REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DIVISION OF REVENUE BILL

(As introduced in the National Assembly as a section 76 Bill) (The English text is the official text of the Bill)

(MINISTER OF FINANCE)

[B4—2004] ISBN 0 621 34781 7

BILL

To provide for the equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government for the 2004/05 financial year and the reporting requirements for allocations pursuant to such division; to permit the withholding and the delaying of payments in certain circumstances; to provide for liability for costs incurred in litigation in violation of the principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations; and to provide for matters connected therewith.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS section 214(1) of the Constitution requires an Act of Parliament to provide for—

- (a) the equitable division of revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
- (b) the determination of each province's equitable share of the provincial share of that revenue; and
- (c) any other allocations to provinces, local government or municipalities from the national government's share of that revenue, and any conditions on which those allocations may be made;

B^E IT THEREFORE ENACTED by the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa, as follows:—

CHAPTER 1

INTERPRETATION AND OBJECTS OF ACT

Interpretation 5

1. (1) In this Act, unless the context indicates otherwise, a word or expression to which a meaning has been assigned in the Public Finance Management Act has the meaning assigned to it in that Act, and—

I. "budget year" means the financial year commencing on 1 April 2004 and ending on 31 March 2005 for which an annual budget is to be approved;

- II. "head official", in relation to a provincial treasury, means the head of the provincial department responsible for financial matters in the province;
- III. "Financial and Fiscal Commission Act" means the Financial and Fiscal Commission Act, 1997 (Act No. 99 of 1997)
- IV. "Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act" means the Intergovernmental 15 Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No. 97 of 1997);
- V. "municipal accounting officer" means the official of a municipality referred to in section 60 of the Municipal Finance Management Act;
- VI. "municipal financial year" means the financial year of a municipality commencing on 1 July and ending on 30 June; 20
- VII. "Municipal Finance Management Act" means the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003);

- VIII. "municipality" means the same as in section 1 of the Municipal Finance Management Act;
- IX. "Municipal Structures Act" means the Local Government: Municipal Structures Act, 1998 (Act No. 117 of 1998);
- X. "Municipal Systems Act" means the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000);
- XI. "next financial year" means the financial year commencing on 1 April 2005 and ending on 31 March 2006;
- XII. "payment schedule" means a schedule which sets out—
 - (a) the amount of each instalment of an equitable share or any other 10 allocation to be transferred to a province or municipality for the financial year;
 - (b) the date on which each such instalment must be paid; and
 - (c) to whom, and to which primary bank account, each such instalment must be paid;

15

30

XIII. "prescribe" means prescribe by regulation in terms of section 33;

XIV. "primary bank account"—

- (a) in relation to a province, means a bank account of the provincial Revenue Fund, which the head official of the provincial treasury has certified to the National Treasury as the bank account into which 20 allocations in terms of this Act must be deposited;
- (b) in relation to a municipality which has only one bank account, means that account; or
- (c) in relation to a municipality which has more than one bank account, means one of its bank accounts which it has designated as its 25 primary bank account;
- XV. "Public Finance Management Act" means the Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999);

XVI. "quarter" means—

- (a) 1 April to 30 June;
- (b) 1 July to 30 September;
- (c) 1 October to 31 December; or
- (d) 1 January to 31 March;

XVII. "receiving officer"—

- (a) in relation to Schedules 4 and 5 allocations transferred to a 35 province, excluding the Provincial Infrastructure Grant, means the accounting officer of the provincial department which is responsible for spending or managing that allocation; or
- (b) in relation to Schedules 6 and 6A allocations transferred to a municipality, means the municipal accounting officer of that 40 municipality, except for that portion of the Municipal Infrastructure Grant funds in terms of section 11(6), committed before 30 September 2003 and spent by the national accounting officer directly on behalf of the municipality;
- XVIII. **"transferring national officer"** means the accounting officer of a national 45 department which transfers to a province or municipality Schedule 4, 5, 6 or 6A allocations, but excludes the accounting officer of the National Treasury in respect of an allocation listed in those Schedules and which is on the vote of the National Treasury;
 - XIX. **"transferring provincial officer"** means the accounting officer of a 50 provincial department which is responsible for managing Schedule 5, 6 or 6A allocations for the purpose of transferring it to a municipality in the relevant province.
- (2) In the event of any inconsistency between a provision of this Act and a provision of the Municipal Finance Management Act that has taken effect, the provision of the Municipal Finance Management Act is, to the extent of the inconsistency, suspended until 1 April 2005.
- (3) Section 35 of the Public Finance Management Act, section 3 of the Financial and Fiscal Commission Act and section 9 of the Municipal Systems Act do not apply in respect of any powers and functions assigned in terms of this Act to a province or 60 municipality.

Ob

Objects of Act	
 2. The objects of this Act are— (a) to provide for the equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among the three spheres of government; (b) to promote co-operative governance and the principles of intergovernmental relations on budgetary matters; (c) to promote better co-ordination between policy, planning, budget preparation and execution processes; (d) to promote predictability and certainty in respect of all allocations to 	5
 (a) to promote predictability and certainty in respect of an anocations to provincial governments and municipalities in order that such governments may plan their budgets over a multi-year period; (e) to promote transparency and equity in all allocations, including in respect of the criteria for their division; (f) to promote accountability for the use of public resources by ensuring that all transfers are reflected on the budgets of benefiting provincial governments and municipalities; and (g) to ensure that legal proceedings between organs of state in the three spheres of government are avoided. 	15
CHAPTER 2	
EQUITABLE SHARE ALLOCATIONS	20
Equitable division of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally among spheres of government	
3. (1) Revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year must be divided among the national, provincial and local spheres of government for their equitable shares as set out in Column A of Schedule 1. (2) An envisaged division of revenue anticipated to be raised in respect of the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, and which is subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act in respect of those financial years, is set out in Column B of Schedule 1.	25
(3) Despite subsection (2), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the annual Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division for the next financial year as set out in Column B of Schedule 1, be transferred as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund to each province and to a municipality.	30
Equitable division of provincial share among provinces	35
4. (1) Each province's equitable share of the provincial share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year is set out in Column A of Schedule 2. (2) An envisaged division for each province of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, and which	
is subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act for those financial years, is set out in Column B of Schedule 2. (3) Subject to section 28(2), each province's equitable share contemplated in subsection (1) must be transferred to the province in weekly instalments in accordance with a payment schedule determined by the National Treasury, after consultation with	
the head official of the relevant provincial treasury. The payment schedule must take reasonable account of the monthly spending commitments of provinces, the revenue at the disposal of provinces, and minimisation of risk and debt servicing costs. (4) Despite subsection (3), the Minister may, on such conditions as the Minister may	45

determine, advance funds to a province in respect of its equitable share contemplated in subsection (1), which have not yet fallen due for transfer in accordance with the payment 50

schedule referred to in subsection (3) in respect of that province.

(5) The advances contemplated in subsection (4) must be set-off against transfers to the province which would otherwise become due in terms of the applicable payment schedule.

Equitable division of local government share among municipalities

- 5. (1) Each municipality's share of local government's equitable share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the budget year is set out in Column A of Schedule 3.
- (2) An envisaged division between municipalities of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally in respect of the next financial and the 2006/07 financial year, and which is subject to the provisions of the annual Division of Revenue Act for those financial years, is set out in Column B of Schedule 3.
- (3) Subject to subsection (4), each municipality's equitable share contemplated in subsection (1) must be transferred to the primary bank account of the municipality in quarterly instalments before the end of May, August, November and February in the budget year, in accordance with a payment schedule determined by the National 15 Treasury.
- (4) If a provincial executive has intervened in a municipality in terms of section 139 of the Constitution, the Minister responsible for local government may, with the concurrence of the Minister, and on such conditions as the Minister may determine, advance funds to a municipality in respect of its equitable share contemplated in 20 subsection (1) which have not fallen due for transfer in accordance with the applicable payment schedule referred to in subsection (3) in respect of that municipality.
- (5) Any advances in terms of subsection (4) must be set-off against transfers to the municipality which would otherwise become due in terms of the applicable payment schedule.

25

40

50

- (6) Despite subsection (3), the National Treasury may direct the national accounting officer for local government to delay or withhold the transfer of an instalment on the grounds of a municipality's serious or persistent material breach of uniform treasury norms and standards.
- (7) Such uniform treasury norms and standards referred to in subsection (6) may 30 include a requirement that a municipality must-
 - (a) submit to the Auditor-General, not later than 30 April 2004, any outstanding financial statements in respect of municipal financial years preceding the 2003/04 municipal financial year;
 - (b) submit to the National Treasury, by not later than 30 June 2004, its budget for 35 the 2003/04 municipal financial year and such other budgetary information as may be required by the National Treasury, including, but not limited to, information on the nature and extent of basic services to be provided for water, sanitation, electricity, and municipal infrastructure, including free basic services, to be provided by that municipality;
 - (c) submit to the Auditor-General and the National Treasury, not later than 30 September 2004, financial statements in respect of the 2003/04 municipal financial year, in a format determined by the National Treasury;
 - submit to the National Treasury, by not later than 20 days after the end of each quarter starting 1 July 2004, information on the implementation of its budget, 45 including information up to the end of that quarter on actual-
 - (i) total revenue collected, borrowings and all allocations received in terms of this Act; and
 - total spending, including on water, sanitation, electricity, free basic services and municipal infrastructure;
 - (e) in the case of local and district municipalities, co-operate on financial, budget and fiscal matters with other municipalities within the district in which it is located in order to eliminate duplication in the provision of services, reduce wastage, and ensure effective and efficient spending for purposes of sustainable delivery of municipal services in that municipal district; and
 - (f) make timely payment of all statutory commitments including all taxes, levies, audit fees, medical aid and pension fund commitments.

- (8) Payments of the quarterly equitable share instalments referred to in subsection 4, may be made subject to the accounting officer of a municipality submitting any information required in terms of subsection (6) or (7) to the National Treasury, and certifying how the municipality is complying with subsection (6) or (7), not later than 21 days before the date of transfer of such instalment.
- (9) Despite subsections (6) and (7), the National Treasury may direct that funds be transferred to a municipality, where it—
 - (a) provides a written explanation which the National Treasury determines as reasonable; or
 - (b) is in danger of failing to deliver basic services as a result of such delays or 10 withholding.

Shortfalls and excess revenue

A of the following Schedules:

- **6.** (1) If actual revenue raised nationally in respect of the budget year falls short of the anticipated revenue set out in Schedule 1, the national government bears the shortfall.
- (2) If actual revenue raised nationally in respect of the budget year is in excess of the anticipated revenue set out in Schedule 1, the excess accrues to the national government and forms part of its equitable share.
- (3) Despite subsection (2), the national government may, by means of an adjustments budget or any other appropriation legislation, and additional to the allocations in sections 4 and 5, and the allocations contemplated in Chapter 3, make in the budget year 20 further allocations to provinces and municipalities from its equitable share of nationally raised revenue or contingency reserve.

CHAPTER 3

OTHER ALLOCATIONS TO PROVINCES AND MUNICIPALITIES

Other allocations 25

- **7.** (1) Other allocations to provinces in respect of the budget year from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally are set out in Column
 - (a) Schedule 4 contains allocations to provinces for general and nationally assigned functions;

30

- (b) Schedule 5 contains specific-purpose allocations to provinces.
- (2) An envisaged division of allocations to provinces from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally, for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, which is subject to the annual Division of Revenue Act for those years is set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (1).
- (3) Despite subsection (2), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division of the allocation for the next financial year, as set out in Column B of Schedules referred to in subsection (1), be transferred to a province as a direct charge against the National 40 Revenue Fund.
- (4) Other allocations to local government in respect of the budget year from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally are set out in Column A of the following Schedules:
 - (a) Schedules 6 and 6A contain specific-purpose allocations to local government; 45 and
 - (b) Schedule 7 contains allocations-in-kind to municipalities for designated programmes.
- (5) An envisaged division of allocations to local government from the national government's share of revenue anticipated to be raised nationally for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year, which is subject to the annual Division of Revenue Act for those years, is set out in Column B of the Schedules referred to in subsection (4).

