	51 353	133.064	ľ	ľ	ľ	96 188	25 107
	3 347	_						177	191 313	129 361	118 016	101 345
NC062	246.6	26/7	2 942	3 138	2 665	2 942	3 947	3 745				
NC064	-		7 032	7 332	6 062	7.032	376.0	0 /43			3 612	4 371
NC065	4 183		2 762	3 923	2 966	2767	8 403	6.515			6 466	9 539
NC066	9 /10		4 78	6 306	4 788	707 7	199 5	4 178			4 076	4 810
NC067	5 132	3 868	3 616	4 823	3 669	107	/ 462			966 9	5 773	7 151
C DC6 Namakwa District Municipality	3 439		3 572	3 231	3 221	0.000	5 382		5 047	5 103	4 191	20
Total: Namakwa Municipalities	2 520	1 930	1 397	2 355	1 832	1 202	4 254	4 204		3 911	4 086	5 093
	33 071	26 486	26 106	31 112	25 204	1 397	16 362			16 238	15 038	5 761
B NC071 Uhimtu					F-0 704	901 07	51 528	45 086	41 772	48 756	43 242	41 77
	66919	5 465	4 868	6 543	\$ 196	0,0						
NC073	8 320	6 550	7 049	7 873	6 220	4 808	8 539	6 565	7 347	8 100	6 443	7 347
NC074	9 403	086 9	7 431	8 827	9770	7 (43)	9 570	7 800	106 01	9 073	7 736	10601
NC075	4 715	3 530	3 201	4 426	3 348	3 201	0006	7 180	9 647	9 121	7 030	9 647
NC076	4 594	3 412	3 502	4 309	3 234	3 500	2 18/	4 730	5 452	\$ 080	4 639	5 452
B NC077 Siyathemba	4 582	3 387	3 536	4 294	3 210	3 535	4 844	3 662	4 870	4 559	3 580	4 870
NC078	6 340	4 740	4 517	5 958	4 494	7 5 1 7	8 245	3 637	5 476	7 445	3 618	5 476
C DC7 Karoo District Municipality	10 448	8 363	820 6	9 864	7.956	9000	0600	4 990	5 988	.6 208	4 851	5 988
Total: Karoo Municipalities	10/0	2 909	1 993	3 461	2 766	1 993	10.005	34 400	13 973	10 692	9 358	13 973
	57.0 45	45 335	45 174	55 505	43 047	45 174	93 63 6	24 400	6 192	20 275	22 354	6 192
B NC081 Mier							60 60	72.390	09 846	80 553	019 69	69 846
NC082	3412	2 848	2 938	3 191	2 717	2.938	4 063	000	,			
	660 71	13 219	14 577	11 452	12 747	14 577	12 662	3 698	4 563	3 941	3 746	4 563
	10.087	8 375	9 408	805 6	7 983	9 408	11 637	676 51	20 851	12 032	14 039	20 851
NC085	7 118	4 302	4 639	4 512	4 176	4 639	5 346	5 113	14 121	11.133	8 926	14 121
NC086	5017	3 7.31	6 676	6 694	2 866	9299	7 368	6 392	0.258	2112	5 054	7 049
Cotal: Si Siyanda District Municipality	4 246	17/0	3 03 /	4 707	3 527	3 037	5 807	4 121	938	5 205	0 345	9 258
Acter. Styanga Municipalities	46 775	42 381	3 284	4 004	3 549	3 584	22 140	25 624	8 607	2000	3 992	4 336
NC001		100.71	44 859	44 069	40 565	44 859	69 022	67 951	68 874	207 77	23 343	8 497
	29 126	32 537	35 081	27.700						00 001	02 040	68 674
	12 119	9866	10.827	27.290	31 412	35 981	20 990	56 857	51 311	49 472	54 860	61 211
Car	8 157	6 181	\$69.9	7,61	9216	10 827	15 300	14 244	20 202	14 878	7 303	20.202
000	16 800	14 138	15 223	15 001	2 865	6 625	9 207	7 631	10 108	8 811	1507	707 07
Total: Frances Baard Municipality	2 556	2 342	1 868	13 803	13 487	15 223	20 900	18 470	25 843	19 955	18 463	25 943
Carried Dallitte	68 758	65 184	70 523	2027	7,240	1 868	19 674	23 991	7 443	20 119	22 230	240
•	_	-) In	175 70	70 523	116 071	121 194	114 907	113 185	117 402	11,00
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities							•					Ř
	262 092	229 886	238 015	246 714	219 717	238 015	453 520	470 275	1			
							000	5/5/7	200	730 606		

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

			Equitable Share	e Share					Grand Total	Total		
	Natio	National Financial Year	Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Natio	National Financial	Year		Municipal Financial Year	l Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
NORTH WEST												
B NW371 Moretele	24 696		40 721	23 801	36 185	40 721	36 063					90 222
	61 973		77 718	58 786	68 154	77 718	119 261					
NW373	58 978	79 234	87 839	55 721	76 937	87 839	122 684		166 257		_	166 257
	8 495		10 194	8 034	8 917	10 194	11 245					
NW375	43 764		55 503	41 501	49 539	55 503	51 064		=	49 124	62 818	
C DC37 Bojanala Platinum District Municipality			1 805	7 496	2 677	1 805	70 724			69 756	65 622	4 657
Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	207 115	250 461	273 779	195 340	242 410	273 779	411 040	486 968	538 553	403 785	482 569	
WW381 Railon	17 982		17.212		15 707	17 212	18 232	16 657		17 244	16 479	
	14 010	15 672	16 63		15 129	16 636	15 260				16 036	
NW 383	26 209		31 579	24 800	28 127	31 579	31 159		45 358		30 978	
NW384	14 322		19 815		17 867	19 815	14 322				19 594	
NW385	17 247		21 234		19 409	21 234	17 247				20 145	
C DC38 Central District Municipality	51 813		59 503		51 893	59 503	150 243				164 634	164 333
Total: Central Municipalities	141 583	153 637	165 979	133 567	148 131	165 979	246 463	270 267	310 979	239 611	267 867	
R NW 301 Karisano	18712			17 695	15 118	16 744	18 962				_	
	6849	7 845	8 579		7 580	8 579	6 849	8 345				
NW 393	8 100				7 881	8 782	8 350					
NW 394	30 524		27 938		25 482	27 938	31 774	•••		29 869	.,	
NW395	4 133		3 877		3 584	3 877	5 383					
NW396 Lekwa-Teemane	2 980		7 785	5 606	6 972	7 785	7. 2. 3.		10 745	7 091	167.	10 /45
C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality	37 699		39 266	35 827	33 712	39 266	688 66					
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	111 996	104 691	112 971	105 814	100 330	112.971	178 851	1// 404				CBC 1047
R NW401 Ventersdom	9 710			9 146	10 129	11 512	12 130				11 619	17 873
NW 402	15 128			_	17 480	20 425	28 67	31 087		28 148		
NW403	53 496				69 145	296 08	87 078					
	13 421	15 743	17 447		15 222	17 447	14 041	15 743	3 26 644		16 234	
DC40	1 244				1 000	000 1	15 29					
Total: Southern Municipalities	92 999	116 579	131 350	87 416	112 976	131 350	157 214	183 243	3 205 799	152 343	180 340	205 799
-												
Total: North West Municipalities	553 692	625 368	684 079	522 137	603 847	684 079	993 568	1 117 941	1 1 256 717	7 969 037	1 105 371	1 256 723

APPENDIX E6

Equitable Share (SCHEDULE 3) + Recurrent (SCHEDULE 6) + Infrastructure (SCHEDULE 6A) + Indirect Grants (SCHEDULE 7)

			Familiable Chare	Shore					Crond Total	Total		
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Ι.		Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
WESTERN CAPE												
A City of Cape Town	205 778	275 550	311 970	196 243	267 461	311 970	360 984	454 286	504 063	354 341	447 637	504 063
	6316	6 970	7 809	5 977	6 723	7 809	6 316	6 9 7 0	10 936	5 977	7 067	10 936
B WC012 Coderberg	5 061	6 662	7 397	4 784	6 465	7 397	5 869	7212	10 014	5 451	7 202	10 014
	4 014	6 240	7 148	4 350	6 061	7 148	6 948	8 732	10 021	5 994	8 595	10 021
WC015	6 997	6 582	7 304	1199	6 306	7 304	8 268	7 682	10 727	8 182	7 641	10 727
C DCI West Coast District Municipality	1 790	1 634	1 395	1 696	1 565	1 395	15 119	16 700	4 806	15 204	15 348	4 806
Jotal: West Coast Municipalities	170 87	33 121	36 745	27 772	31 999	36 741	47 929	52 828		45 703	51.357	54 033
B WC022 Witzenberg	8 939	10 816	12 123	8 460	10 468	12 123	9 136	10816	16 325	32 460	10 930	16 325
WC024	8 153	10 590	11 976	7 704	10 273	11 976	16 890	18 184		15 649	17 861	19 772
WC025	13 174	14 536	16 331	12 444	14 023	16 331	19 700	21 109		18 607	20 640	23 449
B WC026 Breede River Winelands	8 286	10 103	11 508	7 818	9 781	11 508	9 402	10 403	15 504	8 669	10 475	15 504
otal: Boland Municipalities	54 411	64 643	72 233	41 366	905 69	77, 233	95 604	107 249	112.171	91 342	104 754	112 171
A Oren: Divigina (Atunicipanisto	171.67	S	664	anc to	076 70	1 4 400	ton CX	101 673]	to/ tox	
	9 317	11 466	12 952	8 840	11 102	12 952	9 937	11 966	19 855	9 535	12 286	19 855
B WC031 Care Acultas	7 335	0 844	3 136	2 207	0 649	3 136	9 999	2 748			2 761	4 081
WC034	4 042	4 432	4 874	3 819	4 275	4 874	5 067	4 982		4 889	4 930	6 737
C DC3 Overberg District Municipality	1 053	1 046	1 003	1 005	1 005	1 003	12 782	13936	4 988		12 915	4 988
Total: Overberg Municipalities	21 668	26 537	29 805	20 612	25 687	29 805	40 120	45 307	48 379	39 005	44 360	48 379
B WC041 Kannaland	4 189	5 048	5 474	3 948	4 885	5 474	5 689	5 298	7 442	5 260	5 297	7 442
WC042	3916	4 521	5 127	3 696	4 369	5 127	4 139	4 721			4 744	6 992
WC043	4 980	6 903	7916	4 789	6 705	7 916	10 891	11 689				11 244
B WC044 George	10488	7 850	16 783	10 217	7 632	8 036	19 638	12 067		91061	12 245	16 935
WC047	3 364		4 984	3166	4 247	4 984	3 364	4 378	7577			7577
WC048	4 629		7 206	4 372	6 162	7 206	6 594	8 142		6 382		14 105
C DC4 Eden District Municipality	3 225	ľ	2 148	3 0 5 7	2 640	2 148	22 424	22 964	l		21 133	6 843
lotal: Eden Municipalities	40 554		58 574	38 751	50 953	58 574	82 522	94 502	98 155	//68/		78 155
	2 484	2 408	2 529	2 323	2 312	2 529	4 354	2 988	3 334		2 876	3 334
	3 025	2 970	3 123	2 834	2 853	3 123	4 090	3 220				4 087
B WC053 Beautort West C DC5 Central Raroo District Municipality	5 743	5 305	5 951	3 894	5 145	5 951	36 482	35 297	9 556	15 999		9 556
otal: Central Karoo Mi	15 341	15 694	16 258	14 393	15 101	16 258	53 065	51 811		,	48 506	28 299
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	366 373	468 095	525 580	348 590	453 727	525 580	680 225	805 983	845 100	660 807	789 043	845 100
Unallocated and other National Allocations Municipal Systems Improvement Programme							40 000					
Restructuring Grant							192 900					
Water Services Operating Subsidy Municipal Infrastructure Grant							10 000	10 000	12 320 239 482	10 069	10 106 207 704	10 111 239 482
						3		- 1	200 200	- 1		0.00 200
National Lotal	10// 540	8 643 341	9 364 941	000 8/7 /	8 343 305	y 364 941	14 745 090		/CT 160 / 1 / 6/ CT6 CT	13 873 503	13 030 /03	1/ 02/ 032

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

		4												
		Demographic Data	hic Data	Poverty Data	Data *		œ .	Backlogs Data				Powers & Functions	unctions	
Category Number	ber Municipality	Population	Number of Households	Poverty Rate	Number of Poor Households	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity	Housing	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity
EASTERN CAPE	X												\dagger	
Ą	Nelson Mandela	1,005,779	265,375	32.8%	86,928	29,723	52,884	36.838	62.879	59.795	_			-
B ECI01		44,372	10,496	32.7%	3,433	444	2,117	1.8.1	1,560	775	-	-	-	-
B EC102 B EC103	02 Blue Crane Roule 03 Ikwezi	35,010	9,580	51.8%	4,963	1,653	4,563	3,171	3,343	227			~ .	-
B ECI04		74,538	18,243	48.7%	8,875	2,580	10,095	2,492	4,889	2,383				
B EC106	OS Sundays River Valley	55,481	15,944	48.5%	7,731	3,816	6,833	4,332	5,328	2,376			-	-
B EC107		15,335	3,904	42.8%	1,672	311	1,654	2,096	1,194	97,138				
B ECIO8	08 Kouga 09 Konkomma	70,695	19,549	36.8%	7,196	2,696	6,801	4,318	4,669	4,185	_	-	-	_
DMA DMA10		6,535	2,019	43.8%	4,3/1	198	3,194	1,771	2,364	607		-	-	
C DC10 Total: Cacadu Mun	DC10 Cacadu District Municipality Cacadu Municipalities	388,207	103,002	44.4%	45,767	16,595	44,593	32,651	28,875	12,363	0	0	0	0
		100000	700,001	2.4.4	10/10	ckc'or	44,593	32,051	28,875	12,363	1		1	
B EC121 B EC122	21 Mbhashe 22 Mnguma	253,379	53,199	84.0%	44,671	48,985	47,813	51,395	44,752	1,221	0 0	0 0		
B EC123		44,459	11,513	68.9%	7,928	5,001	8,826	9,124	3,282	972	00	0 0		
B EC124	24 Amahlathi 25 Buffelo City	139,040	34,909	66.9%	23,361	19,043	25,717	29,188	11,345	1,964	0	0		_
B EC126		84,233	21,894	70.2%	15,379	12,930	17,562	23,382	6.779	54,647	- 0	- 0		
B EC127		128,660	34,434	65.2%	22,461	15,943	24,052	26,128	8,007	1,248	0 0	00		
B EC128	28 Nxuba 12 Amatole District Municipality	24,824	6,638	55.1%	3,659	1,476	4,246	2,930	1,343	993	0 -	0 -	- 0	- 0
Total: Amatole Municipalities	funicipalities	1,664,254	424,762	61.0%	259,022	196,495	240,690	251,064	191,976	68,352	1			0
B EC131		60,296	16,075	44.1%	7,091	1,524	4,727	3,812	2,805	247	0	0	-	-
B EC132	32 Tsolwana 33 Introduce	32,516	7,935	66.5%	5,277	3,566	5,392	5,852	1111	383	С	0	_	-
B EC134		184,542	5,490	50.7%	3,108	457	2,714	21,775	1,476	310	0 0	0 0		
B ECI		194,246	45,180	82.1%	37,087	36,148	39,194	44,259	31,277	613	0	0	-	
B EC137	37 Engcobo	115,936	31,434	76.2%	19,889	17,121	23,409	30.417	13,903	386	0 0	0 0		
B EC138	38 Sakhisizwe	54,029	727,21	66.3%	8,438	6,065	8,434	9,012	5,358	1,187	0	0	-	-
C DCI3	13 Chris Hani District Municipality	810,301	189,926	68.3%	129,726	103,025	131,467	140,110	93,401	8.109	_		-	c
Fotal: Chris Hani Municipalities	Municipalities	810,301	189,926	68.3%	129,726	103,025	131,467	140,110	93,401	8,109				,
B EC141		137,579	33,802	82.2%	27,785	27,096	29,154	30,322	29,948	899	0	0		-
B EC143	4z sengu 43 Maletswai	37,307	34,072	75.1%	25,600	20,203	28,384	30,368	12,869	1,496	0 0	0 0		
		31,303	8,282	54.7%	4,533	824	5,001	2,497	2,011	2,972	00	0 0		
DMA DMA14	14 Oviston Nature Reserve 14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	341.341	85 980	73 5%	. 63 178	- VU	. 67 211		5	. 020	_		((
Fotal: Ukhahlam		341,341	85,980	73.5%	63,178	50,398	67,211	66,346	49,033	5,938	+	1	-	0
B EC151	51 Mbizana 52 Mehadrula	245,421	46,352	79.1%	36,645	42,279	41.722	45,484	34,808	716	0	0	-	-
B EC153		255,374	51,237	81.1%	41.555	44.479	25,736	50 110	23,258	379	0 0	0 0		
B EC154		146,135	29,348	84.8%	24,886	26,391	27,592	28,452	24,293	354	0 0	0 0		
B EC155	55 Nyandeni 56 Milouto	281,256	56,502	81.3%	45,952	51,139	52,227	55,872	38,177	872	0	0	_	-
B EC157		415,229	90,396	68.7%	62,146	63,110	62,943	70,179	52,597	4.054	0 0	0 0		
C DCI	- I -	1,676,482	343,941	78.2%	268,824	286,740	294,614	317,978	247,412	6,369	-	-	0	0
٠I '	Control	7040704	343,941	10.7%	479,807	780,/40	294,614	317,978	247,412	9,369			- Andrews	
		174,338 376,063	36,677	77.4%	28,404	26,919	32,704	35,492	25,323	604 2,241	0 0	0		
DMA DMA44	644 O'Connors Camp 44 Affred Nzo District Municipality	550.401	124 508	70 90%	. 00	06 301	100 637	, 07.01	. 050	, ,	-			,
Fotal: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	Municipalities	550,401	124,508	79.9%	99,461	95,391	109,537	119,782	95,979	2,845	-	+		0
Total: Fastern Cs	Otal: Eastern Cane Municipalities	276 76 7	1 527 404	2100	200 630	170 371	700000	000000						
Olmer Admirar	ape in this commence	apsincein	4754,1554A	97.V.70	00%,26%	/00,0//	940,996	964,769	772,555	166,771			1	

Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
 Paversy Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)
 Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of years, solitation, electricity, housing and relative with remarked states and the state of years and the state of the state with ventilation.
 Electricity = Households wing electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at tests once a week, Housing, eleming, an apposed to informat dwellingshacks)
 Authorized Powers and Functions for Causgory B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Govern No. 2028 whiteholds a terms of Causgory B.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

	Demographic Data	hic Data	Poverty Data	' Data 2		B	Backlogs Data	3			Powers & Cumptions	out of the same	
	·	y d		Number of							200	microns	
Category Number Municipality	Population	Households	Poverty Rate	Poor Households	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity	Housing	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity
GAUTENG													
	2,480,277	776,929	36.3%	282,148	78,313	125,376	93,677	192,410	213,091	-	-	_	-
A City of Johannesburg A City of Tshwane	3,225,812 1,985,983	1,050,418 598,637	33.0% 30.6%	346,392 183,040	92,278 76,654	142,674 155,393	95,750 134,842	156,980	212,407				
	52,584	16,472	44.7%	7,356	2,289	5,010	9.289	5.508	4 070	-	-	-	-
B CBLC2 Kungwini	107,306	33,588	46.4%	15,577	5,209	11,627	17,362	9,365	6.093	-			
C CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality	159,890	50,060	45.8%	22,934	7,498	16,637	26,651	14.873	10.163				
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	159,890	50,060	45.8%	22,934	7,498	16,637	26,651	14,873	10,163	,			
GT421	658,420	190,237	35.3%	67,198	9,554	24,666	101.161	19.445	30 777	-	-	-	-
GT422	64,642	20,840	38.8%	8,090	3,016	6,392	10,410	7,746	3.270	-			-
B G1423 Lesedi	71,542	19,061	39.8%	7,588	2,560	4,980	908'9	5,036	3,198	_	-	-	-
Total: Sediheng Municipalities	794,604	230,138	36.0%	82,875	15,130	36,038	118,377	32,227	37,245	0	0	0	0
Garage Control of the	100,1	DC1,UC7	30.070	6/6,26	061,61	36,038	118,377	32,227	37,245				
GT411	289,724	89,617	35.4%	31,708	6,774	18,828	25,220	18,312	24,220	_	_	-	-
B GT412 Westongria	128,842	40,516	33.1%	13,396	3,351	6,920	11,196	10,017	7,087	_	_	_	
CBICS	2104,328	125,15	39.0%	30,408	6,624	16,055	16,237	17,326	16,554	_	_		-
MA DMA41	5,781	2.015	40.4%	40,214	782	15,242	31,741	19,847	17,313	_	_	-	_
C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality	744,156	283,370	43.0%	121,727	24,296	57,643	86,344	66.107	65 311	0	C	•	<
Total: West Rand Municipalities	744,156	283,370	43.0%	121,727	24,296	57,643	86,344	66,107	65,311				
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	9,390,722	2,989,552	34.8%	1,039,116	294,169	533,761	555,641	582,885	667,905				

Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

^{2.} Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)

^{3.} Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks) (Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,

^{4.} Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003) (1 = Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions)

^{5.} In the case of District Management Areas, the powers and functions vest with the district municipalities

APPENDIX E7

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

			Demographic Data	hic Data	Poverty Data	Data 2		B	Backlogs Data	3			Powers & Functions	unctions	4
				Number of	Day Deta	Number of	Water	Conitation	Dofies	- Hooricity	Honeine	Water	Canitation	Refuce	Fleednicity
Category	Number	Municipality	Population	Households	Poverty Kate	Foor	water	Sanitation	Reluse	Electricity	Housing	w alei	Samatron	Neiuse	Liecurcity
KWAZULU-NATAL	-NATAL											·			
Y	e	e Thekwini	3,090,122	824,371	35.5%	292,943	140,098	235,207	118,146	162,404	150,391	-	1	П	1
B	KZ211 V	Vulamehlo	83,044	16,805	76.9%	12,921	13,797	12,922	16,156	12,818	297	0	0		-
		Umdoni	62,293	16,508	43.2%	7,124	6,245	6,728	9,430	5,545	2,104	0	0	_	
	KZ213 U	Umzumbe	193,768	38,889	76.2%	29,624	34,378	31,142	38,346	27,532	529	0	0	_	_
		uMuziwabantu	92,327	19,524	74.0%	14,447	14,003	14,905	16,967	14,231	425	0 (0 0		
<u></u>	KZ215 E	Ezingolweni Hibiscus Coast	54,429	11,443	73.1%	8,364	9,031	8,469	35.237	6,992	252	00	0		
		Ugu District Municipality	704,031	158,443	62.5%	99,022	103,865	102,005	127,386	81,066	6,287	_		0	0
Total: Ugu Municipalities	Junicipalit	ies	704,031	158,443	62.5%	99,022	103,865	102,005	127,386	81,066	6,287				
В	KZ221 u	uMshwathi	108,036	27,208	68.8%	18,723	11,272	19,097	23,195	12,515	1,153	0	0	-	0
	KZ222 u	uMngeni	73,896	22,701	39.8%	6:036	3,327	5,355	10,782	6,128	1,660	0	0	-	-
		Mpofana	36,820	10,516	55.6%	5,851	2,939	4,846	6,437	4,844	259	0	0		- (
		Impendie	33,569	7,463	73.5%	5,486	2,558	4,430	7,295	2,926	56	o -	0 -		o -
m m	A 62223	Msunduzi Mkhambathini	523,223	15,445	71.8%	11.063	6 771	11.076	14.617	8.718	10,322	- 0	- 0	- 0	
		Richmond	63,223	16,021	66.1%		8,506	11,237	13,971	7,125	1,901	0	0	_	-
MA	DMA22 F	Highmoor/ Kamberg Park	12	10	•		ı	,	10	3	ı				
C	DC22 u	uMgungundlovu District Municipality	927,846	234,781	47.9%		61,455	104,168	131,210	61,492	21,544	-	-	-	
Total: uMgu	ngundlovi	Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities	927,846	234,781	47.9%	112,505	61,455	104,168	131,210	61,492	21,544				
В	KZ232 E	Emnambithi/Ladysmith	225,459	51,903	48.6%	25,234	17,918	23,469	24,470	16,715	2,585	0	0	-	1
		Indaka	113,644	21,475	71.5%	15,362	10,377	14,651	18,751	11,422	208	0	0	_	
m s	KZ234 L	Umtshezi	59,920	13,978	46.1%	6,438	10.708	5,894	5,726	5,000	752	0 0)		
		Unbabazane	119.925	23,283	68.0%		17,956	19,400	23,000	8,352	224	0	0	. –	
MA		Giants Castle Game Reserve	512	223	1		83	10	120	34	3				
C	DC23	Uthukela District Municipality	656,985	138,840	59.5%	82,589	70,583	84,985	98,655	58,308	4,040	1	-	0	0
Total: Uthukela Municipalities	ela Munic	ipalities	656,985	138,840	59.5%	82,589	70,583	84,985	98,655	58,308	4,040				
В	KZ241	Endumeni	51,101	13,133	40.5%	5,315	1,832	2,432	4,151	4,224	613	0	0	1	-
В		Nguthu	145,034	25,977	75.1%		17,852	19,930	23,843	21,541	394	0	0	-	_
В		Msinga	168,025	32,908	85.2%		30,561	29,983	32,398	30,078	368	0	o ·	_ :	
<u>B</u>		Umvoti	92,294	23,002	68.4%	15,738	13,215	14,090	17,240	14,536	639			- c	
Total: Umzinvathi Mimicinalities	DC24	Umzinyatni District Municipality	456,454	95,020	72.2%		63.460	66.435	77.632	70,379	2.014	1	-		
	11) min	and barries	20,600	A CONTRACT			1		324						
		Newcastle	332,981	71,999	40.4%		18,605	21,542	20,703	11,401	6,851	0	- 0		
m c		Utrecht	32,277	6,739	28.8%		3,760	4,533	5,603	4,6/3	C81	0 0	O		
	DC25	Dannnauser Amaiuba District Municipality	468,037	19,461	46.3%		36,470	41,273	43,806		7,634	- 0		0	0
Total: Amajuba Municipalities	uba Munic	cipalities	468.037	98,219	46.3%	45,486	36,470	41,273	43,806	27,075	7,634				
,		J.	,	ì											