(6) The Minister must, not later than 1 April 2004, publish in the Gazette required in terms of section 24(1), the share of each municipality in respect of the local government allocations referred to in subsections (4) and (5).

(7) Despite subsection (5), the Minister may, in respect of the next financial year and until the commencement of the Division of Revenue Act for that financial year, determine that an amount not exceeding 45 per cent of the envisaged division of the allocation for the next financial year, as set out in Column B of Schedules referred to in subsection (4), be transferred to a municipality as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund.

Transfers to entities 10

- **8.** (1) Where a national or provincial organ of state has to provide funds to a public entity for the provision of a municipal service or function after 30 June 2004, it must do so by transferring such funds to the relevant municipality directly, and in accordance with subsection (2), unless the National Treasury has directed otherwise.
- (2) A public entity may not receive funds directly or indirectly from a national or 15 provincial organ of state for the provision of a municipal service in a municipality after 30 June 2004, unless such public entity has by 30 June 2004 notified the National Treasury in writing that it acts as an external mechanism for a municipality in terms of Chapter 8 of the Municipal Systems Act. Where a public entity and relevant municipality fail to come to an agreement by the above date, the public entity and 20 municipality must inform the National Treasury when such an agreement will be completed.
- (3) The National Treasury may withhold the payment of any grant to a public entity if the public entity fails to comply with subsection (2).
- (4) A public entity that receives a grant, directly or indirectly, from a national or 25 provincial organ of state for the provision of a municipal service in a municipality must report to that municipality and to the National Treasury, within seven working days after the end of each month, the amount spent on such municipal service and for which it has received funds, or is entitled to reimbursement from the funds transferred to the municipality.
- (5) If a municipality fails to reimburse a public entity in terms of this section, the National Treasury may direct the withholding of funds due to the municipality, and reimburse the public entity directly.

Transfers not listed in Schedules

9. An allocation not listed in the Schedules referred to in section 7 may only be made 35 in terms of section 6 (3) or in terms of an adjustments appropriation, and must be published in the Gazette by the Minister before the transfer of any funds to a province or municipality, and must comply with such monitoring and reporting requirements as may be determined by the National Treasury.

Provincial infrastructure grant

10. A provincial treasury must, in respect of an allocation for provincial infrastructure listed in Schedule 4, submit to the National Treasury, not later than 1 April 2004, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, a plan on proposed spending for the budget year, the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year.

Municipal Infrastructure Grant

- 11. (1) Allocations for addressing backlogs in basic municipal infrastructure development and the carrying out of municipal services are set out in Schedule 6A.
- (2) Any allocation contemplated in subsection (1) which is intended, entirely or in part, for the construction, maintenance or rehabilitation of municipal infrastructure, must only be in terms of a policy framework, and allocations published in terms of 50 section 24(1).

30

40

- (3) A municipality must in respect of an allocation for the Municipal Infrastructure Grant listed in Schedule 6A, submit to the National Treasury with its budget in terms of section 5(7), by not later than 30 June 2004 or such other date as may be determined by the National Treasury, a three-year capital plan on proposed spending for the 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 municipal financial years. The National Treasury may direct additional conditions on the transfer of funds to a municipality failing to comply with this provision, including transferring via a district municipality or another organ of state.
- (4) The three-year capital plan of a municipality must include the following information, in a format determined by the National Treasury:
 - (i) how the municipality has prioritised the payment of commitments on the 10 previous consolidated municipal infrastructure and water capital grants as at 30 September 2003, to the extent that it will receive allocations directly from the Municipal Infrastructure Grant incur such payments;
 - (ii) projections on future operational costs for new or upgraded infrastructure;
 - (iii) operational budgets for maintenance and operating costs on existing 15 infrastructure; and
 - (iv) how a municipality's operational budget takes account of the future commitments.
- (5) A district municipality receiving the Municipal Infrastructure Grant must, in its budget and three-year capital plan, indicate all transfers and allocations-in-kind to local 20 municipalities. Transfers between district and local municipalities must be approved in both their budgets, and any allocations in-kind must comply with section 12.
- (6) Each recipient municipality must, not later than 20 working days after the end of each quarter after 1 July 2004, submit a report to the National Treasury, which provides details on the implementation of the capital budget, and any other details requested by the National Treasury as set out in the frameworks for municipal infrastructure grants.
- (7) The accounting officer for local government may, with the approval of the National Treasury, and after consultation with the responsible sector departments, make direct payments to creditors against the Municipal Infrastructure Grant allocation to a municipality for commitments incurred on previous consolidated municipal infrastructure and water capital grants as at 30 September 2003, if:
 - (i) the receiving municipality is unable, unwilling or fails to pay for such commitments; or

35

40

(ii) it is to fulfil contractual obligations entered into before 30 September 2003.

Transfer of assets to municipalities

12. (1) A transferring national officer or a transferring provincial officer may not make any transfer to a municipality, of assets or any other financial resource, including an allocation-in-kind or the future transfer of an asset, intended, entirely or in part, for the construction, installation, maintenance or rehabilitation of municipal infrastructure without—

- (a) a realistic estimate of the value of the asset and future potential liability, including reflection on the budget of the benefiting municipality of the associated operating costs;
- (b) a resolution of the municipal council that it will take transfer of such asset and future operating costs; 45
- (c) the written approval of the relevant treasury, in terms of a framework required in subsection (3).
- (2) The transferring national officer or the transferring provincial officer, as the case may be, must, within such period as may be determined by the National Treasury, report to the National Treasury on the actual transfers effected in respect of the allocations 50 contemplated in subsection (1).

(3) The Minister may, with the concurrence of the Minister responsible for local government, develop a framework for transfer of assets. To the extent that the framework affects the restructuring of water services or electricity distribution, the Minister must consult with the relevant Minister prior to the determination of the framework.

Municipal capacity building allocations

13. (1) Any transfer of an allocation, other than an allocation on the vote of the National Treasury, aimed at developing and improving municipal systems and the capacity of municipalities to perform functions assigned to them, may only be made in terms of a framework determined by the national accounting officer responsible for local government, in consultation with the National Treasury.

(2) The framework contemplated in subsection (1) must take into account integrated planning, performance management, financial management, budgeting and spatial planning considerations, and ensure that the capacity of a municipality is developed in measurable ways.

15

(3) The transferring national or provincial officer responsible for a municipal capacity building allocation must, in the annual report of the relevant department, indicate the extent to which the capacity of any municipality was improved by that allocation and the extent to which such allocation was spent on non-public providers providing services or assistance to a municipality.

20

CHAPTER 4

DUTIES OF ACCOUNTING OFFICERS AND TREASURIES

Duties of transferring national officer

14. (1) A transferring national officer must, not later than 14 days after this Act takes effect, certify to the National Treasury that-

25

- (a) allocation frameworks, conditions and monitoring provisions are reasonable and do not impose an undue administrative burden on benefiting provinces and municipalities;
- (b) funds will only be transferred after information required in terms of this Act from the transferring national officer has been provided;

30

- (c) funds will only be deposited by the transferring national officer into a primary bank account of a province or municipality; and
- (d) all other arrangements or requirements necessary for the transfer of an allocation have been complied with.
- (2) A transferring national officer who has not complied with subsection (1) must 35 transfer such funds as directed by the National Treasury.

(3) A transferring national officer must transfer all allocations to a province or municipality by depositing such funds into the primary bank account of the province or municipality. Before transferring the first allocation of any grant, the transferring national officer must take note of any notice in terms of subsection 19(2) from the 40 National Treasury outlining the details of the primary bank account for each province or municipality.

- (4) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, a transferring national officer must, in respect of any allocation, as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, not later than 20 days after the end of each month, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, submit to the National Treasury and the relevant executive authority, information for the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month, on-
 - (a) the amount of funds transferred to a province or municipality;
 - (b) the amount of funds delayed or withheld from any province or municipality 50 and the reasons for such delay or withholding;
 - the actual expenditure incurred by the province or municipality in respect of the allocations listed in Schedule 5, 6 and 6A; and
 - (d) such other issues as the National Treasury may determine.

- **Duties of transferring provincial officer** 15. A transferring provincial officer must, as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, and in respect of any allocation to be transferred to municipalities, within 15 days after the end of each month and in the format determined by the National Treasury, submit to the national transferring officer, the relevant treasury and executive authority responsible for that department, information on-(a) the amount of funds transferred to a municipality in the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month; the amount of funds delayed or withheld from any municipality in the month 10 reported on; (c) actual expenditure incurred in respect of that allocation in the month reported on and for the financial year up to the end of that month; and (d) such other issues as the National Treasury may determine. **Duties of receiving officer** 15 **16.** (1) The relevant receiving officer must, in respect of an allocation to be transferred (a) a province, and as part of the report contemplated in section 40(4)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, within 15 days after the end of each month, submit a report to the relevant provincial treasury, the relevant provincial 20 executive authority and the transferring national officer; and (b) a municipality, within 10 days after the end of each month, submit a report to the relevant transferring national or provincial officer. (2) The reports contemplated in subsection (1) must set out for that month and for the financial year up to the end of that month— 25 (a) the amount received by the province or municipality, as the case may be; (b) the amount of funds delayed or withheld from the province or municipality, as the case may be; (c) the actual expenditure by the province or municipality, as the case may be, in respect of allocations set out in the Schedules 5, 6 and 6A; 30 (d) the extent of compliance with the conditions of an allocation and with this Act; (e) an explanation for any material problems experienced or variations effected by the province or municipality, as the case may be, regarding an allocation which has been received and a summary of the steps taken to deal with such problems or the effect of such variations; and 35 (f) such other issues and information as the National Treasury may determine. (3) The accounting officer of a municipality must submit to the National Treasury, in writing-(a) immediately after the commencement of this Act, the details of the relevant municipality's primary bank account; and 40 immediately upon opening a new primary bank account, the details of that municipality's new primary bank account. (4) The receiving officer of a district municipality which intends to transfer to a local municipality, and vice versa, an allocation transferred to it in terms of this Act, or any portion of such transfer, may only effect such a transfer, provided all allocations are 45 reflected in both municipality's annual budgets by 1 July 2004, or if later, as approved
- by the National Treasury.
- (5) The Minister may prescribe additional duties for the receiving officer contemplated in subsection (4).

Duties of provincial accounting officers and treasuries

- **17.** (1) The provincial treasury must publish, with its annual budget or in the provincial *Gazette*, not later than 1 April 2004, the allocations per municipality for every allocation made by the province to a municipality in that province.
- (2) The MEC responsible for financial matters in the province must, in the publication contemplated in subsection (1), also indicate the envisaged division of the allocation contemplated in that subsection in respect of a municipality, for the next financial year and the 2006/07 financial year.
- (3) A provincial treasury must, within 22 days after the end of each month, and in the format determined by the National Treasury, and as part of its consolidated monthly 10 report, report on—
 - (a) actual transfers received by the province from national departments;
 - (b) actual expenditure on such allocations, excluding the allocations set out in Schedule 4, up to the end of that month;
 - (c) actual transfers made by the province to municipalities, and projections on 15 actual expenditure by municipalities on such allocations; and
 - (d) any problems of compliance with this Act, by transferring provincial officers and receiving officers, and the steps taken to deal with such problems.
- (4) The report contemplated in subsection (3) must, in respect of the provincial infrastructure allocation, include reports for each quarter and be in such format as may 20 be determined by the National Treasury.
- (5) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, a provincial treasury may, in accordance with any reporting framework determined by the National Treasury, make allocations to municipalities that were not published in terms of subsection (1).
- (6) The allocations contemplated in subsection (5) must be published in the provincial 25 *Gazette* before any transfers can be made.