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

RA Number of Poverty Rate Water Sanitation Refuse Electricity Housing Water Sanitation 84.4 Foverty Rate Po			Demographic Data	ic Data	Poverty Data	Data 2		Ba	Backlogs Data				Powers & Functions	unctions,	
				Number of		Number of		-							
KKZ281 clounthet (KZ281 clounthet<	1	Municipality	Population	Households	Роуепу Каtе	Poor Households	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity	Housing		Sanitation	Kefuse	Electricity
KCZSS ubmegade 19.28 ubmegade 19.28 ubmegade 56.94 st 6.78 ubmegade 19.27 ubmegade 19.29 ubmegade 20.24 ubmegade 19.20 ubmegade <th< td=""><td></td><td>eDumbe</td><td>82,241</td><td>15,824</td><td>%8.79</td><td>10,736</td><td>8,364</td><td>11,260</td><td>11,635</td><td>10,694</td><td>309</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>ı</td></th<>		eDumbe	82,241	15,824	%8.79	10,736	8,364	11,260	11,635	10,694	309	0	0	1	ı
KZZSS Abaphasis 19,0,0,0 21,2070 31,4576 31,45		uPhongolo	119,781	26,954	67.6%	18,212	14,010	20,770	22,460	12,829	399	0	0	_	_
198,445 32,473 34,486 26,486 10,220 26,473 31,105 21,3815		Abaqulusi	610,161	37,064	55.2%	20,443	17,996	20,823	23,799	20,880	1,261	0	0	-	
Particle Namicipality Part		Nongoma	198,443	32,473	74.5%	24,201	27,920	26,743	31,163	24,190	374	0	0		_
Modernect Municipality 804,454 150,993 66,456 10,220 94,806 10,155 121,033 92,988 3876 1 relingman 140,902 26,456 10,220 20,784 171,033 92,398 3,876 1 relingman 144,002 26,648 10,022 20,804 17,103 20,484 37,103 4,747 1,009 0 relingman 114,002 26,684 10,213 2,148 20,208 3,876 1 0 0 relingman 114,006 20,289 2,048 1,278 2,043 3,876 1 1,444 0 0 ank 10,002 2,048 1,025 2,043 2,049 3,049		Ulundi	212,970	38,678	%8.89	26,628	26,516	27,559	31,976	23,805	1,533	0	0	_	_
Fable Bay 140,922 26,681 77,985 20,283 22,841 52,293 24,791 1,009 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Zululand District Municipality	804,454	150,993	66.4%	100,220	94,806	107,155	121,033	92,398	3,876	_	-	0	0
KZ271 Unibhabyakingana 140,002 26,680 77,96 20,785 21,967 20,281 22,841 26,290 34,791 100 KZ271 In Big 5 Fale Bay 18,000 34,754 76,386 20,785 51,646 50,99 36,607 1,444 0 KZ271 Albahara 17,280 7,274 41,007 70,88 1,127 60,89 3,604 3,89 3,89 3,89 1,444 0 MX272 Muhahara 17,000 2,727 70,88 1,93 2,80 3,89 3,89 3,89 3,89 1,90 2,90 3,89	Total: Zululand Mur	ncipalities	804,454	150,993	66.4%	100,220	94,806	107,155	121,033	92,398	3,876				
KZZ312 koralı Rolational KZZ31 koralı 184,090 34,54 76,58 35,26 32,007 32,007 31,44 0 KZZ31 koralı KZZ31 koralı 17,6890 23,229 7,088 31,69 23,267 32,69 5,894 33,49 0 KZZ31 kılıbaları KZZ31 kılıbaları 53,21 1,286 4,786 4,196 1,987 26,89 5,894 37,9 0 DMA-27 kılıbaları 57,344 10,231 7,186 7,886 8,137 3,872 1,949 0 0 CAZ2R kılıbaları 57,344 10,231 7,186 7,886 1,874 8,197 1,987 <td></td> <td>Umhlabuyatingana</td> <td>140,962</td> <td>26,680</td> <td>77.9%</td> <td>20,783</td> <td>21,963</td> <td>22,841</td> <td>26,269</td> <td>24,791</td> <td>1,009</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>		Umhlabuyatingana	140,962	26,680	77.9%	20,783	21,963	22,841	26,269	24,791	1,009	0	0		-
KZZZ3 The big S False Bay 13,100 27,29 5,104 4,653 6,554 6,559 5,584 7,99 0 KZZ32 Inbiasa 8,221 8,622 47,56 4,109 1,266 1,173 6,83 1,276 1,78 4,09 1,285 2,684 1,93 2,086 3,17 0 <td></td> <td>Jozini</td> <td>184,090</td> <td>34,754</td> <td>76.3%</td> <td>26,522</td> <td>26,214</td> <td>27,199</td> <td>32,607</td> <td>30,687</td> <td>1,444</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>		Jozini	184,090	34,754	76.3%	26,522	26,214	27,199	32,607	30,687	1,444	0	0	_	_
KZZ151 Hubbias 176.89 272.92 70.88 19.30 2.66 21.78 3.98 2.08 3.17 9<		The Big 5 False Bay	31,106	7,081	72.9%	5,164	4,635	4,626	5,959	5,584	334	0	0	_	_
KC275 Mubbandshelp 5521 1.852 47.5% 4.00 1.955 4.02		Hlabisa	176,890	27,279	70.8%	19,309	22,661	21,783	26,847	19,509	749	0	0	_	-
DMA27 St. Lucia Park St02 1.28.5 7.88.9		Mtubatuba	35,211	8,652	47.5%	4,109	1,995	4,204	5,959	2,086	317	0	0		_
Value Valu		St Lucia Park	5,082	1,285	1	,	675	685	1,127	919	61				
National Englands Sys.44 105,734 71.8% 75.849 78,143 81,338 89,768 82,273 3872 KZZSB Mobinature 106,942 20,438 67,9% 11,874 15,109 15,109 16,109 97,84 40,994 97,88 0 0 KZZSB Mobinature 84,771 13,844 72,5% 10,643 10,833 10,549 97,84 1887 0 97,84 1887 0 0 KZZSB Numbrana 84,771 13,844 72,5% 10,643 10,833 10,549 9,489 29,9 0 0 KZZSB Numbrana 84,771 13,844 72,5% 10,643 10,833 10,549 9,489 29,9 0 0 KZZSB Numbrana 13,802 24,910 87,78 10,433 88,97 11,433 8,97 1 0 KZZSB Numbrana 13,802 11,623 98,075 11,633 98,075 11,534 <t< td=""><td>C DC27</td><td>Umkhanyakude District Municipality</td><td>573,341</td><td>105,731</td><td>71.8%</td><td>75,889</td><td>78,143</td><td>81,338</td><td>98,768</td><td>83,273</td><td>3,872</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	C DC27	Umkhanyakude District Municipality	573,341	105,731	71.8%	75,889	78,143	81,338	98,768	83,273	3,872	-	-	0	0
KZ281 Mbonambi 106,942 20,438 67.9% 13,516 15,104 15,124 18,691 18,224 18,891 18,891 18,902 13,332 9,734 5,736 1 KZ283 Unibilizati 84,771 44,634 69.9% 31,183 10,549 31,132 9,489 29,74 49,748 5,500 1 KZ284 Unibilizati 82,107 4,617 10,844 69.9% 31,183 30,279 31,012 24,745 790 9,788 29 KZ284 Unibilizati 133,402 24,910 44,634 69.9% 31,183 30,279 31,012 24,745 790 9,489 9 <t< td=""><td>Total: Umkhanyaku</td><td>de Municipalities</td><td>573,341</td><td>105,731</td><td>71.8%</td><td>75,889</td><td>78,143</td><td>81,338</td><td>892'86</td><td>83,273</td><td>3,872</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Total: Umkhanyaku	de Municipalities	573,341	105,731	71.8%	75,889	78,143	81,338	892'86	83,273	3,872				
KZ282 Unkliathuze 289 100 73.30 43.26 16.548 29/774 40.904 9,748 5.500 1 KZ283 KZ283 Unkliathuze 84.71 13.844 67.26 10.043 10.53 10.59 94.89 5.00 0 KZ283 Unklandmana 22.1078 44.65 6.05 31.63 23.07 49.89 5.00 0 0 KZ285 Nkandia 13.62 24.94 9.106 6.07 9.106 6.07 9.23 7.903 3.20 0		Mbonambi	106,942	20,438	67.9%	13,874	15,109	15,324	18,691	9,821	885	0	0	-	-
KZ284 Unializati 13844 72.5% 10.043 10.549 13.32 94.08 29.0 0 KZ284 Unializati KZ284 Unializati 221,078 44,644 69.9% 11,183 30,279 13.91 39,105 24,474 79.0 KZ286 Nkandla KZ286 Nkandla 12,078 18,678 20,359 13,932 39,105 24,474 79.0 KZ286 Nkandla 13,862 24,910 81,786 20,182 13,218 32,20 60 KZ286 Nkandla 188,894 61,286 116,231 98,075 115,735 14,5213 88,003 83,97 1 100-28 uThmight District Municipalities 128,670 31,014 56,586 17,529 14,718 17,72 18,671 1 KZ292 KwaDukuza 11,28,670 31,014 56,586 17,539 14,718 17,72 18,671 1 KZ292 KwaDukuza 11,2495 31,044 56,586 31,489 48,896 11,306 33,40 11,306 KZ292 KwaDukuza </td <td></td> <td>uMhlathuze</td> <td>289,190</td> <td>73,301</td> <td>43.2%</td> <td>31,665</td> <td>16,548</td> <td>29,774</td> <td>40,004</td> <td>9,748</td> <td>5,500</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>		uMhlathuze	289,190	73,301	43.2%	31,665	16,548	29,774	40,004	9,748	5,500	_	_	_	_
KZ284 Untalazi Untalazi 221,078 44,644 69.9% 31,183 30,279 31,922 39,103 24,743 79 0 <td></td> <td>Ntambanana</td> <td>84,771</td> <td>13,844</td> <td>72.5%</td> <td>10,043</td> <td>10,833</td> <td>10,549</td> <td>13,332</td> <td>6,489</td> <td>293</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td>		Ntambanana	84,771	13,844	72.5%	10,043	10,833	10,549	13,332	6,489	293	0	0	_	0
KZ285 Mboujaneari 50322 12,657 71 9% 9,106 6,437 7,974 9,232 7,903 322 0 PC28 WRABIGA Namida 133,602 24,910 61,2% 116,231 98,075 115,735 145,213 85,003 8,397 1 DC28 UThomgalu Municipalities 1885,965 189,784 61,2% 116,231 98,075 115,735 145,213 85,003 8,397 1 KZ291 eNdondakusuka 128,670 31,014 56.5% 17,529 14,718 17,42 21,864 11,306 3,561 0 KZ291 eNdondakusuka 128,670 31,014 56.5% 17,529 14,718 17,42 21,884 11,306 3,561 0 0 KZ291 eNdondakusuka 120,643 120,643 120,429 76.5% 17,834 17,42 11,306 3,561 17,27 11,306 3,561 11,22 11,306 3,561 11,22 11,306 3,561		Umlalazi	221,078	44,634	%6.69	31,183	30,279	31,932	39,105	24,745	790	0	0	_	_