Annual financial statements for 2004/05

- **18.** (1) The annual financial statements for the 2004/05 financial year of a department transferring any funds in respect of an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6, 6A, and 7, must, in addition to any requirements in terms of any other applicable law—
 - (a) indicate the total amount of that allocation transferred to a province or municipality;

30

- (b) indicate the funds, if any, that were withheld and the reasons for such withholding in respect of each province or municipality;
- (c) certify that all transfers to a province or municipality were deposited into the 35 primary bank account of a province or municipality;
- (d) indicate to what extent a province or municipality was monitored for compliance with the conditions of an allocation and the provisions of this Act;
- (e) indicate to what extent the allocation achieved its purpose and outputs;
- (f) indicate the funds, if any, utilised for the administration of the allocation, and 40 whether any portion of the allocation was retained by the transferring department for that purpose; and
- (g) indicate any non-compliance with this Act, and the steps taken to deal with such non-compliance.
- (2) The annual financial statements of a provincial department receiving grants in 45 respect of an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 6A, must, in addition to any requirements in terms of any other applicable legislation—
 - (a) indicate the total amount of all allocations received;
 - (b) indicate the total amount of actual expenditure on all allocations, except Schedule 4 allocations;
 - (c) certify that all transfers in terms of this Act to a province or municipality were deposited into the primary bank account of such province or municipality;

- (d) indicate to what extent a province or municipality met the conditions of such an allocation, and complied with the provisions of this Act;
- (e) indicate the extent to which the objectives of the allocation were achieved;and

5

- (f) contain such other information as the National Treasury may determine.
- (3) The annual financial statements and annual reports of a municipality for the 2004/05 financial year must be in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act.
- (4) The National Treasury may determine how transferring departments and receiving municipalities report on local government allocations on a quarterly basis to facilitate 10 the audit of allocations for both the national and municipal financial years.

CHAPTER 5

DUTIES OF NATIONAL TREASURY AND AUDITOR-GENERAL

Duties of National Treasury

- **19.** (1) The National Treasury must, together with the report contemplated in section 32(2) of the Public Finance Management Act, publish a report on actual transfers of all allocations listed in the Schedules referred to in section **7** or made in terms of section 9.
- (2) The National Treasury must, by 1 April 2004, submit a notice to all transferring national officers, details of the primary bank account of each province and municipality.
- (3) The Minister may, in writing, delegate any of the powers entrusted to the National 20 Treasury in terms of this Act, to the Director-General of the National Treasury.
- (4) The Director-General of the National Treasury may, in writing, delegate any of the powers referred to in subsection (3) to an official of the National Treasury.

Duties of Auditor-General

- **20.** (1) Without derogating from the powers and duties of the Auditor-General in 25 terms of the Constitution and any other law, the Auditor-General may, in the audit of financial statements on the allocations set out in Chapter 3 or in a special report to be submitted to Parliament, report on—
 - (a) the extent of compliance with this Act by transferring national officers, transferring provincial officers and receiving officers; 30
 - (b) whether there was compliance with the certification and reporting requirements of this Act;
 - (c) the evaluation of evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in monthly and annual reports contemplated in this Act;
 - (d) whether a transferring national officer or transferring provincial officer made 35 unauthorised transfers to any province or municipality or to any public, or other entity;
 - (e) whether the monitoring system of the transferring departments was in compliance with this Act;
 - (f) whether each allocation to a province or municipality was made in accordance 40 with this Act; and
 - (g) such other intergovernmental financial management matters as may be prescribed.
- (2) The internal audit unit of a department or municipality and its audit committee must establish procedures, systems and mechanisms to facilitate the external audit 45 contemplated in subsection (1).

CHAPTER 6

GENERAL

Delaying of payments

- **21.** (1) Subject to subsection (2), a transferring national officer may, after consultation with the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury, for a period not exceeding 30 days, delay the payment of an allocation in terms of Chapter 3, or any portion of such allocation, if—
 - (a) the province or municipality does not comply with the conditions to which the allocation is subject;
 - (b) the municipality is in serious or persistent material breach of the measures 10 contemplated in section 5(6) or 5(7); or
 - (c) expenditure on previous transfers reflects significant under-spending for which no satisfactory explanation is given.
- (2) The National Treasury may, after consultation with the relevant provincial treasury for a grant to that province, allow the transfer to be delayed for a period 15 exceeding 30 days if such delay will ensure compliance with the conditions to which an allocation is subject or will ensure significant spending on that allocation.
- (3) The transferring national officer must, in the monthly reports contemplated in this Act, inform the National Treasury of the steps taken to deal with the causes of the payment delay.

Withholding of payments

- **22.** (1) The National Treasury may, subject to section 216 of the Constitution, withhold the transfer of—
 - (a) an allocation set out in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 6A, or any portion of such allocation, if the province or municipality is in serious or persistent material 25 breach of the conditions to which the allocation is subject; or
 - (b) an allocation in terms of section 5, if the municipality is in serious or persistent material breach of the measures contemplated in section 5(7); or
- (c) an allocation in terms of section 9; if the transferring national officer has submitted to the province or municipality, as the 30 case may be, a written report, at least 21 days before such allocation is due to be transferred, setting out facts reflecting a serious or persistent material breach of the conditions to which the allocation is subject or upon receipt of audit reports for previous
- financial years which indicate serious or persistent material breach of this Act.

 (2) The Minister may, approve that an allocation, or any portion thereof, withheld 35 from a municipality in terms of subsection (1), be utilised to meet that municipality's outstanding statutory financial commitments.
- (3) The utilisation of money contemplated in subsection (2) is a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund.

Interim arrangements for reallocation

- 23. (1) A transferring national or provincial officer may, in the case of local and district municipalities, with the written consent of the National Treasury and after consultation with the affected municipalities, reallocate an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, from one municipality to another municipality within that district—
 - (a) if the reallocation is necessary to give effect to the division of functions within that district and to reduce the risk of under-spending; or
 - (b) if the affected district and local municipalities fail to agree on the performance of any municipal service.

5

20

- (2) A transferring national officer may, with the written consent of the National Treasury and after consultation with the affected provinces, reallocate the grant for the Comprehensive HIV and Aids grant, or a portion of such an allocation, from one province to another province, if the reallocation is necessary to shift funds from provinces spending less per month than as agreed with the transferring national officer at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) The National Treasury must publish in the Gazette particulars of any reallocation of an allocation in terms of subsection (1) or (2) before any transfer of funds to give effect to the re-allocation.

Spending in terms of purpose and subject to conditions

24.(1) The Minister must, with regard to an allocation referred to in Schedule 4, 5, 6, 6A, or 7, publish in the Gazette, by 1 April 2004, the conditions of, and any other information in respect of, the allocations. Such publication must also include all allocations per municipality for each of the Schedule 6, 6A, or 7 grants to local government.

(2) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, an allocation referred to in Schedule 4, 5, 6, 6A, or 7 may only be utilised for the purpose stipulated in the Schedules concerned and in accordance with the allocations or conditions published by the Minister in the Gazette. The Minister may publish after 1 April 2004 in terms of section 9, or to correct or revise conditions or allocations.

(3) The utilisation of an allocation set out in the Schedules for purposes other than those set out in the Schedules concerned, constitutes a breach of the measures established in terms of section 216(1) of the Constitution.

(4) Despite subsections (1) and (2), the National Treasury may authorise a province or municipality to retain and utilise such portion of the funds of an allocation set out in the 25 Schedules listed in section 7, which remains after the fulfilment of that allocation's purpose, and compliance with the conditions to which it is subject.

Transfers made in error

- 25.(1) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of an allocation to a province, in error, is regarded as not legally due to the province for the 30 purpose of its Revenue Fund.
- (2) A transfer contemplated in subsection (1) must be recovered without delay by the responsible transferring national officer.
- (3) The National Treasury may direct that the recovery contemplated in subsection (1) be effected by set-off against future transfers to the province, which would otherwise 35 become due in accordance with a payment schedule.
- (4) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of an allocation to a municipality, or a public entity in error, is regarded as not legally due to that municipality or public entity and must, within a reasonable period, be recovered by the responsible transferring national officer or transferring provincial officer.
- (5) The national accounting officer responsible for local government may direct that the recovery contemplated in subsection (4) be effected by set-off against transfers to the municipality concerned, which would otherwise become due in accordance with any payment schedule.

Transfers to municipalities with weak administrative capacity

- 26. (1) If the national accounting officer responsible for local government has reasonable grounds to believe that -
 - (a) a local municipality is not able to effectively administer an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, that officer may, for purposes of proper administration of the allocation, transfer such allocation, or portion 50 thereof, to the relevant district municipality or to another local municipality within the district, after consultation with the municipalities concerned; or

10

20

15

40

- (b) a district municipality is not able to effectively administer an allocation referred to in section 7, or a portion of such an allocation, that officer may, for purposes of proper administration of the allocation, transfer such allocation, or portion thereof, to a local municipality within the district, after consultation with the municipalities concerned.
- (2) Any allocation, or portion thereof, contemplated in subsection (1) must be administered by the district or local municipality to which it has been transferred, in accordance with any directions issued by the national accounting officer responsible for local government.
- (3) The national accounting officer responsible for local government must publish in 10 the Gazette particulars of the transfer of any allocation in terms of subsection (1).

Funds to follow transfer of functions or obligations

- 27. (1) Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, the transfer of functions or obligations from an organ of state in one sphere of government to an organ of state in another sphere of government or from one municipality to another municipality must 15 take place only with the prior written approval of the Minister and the Minister responsible for provincial and local government.
- (2) The transfer of functions or obligations contemplated in subsection (1) must, unless the Minister directs otherwise, include the transfer of funds available to the transferring organ of state or sphere of government for the purposes of performing such 20 transferred function or obligation.
- (3) No financial function or obligation of a national or provincial department may be imposed on a municipality without—
 - (a) that municipality's prior written acceptance by resolution of its council; and
 - (b) the prior written approval of the National Treasury.
- 25 (4) A province must utilise its own funds for any function or obligation arising from a transfer by that province, which is in conflict with sections (1) and (3).
- (5) Any liability arising from a determination of functions between a district and local municipality by a province in terms of section 84 or 85 of the Municipal Structures Act is a liability of that province and not of the national government. 30

Amendment of payment schedule and transfer mechanism

- 28. (1) Subject to subsection (2), a transferring national officer may, in respect of an allocation set out in Schedule 4, 5, 6 or 6A, after consultation with the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury, amend a payment schedule due to the underspending of funds or on the grounds of under-performance or non-compliance with the 35 conditions of an allocation.
- (2) The National Treasury may, in the interest of better debt and cash-flow management, or to deal with financial mismanagement, amend any payment schedule for an allocation listed in Schedule 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 6A after consultation with -
 - (a) the heads of a provincial treasury, in the case of a provincial allocation; and
 - (b) the accounting officer of the national department responsible for local government and with organised local government, in the case of a local government allocation.
- (3) A payment schedule revised in terms of subsection (1) or (2) must take reasonable account of the monthly spending commitments of provinces or municipalities, the revenue at the disposal of provinces or municipalities, and the minimisation of risk and debt servicing costs.
- (4) An amendment of a payment schedule in terms of subsection (2) prevails over any amendment made in terms of subsection (1).