KZ296 Neadlable 133,002 24,910 81.7% 20,358 18,869 20,158 23,237 607 0 total: uThungult Municipalities 885,965 189,784 61.2% 116,231 98,075 115,735 145,213 85,003 8,397 1 total: uThungult Municipalities KZ292 kwalbukusa 128,600 31,014 56.5% 17,529 147,18 17,742 21,864 11,306 3,561 0 KZ292 kwalbukusa 128,600 31,014 56.5% 17,529 14,718 17,742 21,864 11,306 3,561 0 KZ292 kwalbukusa 158,582 45,928 46.8% 21,479 16,308 21,171 26,180 11,391 8,738 0 KZ292 kwalbukusa 150,046 76.5% 23,449 16,308 21,171 26,180 11,391 8,738 0 KZ292 kwalbumudo 10,299 130,016 61.8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 10,0		Mthonjaneni	50,382	12,657	71.9%	9,106	6,437	7,974	9,923	7,903	322	0	0	-	_
DCSs Thungulu District Municipality 885,965 189,784 61.2% 116,231 98,075 115,735 143,211 85,003 8,397 1		Nkandla	133,602	24,910	81.7%	20,359	18,869	20,182	23,258	23,297	209	0	0 .	_ (
KZ291 eNdondakusuka R85,965 R87,784 61.2% 116,231 98,075 115,735 145,213 85,003 8,97 KZ291 eNdondakusuka 128,670 31,014 56.5% 17,529 14,718 17,742 21,864 11,301 3,561 0	C DC28	uThungulu District Municipality	885,965	189,784	61.2%	116,231	98,075	115,735	145,213	85,003	8,397	-	-	=	-
KZ291 KwaZubukusa 128,670 31,014 56.5% 17,529 14,718 17,742 21,864 11,306 3,561 0 KZ292 KwaDukuza 158,582 45,928 46.8% 21,479 16,308 21,171 26,180 11,591 8738 0 KZ292 KwaDukuza 152,495 30,645 76.5% 21,479 16,308 21,171 26,180 11,591 8738 0 KZ294 Maphumulo 10,0643 22,429 79.6% 22,342 21,343 19,500 22,181 18,515 1,227 0 DC29 Ilembe District Municipality 560,390 130,016 61.8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,860 1 otal: Hembe Municipality 560,390 130,016 61.8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,860 1 KZ3a KwaSad 10,582 57,28 1,457 2,619 3,418 2,597 45 </td <td>Total: uThungulu M</td> <td>unicipalities</td> <td>885,965</td> <td>189,784</td> <td>61.2%</td> <td>116,231</td> <td>98,075</td> <td>115,735</td> <td>145,213</td> <td>85,003</td> <td>8,397</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total: uThungulu M	unicipalities	885,965	189,784	61.2%	116,231	98,075	115,735	145,213	85,003	8,397				
KZ292 KwaDukuza 158,582 45,928 46,8% 21,479 16,308 21,171 26,180 11,591 8,738 0 KZ293 Ndwedwe 122,495 30,645 76,5% 13,429 22,314 22,149 12,27 0 KZ294 Maphumic palities 120,429 130,016 61,8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,80 1 otal: Hembe Municipalities 10,508 130,016 61,8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,80 1 KZ5a Kwa Sani 107,558 21,954 79,0% 17,337 14,259 3,418 2,957 45 0 KZ5a Kwa Sani 16,226 5,722 51,2% 1,457 2,619 3,418 2,957 45 0 KZ5a Kwa Sani 16,226 5,722 51,2% 1,287 7,497 8,083 10,296 2,136 1,380 1 KZ5a <th< td=""><td></td><td>eNdondakusuka</td><td>128,670</td><td>31,014</td><td>26.5%</td><td>17,529</td><td>14,718</td><td>17,742</td><td>21,864</td><td>11,306</td><td>3,561</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></th<>		eNdondakusuka	128,670	31,014	26.5%	17,529	14,718	17,742	21,864	11,306	3,561	0	0	_	_
KZ293 Ndwedwe 152,495 30,645 76.5% 23,429 22,314 23,129 29,840 22,500 1,227 0 KZ294 Maphumulo 150,643 22,429 16.5% 17,854 21,433 19,500 22,161 18,515 33,4 0 Otal: Hembe Municipalities S60,390 130,016 61.8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,860 1 KZ524 Run Sani 107,558 21,954 79,0% 17,37 14,529 18,241 21,362 15,921 22,9 KZ534 Manaticle 16,226 5,726 57.2% 17,37 14,57 2,48 2,48 2,54			158,582	45,928	46.8%	21,479	16,308	21,171	26,180	11,591	8,738	0	0	_	
KZ294 Maphumulo 120,d43 22,479 79,6% 17,884 21,343 19,500 22,161 18,515 334 0 otal: Ilembe District Municipalities 560,390 130,016 61,8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,860 1 votal: Ilembe Municipalities Numicipalities 100,045 61,8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,860 1 KZ5a1 Image 10,045 5,204 79,0% 17,337 14,57 2,619 3,418 2,957 45 KZ5a2 Kwa Sani 10,046 5,722 51,2% 2,929 556 3,418 2,937 4,457 2,619 3,418 2,937 4,56 55,2% 1,536 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,759 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,750 1,7			152,495	30,645	76.5%	23,429	22,314	23,129	29,840	22,500	1,227	0	0		_
DC29 Hembe District Municipality S60,390 130,016 61.8% 80,292 74,683 81,542 100,045 63,912 13,800 1			120,643	22,429	79.6%	17,854	21,343	19,500	22,161	18,515	334	0 •	0 -	- 0	– 9
107,558 21,954 79.0% 17,337 14,259 18,241 21,362 15,921 13,860 15,360 15,360 16,266 15,360 16,266 17,337 14,259 18,241 21,362 15,921 229 0 16,226 25,722 21,2% 2,929 25,67 2,428 2,947 2,067 1,543 2,927 2,428 2,947 2,929 23,107 2,827 2,437 2,827 2,437 2,929 2,137 0 1,549 2,625 2,137 0 1,549 2,939 1 2,827 2,437 2,827 2,137 0 2,827 2,83	C DC29	Ilembe District Municipality	560,390	130,016	%8.19	80,292	74,683	81,542	100,045	63,912	13,860	-	-	0	0
KZ5a2 Kwa Sani 107,558 21,954 79 0% 17,337 14,259 18,241 21,362 15,921 229 0 KZ5a2 Kwa Sani 15,309 4,660 68.3% 3,182 1,457 2,619 3,418 2,927 45 0 KZ5a3 Mataicle 16,226 5,722 5,12% 2,929 556 1,543 2,428 2,546 255 0 KZ5a4 Kokstad 56,528 20,566 57.5% 11,821 2,877 7,497 8,083 10,296 2,137 0 MA43 Mkhomazi Wildeness Area 814 33 17,516 17,595 17,764 20,621 16,505 1,239 0 DC43 Sisonke District Municipalities 29,394 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 29,394 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,90	Total: Ilembe Munic	ipalities	560,390	130,016	61.8%	80,292	74,683	81,542	100,045	63,912	13,860				
KZ5a2 Kwa Sani 15,309 4,660 68.3% 3,182 1,457 2,619 3,418 2,927 45 0 KZ5a3 Mataticle 16,226 5,722 51.2% 2,929 556 1,543 2,428 2,546 255 0 KZ5a4 Kokstad 55,228 20,566 57.5% 11,821 2,877 7,497 8,083 10,296 2,137 0 MA43 Mkhomazi Wildemess Area 814 33.5 2,310 75.8% 17,516 17,595 11,764 20,621 16,505 1,239 0 DC43 Sisonke District Municipalities 298,394 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 2,202,542 51.3% 1,126,558 1,18,119 833,643 225,823 3,908 1		Ingwe	107,558	21,954	79.0%	17,337	14,259	18,241	21,362	15,921	229	0	0		_
KZ5a3 Mataitele 16,226 5,722 51,2% 2,929 556 1,543 2,486 2,546 2,55 0 KZ5a4 Kokstad 56,228 20,566 57.5% 11,811 2,827 7,497 8,083 10,296 2,137 0 KZ5a4 Kokstad 10,159 23,107 75.8% 17,516 17,595 17,764 20,621 16,505 1,239 0 DMA43 Mkhomazi Wildemess Area 814 33.5 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 298,394 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,558 858,382 1,1067,593 1,118,119 833,643 225,823		Kwa Sani	15,309	4,660	68.3%	3,182	1,457	2,619	3,418	2,957	45	0	0	-	_
KZ5a4 Kokstad 56,228 20,566 57.5% 11,821 2,827 7,497 8,083 10,296 2,137 0 KZ5a5 Ubuhlebrzwe 101,959 23,107 75.8% 17,516 17,595 17,764 20,621 16,505 1,239 0 DMA43 Mkhomazi Wildeness Area 814 33 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 296,394 76,344 69.1% 52,785 36,744 47,750 56,225 48,333 3,908 1 Sisonke Municipalities 9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,558 858,382 1,067,593 1,118,119 833,643 225,823			16,226	5,722	51.2%	2,929	556	1,543	2,428	2,546	255	0	0	_	
KZ5a5 Ubuhlebezwe 10,359 23,107 75.8% 17,316 17,359 17,769 17,709 17,7			56,528	20,566	57.5%	11,821	2,827	7,497	8,083	10,296	2,137	0 0	0 0		
Divided Minicipalities DC43 Sisonke Municipalities Example 10,000 DC43 Sisonke Municipalities DC43 Sisonke Municipalities Example 10,000			926,101	23,107	%8.C/	015,71	086,1	17,704	179,07	100,01	962,1	<u> </u>	>	-	_
9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,558 858,382 1,067,593 1,118,119 833,643 22			708 307	76 344	60 100	52 785	36 744	00 47 750	56.73	48 333	3 908		_	C	C
9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,558 858,382 1,067,593 1,118,119 833,643 22	Total: Sisonke Muni	cinalities	298, 394	76.344	69.1%	52.785	36,744	47.750	56.225	48.333	3.908			:	
9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,558 858,382 1,067,593 1,118,119 833,643	Total Organization	re partices													
9,426,019 2,202,542 51.1% 1,126,536 636,562 1,007,593 1,116,119 635,043	N T A T W	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.40.50.10	2 202 542	51 10	- 1	056 303	1 057 503	1 119 110	833 643	225 873				
	Total: Kwazulu-ina	tal Municipalities	9,420,012	7,202,342	0/.T·TC		700,000	1,00,1	1,110,117	033,040	770,577				

1. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

^{2.} Powery Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002) 3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

⁽Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,
Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwellingshacks)

^{4.} Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003)

^{(1 =} Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions) 5. In the case of District Management Areas, the powers and functions vest with the district municipalities

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

			1	Lie Dote 1		D-4- 2		, d	Parkland Date	3	_		Domers & Functions	"notione"	_
			Demographic Data	inc Data	roverty Data	Data		ď	ICAIUES Data				T OHCI OF	e la la la la la la la la la la la la la	
			Bosulation	Number of	Dovinsky Dots	Number of	Woter	Conitation	Dofinso	Flactricity	Housing	Water	Sanifation	Refuse	Flectricity
Сатедогу	Number	Municipality	ropulation	Households	roverty nate	Households	water	Samilation	osini osi	Electricity	2001				
LIMPOPO	0			~											
8	NP03A2		262,904	54,210	%6.69	37,887	39,114	48,114	53,872	20,339	2,409	0	0		
щι	NP03A3		92,083	19,022	73.0%	13,892	12,833	17,019	18,666	11,509	762	0 0	0 0		
n m		Greater Groblerdel	121,327	16,02	62.7%	30.383	28 108	19,702	45 524	2,5,5	2 351	0 0	0 0	-	-
2 50			270.123	56,234	70.5%	39,625	37.166	46.532	52,264	29.798	4,802	0 0	0	-	
DMA	CBDMA	~						1				•	•		
Total: Gre	CBDC3	Fotal: Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary Total: Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary Municipalities	967,185	204,791	67.6%	138,426	129,711	172,647	193,581	74,666	11,520	-	-		
٥	MDOAA		04 303	030.50	70.00	16 230	7 756	017.71	71 787	0.517	683	-	-	-	-
nα	CBLOG	NFO4A1 Maruleng CRLC6 Bushbuckridge	400 607	100 201	70.8%	16,330	97,7	90.087	102,12	73.036	3.067	- 0	0		
DMA	CBDMA4	_	3,655	2,306			301	135	1,401	304	19	>)		
C	CBDC4	Bohlabela	597,735	135,057	63.3%		67,576	107,932	125,527	32,857	3,710	1	-	0	0
Total: Bol	hlabela Mu	Fotal: Bohlabela Municipalities	597,735	135,057	63.3%	85,453	67,576	107,932	125,527	32,857	3,710				
В	NP331	Greater Giyani	237,436	52,900	68.1%	36,048	22,574	42,549	47,466	17,313	1,134	0	0	1	_
8	NP332	Greater Letaba	220,124	53,753		36,829	21,064	44,641	50,004	18,361	3,240	0	0	_	_
В	NP333		375,586	97,425			45,106	70,316	82,795	30,114	3,472	0	0	-	
æ Ç	NP334	Ba-Phalaborwa	131,091	33,571	52.8%	17,734	7,647	18,543	19,630	7,854	1,469	0 -	0 -		- 0
Total: Mo	Total: Mopani Municipalities	icipalities	964,237	237,649			166,36	176,049	199,895	73,642	9,315				
<u> </u>	NP341	Misina	39,310	13.978	26.9%	7.949	2.672	6.877	8.072	5,708	1,226	0	0	-	_
. 8	NP342		78,922	17,656			6,607	15,270	17,184		220	0	0		_
В	NP343		584,563	129,238			55,303	105,932	117,068		2,167	0	0	_	_
<u>n</u>	NP344		497,089	113,608			4,190	90,970	102,813		3,281	0 -	0 -	- 0	<
C Total: Vh	C DC34 Vhembe Total: Vhembe	Vhembe District Municipality	1,199,884	274.480	64.3%	176,464	111.772	219,049	245,137	106,719	6.894				>
Y Orall	CILIDO ATARI	ncj/anacs	Londoverty	SOL'S IN			-	200		<u> </u>					
æ i	NP351		161,323	35,176			17,220	28,522	34,730		2,775	0 0	0		
<u>n</u> n	NP352 NP353	Aganang Molemole	147,682	32,333	63.8%	18 472	12,793	20,081	26,432	7 320	120	0 0			
ар	NP354		508,277	135,638			45,607	78,674	90,271	_	19,477		_	_	_
В	NP355		227,970	52,928			28,046	38,481	44,168			0	0.	- 0	- 0
Total: Ca	DC35	C DC35 Capricorn District Municipality: Fotal: Capricorn Municipalities	1,154,693	285,219	60.2%	171,782	116,767	194,538	228,527	116,289	25,437	-	-	2	
<u></u>	NP361	Thabazimbi	63.921	25.037	52.3%	13,098	6.338	9,725	14,216	10,617	6,655	Т	-	_	_
m	NP362		96,102	28,359			5,577	17,698	22,316			_	1	-	-
В	NP364		30,759				1,916	3,726	5,482		1,843	=		_ :	
മ	NP365		72,809				5,294	11,974	13,151		7,379		-		
m n	NP360	Bela Bela Mossiphinas	208 430	70,134	40.9%	2,795	32,600	4,013	78 790	20.258				-	
<u>. U</u>	DC36		614,154	168,233			53,153	96,224	118,779			0	. 0	. 0	. 0
Total: Wa	aterberg M	Fotal: Waterberg Municipalities	614,154	168,233		92,743	53,153	96,224	118,779	58,870	25,200		- Annah an		
								-							
Total: Lir	mpopo Mu	Total: Limpopo Municipalities	5,497,888	1,305,429	62.7%	819,048	575,370	966,439	1,111,446	463,043	82,076				

I. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
2. Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)

Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting. Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week. Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks) 3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001) (Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,

4. Authorized Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003)
(1 = Municipality authorized to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorized to perform powers and functions)
5. In the case of District Management Areas: the nouves and functions with the case of District Management Areas: the nouves and functions

Powers & Functions

Backlogs Data

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

	Demographic Data	hic Data	Poverty Data	Data 2		Ba	Backlogs Data ³	3			Powers & Functions 4	Functions	4
		Number of	e e	Number of	Worse	Conitation	Defise	Pleatricity	Honeing	Water	Canitation		Refuse Electricity
Category Number Municipality	ropuiation	Households	roverly hate	Households		Salliano			0				
MPUMALANGA													
B MP301 Albert Luthuli	187,936	41,234	65.7%	27,096	16,002	25,872	36,052	20,258	2,670	-	-	-	_
MP302	124,812	30,302	20.6%	15,327	6,545	8,441	11,946	14,246	3,981	-	_	-	_
MP303	142,892	28,918	64.5%	18,647	14,593	17,561	19,647	18,735	2,051	_	_	_	_
MP304	80,737	18,412	55.8%	10,268	5,278	6,653	8,773	6,007	2,066	-	-	-	_
MP305	103,265	26,198	51.2%	13,418	4,021	10,569	10,737	10,317	8,399		-	_	_
MP306	38,618	9,581	55.9%	5,359	1,396	4,018	3,296	3,108	3,274	-		-	_
MP307	221,747	67,629	49.0%		9,376	19,170	11,550	19,428	23,688	_	-	_	_
DC30	700,006	222,274		123,274	57,211	92,284	102,001	92,099	46,129	0	0	0	0
10	700,007	222,274	55.5%	123,274	57,211	92,284	102,001	92,099	46,129				
B MP311 Delmac	56.208	13.954	50.1%	066'9	2,389	4,360	5,236	4,898	3,885	_	-	_	_
	276.413	82,298	42.8%	(,,	13,511	21,461	29,494	24,479	19,514		_	_	_
MP313	142,772	37,115			4,822	7,275	6,466	9,354	5,863	_	_	_	
MP314	43,007	10,937	45.1%	4,937	1,605	2,897	4,710	3,236	848	-	П	-	_
MP315	258,872	58,816	82.78	34,054	11,608	50,210	56,612	6,855	8,484	_	_	_	_
MP316	243,313	54,339	58.5%	31,803	20,149	44,594	47,638	4,450	5,204	_	_	-	_
_	ı		, ,			- 00	- 150 156	- 020	- 42 700	c		Ċ	C
C DC31 Nkangala District Municipality	1,020,585	257,459			54,084	130,797	130,130	277,00	43,790				
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	1,020,585	257,459	49.6%	127,623	24,084	130,797	951,051	23,717	43,738				
B MP321 Thaba Chweu	81,236	26,609	53.8%	14,306	3,496	8,263	11,060	6,458	4,533	_	_	-	
MP322	474,806	122,082			39,490	77,614	91,527	35,089	066'6	-	_	-	-
MP323	53,744	15,910			4,189	2,960	5,441	6,760	3,194	_		_	_
MP324	334,415	75,579	71.1%	53,736	29,716	46,821	67,404	39,693	3,800	_	_	_	_
MA DMA32	498	257	'	'	111	167	235	172	128				
DC32	944,699	240,437	60.4%	145,200	77,002	138,825	175,667	88,172	21,645	0	0	0	0
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	944,699	240,437	60.4%	145,200	77,002	138,825	175,667	88,172	21,645				
Total: Moumalanga Municipalities	2,865,291	720,170	55.0%	396,096	188,297	361,906	427,824	233,543	111,572				
J. Comments of the comments of													

1. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

2. Poverry Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)

3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks) (Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,

4. Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003)

(1 = Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions) 5. In the case of District Management Areas, the powers and functions vest with the district municipalities

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

			Demographic Data	le Data 1	Poverty Date	Date 2		ä	Recklose Date	3			Dowers & Functions	unctione,	
									200						
Category	Number	Municipality	Population	Number of Households	Poverty Rate	Number of Poor Households	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity	Housing	Water	Sanitation	Refuse	Electricity
NORTHE	NORTHERN CAPE	8													
-	dioor		72.7	27.2	51.16	100	9		633	100	913	-	-	-	-
<u> </u>	NWIS	Oaniagara Moshaweng	84 104	3,143	73.8%	13 556	11 544	15 306	266	12 731	283				- 0
<u> </u>	CBLC1		70.392	17.799	55 3%	9.846	8.253	8.681	14.144	4.469	1.468	-	-		> -
DMA	CBDCI		6,237	2,497	1		163	385	1,215	\$6	101		•	•	
C	CBDC1	Kgalagadi District Municipality	176,909	43,821	57.1%	25,003	20,138	24,699	34,236	18,065	2,760	0	0	0	-
Total: Kg.	alagadi Cr	Total: Kgalagadi Cross Border Municipalities	176,909	43,821	57.1%	25,003	20,138	24,699	34,236	18,065	2,760				
В	NC061	Richtersveld	10,125	2,874	26.2%	753	71	389	729	157	155	-	-		-
В	NC062	Nama Khoi	44,750	12,094	28.8%	3,488	673	3,595	1,585	1,682	457	_	_	_	-
В	NC064	Kamiesberg	10,754	3,239	43.4%	1,404	999	1,128	846	1,480	9/	-	-	_	-
В	NC065		19,813	5,521	38.0%	2,097	325	1,950	2,068	1,424	127	-	-	_	
В	NC066		10,512	3,168	44.5%	1,410	143	1,492	1,284	1,055	9/	-	_	_	_
В	NC067		11,344	3,363	38.2%	1,285	309	116	1,313	802	41	_	_	-	-
DMA	DMA06		813	342	, ,	- 67	57	159	336	274	9				•
١	3	Namakwa District Municipality	108,111	30,001	34.1%	10,437	2,240	9,429	8,101	0,8/4	938	>	0		
Total: Na	makwa M	otal: Namakwa Municipalities	108,111	30,601	34.1%	10,437	2,240	9,429	8,161	6,874	938				
В	NC071	Ubuntu	16,375	4,267	46.5%	1,986	519	2,134	1.547	1,039	134	-	-	-	_
В	NC072		23,641	5,909	49.7%	2,939	653	2,714	1,384	1,144	934	-	_	-	_
В	NC073	Emthanjeni	35,549	8,835	36.9%	3,257	529	2,911	1,210	1,427	695	_	_	_	-
В	NC074		9,488	2,430	42.5%	1,033	138	1,351	699	633	82	-	-	_	1
œ	NC075		9,070	2,473	47.9%	1,184	201	1,249	671	889	185	_	-	_	_
B	NC076		13,987	3,490	43.5%	1,518	246	1,427	1,385	1,129	644	-	-	-	_
<u>m</u> :	NC077		17,513	4,183	37.9%	1,586	174	660,1	741	620	327			-	
8	NC078		35,810	9,229	51.3%	4,736	1,289	4,455	4,395	3,007	1,422	_		_	_
CMA	DMAU DC7	Naroo District Municipality	3,173	41 018	43 5%	18 230	4 271	17 071	13 101	10 326	4 344	_	0	_	_
Total: Ka	Total: Karoo Municipalities	cipalities	164.608	41.918	43.5%	18,239	4.271	17.971	13,101	10.326	4.344	2			
m i	NC081		6,844	1,596	54.0%	862	243	824	1,363	729	202				
10	NC082	Kai ! Garib	27,685	18,511	46.8%	8,663	1,920	1,69,0	10,851	4,211	919				
2 22	NC084		16.027	3 955	48 5%	1 918	635	1.508	206,2	1,552	617	-	-	-	-
. 80	NC085		31,013	7,378	36.8%	2,715	702	1,575	1,357	1,130	1,148	_	_	_	
В	NC086	Kgatelopele	15,447	4,115	33.6%	1,382	202	584	1,041	744	343	-	-	_	-
DMA	DMA08		060'6	3,253	57.1%	1,859	412	1,087	3,138	2,036	57				
O	DC8	Siyanda District Municipality	209,891	56,039	40.5%	22,694	6,296	14,258	22,892	14,625	6,005	0	0	0	0
Total: Siy	anda Mun	Fotal: Siyanda Municipalities	209,891	56,039	40.5%	22,694	6,296	14,258	22,892	14,625	6,005				
m	NC091	Sol Plaatje	201,465	51,104	31.0%	15,817	3,725	7,258	4,469	8,930	8,447	_	-		-
В	NC092	Dikgatlong	35,765	9,735	53.4%	5,195	1,611	4,893	3,917	3,591	2,081	_	-		-
83	NC093		21,733	5,811	43.9%		794	1,039	3,815	1,173	820	-	~	_	_
8	CBLC7		61,321	17,092	47.9%		2,845	5,633	10,262	4,329	2,147	-	-	-	-
DMA	DMA09		4,514	1,613		1	202	216	1,537	741	129				
O	DC9	Frances Baard District Municipality	324,798	85,355	37.2%	31,749	9,177	19,800	24,000	18,764	13,624	0	0	0	0
Total: Fr.	ances Baan	Fotal: Frances Baard Municipalities	324,798	85,355	37.2%	31,749	9,177	19,800	24,000	18,764	13,624				
Total: No	rthern Ca	Fotal: Northern Cape Municipalities	984,317	257,734	42.0%	108,122	42,122	86,157	102,390	68,654	27,671				
-		97 77 1 17	1000	11000											

1. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Saurce: STATS SA, Census 2001)
2. Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)
3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

Electricity = Households wing electricity for lighting, Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks)
4. Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C manufacipalities if the terms of Goodstear No. 2428 published 3 January 2003)
(1 = Manufacipality and underlying and process and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to preform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to preform powers and functions.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