Exemptions by National Treasury

29. (1) The National Treasury may, on application in writing by a transferring national or provincial officer, exempt in writing a transferring national or provincial officer from the duty to comply with reporting requirements or any other requirement regarding an allocation set out in a Schedule referred to in section 7 or envisaged in section 9: Provided that such officer satisfies the National Treasury that-

55

- (a) the duty cannot be complied with at that stage;
- (b) the allocation programme is properly designed; and
- (c) the accounting officer is taking steps to comply with the provisions of this Act.
- (2) Any exemption contemplated in subsection (1)—
 - (a) may only be granted if the accounting officer provides reasons why information was not included in respect of an allocation set out in a Schedule referred to in section 7; and
 - (b) must set out the conditions, if any, to which it is subject and must be published in the Gazette.

10

Non-compliance with Act constitutes financial misconduct

30. Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, any serious or persistent non-compliance with a provision of this Act, or the conditions, which an allocation in terms of this Act is subject to, constitutes financial misconduct. Any wilful act by a national transferring officer or provincial transferring officer to deposit funds in an account other than the primary account of a province or municipality is an offence, and such a person is, on conviction, liable to imprisonment or a fine as provided for in section 86 (1) of the Public Finance Management Act.

Liability for costs incurred in violation of principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations

- **31.** (1) An organ of state involved in an intergovernmental dispute regarding any provision of this Act or any financial or fiscal matter must, before approaching a court to resolve such dispute, make every effort to settle the dispute with the other organ of state concerned, including by making use of the structures established in terms of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act.
- (2) In the event that a dispute is referred back by a court in accordance with section 25 41(4) of the Constitution, due to the court not being satisfied that the organ of state approaching the court has complied with subsection (1), the expenditure incurred by that organ of state in approaching the court must be regarded as fruitless and wasteful.
- (3) The amount of any such fruitless and wasteful expenditure must, in terms of a prescribed procedure, be recovered without delay from the person who caused the organ of state not to comply with the requirements of subsection (1).

Acts performed before Act took effect

32. Despite anything to the contrary contained in any law, any act performed prior to 1 April 2004 or in accordance with any prescribed requirements in fulfilment of the objects of this Act is regarded as having been done in terms of the relevant provisions of 35 this Act.

Regulations

- 33. The Minister may, by notice in the Gazette, make regulations regarding—
 - (a) anything which must or may be prescribed in terms of this Act; and
 - (b) any matter which it is necessary to prescribe for the effective implementation 40 of the provisions and achievement of the objects of this Act.

Repeal of laws

- **34.** (1) Subject to subsection (2), the Division of Revenue Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003), is hereby repealed with effect from the date on which this Act takes effect.
- (2) The repeal of the Division of Revenue Act, 2003, does not affect any duty or 45 obligation set out in that Act, the execution of which is still outstanding.

Roll-overs from past conditional grants

35. (1) All provincial departments which received conditional grants during the 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04 financial years must report on actual spending against such grants, including spending on roll-overs in subsequent years on such grants, in their 2003/04 annual report, and to the relevant treasury by 31 May 2004.

5

10

20

- (2) A provincial department that transfers conditional grant/s to a municipality or public entity must submit a report on actual spending by that municipality or public entity to the relevant provincial treasury by 31 May 2004.
- (3) The relevant treasury must submit the report contemplated in subsection (1) to the National Treasury by 15 June 2004.
- (4) The Minister may, after consultation with a Minister responsible for an infrastructure conditional grant under spent in previous financial years, review such grant if a roll-over from such grant is projected to lead to significant under-spending on the amounts allocated in this Act for the 2004/05 financial year, and direct that any projected surplus be shifted to fund existing infrastructure budgets in that province, subject to the province passing an adjustments appropriation: Provided that the province will be responsible for funding any future commitments for that conditional grant that the province has failed to anticipate during the review, up to the maximum total of shifted funds.

Short title and commencement

36. This Act is called the Division of Revenue Act, 2004, and takes effect on 1 April 2004.

SCHEDULE 1

EQUITABLE DIVISION OF REVENUE ANTICIPATED TO BE RAISED NATIONALLY AMONG THE THREE SPHERES OF GOVERNMENT

	Column A		ın B	
Spheres of Government	2004/05	Forward Estimates		
	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
	R'000	R'000	R'000	
National ¹	201 255 191	222 158 431	243 300 820	
Provincial	159 971 402	173 851 535	186 391 726	
Local	7 677 546	8 643 341	9 364 940	
TOTAL	368 904 139	404 653 307	439 057 486	

^{1.} National share includes conditional grants to provincial and local spheres, debt service cost and the contingency reserve.

DETERMINATION OF EACH PROVINCE'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE PROVINCIAL SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY

(as a direct charge against the National Revenue Fund)

	Column A	Colur	nn B	
Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates		
	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
	R'000	R'000	R'000	
Eastern Cape	26 990 176	29 082 907	30 913 625	
Free State	10 551 267	11 378 197	12 103 992	
Gauteng	24 547 021	26 643 833	28 530 264	
KwaZulu-Natal	33 058 939	36 105 489	38 900 829	
Limpopo	21 788 865	23 730 291	25 496 547	
Mpumalanga	11 606 061	12 748 274	13 812 776	
Northern Cape	3 838 795	4 124 972	4 372 227	
North West	13 270 464	14 409 922	15 436 497	
Western Cape	14 319 814	15 627 650	16 824 969	
TOTAL	159 971 402	173 851 535	186 391 726	

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

Category Number Municipality R000		Column	Column A			
Restrict	r Years	MTEF Outer	2004/05			
Restern Cape	2006/07	2005/06	Allocation			
Resident	R'000	R'000	R'000	Municipality	Number	Category
B					CAPE	EASTERN (
B	174 216	155 976	161 075	Nelson Mandela		A
B	171210	133 770	101 073	1 Volson Mandela		
B	8 014					
B	9 884					
B	4 006					
B	15 144					
B	13 151					
B	10 741					
B	4 341					
DC10 Cacadu District Municipality 4 730 3 390 Total: Cacadu Municipalities 93 686 80 883 88	11 587			S .		
Total: Cacadu Municipalities	8 253					
B EC 12 Mbhashe 49 641 41 216 B EC 122 Mnquma 54 811 45 253 B EC 123 Great Kei 10 014 8 872 B EC 124 Amablathi 27 472 22 327 B EC 125 Buffalo City 192 698 168 569 B EC 126 Nggushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC 127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC 128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC 12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC 131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC 132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC 133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC 134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC 135 Instika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC 136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC 137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC 138 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC 41 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC 42 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC 43 Maletswai 6766 6 397 B EC 44 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC 4 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 D EC 151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC 152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC 153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC 154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC 155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC 156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 EC 157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC 5 OR. Tambo District Municipality 150 50 151 500 C DC 5 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 150 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 150 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 150 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 151 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 150 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 151 OR. Tambo District Municipality 151 209 EC 151 OR. Tambo District Municipal	2 251					
B EC122 Mnquma 54 811 45 253 B EC123 Great Kei 10 014 8 872 B EC124 Amahlathi 27 472 22 327 B EC125 Buffalo City 192 698 168 569 B EC126 Ngqushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC133 Inkisia Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC135 Emlahleni 26 659 21 5	87 372	80 883	93 686	nes	iu Municipalit	Total: Cacao
B EC123 Great Kei 10 014 8 872 B EC124 Amahlathi 27 472 22 327 B EC125 Buffalo City 192 698 168 569 B EC126 Ngqushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 66 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 4 4 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 529 24 517 B EC136 <td>41 678</td> <td>41 216</td> <td>49 641</td> <td>Mbhashe</td> <td>EC121</td> <td>В</td>	41 678	41 216	49 641	Mbhashe	EC121	В
B EC123 Great Kei 10 014 8 872 B EC124 Amahlathi 27 472 22 327 B EC125 Buffalo City 192 698 168 569 B EC126 Ngqushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 66 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 4 4 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 529 24 517 B EC136 <td>48 400</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Mnquma</td> <td></td> <td></td>	48 400			Mnquma		
B EC125 Buffalo City 192 698 168 569 B EC126 Ngqushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142	9 371	8 872	10 014	•	EC123	В
B EC126 Ngqushwa 20 213 16 549 B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13	23 682	22 327	27 472	Amahlathi	EC124	В
B EC127 Nkonkobe 24 982 22 460 B EC128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796	178 424	168 569	192 698	Buffalo City	EC125	В
B EC128 Nxuba 6 266 5 451 C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332	16 994	16 549	20 213	Ngqushwa	EC126	В
C DC12 Amatole District Municipality 87 653 73 036 Total: Amatole Municipalities 473 751 403 734 B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 <t< td=""><td>23 714</td><td>22 460</td><td>24 982</td><td>Nkonkobe</td><td>EC127</td><td>В</td></t<>	23 714	22 460	24 982	Nkonkobe	EC127	В
Total: Amatole Municipalities	5 756	5 451	6 266	Nxuba	EC128	В
B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba 8 589 8 306 B EC132 Tsolwana 9074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6950 6333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlol 39 182 33 23 34 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	80 214	73 036	87 653	Amatole District Municipality	DC12	C
B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221	428 232	403 734	473 751	ities	ole Municipali	Total: Amat
B EC132 Tsolwana 9 074 7 141 B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Sengu 28 045 24 788 B EC142 Sengu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221						
B EC133 Inkwanca 5 583 4 910 B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B	9 067					
B EC134 Lukhanji 26 289 24 311 B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B <t< td=""><td>7 161</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	7 161					
B EC135 Intsika Yethu 44 919 37 325 B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 <t< td=""><td>5 192</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	5 192					
B EC136 Emalahleni 26 659 21 519 B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B <	25 573			•		
B EC137 Engcobo 30 544 25 177 B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576	35 520					
B EC138 Sakhisizwe 11 398 10 142 C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 <	20 997					
C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality 94 387 82 964 Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796 B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754	25 588			-		
Total: Chris Hani Municipalities 257 441 221 796	10 685	-				
B EC141 Elundini 31 054 25 332 B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6766 6397 B EC144 Gariep 6950 6333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	89 205					_
B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	228 988	221 /96	25/ 441	Danties	Hani Municip	1 otai: Chris
B EC142 Senqu 28 045 24 788 B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	26 913	25 222	31.054	Flundini	EC141	D
B EC143 Maletswai 6 766 6 397 B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	26 275					
B EC144 Gariep 6 950 6 333 C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	6 869			•		
C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality 50 301 45 372 Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	6 749					
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities 123 117 108 221 B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	47 992			1		
B EC151 Mbizana 41 780 34 675 B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	114 798					
B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209		100 221	120 117	Apanvio.		204411
B EC152 Ntabankulu 25 903 21 574 B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	35 200	34 675	41 780	Mbizana	EC151	В
B EC153 Qaukeni 44 752 37 078 B EC154 Port St. Johns 30 252 24 930 B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	22 799					
B EC155 Nyandeni 51 184 42 576 B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	38 926			Qaukeni		В
B EC156 Mhlontlo 39 182 32 334 B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	24 114			•		В
B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	43 438	42 576	51 184	Nyandeni	EC155	В
B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo 71 146 57 754 C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality 184 659 151 209	33 480	32 334	39 182	Mhlontlo	EC156	В
1 2	55 092					
	159 493		184 659	•		C
	412 541	402 130	488 857	palities	ambo Municip	Total: O.R
P. FOOSIL Haringhale	20.00	25.215	22 502	II	EC051.1	D
B EC05b1 Umzimkhulu 32 603 26 815	28 089					
B EC05b2 Umzimvubu 78 134 65 279	64 636					
C DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipality 73 249 61 216	64 528			, , ,		
Total: Alfred Nzo Municipalities 183 986 153 309	157 253	153 309	183 986	pairties	1 Nzo Municip	Total: Alfre
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities 1 781 913 1 526 050	1 603 400	1 526 050	1 781 913	cipalities	rn Cape Munic	Total: Easte

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
FREE STAT	ΓE				
В	FS161	Letsemeng	12 357	10 787	11 857
В	FS162	Kopanong	16 906	16 051	17 927
В	FS163	Mohokare	17 044	13 166	11 867
C	DC16	Xhariep District Municipality	3 380	3 158	3 142
Total: Xhar	iep Municipal	ities	49 687	43 163	44 793
В	FS171	Naledi	11 948	9 097	9 198
В	FS172	Mangaung	204 286	143 829	148 678
В	FS173	Mantsopa	18 019	13 898	15 126
C	DC17	Motheo District Municipality	1 038	1 041	1 000
Total: Moth	eo Municipali	ities	235 291	167 864	174 002
В	FS181	Masilonyana	26 813	20 590	21 432
В	FS182	Tokologo	12 372	9 507	10 018
В	FS183	Tswelopele	21 556	16 634	14 502
В	FS184	Matjhabeng	121 457	92 220	98 847
В	FS185	Nala	30 437	25 684	28 369
C	DC18	Lejweleputswa District Municipality	1 658	1 066	1 000
Total: Lejw	eleputswa Mu	nicipalities	214 293	165 701	174 167
T.	F0101	9	20.552	22 500	25.000
В	FS191	Setsoto	39 563	32 588	35 808
В	FS192	Dihlabeng	26 752	23 944	26 824
В	FS193	Nketoana	26 140	20 207	17 669
В	FS194	Maluti-a-Phofung	131 286	103 763	88 036
B C	FS195 DC19	Phumelela The harmonia Bistrick Mariainelite	15 135	12 555	13 619
-		Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality ne Municipalities	13 622 252 499	13 248 206 305	12 897 194 853
Total: Than	o Morutsanya	ne Municipanties	252 499	200 305	194 653
В	FS201	Moqhaka	42 731	32 777	32 476
В	FS203	Ngwathe	39 052	29 383	29 616
В	FS204	Metsimaholo	21 751	19 492	21 780
В	FS205	Mafube	18 061	14 534	16 003
C	DC20	Northern Free State District Municipality	1 159	1 041	1 000
Total: North		e Municipalities	122 754	97 227	100 876
		• • • • •			
Total: Free	State Municip	palities	874 524	680 260	688 691

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	ı B
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	r Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
GAUTENG					
A		Ekurhuleni	305 515	425 108	485 023
A		City of Johannesburg	391 161	540 445	613 393
A		City of Tshwane	201 389	269 776	300 956
В	GT02b1	Nokeng tsa Taemane	7 326	9 787	10 945
В	CBLC2	Kungwini	14 986	21 587	24 145
С	CBDC2	Metsweding District Municipality	2 586	1 663	1 099
Total: Mets	weding Munic		24 897	33 036	36 189
В	GT421	Emfuleni	77 076	105 871	120 471
В	GT422	Midvaal	11 233	11 238	12 559
В	GT423	Lesedi	8 379	11 160	12 679
C	DC42	Sedibeng District Municipality	1 088	1 041	1 000
Total: Sedib	eng Municipa	lities	97 776	129 310	146 709
В	GT411	Mogale City	32 985	46 587	52 914
В	GT412	Randfontein	14 225	19 626	22 314
В	GT414	Westonaria	27 282	38 498	43 106
В	CBLC8	Merafong City	42 752	61 046	68 625
С	CBDC8	West Rand District Municipality	2 131	1 927	1 591
Total: West	Total: West Rand Municipalities		119 375	167 683	188 550
Total: Gaut	eng Municipal	lities	1 140 115	1 565 358	1 770 820

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Colum	
			2004/05 Allocation	MTEF Oute	
1				2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
KWAZULU	-NATAL				
A		eThekwini	392 243	474 524	525 556
В	KZ211	Vulamehlo	13 672	13 284	14 045
В	KZ212	Umdoni	4 904	6 709	7 345
В	KZ213	Umzumbe	19 114	27 012	29 134
В	KZ214	uMuziwabantu	11 610	14 643	15 676
В	KZ215	Ezingolweni	7 535	9 456	10 022
В	KZ216	Hibiscus Coast	15 394	22 771	24 794
С	DC21	Ugu District Municipality	38 680	52 554	57 432
Total: Ugu N	Aunicipalities	8	110 909	146 429	158 447
В	KZ221	uMshwathi	12 600	17 936	19 354
В	KZ222	uMngeni	7 822	9 489	9 866
В	KZ223	Mpofana	4 768	6 525	7 082
В	KZ224	Impendle	5 337	7 030	7 404
В	KZ225	Msunduzi	74 873	86 475	91 769
В	KZ226	Mkhambathini	7 472	10 863	11 682
В	KZ227	Richmond	8 927	10 473	11 211
C	DC22	uMgungundlovu District Municipality	20 830	28 040	32 068
Total: uMgu	ingundlovu N	1 unicipalities	142 629	176 832	190 437
В	KZ232	Emnambithi/Ladysmith	18 537	24 895	26 677
В	KZ232	Indaka	11 519	16 102	17 278
В	KZ234	Umtshezi	7 714	8 663	8 762
В	KZ235	Okhahlamba	13 082	18 981	20 346
В	KZ236	Imbabazane	11 658	16 529	17 634
C	DC23	Uthukela District Municipality	32 937	45 274	49 712
Total·Uthuk	ela Municipa		95 448	130 443	140 409
Totali Ctiful	em municipa	integ	28 116	100 110	110 102
В	KZ241	Endumeni	4 523	5 996	6 594
В	KZ242	Nguthu	14 919	19 830	20 975
В	KZ244	Msinga	22 142	25 321	27 149
В	KZ245	Umvoti	16 009	14 790	15 818
C	DC24	Umzinyathi District Municipality	36 259	42 893	45 847
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities		93 853	108 830	116 384	
- June Cinki			70 030	100 000	110 004
В	KZ252	Newcastle	39 663	50 828	55 422
В	KZ252	Utrecht	4 089	5 231	5 528
В	KZ254	Dannhauser	9 727	13 412	14 326
C	DC25	Amajuba District Municipality	6 452	9 053	10 049
	uba Municipa		59 932	78 525	85 326

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{eq:chedule 3}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	В
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	r Years
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
В	KZ261	eDumbe	8 157	11 449	12 356
В	KZ262	uPhongolo	12 960	18 326	19 622
В	KZ263	Abaqulusi	15 081	20 417	21 804
В	KZ265	Nongoma	17 067	23 590	25 030
В	KZ266	Ulundi	19 729	26 365	27 710
C	DC26	Zululand District Municipality	41 824	56 476	61 108
Total: Zulul	and Municipa	lities	114 818	156 623	167 630
_					
В	KZ271	Umhlabuyalingana	14 692	19 404	20 869
В	KZ272	Jozini	16 944	24 414	26 310
В	KZ273	The Big 5 False Bay	4 879	6 391	6 775
В	KZ274	Hlabisa	13 221	18 822	20 211
В	KZ275	Mtubatuba	5 225	5 801	5 898
C	DC27	Umkhanyakude District Municipality	36 943	48 377	51 422
Total: Umkl	nanyakude Mi	unicipalities	91 904	123 210	131 485
В	KZ281	Mbonambi	9 587	13 739	14 777
В	KZ282	uMhlathuze	35 308	47 731	51 036
В	KZ283	Ntambanana	6 554	8 463	8 894
В	KZ284	Umlalazi	20 246	28 763	30 730
В	KZ285	Mthonjaneni	7 294	10 095	10 799
В	KZ286	Nkandla	14 401	19 567	20 897
С	DC28	uThungulu District Municipality	25 676	38 611	42 443
Total: uThu	ngulu Munici	2 1 7	119 065	166 969	179 577
-	******			40.44	
В	KZ291	eNdondakusuka	16 727	18 642	19 513
В	KZ292	KwaDukuza	21 101	19 016	20 481
В	KZ293	Ndwedwe	17 722	21 848	23 444
В	KZ294	Maphumulo	15 592	17 337	18 488
C Totale Homel	DC29 oe Municipalit	Ilembe District Municipality	31 945 103 086	37 192 114 035	41 192 123 119
1 otar: Hemo	e Municipalit	ies	103 080	114 035	123 119
В	KZ5a1	Ingwe	13 407	16 915	18 099
В	KZ5a2	Kwa Sani	4 174	4 419	4 645
В	KZ5a3	Matatiele	3 381	4 167	4 479
В	KZ5a4	Kokstad	8 105	11 424	12 737
В	KZ5a5	Ubuhlebezwe	12 269	16 578	17 876
C	DC43	Sisonke District Municipality	19 998	27 646	31 173
Total: Sison	ke Municipali	1 ,	61 334	81 147	89 008
Total. Vr	Zulu Notal N	unicinalities	1 205 221	1 757 547	1 007 270
rotai: Kwaz	Zulu-Natal M	umcipanties	1 385 221	1 757 567	1 907 379

^{1.} All allocations are for the national financial year

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A		Column B MTEF Outer Years	
			2004/05			
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
LIMPOPO						
В	NP03A2	Makhuduthamaga	23 870	35 183	37 862	
В	NP03A3	Fetakgomo	10 666	14 218	15 178	
В	CBLC3	Greater Marble Hall	13 943	18 581	19 461	
В	CBLC4	Greater Groblersdal	24 452	32 716	33 910	
В	CBLC5	Greater Tubatse	25 397	36 784	39 410	
C	CBDC3	Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	65 791	89 332	96 360	
,		ne Cross Boundary Municipalities	164 119	226 813	242 180	
			3,123			
В	NP04A1	Maruleng	11 285	16 044	17 188	
В	CBLC6	Bushbuckridge	60 176	72 187	74 065	
С	CBDC4	Bohlabela District Municipality	42 162	56 142	61 259	
Total: Bohla	bela Municip		113 623	144 374	152 512	
	•					
В	NP331	Greater Giyani	36 820	41 134	40 925	
В	NP332	Greater Letaba	24 710	35 263	37 601	
В	NP333	Greater Tzaneen	37 926	55 937	60 141	
В	NP334	Ba-Phalaborwa	16 491	18 701	18 851	
С	DC33	Mopani District Municipality	48 144	71 518	81 999	
Total: Mopa	ni Municipali		164 092	222 554	239 517	
В	NP341	Musina	7 302	8 647	9 345	
В	NP342	Mutale	13 470	15 051	15 332	
В	NP343	Thulamela	56 884	76 720	81 421	
В	NP344	Makhado	50 831	67 751	70 483	
C	DC34	Vhembe District Municipality	56 494	82 918	95 225	
Total: Vhem	be Municipal	lities	184 982	251 086	271 806	
В	NP351	Blouberg	19 752	24 592	26 134	
В	NP352	Aganang	14 491	21 308	22 887	
В	NP353	Molemole	12 807	18 674	20 027	
В	NP354	Polokwane	65 244	97 541	107 801	
В	NP355	Lepelle-Nkumpi	21 432	31 378	33 699	
C	DC35	Capricorn District Municipality	30 344	45 369	52 128	
Total: Capri	icorn Municip	palities	164 070	238 862	262 676	
В	NP361	Thabazimbi	13 786	17 074	18 944	
В	NP362	Lephalale	16 350	23 134	25 792	
В	NP364	Mookgapong	4 945	6 844	7 538	
В	NP365	Modimolle	10 535	14 877	16 582	
В	NP366	Bela Bela	8 159	9 990	11 155	
В	NP367	Mogalakwena	38 425	57 568	63 654	
C	DC36	Waterberg District Municipality	5 668	3 644	2 409	
Total: Water	rberg Munici _l	palities	97 868	133 131	146 075	
m . 1 T.	36 11	11.1	000	1.01 (.000	4.044.	
Total: Limp	opo Municipa	alities	888 752	1 216 820	1 314 766	