Municipality Population Number of Households Hous		Demograp	hic Data	Poverty Data	Data 2		B	Backlogs Data	3			Powers & Functions	Functions	4.
Figure Municipality Friedrich Frie		година	Number of	Does de	Number of	W	Societa	0.6.0			Ä	c	J. C.	
Montacle 177,905 43,181 61,1% 25,394 20,912 37,718 43,047 12,480 6,838 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Number	Fopulation	Households	roverly nate	Households	Water	Salikation	esnies	Electricity	guisnou	walci	Sanitation	Keruse	Electricity
NW372 Machine NW372 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW373 Machine NW374 Machine NW374 Machine NW374 Machine NW375 Ma	NORTH WEST													
NW373 Restorated Sab. Sab. Sab. Sab. Sab. Sab. Sab. Sab.	NW371	177,905	43,181	61.1%	26,394	20,902	37,718	43,047	12,480	8:838	-	_	_	
the control Park (1985) 1985 199 1985 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	NW372	338,261	97,279	54.4%	52,897	29,297	67,113	71,614	27,773	31,031		_	_	-
refundly bank and the part of	NW373	395,539	119,863	50.0%	59,939	33,426	55,776	968'89	35,904	48,210	_	_	_	_
the minimal particle of the mi	NW374	36,477	10,497	50.2%	5,268	2,043	3,578	5,898	3,867	1,780	_			_
Hartices Municipality 1,185,229 333,740 54,1% 180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,562 98,289 0 0 1 1,185,329 333,740 54,1% 180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,562 98,289 0 0 1 1,185,329 333,740 54,1% 180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,562 98,289 0 0 1 1,185,329 33,740 54,1% 180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,562 98,289 0 0 1 1,185,329 36,431 21,185 21,185 11,185 21,	NW375	236,845	62,795	57.4%	36,033	22,089	44,428	57,719	5,535	10,430	_	_		-
Integrated Municipality (1.85,329 333,744) 54.1% (180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,562 98,289 0 0 0 1 1,85,329 333,744 54.1% (1.86,329 10.7,764 208,613 247,192 85,567 98,289 0 0 0 1 1,85,329 333,744 54.1% (1.86,329 10.7,764 20.8,613 24,719 85,569 11,85,329 11,14,155 25,641 15,06 10,281 17,556 11,552 12,031 5,913 0 0 0 1 1,37,599 18,477 15,33% 102,289 71,283 106,278 11,282 12,031 5,913 0 0 0 1 1,23,443 12,244 13,744 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 12,344 13,374 13,344 1	DMA37	302	125	1		7	1	18	3	1				
1,185,329 333,740 54,1% 180,531 107,764 208,613 247,192 85,552 98,299 98,299 104,334 22,719 67,4% 15,312 15,264 19,611 7,887 3,945 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	C DC37 Bojanala Platinum District Municipality	1,185,329	333,740	54.1%		107,764	208,613	247,192	85,562	98,289	0	0	0	0
104,324 22,719 67,4% 15,312 15,264 19,023 22,667 5,345 1,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	1,185,329	333,740	54.1%	180,531	107,764	208,613	247,192	85,562	98,289				
114155 25,641 56,8% 14,569 7,114 15,916 19,611 7,887 3,963 0 0 147,599 36,431 51,0% 34,477 28,755 36,555 36,556 36,567 3,944 0 0 0 0 137,443 32,401 55,94% 10,289 11,259 11,259 184,771 55,34% 10,2269 71,203 106,978 140,226 53,878 19,650 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW381	104,324	22,719	67.4%		15,264	19,023	22,667	5,345	1,163	0	0	1	-
299478 67.579 51.0% 34,477 28.755 51.0% 14.00 2.09 18.743 24.00 19.231 17.243 11.255 21.562 12.031 5.913 0.0 0.0 17.443 12.401 59.44% 10.2.269 18.8.24 10.2.269 71.203 10.6.978 140.226 53.878 19.650 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW382	114,155	25,641	56.8%		7,114	15,916	19,611	7,887	3,963	0	0	_	
147.599 36.431 51.3% 18.681 10.281 17.556 21.562 12.031 5.913 0 0 17.9494 18.4771 55.3% 102.269 71.203 106.978 140.226 53.878 19.659 1 16.2499 18.4771 55.3% 102.269 71.203 106.978 140.226 53.878 19.659 1 16.2894 18.4771 55.3% 102.269 71.203 106.978 140.226 53.878 19.659 1 16.2894 15.244 47.06 71.63 10.60 3.680 5.617 5.322 1.163 0 0 15.24 47.07 57.4% 6.18 1.960 7.534 3.748 3.748 3.763 0 0 11.688 37.99 66.7% 2.534 1.539 3.691 2.966 3.331 3.160 0 0 11.688 37.99 66.7% 5.434 1.539 3.691 2.966 3.331 3.160 0 0 11.688 3.799 66.7% 6.546 40.507 55.605 78.647 42.649 10.789 1 1 1 12.240 11.278 106.797 61.5% 65.660 40.507 55.605 78.647 42.649 10.789 1 1 1 18.8 3.29% 17.30 3.33 3.739 4.121 3.739 4.402 3.939 1 1 1 18.8 3.89.60 17.4875 43.0% 75.214 20.332 5.2376 37.208 36.092 44.949 0 2.987.672 810.183 52.9% 43.367 22.376 37.208 36.092 44.949 0 2.987.672 810.183 52.9% 42.3674 22.993 36.3273 218.181 173.677 17.677 10.76	NW383	259,478	67,579	51.0%		28,757	35,659	49,596	18,836	5,667	0	0		-
rict Municipality 157,49 184,71 55.3% 19,281 106,978 140,226 53,878 19,650 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW384	147,599	36,431	51.3%		10,281	17,556	21,562	12,031	5,913	0	0	_	_
rict Municipality 762,999 184,771 55.3% 102,269 71,203 106,978 140,226 53,878 19,650 1 1 1 96,385 23,397 70.7% 16,544 13,376 15,469 23.085 7685 652 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NW385	137,443	32,401	59.4%		9,787	18,824	26,790	6,779	2,944	0	0	_	_
762,999 184,771 55.3% 102,269 71,203 166,978 140,226 53,878 19,650 86,385 23,397 70.7% 16,544 13,376 15,469 23,085 7,685 652 0 88,104 15,254 47,0% 7,163 1,060 3,680 5,617 5,322 1,163 0 0 18,164 42,018 66.2% 7,183 1,060 7,534 3,746 3,084 3,263 0 0 11,688 37,99 66.2% 27,817 21,755 22,503 39,530 10,789 0 0 A3,671 43,678 5,604 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 1 1 43,678 61,5% 65,660 40,507 55,026 78,649 10,789 1 1 1 11,28 43,078 11,246 52,66 60,11 2,267 51,132 7,379 44,402 2,918 1		762,999	184,771	55.3%		71,203	106,978	140,226	53,878	19,650	-	-	0	0
96,385 23,397 70.7% 16,544 13.376 15,469 23.085 7,685 652 0 0 0 1,524 1,163 1,060 3,680 5,617 5,322 1,163 0 0 0 1,524 1,051 5,74% 6,168 1,960 7,534 3,746 3,084 3,263 0 0 0 1,524 1,168 1,589 1,168 1,589 1,168 1,589 1,158 1,	Total: Central Municipalities	762,999	184,771	55.3%	102,269	71,203	106,978	140,226	53,878	19,650				
ng 58,104 15,254 47.0% 7,163 1,060 3,680 5,617 5,322 1,163 0 0 48,366 10,751 57.4% 6,168 1,960 7,534 3,746 3,084 3,263 0 0 nane 11,688 3,799 66.2% 27,817 21,755 22,503 3,733 1,986 46.3 0 0 pisnict Municipality 439,674 116,79 66.7% 5,434 1,539 3,647 42,649 10,789 0 0 mane 439,674 116,797 61.5% 65,600 40,507 55,026 7,379 42,649 10,789 0 0 m 128,353 34,028 65,600 40,507 55,026 7,379 44,02 2,918 1 1 m 128,353 34,028 35,2% 12,329 4,121 8,174 8,677 7,288 8,539 1 1 1 1 m	NW391	96,385	23,397	70.7%		13,376	15,469	23,085	7,685	652	0	0	_	_
ng 182,164 42,018 66.2% 27,817 21,755 22,503 39,530 21,241 2,088 0 0 0 1 1,688 3,799 66.2% 27,817 21,745 22,503 39,530 21,241 2,088 0 0 0 0 1,1,688 3,799 66.2% 2,534 817 2,149 3,703 1,986 46.9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NW392	58,104	15,254	47.0%		1,060	3,680	5,617	5,322	1,163	0	0	_	-
ng 182,164 42,018 66.2% 27,817 21,755 22,503 39,530 21,241 2,088 0 0 0 11,688 3,799 66.7% 2,534 817 2,149 3,703 1,986 463 0 0 0 1,981 1,588 3,799 66.7% 2,534 81,7 2,149 3,703 1,986 463 0 0 0 0 0 1,981 1,581 1,578 66.96 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW393	48,366	10,751	57.4%		1,960	7,534	3,746	3,084	3,263	0	0	-	
11,688 3,799 66.7% 2,534 817 2,149 3,703 1,986 46.3 0 0 A39,674 106,797 61,5% 65,660 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 1 A30,674 106,797 61,5% 65,660 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 1 A30,78 11,426 52.6% 6,011 2,267 5,132 7,379 4,402 2,918 1 A30,78 11,426 36.2% 12,329 4,121 8,174 8,677 7,288 8,539 1 A30,202 112,119 42.5% 47,656 10,159 29,565 13,606 18,015 29,585 13,606 14,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 A30,670 174,875 43.6% 42.2993 56,373 218,181 173,677	NW394	182,164	42,018	66.2%		21,755	22,503	39,530	21,241	2,088	0	0	_	_
Inane 42,967 11,578 46,9% 5,434 1,539 3,691 2,966 3,331 3,160 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NW395	11,688	3,799	92.99		817	2,149	3,703	1,986	463	0	0	_	-
Jistrict Municipality 439,674 106,797 61.5% 65,600 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	96EMN	42,967	11,578	46.9%		1,539	3,691	2,966	3,331	3,160	0	0		_
439,674 106,797 61.5% 65,600 40,507 55,026 78,647 42,649 10,789 10,789 11,426 52,6% 6,011 2,267 5,132 7,379 4,402 2,918 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality	439,674	106,797	61.5%		40,507	55,026	78,647	42,649	10,789	-	-[0	0
m 128,353 34,028 36.2% 6,011 2,267 5,132 7,379 4,402 2,918 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Total: Bophirima Municipalities	439,674	106,797	61.5%		40,507	55,026	78,647	42,649	10,789				
IIIS 359,202 112,119 42.5% 47,656 10,159 29,565 13,606 18,015 29,582 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW401	43,078	11,426	52.6%		2,267	5,132	7,379	4,402	2,918	_	_	-	-
ills 69,037 17,302 53.3% 47,656 10,159 29,565 13,606 18,015 29,582 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW402	128,353	34,028	36.2%		4,121	8,174	8,677	7,288	8,539	_	_	_	_
ills 69,037 17,302 53.3% 9,218 3,785 9,505 7,546 6,387 3,910 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NW403	359,202	112,119	42.5%		10,159	29,565	13,606	18,015	29,582	-	-	_	_
strict Municipality 599,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 44,949 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NW404	69,037	17,302	53.3%		3,785	9,505	7,546	6,387	3,910	-	-	_	_
599,670 174,875 43.0% 75,214 20,332 52,376 37,208 36,092 36,092 2.087,672 800,183 52.9% 423,674 239,806 422,993 503,273 218,181 1	DC40	599,670	174,875	43.0%		20,332	52,376	37,208	36,092	44,949	0	0	0	0
2.987.672 800.183 52.9% 423.674 239.806 422.993 503.273 218.181	Total: Southern Municipalities	599,670	174,875	43.0%	75,214	20,332	52,376	37,208	36,092	44,949				
2.987.672 800.183 52.9% 423.674 239.806 422.993 503.273 218.181											•			
	Total: North West Municipalities	2,987,672	800,183	52.9%	423,674	239,806	422,993	503,273	218,181	173,677				

1. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
2. Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, **Provisional** Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)

3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

(Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,

Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks) 4. Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003) (I = Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions)