 $^{{\}it 1.\,All\,\, allocations\,\, are\, for\,\, the\,\, national\, financial\,\, year}$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	ı B
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	r Years
•			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
MPUMALA	NGA				
В	MP301	Albert Luthuli	31 424	39 027	42 460
В	MP302	Msukaligwa	17 929	22 819	25 735
В	MP303	Mkhondo	17 478	25 835	28 632
В	MP304	Seme	12 628	17 245	19 188
В	MP305	Lekwa	14 131	18 365	20 743
В	MP306	Dipaleseng	7 911	10 276	11 312
В	MP307	Govan Mbeki	34 813	47 120	53 376
C	DC30	Gert Sibande District Municipality	3 368	2 165	1 431
Total: Gert	Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities		139 681	182 851	202 877
В	MP311	Delmas	8 385	11 494	12 879
В	MP312	Emalahleni	34 422	48 139	54 242
В	MP313	Steve Tshwete	17 375	20 937	23 655
В	MP314	Highlands	7 545	8 730	9 640
В	MP315	Thembisile	35 784	51 536	56 020
В	MP316	Dr JS Moroka	34 902	49 939	54 014
C	DC31	Nkangala District Municipality	1 299	1 050	1 003
Total: Nkan	gala Municipa	alities	139 713	191 825	211 453
В	MP321	Thaba Chweu	18 600	19 604	21 832
В	MP322	Mbombela	63 561	91 405	99 964
В	MP323	Umjindi	10 690	12 936	14 397
В	MP324	Nkomazi	47 021	71 713	79 300
C	DC32	Ehlanzeni District Municipality	5 599	3 605	2 389
Total: Ehlar	nzeni Municipa	alities	145 470	199 263	217 881
Total: Mpur	nalanga Muni	cipalities	424 864	573 938	632 211

 $^{1. \} All \ allocations \ are for \ the \ national \ financial \ year$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column		
			2004/05	MTEF Outer	r Years	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000	
NORTHER	N CAPE					
В	NC01B1	Gamagara	5 240	3 944	3 050	
В	NW1a1	Moshaweng	18 496	16 461	17 566	
В	CBLC1	Ga-Segonyana	14 090	15 287	16 507	
C	CBDC1	Kgalagadi District Municipality	16 590	14 808	14 230	
		order Municipalities	54 415	50 499	51 353	
Total Islan	iguai eross De	rumcipanties	51113	20 155	01 000	
В	NC061	Richtersveld	3 342	2 795	2 942	
В	NC062	Nama Khoi	7 745	6 365	7 032	
В	NC064	Kamiesberg	4 183	3 128	2 762	
В	NC065	Hantam	6 710	5 048	4 784	
В	NC066	Karoo Hoogland	5 132	3 868	3 616	
В	NC067	Khai-Ma	3 439	3 354	3 572	
C	DC6	Namakwa District Municipality	2 520	1 930	1 397	
~	kwa Municipa		33 071	26 486	26 106	
Total: I tallie	ikwa Municipa	unites	33 071	20 400	20 100	
В	NC071	Ubuntu	6 919	5 465	4 868	
В	NC072	Umsobomvu	8 320	6 550	7 049	
В	NC072	Emthanjeni	9 403	6 980	7 431	
В	NC074	Kareeberg	4 715	3 530	3 201	
В	NC074 NC075	Renosterberg	4 594	3 412	3 502	
В	NC075 NC076	Thembelihle	4 582	3 387	3 536	
В	NC070 NC077	Siyathemba	6 340	4 740	4 517	
В	NC077 NC078	Siyancuma	10 448	8 363	9 078	
C	DC7	Karoo District Municipality	3 751	2 909	1 993	
Ü	o Municipaliti		59 073	45 335	45 174	
Total: Karo	о министрани	es	39 0/3	45 555	45 174	
В	NC081	Mier	3 412	2 848	2 938	
В	NC081 NC082	Kai ! Garib	12 099	13 219	14 577	
В	NC082 NC083	//Khara Hais	10 087	8 375	9 408	
В	NC083 NC084	! Kheis	4 796	4 362	4 639	
В	NC084 NC085	Tsantsabane	7 118	6 142	4 639 6 676	
В	NC085 NC086		5 017	3 721	3 037	
С	DC8	Kgatelopele Siyanda District Municipality	4 246	3 714	3 584	
C Total: Sivan	da Municipali	1 1	46 775	42 381	3 3 8 4 44 8 5 9	
Total: Siyan	da Municipan	lues	46 7/5	42 361	44 859	
В	NC091	Sol Plaatje	29 126	32 537	35 981	
В	NC091 NC092	Thusanang	12 119	9 986	10 827	
В	NC092 NC093	Magareng	8 157	6 181	6 625	
В В	CBLC7	Magareng Phokwane	8 157 16 800	14 138	15 223	
С	DC9			2 342		
)		Frances Baard District Municipality	2 556		1 868	
1 otal: Franc	es Baard Mu	nicipanues	68 758	65 184	70 523	
Total: North	ern Cape Mu	nicipalities	262 092	229 886	238 015	

 $^{1. \} All \ allocations \ are for the \ national \ financial \ year$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A		
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
NORTH W	EST				
В	NW371	Moretele	24 696	37 166	40 721
В	NW372	Madibeng	61 973	70 577	77 718
В	NW373	Rustenburg	58 978	79 234	87 839
В	NW374	Kgetlengrivier	8 495	9 248	10 194
В	NW375	Moses Kotane	43 764	51 250	55 503
С	DC37	Bojanala Platinum District Municipality	9 209	2 986	1 805
Total: Bojar	nala Platinum	Municipalities	207 115	250 461	273 779
D.	NW 201	D. d.	17.000	16 407	17.010
В	NW381	Ratlou	17 982	16 407	17 212
В	NW382	Tswaing	14 010	15 672	16 636
В	NW383	Mafikeng	26 209	29 149	31 579
В	NW384	Ditsobotla	14 322	18 419	19 815
В	NW385	Zeerust	17 247	20 079	21 234
C	DC38	Central District Municipality	51 813	53 910	59 503
Total: Cent	ral Municipali	ities	141 583	153 637	165 979
D.	NIW201	V	18 712	15 848	16 744
B B	NW391 NW392	Kagisano Naledi	6 849	7 845	8 579
В	NW392 NW393	Mamusa	8 100	8 194	8 782
В					27 938
В	NW394	Greater Taung	30 524	26 667	27 938 3 877
	NW395	Molopo Lekwa-Teemane	4 133	3 745	
B C	NW396 DC39		5 980 37 699	7 203 35 189	7 785 39 266
~		Bophirima District Municipality	37 699 111 996	104 691	
1 otai: Bopn	irima Municij	panties	111 996	104 691	112 971
В	NW401	Ventersdorp	9 710	10 506	11 512
В	NW401 NW402	Potchefstroom	15 128	18 065	20 425
В	NW402 NW403	Klerksdorp	53 496	71 220	80 967
В	NW403 NW404	Maquassi Hills	13 421	15 743	17 447
C	DC40	Southern District Municipality	13 421	1 044	1 000
Total: South	hern Municipa		92 999	116 579	131 350
Total. South	nern wrumerpa	unucs	32 999	110 3/9	131 330
Total: North	h West Munici	inalities	553 692	625 368	684 079
TOTAL MOTE	u vvest mulle	panues	333 092	043 300	004 079

 $^{1. \} All \ allocations \ are for the \ national \ financial \ year$

SCHEDULE 3 $\label{thm:condition}$ DETERMINATION OF EACH MUNICIPALITY'S EQUITABLE SHARE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPHERE'S SHARE OF REVENUE RAISED NATIONALLY 1

			Column A	Column	
			2004/05	MTEF Oute	
			Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
Category	Number	Municipality	R'000	R'000	R'000
WESTERN	CAPE				
A		City of Cape Town	205 778	275 550	311 970
В	WC011	Matzikama	6 316	6 970	7 809
В	WC012	Cederberg	5 061	6 662	7 397
В	WC013	Bergrivier	4 014	5 033	5 688
В	WC014	Saldanha Bay	4 443	6 240	7 148
В	WC015	Swartland	6 997	6 582	7 304
C	DC1	West Coast District Municipality	1 790	1 634	1 395
Total: West	Coast Munici		28 621	33 121	36 741
D	WGOOO	W. 1	0.020	10.016	12 122
В	WC022	Witzenberg	8 939	10 816	12 123
В	WC023	Drakenstein Stellenbosch	13 366	16 347	18 488
B B	WC024 WC025		8 153 13 174	10 590 14 536	11 976 16 331
В	WC025 WC026	Breede Valley Breede River Winelands	8 286	10 103	11 508
C	DC2	Boland District Municipality	2 493	2 250	1 807
-	d Municipali		54 411	64 643	72 233
Total. Bolar	u wumerpan		34 411	04 043	12 233
В	WC031	Theewaterskloof	9 317	11 466	12 952
В	WC032	Overstrand	4 921	6 844	7 839
В	WC033	Cape Agulhas	2 335	2 748	3 136
В	WC034	Swellendam	4 042	4 432	4 874
С	DC3	Overberg District Municipality	1 053	1 046	1 003
Total: Over	berg Municipa	alities	21 668	26 537	29 805
В	WC041	Kannaland	4 189	5 048	5 474
В	WC041 WC042	Langeberg	3 916	4 521	5 127
В	WC042 WC043	Mossel Bay	4 980	6 903	7 916
В	WC044	George	10 488	14 734	16 783
В	WC045	Oudtshoorn	5 762	7 859	8 936
В	WC047	Plettenberg Bay	3 364	4 378	4 984
В	WC048	Knysna	4 629	6 342	7 206
С	DC4	Eden District Municipality	3 225	2 766	2 148
Total: Eden	Municipalitie		40 554	52 551	58 574
D	WC051	Laboration	2.404	2.400	0.500
В	WC051	Laingsburg Prince Albert	2 484	2 408	2 529
B B	WC052		3 025	2 970 5 305	3 123
D C	WC053	Beaufort West	4 089		5 951
Total: Cont	DC5 al Karoo Mu	Central Karoo District Municipality	5 743 15 341	5 010 15 694	4 655 16 258
Total. Celli	ai Kai 00 Wiu	micipanues	13 341	13 074	10 230
Total: West	ern Cape Mur	nicinalities	366 373	468 095	525 580
	cupe mu		200 273	100 075	520 000
National To	tal		7 677 546	8 643 341	9 364 941

 $^{1. \} All \ allocations \ are for \ the \ national \ financial \ year$

SCHEDULE 4

36 911 4 801 149 4 801 149 127 566 92 517 554 039 192 373 374 203 458 043 1 866 094 727 835 49 791 55 524 50 143 28 355 15 777 40 313 20 648 **300 000** 44 757 54 852 54 363 41 069 62 564 323 278 **520 180** 742 057 242 678 407 745 870 486 729 464 316 596 201 733 354 373 252 987 6 873 72 411 2006/07 Forward Estimates Column B 21 088 5 727 46 270 41 786 23 629 13 148 33 594 17 206 **250 000** 353 022 432 116 1 760 465 686 637 46 973 127 566 92 517 554 039 192 373 54 363 62 564 323 278 42 224 51 747 34 822 121 380 675 330 220 921 369 777 787 803 285 533 180 529 321 135 228 847 72 411 41 069 868 099 529 386 520 180 2005/06 37 016 33 428 18 903 10 518 26 875 13 765 200 000 97 464 93 643 560 778 180 629 51 805 41 808 34 444 46 351 327 210 272 036 384 165 384 165 11 727 736 619 462 46 878 41 427 42 105 35 109 35 109 38 043 16 870 4 582 609 002 199 281 332 292 706 485 593 328 255 169 159 314 288 366 205 125 1 273 005 434 132 Column A Allocation 2004/05 GENERAL AND NATIONALLY ASSIGNED FUNCTION ALLOCATIONS TO PROVINCES Gauteng KwaZulu-Natal Gauteng KwaZulu-Natal Gauteng KwaZulu-Natal Province KwaZulu-Natal North West Western Cape Northern Cape Northern Cape Northern Cape Northern Cape Western Cape Western Cape Western Cape Eastern Cape Eastern Cape Mpumalanga Mpumalanga Mpumalanga Mpumalanga Eastern Cape Eastern Cape North West North West North West Free State Free State Free State Free State ododui impopo impopo impopo TOTAL TOTAL FOTAL Gauteng To support the training and development of Nationally assigned function grant Nationally assigned function grant Nationally assigned function grant General conditional grant to Type of Allocation to provinces to provinces to provinces provinces To fund the construction and maintenance of provincial infrastructure like roads, school buildings, health facilities and To enhance the provision of support services to promote and facilitate Fo fund tertiary health services Purpose agricultural development agriculture infrastructure health professionals Comprehensive Agriculture Support (b) Health Professions Training and (a) National Tertiary Services Grant Name of Allocation Provincial Infrastructure Grant Development Grant Programme Grant Agriculture (Vote 26) Vote Treasury (Vote 8) (Vote 16) National Health

					Column A	Column B	ın B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
,	i			į	R'000	R'000	R'000
Agriculture	Land Care Programme Grant: Poverty	To address the degradation of	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	3 500	8 000	8 200
(Vote 26)	Relief and Infrastructure Development	natural/agricultural resources and improve		Free State	2 000	2 000	2 500
		the socio-economic status and food security		Gauteng	1 600	2 000	2 500
		of rural communities		KwaZulu-Natal	4 000	8 000	8 500
				Limpopo	ı	5 000	5 500
				Mpumalanga	5 500	5 500	000 9
				Northern Cape	2 000	2 000	2 500
				North West	5 000	2 000	5 500
				Western Cape	3 500	2 500	3 000
				TOTAL	27 100	40 000	44 500
Education	(a) Primary School Nutrition Programme	To improve the nutrition status of children, Conditional grant	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	652 LL1	194 288	233 882
(Vote 15)	Grant	specifically to enhance active learning		Free State	49 100	53 817	64 784
		capacity		Gauteng	75 730	83 006	99 921
				KwaZulu-Natal	181 420	198 849	239 372
				Limpopo	153 125	167 836	202 039
				Mpumalanga	64 0 19	70 235	84 549
				Northern Cape	22 469	24 628	29 647
				North West	72 401	79 357	95 529
				Western Cape	36 617	40 135	48 313
				TOTAL	832 200	912 151	1 098 036
	(b) HIV and Aids (Life Skills Education)	To promote HIV and Aids and life skills	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	22 244	23 579	24 993
	Grant	education in primary and secondary schools		Free State	7 715	8 1 7 8	8998
				Gauteng	17 487	18 536	19 648
				KwaZulu-Natal	29 188	30 938	32 795
				Limpopo	19 415	20 580	21 815
				Mpumalanga	9 772	10 358	10 980
				Northern Cape	2 186	2 317	2 456
				North West	10 029	10 631	11 269
				Western Cape	10 543	11 176	11 847
				TOTAL	128 579	136 293	144 471

					Column A	Column B	ın B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
					R.000	R'000	R'000
Health	(a) Hospital Revitalisation Grant	To transform and modernise infrastructure Conditional grant	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	116 354	121 008	146 291
(Vote 16)		and equipment in hospitals in line with		Free State	52 370	54 466	71 060
		national policy		Gauteng	155 126	232 870	204 313
		•		KwaZulu-Natal	178 054	190 292	220 883
				Limpopo	106 463	110 722	141 093
				Mpumalanga	68 292	71 025	92 662
				Northern Cape	57 135	59 421	77 524
				North West	92 845	866 86	110 832
				Western Cape	85 217	88 625	115 626
				TOTAL	911 826	1 027 427	1 180 284
	(b) Comprehensive HIV and Aids Grant	To enable the health sector to develop an	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	026 86	159 005	218 021
		effective response to the HIV and Aids		Free State	696 69	100 874	142 265
		epidemic and other matters		Gauteng	134 231	185 048	252 695
				KwaZulu-Natal	186 348	251 468	344 304
				Limpopo	77 430	125 899	175 861
				Mpumalanga	53 840	81 392	107 479
				Northern Cape	31 881	48 050	68 603
				North West	70 981	100 921	142 316
				Western Cape	57 962	82 451	115 670
				TOTAL	781 612	1 135 108	1 567 214
	(c) Integrated Nutrition Programme Grant	To implement integrated nutrition activities Conditional grant	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	23 933	26 316	-
		aimed at improving the nutritional status of		Free State	969 9	7 296	1
		all South Africans		Gauteng	10 307	11 333	1
				KwaZulu-Natal	24 513	26 954	1
				Limpopo	20 320	22 344	1
				Mpumalanga	8 713	9 581	1
				Northern Cape	3 000	3 299	-
				North West	6 987	10 981	1
				Western Cape	4 809	5 288	-
				TOTAL	112 218	123 392	•

					Column A	Column B	ın B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
					R'000	R'000	R'000
	(d) Hospital Management and Quality	To transform hospital management and	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	19 529	24 531	26 003
	Improvement Grant	improve quality of care in line with		Free State	13 055	13 393	14 197
	•	national policy		Gauteng	20 776	18 510	19 621
		•		KwaZulu-Natal	20 065	23 778	25 204
				Limpopo	15 388	17 457	18 505
				Mpumalanga	12 833	12 340	13 081
				Northern Cape	10 490	10 083	10 688
				North West	12 713	12 642	13 400
				Western Cape	16 983	17 608	18 664
				TOTAL	141 832	150 342	159 363
Housing	(a) Housing Subsidy Grant	To finance subsidies under the national	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	006 869	569 448	603 615
(Vote 29)		housing programme		Free State	385 641	390 547	413 980
				Gauteng	1 117 463	1 313 528	1 392 340
				KwaZulu-Natal	748 463	783 466	830 474
				Limpopo	369 818	389 598	412 974
				Mpumalanga	296 457	314 620	333 497
				Northern Cape	89 442	78 299	82 997
				North West	421 378	458 406	485 910
				Western Cape	446 035	447 492	474 341
				TOTAL	4 473 597	4 745 404	5 030 128
	(b) Human Settlement Grant and	To fund projects aimed at improving the	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	11 660	14 697	15 579
	Redevelopment grant	quality of the environment by identifying		Free State	9 010	10 079	10 684
		dysfunctionalities in human settlements		Gauteng	22 260	33 900	35 934
				KwaZulu-Natal	27 560	20 220	21 433
				Limpopo	11 660	10 055	10 658
				Mpumalanga	7 420	8 120	8 607
				Northern Cape	3 180	2 021	2 142
				North West	8 480	11 831	12 541
				Western Cape	14 310	11 549	12 243
				TOTAL	115 540	122 472	129 821

					Column A	Column B	ın B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Stimates
					Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
					$\mathbf{R}.000$	R'000	R'000
Provincial and	(a) Local Government Capacity Building	To assist municipalities to build their	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	35 184	1	1
Local	Fund Grant	institutional capacity and improve their		Free State	31 050	1	•
Government		systems for sustainable service delivery		Gauteng	15 050	ı	1
(Vote 5)				KwaZulu-Natal	33 050	ı	•
				Limpopo	20 100	ı	•
				Mpumalanga	22 050	1	1
				Northern Cape	22 675	1	1
				North West	21 250	•	•
				Western Cape	20 050	1	•
				TOTAL	654 027		•
	(b) Provincial Project Management	To provide support to manage the	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	6916	077 6	10 356
	Capacity for Municipal Infrastructure Grant implementation of MIG on behalf of the	implementation of MIG on behalf of the		Free State	3 321	3 539	3 751
	(MIG)	Department to ensure sustainability of MIG		Gauteng	5 664	6 035	6 397
		projects		KwaZulu-Natal	8 353	8 900	9 434
				Limpopo	4 198	4 472	4 740
				Mpumalanga	2 238	2 599	2 755
				Northern Cape	1 843	1 963	2 082
				North West	2 439	2 542	2 694
				Western Cape	3 508	3 738	3 962
				TOTAL	40 733	43 558	46 171
Social	(a) HIV and Aids (Community-Based Care) To provide social welfare services to	To provide social welfare services to	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	680 L	7 514	296 2
Development	Grant	orphans and vulnerable children who are		Free State	9 825	10 415	11 040
(Vote 19)		infected and affected by HIV and Aids		Gauteng	10 315	10 934	11 590
				KwaZulu-Natal	12 773	13 540	14 352
				Limpopo	4 634	4 912	5 207
				Mpumalanga	10 456	11 084	11 749
				Northern Cape	3 930	4 165	4 415
				North West	8 070	8 554	290 6
				Western Cape	3 088	3 273	3 469
				TOTAL	70 180	74 391	78 854

					Column A	Column B	nn B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	Province	2004/05	Forward Estimates	Estimates
					Allocation	90/2007	2006/07
					R'000	R'000	R'000
	(b) Child Support Extension Grant	To fund extension of child support grant to	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	902 977	1 706 997	2 296 777
		eligible children between ages 7 to 14		Free State	240 558	454 754	611 875
		years, phased over three years, and to cover		Gauteng	220 490	416 816	560 830
		associated administrative and payment		KwaZulu-Natal	780 247	1 474 988	1 984 607
		costs		Limpopo	573 943	1 084 987	1 459 858
				Mpumalanga	260 013	491 531	661 359
				Northern Cape	65 272	123 392	166 023
				North West	416 186	786 763	1 058 595
				Western Cape	190 314	359 772	484 076
				TOTAL	3 650 000	000 006 9	9 284 000
	(c) Food Emergency Relief Grant	To provide food relief to vulnerable	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	94 133	94 133	99 781
		individuals and households		Free State	37 334	37 334	39 574
				Gauteng	27 904	27 904	29 578
				KwaZulu-Natal	68 185	68 185	72 276
				Limpopo	61 146	61 146	64 815
				Mpumalanga	27 651	27 651	29 310
				Northern Cape	866 6	866 6	10 598
				North West	41 615	41 615	44 112
				Western Cape	20 034	20 034	21 236
				TOTAL	388 000	388 000	411 280
Sport and	Mass Sport and Recreation Participation	To fund the promotion of mass	Conditional grant	Eastern Cape	1 000	2 670	4 340
Recreation South	Recreation South Programme Grant	participation within disadvantaged		Free State	1 000	2 670	4 340
Africa		communities in a selected number of sport		Gauteng	1 000	2 670	4 340
(Vote 20)		activities and the empowerment of		KwaZulu-Natal	1 000	2 670	4 340
		communities to manage these activities		Limpopo	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Mpumalanga	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Northern Cape	1 000	2 640	4 280
				North West	1 000	2 670	4 340
				Western Cape	1 000	2 670	4 340
				TOTAL	000 6	24 000	39 000

RECURRENT GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

				Column A	Column B	B
Vote	Name of Allocation	Purpose	Type of Allocation	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
				Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R'000	R'000	R'000
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	Provincial and Local Municipal Systems Improvement Grant Government To assist municipalities in building in-house capacity to Conditional grant perform their functions (Vote 5)	Conditional grant	182 243	200 000	200 000
National Treasury (Vote 8)	a) Local Government Financial Management Grant	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act	Conditional grant	137 000	132 500	136 000
	b) Local Government Restructuring Grant	To support municipal restructuring initiatives of large municipalities	Conditional grant	342 900	350 000	350 000
		TOTAL		662 143	682 500	000 989