5. In the case of District Management Areas, the powers and functions vest with the district municipalities

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

Courtie Partie																
Property Property				Demograp	phic Data	Poverty	Data		Ä	acklogs Data				Powers & F	unctions	
Part Part				d	Number of		Number of	W			Ē	-		:		:
Part Part	Category	Number	Municipality	ropulation	Households		Households	water	Sanitation	Keiuse	Electroity	Housing		Sanitation		Electricity
City of Chee Town 2593.24 778.31 21.78.34 66.541 66.541 68.510 64.640 86.577 11.29 <th< td=""><td>WESTER</td><td>N CAPE</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	WESTER	N CAPE														
WCOTO Secretary SSS 12.9	<		City of Cape Town	2,893,247	778,517	21.2%	165,254	68,641	96,310	44,643	86,977	142,983	-	-		1
WOOD STATES AND STATE		WC011	Matzikama	50,208	14,497	33.8%	4,905	1,107	2,886	5,700	2,411	772	1		-	-
WCD15 Interpretation by Control Manipulity 46,329 13,362 32,585 3,412 786 11,89 26,411 11,911	В	WC012		39,326	11,220	35.0%	3,926	422	2,029	5,519	1,859	414	-	_	_	_
WOOLD Sequential by MODIS Sequential by MOD	B	WC013		46,325	13,362	25.5%	3,412	962	1,195	5,686	1,138	242	-	_	_	_
WCDS Switched A225 1235 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 1.27 3.24 4.28 1.27 3.24 4.28 1.27 3.24 4.28 1.27 3.24 4.28 1.28 3.24 4.28 1.28 3.24 4.28 1.28 4.28 4.28 1.28 4.28 4.28 1.28 4.28 4.28 1.28 4.28	В	WC014		70,440	18,923	20.7%	3,916	841	920	761	1,595	2,614	-	_		-
December December	B	WC015		72,115	18,758	25.3%	4.742	1,272	2,369	5,474	1,671	631	-	_	_	-
Word Date Name Interpretation of Control Publication of Control Publ	DMA	DMA01		4,258	1,188		. 00	171	573	969	581	15				
WCOZD Witzenberg 83.567 20.549 3.46% 7.08 1.28 8.75 3.54 4.95 1.170 3.94 4.90 <th< td=""><td>Total: Wes</td><td>t Coast M</td><td>funicipalities</td><td>282,672</td><td>77 948</td><td>26.0%</td><td>20,902</td><td>4,009</td><td>9,702</td><td>23,830</td><td>9,233</td><td>4,088</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Total: Wes	t Coast M	funicipalities	282,672	77 948	26.0%	20,902	4,009	9,702	23,830	9,233	4,088				
WCD23 Districtions WCD24 Microbine WCD24 Microbine WCD24 Microbine 8.3.57 20.459 3.4.68 1.083 2.8.42 8.77 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 3.944 4.00 8.00 4.00 4.00 9.00		T TOMOS TO	Aumolyanues	710,707	046	0/ 0:07	70,207	4,007	2,102	00,07	567,4	4,000		1		
WOODS Described by Color State of March Sta	В	WC022		83,567	20,459	34.6%	7,083	1,088	2,842	8,372	3,042	1,763	_	-	_	-
WOODS Description 44,028 3,084 2,108 4,341 4,342 4,343 1,108 4,343 1,108 4,343 1,109 3,249 3,533 1 1 1 DAGOS Broade New Port DAGO State Process New Process New Process New Port DAGO 1,534 4,341 7,541 3,549 3,523 1 1 1 DAGOS Broade Street DAGO 1,524 3,546 1,524 3,441 7,571 3,549 3,527 1 </td <td><u>m</u> .</td> <td>WC023</td> <td></td> <td>194,417</td> <td>46,266</td> <td>24.2%</td> <td>11,200</td> <td>3,944</td> <td>4,900</td> <td>10,109</td> <td>5,957</td> <td>7,089</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>	<u>m</u> .	WC023		194,417	46,266	24.2%	11,200	3,944	4,900	10,109	5,957	7,089	_	_	-	_
WODD WODE Control C-24 3-41 1,479 3-50 1 1 1 PARCES Breads New World 81,271 21,219 3.58 6.730 1,244 3,44 1,671 3-50 1	m o	WC024		117,705	34,844	21.0%	7,302	3,186	3,204	6,101	2,773	4,555				
DMARD Merchality 6.69 19.3819 a.5.6 42.56 12.123 18.731 18.731 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 18.739 0 <td>9 20</td> <td>WC026</td> <td></td> <td>81.271</td> <td>21,215</td> <td>31.8%</td> <td>6.750</td> <td>1.514</td> <td>3.241</td> <td>7.621</td> <td>2,299</td> <td>949</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	9 20	WC026		81.271	21,215	31.8%	6.750	1.514	3.241	7.621	2,299	949			-	
Dec. Robinstic Municipality C. Charles 159,819 26.56 42.56 12.152 18.151 18.399 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 18.737 18.799 19.799 14.31 18.799 19.799 14.31 18.799 19.799 14.31 18.799 19.799 14.31 18.799 19.7999 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.7999 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.799 19.7999 19.799 19.79	DMA	DMA02		6,500	1,939			72	414	1.791	568	17	•	•	•	
WOORD Theometers beload Municipalities CDA-88 159,819 26.56.6 4.24.67 13,123 18,721 45,471 18,399 18,278 WOORD Theometers book 50,718 13,96 21,96 4,492 2,686 4,492 4,218 1 1 WOORD Concernant 50,718 19,00 21,18 8,001 2,18 1,19 8,681 1,29 4,99 4,91 1,19 1,19 1,19 1,19 1,11 1 <td< td=""><td>Ç</td><td>DC2</td><td>Boland District Municipality</td><td>629,488</td><td>159,819</td><td>26.5%</td><td>42,367</td><td>12,152</td><td>18,721</td><td>45,473</td><td>18,399</td><td>18,278</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	Ç	DC2	Boland District Municipality	629,488	159,819	26.5%	42,367	12,152	18,721	45,473	18,399	18,278	0	0	0	0
WCD31 Theewatenskilot 99,276 24,56 32,96 8,023 2,560 4,492 6,826 4,621 4,218 1	Total: Bol.	and Munic	cipalities	629,488	159,819	26.5%	42,367	12,152	18,721	45,473	18,399	18,278				
WC032 Operetrand SST38 19 100 23.19 4.407 951 1.658 2.26 3.10 2.54 1 1 1	В	WC031		93.276	24.363	32.9%	8.023	2.569	4.492	6.826	4.692	4.218	-	-	-	-
WCM3 Cerete galithis 26,18 7.54 18.95 1,432 165 888 1,219 650 444 1	В	WC032		55,738	19,109	23.1%	4,407	951	1,658	2,263	3,193	2,554	-	_	-	-
WCD43 Swellendm 28 /10 (2.10) 2.12 (3.0) 40 (3.0) 4.10 (3.10) 2.12 (4.0) 4.10 (3.10)	В	WC033		26,182	7,564	18.9%	1,432	165	888	1,219	959	434	-	-	_	-
DMADD Solvethergy DMAA 203,520 88,738 27.2% 15,989 4,199 8,051 12,476 9,451 7,699 0 0 COS Overbergy DMAA 203,520 58,738 27.2% 15,989 4,199 8,051 12,476 9,451 7,699 0 0 WCO4D1 Kannaland 23,971 6,156 23,97 6,20 1,788 2,50 1,44 1,668 2,37 1,47 8,81 1 1 1 WCO4D Kannaland 4,14 1,1564 2,30 6,21 1,78 2,50 1,43 5,78 1,47 8,81 1 1 1 WCO4D Laceberg 3,04 2,10 6,2 1,138 2,57 1,47 4,89 5,87 1 1 1 1 1 WCO4D Models 8,14 1,136 2,136 4,148 3,276 4,148 5,78 4,148 5,78 4,148 5,78 1,147 8,89 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>æ</td><td>WC034</td><td></td><td>28,076</td><td>7,619</td><td>27.9%</td><td>2,127</td><td>202</td><td>1,010</td><td>2,128</td><td>906</td><td>493</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>-</td></t<>	æ	WC034		28,076	7,619	27.9%	2,127	202	1,010	2,128	906	493	-	-	_	-
Coverberg Municipalities Coverberg Municipalities Coverberg Municipalities 2,513 2,528 4,199 8,611 1,247 9,431 1,669 0	DMA	DMA03		248	83	- 27	. 000	6	3	40	10	, ,		•		(
WCOAL Kamenand 23.971 6,156 35.978 2.970 6.10 1,478 2.510 1,479 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510 2.510	Total: Ove	rhera Mil	Overberg District Municipality	203,520	58,738	200.7.17	15,989	4,199	8,051	12,476	9,451	7,699	0	٥	5	٥
WCO42 Langeberg 2.371 6.156 3.59% 2.207 6.02 1.788 2.510 1.147 8.3 1 1 1 WCO42 Langeberg 44.114 1.2664 22.0% 2.912 6.21 1.688 2.510 1.739 2.547 1		a Del Barre	merpannes	0.45,504	20,130	0.7.17	15,707	4,133	160,0	17,4/0	164,4	7,099				
WCMAZ Langeberg 44,144 12,64 23,06 23,07 1,658 3,597 1,739 524 1 1 WCMAZ Langeberg Holy Langeberg 135,409 36,191 26,786 4,215 1,658 3,597 1,739 1,744 1,489 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <	В	WC041		23,971	951'9	35.9%	2,207	602	1,788	2,510	1,147	83	-	-	-	1
WCOLAS POSSES BAY 17,494 26,258 21,778 4,275 1,116 1,276 2,021 1,862 2,431 1 1 WCOLAS Outschoom 84,692 18,413 25,076 4,665 1,326 2,917 3,436 1,497 1 1 1 WCOLAS Outschoom 84,692 18,413 25,076 4,665 1,326 2,917 3,436 1,497 1 1 WCOLAS Outschoom 8,4692 18,413 25,076 4,665 1,326 2,136 1,497 1 1 WCOLA Peteraborg Bay 51,468 1,497 2,874 2,874 1,246 2,886 3,630 1 1 DNAQA South Cape DMA 14,594 3,538 2,874 1,247 2,418 5,88 3,531 1 1 1 Eden Municipalities 14,594 3,534 34,576 25,476 36,819 1,671 1,744 1,575 0 0 WCOS1 Entral Raroo Municipalities 6,884 1,5	E	WC042		44,114	12,664	23.0%	2,912	621	1,658	3,597	1,739	524				
WCOds Oudshoom 44 692 18413 25.0% 4,605 1,329 2,917 3,430 2,152 1,407 1 <	0_00	WC044		135 409	36.191	26.7%	6,233	4 148	5.786	2,021	798,1	2,43/		-		
WCOAR Plettenberg Bay 29,182 8,944 32,182 2,874 1,376 1,536 1,722 1,478 1	<u> 190</u>	WC045		84,692	18,413	25.0%	4,605	1,329	2,917	3,430	2,752	1,497	-		-	-
WCOSI Lainesburg >В</td> <td>WC047</td> <td></td> <td>29,182</td> <td>8,944</td> <td>32.1%</td> <td>2,874</td> <td>1,376</td> <td>1,505</td> <td>1,230</td> <td>1,722</td> <td>1,478</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>	В	WC047		29,182	8,944	32.1%	2,874	1,376	1,505	1,230	1,722	1,478	_	_	-	_
DMANG Central Karoo Municipalities 44,819,718 11,782 10,2418 12,571 12,126 17,445 15,575 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	e 2	WC048		51,468	14,972	28.7%	4,292	3,188	4,554	1,246	2,886	3,630	_	_	-	-
Eden Municipalities 454,924 121,156 25.4% 30,819 12,671 21,031 21,226 17,445 15,575 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	S C	DIMAGE DC4		14,394	3,336	25.4%	30.819	12 671	21 031	2,418	17 445	25	c	c	c	
WCOS1 Laingsburg 6.680 1.945 34.2% 6.66 72 292 719 512 17 1 1 1 WCOS2 Prince Albert 10,512 2.614 34.1% 891 101 505 735 517 73 1 1 1 WCOS2 Prince Albert 37,106 9,103 2.86% 2.606 318 73 1,80 162 1 1 1 1 DMA05 Central Karoo District Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo District Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 279,493 150,818 156,589 143,982 189,545 1 Western Cape Municipalities 4,4819,781 11,782,631 4,887,163 5,249,849<	Total: Ede	n Munici	palities	454,924	121,156	25.4%	30,819	12,671	21,031	21,226	17,445	15,575				
WC052 Prince Albert 10,512 2,614 34.1% 891 101 505 735 517 73 1 1 1 WC052 Prince Albert 37,106 9,103 28.6% 2,606 318 73 1,180 162 1 1 1 DMA05 Central Karoo DMA 6,182 15,736 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo District Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Western Cape Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 279,493 156,589 156,988 143,982 189,545 1 Western Cape Municipalities 44,819,781 11,782,631 4,687 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 1,336,226 1 1 1	В	WC051		089'9	1,945	34.2%	999	72	292	719	512	17	-	_	-	-
WC053 Beaufort West 37,106 9,103 28.6% 2,606 318 731 1,322 1,180 162 1 1 1 1 DMA05 Central Karoo DMA 6,184 1,574 - - - 55 256 558 245 70 0 0 Central Karoo District Municipality 60,482 15,236 27,3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27,3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,34 2,455 322 0 0 0 Western Cape Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 279,493 102,818 155,599 150,988 143,982 189,545 1	В	WC052		10,512	2,614	34.1%	891	101	205	735	517	73	-	-	_	_
DMAOS Central Karoo DMA 6.184 1,574 2.3.36 4.162 5.46 5.58 2.46 70 0 0 0 Central Karoo District Municipality 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 5.46 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 5.46 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Central Karoo Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 2.3.1% 2.79,493 102,818 155,599 150,988 143,982 189,545 Central Karoo Municipalities 5,249,849 3,507,172 1,836,226 Central Karoo Municipalities 60,482 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172 1,836,226 Central Karoo Municipalities 60,482 6,183,682 6,18	æ	WC053		37,106	9,103	28.6%	2,606	318	731	1,322	1,180	162	-	_	-	-
13.23 Central Karoo Municipalities 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 332 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DMA	DMA05		6,184	1,574	, ,		55	256	558	246	20	-	-		
5 00,482 15,250 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 5,534 2,455 189 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 2,59493 102,818 155,599 150,988 143,982 189 44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8% 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172 1,836		S	Central Karoo District Municipality	60,482	15,236	27.3%	4,162	546	1,784	3,334	2,455	322	0	0	0	0
4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 279,493 102,818 1155,599 150,988 143,982 44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8% 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172 1	lotal: Cel	itral Karo	o Municipalities	60,482	15,236	21.3%	4,162	246	1,784	3,334	2,455	322				
4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 279,493 102,818 155,599 150,988 143,982 44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8% 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172 1																
44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8% 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172	Total: We	stern Cap	e Municipalities	4,524,333	1,211,414	23.1%	279,493	102,818	155,599	150,988	143,982	189,545	1		1	
44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8% 5,517,090 3,196,613 4,887,163 5,249,849 3,507,172														-		
	National 1	otal		44,819,781		46.8%	5,517,090	3,196,613	4,887,163	5,249,849	3,507,172	1,836,226				

1. Demographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
2. Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)
3. Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)

(Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling. Smitation = Pit latrine with ventilation,

Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting. Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks)

4. Authorised Powers and functions by and Camminispality in terms of Government Orisete No. 24228 published 3 January 2003

(1 = Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions, vert with the district management Areas. The powers and functions were with the district management and authorised to perform powers and functions.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT EQUITABLE SHARE AND MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FORMULAE

ber of ber of beat of the beat			Demogran	hic Data	Poverty Data	Data 2		8	Backloos Data	3			Powers & Functions	unctions	
Properties Properties Proceedings Procedings Procedings Procedings Proceedings Procedings P				Jo		Number of				1					
Cry of Cape Town State of			Population		Poverty Kate	Foor Households	Water	Sanitation	Keruse	Electricity	Housing		Sanitation		Electricity
Color Colo	WESTERN CAP	(sd													
8 1973 11,302 21,55% 24,97 21,08 24,09 21,09 25,09 24,49 24,	Ą	City of Cape Town	2,893,247	778,517	21.2%	165,254	68,641	96,310	44,643	86,977	142,983	-		-	
Bay 11,229 23.58 3.90 4.22 21.09 5.549 1.159 5.549 5.549 1.159 5.549			50,208	14,497	33.8%	4,905	1,107	2,886	5,700	2,411	772		-	-	Т
Bay 46,324 13,32 22,35 3,412 816 816 1139 5,686 1131 82 22,14 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			39,326	11,220	35.0%	3,926	422	2,029	5,519	1,859	414	-	-		-
Bay Table			46,325	13,362	25.5%	3,412	961	1,195	2,686	1,138	242	-	-	_	_
## 1, 17, 17, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18			70,440	18,923	20.7%	3,916	841	650	192	1,595	2,614	_ ·			
State Stat			72,115	18,738	25.3%	4,742	1,272	2,369	5,474	1,671	631	_	_	_	_
38.54 70.48 76.48 76.99 77.04 28.56 76.57 77.84 76.88 70.03 14.89 97.04 27.86 46.88 1			282.672	77,948	26.8%	20,902	4,609	9,702	23,836	9,255	4,688	0	0	0	0
g B C	Total: West Coasi	t Municipalities	282,672	77,948	26.8%	20,902	4,609	9,702	23,836	9,255	4,688				
the control of the co		ł	83.567	20.459	34.6%	7.083	1.088	2.842	8.372	3.042	1.763			_	-
the curval control of the curval curv			194,417	46,266	24.2%	11,200	3,944	4,900	10,109	5,957	7,089	-	_	-	_
rer Whenlands			117,705	34,844	21.0%	7,302	3,186	3,204	6,101	2,773	4,555	_	_	-	-
strict Municipality 6.29,488 1928 19 2.65% 4.247 18.18 18.299 18.278 18.279 18.271 18.279 18.			146,028	35,096	28.6%	10,031	2,348	4,120	11,479	3,599	3,905				
stron formicipality (629,488) 19/819 26.58 42.367 12.152 18/713 18.399 18.278 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1/2/10	512,12	01.070	0,70	+1 C, 1	2,241	170,7	2,400	17	-	-	-	_
skloof 629,488 159,819 24.56 42,36 12,19 18,79 1			629.488	956,1	26.5%	42.367	12.152	18.721	45.473	18.399	18.278	0	0	0	0
skicorf 5 93.776 19109 22116, 4.407 1851 1.658 2.526 3.103 2.554 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Total: Boland Mu	nicipalities	629,488	159,819	26.5%	42,367	12,152	18,721	45,473	18,399	18,278				
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			93.276	24.363	32.9%	8.023	2.569	4.492	6.826	4.692	4.218	_	-	-	-
DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA			55,738	19,109	23.1%	4,407	951	1,658	2,263	3,193	2,554	-	-	-	_
DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA DMA			26,182	7,564	18.9%	1,432	165	888	1,219	650	434	-	-	-	_
DMAA 203,520 58,738 27,2% 15,989 4,199 8,051 12,476 9,451 7,699 0 0 0			28,076	7,619	27.9%	2,127	505	010,1	2,128	906	493	-	_	_	-
1.0.530 58,738 2.72% 15,989 4,199 8,651 12,476 9,451 7,699 1.1.4 23,971 6,156 35,986 2,207 602 1,788 2,510 1,147 83 1 1 1 1.1.4 1.664 23,096 2,207 602 1,788 2,510 1,147 83 1 1 1 1.1.4 1.1.6 2,106 2,207 602 1,788 2,510 1,49 1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>203.520</td> <td>58.738</td> <td>27.2%</td> <td>15.989</td> <td>4.199</td> <td>8.051</td> <td>12.476</td> <td>9.451</td> <td>7.699</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>			203.520	58.738	27.2%	15.989	4.199	8.051	12.476	9.451	7.699	0	0	0	0
COLD Langeberg 23.971 6.156 35.9% 2.207 602 1.788 2.510 1.147 83 1 1 1 COLD Langeberg 44,144 12.664 2.20% 2.207 6.21 1.688 3.597 1.739 2.437 1	Total: Overberg N	Aunicipalities	203,520	58,738	27.2%	15,989	4,199	8,051	12,476	9,451	7,699				
Code Langeberg 44,114 12,664 23,0% 2,912 621 1,658 3,597 1,739 524 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	B WC04		23.971	6.156	35.9%	2.207	602	1.788		1.147	83			-	-
COAT Mossel Bay			44,114	12,664	23.0%	2,912	621	1,658		1,739	524	-	-		
COA4 George 135,499 36,191 26.7% 9,674 4,148 5,786 4,774 4,809 5,874 1 1 1 CO45 Outschoom 84,692 185,491 25.0% 4,605 1,329 2,917 3,430 2,732 1,497 1 1 1 1 CO47 Pletenber Bay 51,468 1,4972 28.7% 4,605 1,234 2,886 3,630 1			71,494	20,258	21.0%	4,255	1,116	1,576		1,862	2,437	-	-	_	-
CQAS Condition A4602 18,413 2.51% 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.549 4.554 1.529 4.752 1.497 1			135,409	36,191	26.7%	9,674	4,148	5,786		4,809	5,874				
COME Fountine Bray 51,468 1,4772 28.7% 4,524 1,246 2,886 3,630 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2,248 3,536 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3			84,692	18,413	32.1%	7 874	1,329	1,505		2,752	1,497				
Adold South Cape DMA 14,594 3,558 - - 291 1,247 2,418 528 52 0 0 CQ4 Eden District Municipality 454,924 121,156 25.4% 30,819 12,671 21,031 21,226 17,445 15,575 0 0 COST Laingsburg 6,680 1,945 34.2% 666 72 292 719 517 1 1 1 COST Laingsburg 6,680 1,945 3.4% 666 72 292 719 517 1 1 1 COST Laingsburg 6,680 1,945 3.4% 666 72 292 719 517 1 1 1 COST Prince Man 6,184 1,574 2.66 3.8 73 1,322 1,784 73 1,784 3.34 2,455 322 0 0 COST Central Karoo District Municipalities 60,482 15,236 2,162 5,484 3,344 2,455 <t< td=""><td>B WCOK</td><td></td><td>51,468</td><td>14,972</td><td>28.7%</td><td>4,292</td><td>3,188</td><td>4,554</td><td></td><td>2,886</td><td>3,630</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	B WCOK		51,468	14,972	28.7%	4,292	3,188	4,554		2,886	3,630	-			
Unicipalities 12,136 25.4% 30,819 12,671 21,031 21,226 17,445 15,575 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			14,594	3,558		, ,	291	1,247	,	528	52	(
COSI Laingsburg COSI Laingsburg COSI Laingsburg COSI Laingsburg COSI Laingsburg COSI Laingsburg COSI Prince Albert COSI Beaufort West COSI Beaufort West COSI Beaufort West ST, 106 SI, 184 SI, 184 SI, 186 SI, 189 SI, 171 SI, 180 SI	Total: Eden Muni	t Eden District Municipality	454,924	121,156	25.4%	30,819	12,671	21,031		17.445	15,575			=	٥
COS3 Prince Autoricipalities COS3 Reaufort West COS3 Beaufort West COS3 Beaufort West COS3 Beaufort West COS3 Beaufort West Sociated Name and Secretary Secretar	B WCov	51 Lainachura	0899	1 945	34 70%	999	77	200		512	17	-	-	-	_
Co53 Beaufort West 37,106 9,103 28.6% 2,606 318 731 1,322 1,180 162 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			10,512	2,614	34.1%	891	101	505	735	517	73		-	_	
Advis Central Karoo DMA OCS Central Karoo District Municipality 60,482 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 15,236 16,088 14,162 16,088 14,162 16,088 14,162 16,088 14,162 16,088 143,982 16,088 143,982 189,545 10,089 11,782,631 11,782,631 10,089 11,782,631 11,783,631 11,783,631 11,783,631			37,106	9,103	28.6%	2,606	318	731	1,322	1,180	162	-	-	_	-
OCS Central Karoo District Municipality 60,482 15,236 27.3% 4,162 546 1,784 3,334 2,455 322 0 0 0 Committee to the committee of the committee			6,184	1,574	. !	, ;	55	256	558	246	70		-	-	
Naroo Municipalities	DC	5 Central Karoo District Municipality	60,482	15,236	27.3%	4,162	246	1,784	3,334	2,455	322	0	0	0	0
1 Cape Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1 % 44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8 %	I otal: Central Na	iroo Municipalities	705,00	13,230	0.5.12	701,4	040	1,704	3,334	2,433	322	1			T
4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 1.20e Municipalities 4,524,333 1,211,414 23.1% 1.44819,781 11,782,631 46.8%															
44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8%	Total: Western C	ape Municipalities	4,524,333	1,211,414	23.1%	279,493	102,818	155,599	150,988	143,982	189,545			1	T
44,819,781 11,782,631 46.8%															
	National Total		44,819,781	11,782,631	46.8%		3,196,613	4,887,163	5,249,849	3,507,172	1,836,226				

1. Denographic Data: Population, number of households (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
2. Poverty Data: Number of households spending less than R1100 a month (Source: STATS SA, Provisional Imputed Household Expenditure Data based on Census 2001 and Income & Expenditure Survey 2002)

Backlogs Data: Number of households without access to a basic level of water, sanitation, electricity, housing and refuse removal (Source: STATS SA, Census 2001)
 Basic level of service: Water = Piped water on community stand: distance less than 200m from dwelling, Sanitation = Pit latrine with ventilation.
 Electricity = Households using electricity for lighting, Refuse = Refuse removed by local authority at least once a week, Housing = Formal housing, as opposed to informal dwelling/shacks)
 Authorised Powers and Functions for Category B and C municipalities (in terms of Government Gazette No. 24228 published 3 January 2003)

(1 = Municipality authorised to perform powers and functions, 0 = municipality not authorised to perform powers and functions). In the case of District Management Areas, the powers and functions west with the district municipalities