SCHEDULE 6A

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

					Column B	2
				Column A	Conmin	
Vote	Name of Grant	Purpose	Type of Allocation	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
		•		Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R'000	R'000	R'000
Provincial and Munic Local Government (MIG) (Vote 5)	Municipal Infrastructure Grant To support municipal (MIG) infrastructure and to u benefiting poor house	capital budgets to fund municipal pgrade existing infrastructure, primarily holds	Conditional grant	4 401 484	5 140 677	5 927 188
Sport and Recreation	Building for Sport and Recreation Programme	Promotion of sport and recreation within disadvantaged communities and upgrading of existing sports facilities	Conditional grant	132 270	1	'
(Vote 20)						
Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs	Integrated National Electrification Programme	To implement the Integrated National Electrification Programme by Conditional grant providing capital subsidies to municipalities to address electrification backlogs of permanently occupied residential	Conditional grant	247 577	258 000	1
(Vote 31)		dwellings		4 781 331	7 208 7 1	5 027 168
				100 10/ 5	7/00/00	176 C

SCHEDULE 7

ALLOCATIONS-IN-KIND AND INDIRECT GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT

				Column A	Column B	В
Vote	Name of Grant	Purpose	Type of	2004/05	MTEF Outer Years	r Years
			Апосаноп	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07
				R'000	R'000	R'000
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)	Provincial and Local Municipal Infrastructure Government Grant (MIG) (Vote 5)	To support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure and to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor households	Conditional grant	44 459	51 926	59 871
National Treasury (Vote 8)	Local Government Financial Management Grant	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act	Conditional grant	866 09	66 240	62 657
Water Affairs (Vote 34)	(a) Water Services Operating Subsidy (Augmentation to the Water Trading Account)	To augment the Water Trading Account (Sub-Programme 4) to subsidise water schemes owned and/ or operated by the department or by other agencies on behalf of the department	Conditional grant	858 334	934 434	990 500
	(b) Implementation of Water Services Projects	To fund bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at Conditional grant a basic level of service and to implement such projects on behalf of municipalities	Conditional grant	160 279	138 679	
		TOTAL		1 124 070	1 191 279	1 113 028

MEMORANDUM ON THE OBJECTS OF THE DIVISION OF REVENUE BILL

- 1. Section 214(1) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act No 108 of 1996) ("the Constitution") requires that an Act of Parliament be enacted to provide for the following:
 - 1.1 The equitable division of revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
 - 1.2 The determination of each province's equitable share of the provincial share of that revenue; and
 - 1.3 Any other allocations to provinces, local government or municipalities from the national government's share of that revenue, and any conditions on which those allocations may be made.
- 2. Section 10 of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No 97 of 1997) ("the Act") requires that, as part of the process of the enactment of the Act of Parliament referred to in paragraph 1, each year when the annual budget is introduced, the Minister of Finance ("the Minister") must introduce in the National Assembly, a Division of Revenue Bill ("the Bill") for the financial year to which that budget relates.
- The Act requires that the Bill be accompanied by a memorandum explaining—
 - 3.1 How the Bill takes account of each of the matters listed in section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution;
 - 3.2 The extent to which account was taken of any recommendations of the Financial and Fiscal Commission ("the FFC") submitted to the Minister or as a result of consultations with the FFC; and
 - 3.3 Any assumptions or formulae used in arriving at the respective shares of the three spheres of government and the division of the provincial share between the nine provinces.
- 4. The Bill is introduced in compliance with the requirements of the Constitution and the Act.
- 5. The memorandum referred to in paragraph 3 above will be attached as "Annexure E" in the Budget Review which will be made available on Budget Day.
- 6. The allocations contemplated in section 214(1) of the Constitution are set out in 7 Schedules to the Bill, namely—
 - 6.1 Schedule 1 which sets out the respective shares of anticipated revenue raised nationally in respect of the national, provincial and local spheres of government;
 - 6.2 Schedule 2 which sets out the respective shares of each province;
 - 6.3 Schedule 3 which deals with respective shares of each municipality;
 - 6.4 Schedule 4 which sets out allocations for general and nationally-assigned functions;
 - 6.5 Schedule 5 which sets out specific-grant allocations to provinces only;
 - 6.6 Schedule 6 which sets out allocations to municipalities only; and
 - 6.7 Schedule 7 contains indirect and special allocations to municipalities.
- 7. The following is a brief summary of the Bill: *Section 1* contains the relevant definitions:

Section 2 sets out the object of this Bill, which is essentially the promotion of co-operative governance in intergovernmental budgeting;

Section 3 provides for the equitable division of anticipated revenue raised nationally among the national, provincial and local spheres of government in Schedule 1;

Section 4 provides for each province's equitable share, which is set out in Schedule 2, and for a payment schedule in terms of which such shares must be transferred;

Section 5 provides for local government's equitable share of revenue and the determination of each municipality's share of that revenue;

Section 6 determines what must happen if actual revenue raised falls short of anticipated revenue for the financial year;

Section 7 provides for other allocations to provinces and municipalities from the national government's equitable share, set out in Schedules 3, 4, 5 and 6 to the Bill;

Section 8 provides for transfers to public and private entities that render a municipal service on behalf of a municipality;

Section 9 provides for the process of dealing with allocations to provinces and municipalities, which are not set out in the Schedules to the Bill;

Section 10 provides that a provincial government must submit information in respect of the infrastructure allocation for construction, maintenance and rehabilitation;

Sections 11 and 13 provide for municipal infrastructure and capacity building grants;

Section 12 provides for a process for transferring assets to municipalities;

Sections 14 to 20 set out the responsibilities of accounting officers, provincial treasuries, the National Treasury and the Auditor-General, and also sets out the composition of annual financial statements of national departments, provincial departments and municipalities;

Section 21 provides a framework for the delay in payment of allocations in the event of non-compliance with conditions or underspending;

Section 22 provides for the steps, which must be taken and the factors, which must be considered before an allocation, may be withheld from a province or municipality;

Section 23 allows for reallocations between municipalities and in the case of provinces, reallocation between provinces only in respect of the HIV and AIDS grant and only for this financial year;

Section 24 requires that an allocation set out in Schedule 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7 only be utilised for its purpose and subject to its conditions;

Section 25 provides for the correction of any allocation in error;

Section 26 provides that an allocation to a municipality with weak administrative capacity must be transferred to a district or stronger municipality within a district for its benefit;

Section 27 allows for funds to follow functions or obligations and requires that no financial obligation may be imposed on a municipality without its concurrence;

Section 28 provides for the amendment of a payment schedule by the national transferring officer and the National Treasury in certain circumstances;

Section 29 enables the National Treasury to exempt an accounting officer from reporting requirements and other responsibilities;

Section 30 provides that non-compliance with this Act constitutes financial misconduct;

Section 31 provides for responsibility for costs incurred for litigation in violation of the principles of co-operative governance and intergovernmental relations;

Section 32 provides that any act performed prior to the commencement of this Act and in fulfillment of the objects of this Act will be deemed as having been done in terms of this Act and a process for transferring assets to municipalities;

Section 33 provides that the Minister may make regulations regarding any matter which may or must be prescribed or which is necessary for effective implementation of this Bill;

Section 34 makes provision to repeal the Division of Revenue Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003);

Section 35 provides for reporting on rollovers from past conditional grants and approval by the Minister to deal with roll-overs from past infrastructure grants which may lead to underspending in the 2003/04 financial year, by allowing any surplus to fund existing infrastructure budgets in a province; and Section 36 sets out the short title and commencement of this Bill.

8. PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE

The Bill must be dealt with in accordance with the procedure set out in section 76(1) of the Constitution as it provides for legislation required in Chapter 13 of the Constitution, and affects the financial interests of the provincial sphere as contemplated in section 76(4)(b) of the Constitution.

DIVISION OF REVENUE ATTACHMENTS

		Page
1.	Annexure E of the Budget Review: Explanatory Memorandum on the Division of Revenue	41
2.	Appendix E1: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Provinces	88
3.	Appendix E2: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Local Government	113
4.	Appendix E3: Schedule 6 Local Government Allocations (recurrent grants) by municipality	121
5.	Appendix E4: Schedule 6A Local Government Allocations (infrastructure grants) by municipality	132
6.	Appendix E5: Schedule 7 Local Government Allocations (indirect grants) by municipality	153
7.	Appendix E6: Total Local Government Allocations (equitable share and conditional grants) by municipality	164
8.	Appendix E7: Demographic Data for Local Government Equitable Share and Municipal Infrastructure Grant	175

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE DIVISION OF REVENUE

("Annexure E" of Budget Review)



EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO THE DIVISION OF REVENUE

Background

The division of revenue between the spheres of government is among the most important decisions made in the budget process. Section 214(1) of the Constitution of South Africa requires that every year an Act of Parliament (Division of Revenue Act) determine the equitable division of resources between the three spheres of government, and the horizontal division among provinces.

The *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act (No. 97 of 1997)* gives effect to section 214 of the Constitution by setting out the process of intergovernmental consultation in enacting the Division of Revenue Bill. It establishes the Budget Council and Budget Forum - the consultative intergovernmental forums for the budget process. Sections 9 and 10(4) of the Act set out the consultation process to be followed with the Financial and Fiscal Commission (FFC), including the process of considering recommendations made with regard to the equitable division of nationally raised revenues.

Section 10(5) of the Act requires that the Division of Revenue Bill, when introduced to Parliament, be accompanied by an explanatory memorandum detailing how the Bill takes account of the matters listed in Section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution, the Government's response to any recommendations of the Financial and Fiscal Commission (FFC), and any assumptions and formulae used in arriving at the respective divisions among provinces and municipalities.

This explanatory memorandum to the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill fulfils the requirement set out in Section 10(5) of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act, 1997 (Act No 97 of 1997)*, and goes beyond the requirements of both this Act and the Constitution by including the division of all local government grants by municipality for the next three years thus providing certainty and predictability to the local sphere of government.

The explanatory memorandum contains six parts. Part 1 is a summary of how the Bill and the division of revenue take account of Section 214(2)(a) to (j) of the Constitution. Part 2 sets out how the FFC's recommendations on the 2004 division of revenue have been taken into account. Part 3 outlines the fiscal framework that informs the division of resources between the three spheres of government. Part 4 explains the underlying formula and criteria for the division of the provincial equitable share and conditional grants among provinces. Part 5 sets out the formula and criteria for the division of the local government equitable share and conditional grants between municipalities. Part 6 provides a brief analysis of the total allocations to provinces and municipalities, and concludes by raising issues for consideration for the 2005 division of revenue.

This explanatory memorandum must be read with the Division of Revenue Bill. The Division of Revenue Bill and its underlying allocations are the culmination of extensive consultation processes between the three spheres of government. The Budget Council deliberated on the matters discussed in this memorandum at its annual Lekgotla from 1 to 4 October 2003, and meetings of 6 June and 5 August 2003. The approach to local government allocations were discussed with organised local government at several technical meetings with the South African Local Government Association (SALGA) and provincial associations, culminating in a meeting of the

Budget Forum on 16 October 2003. The Ministers' Committee on the Budget (which also consulted MECs for Finance on social sector budgets) forwarded its recommendations on the division of revenue to Cabinet for consideration. An Extended Cabinet meeting, involving Cabinet Ministers, Premiers of provinces and the chairperson of SALGA, was held on 22 October 2003 and agreed on the final budget priorities and the division of revenue over the next three years.

Part 1: Taking account of factors set out in the Constitution

Section 214(2) of the Constitution requires that the annual *Division of Revenue Act* only be enacted after taking account of the factors in sub-section 214(2) (a) to (j) of the Constitution. These include national interest, provision for debt, national government needs and emergencies, the need to ensure that provinces are in a position to provide constitutionally mandated services, developmental and other needs of provinces and local government, fiscal capacity and efficiency of the provincial and local spheres, reduction of economic disparities, and promotion of stability and predictability.

The factors taken into account for the 2004 division of revenue have been informed by the Growth and Development Summit (GDS) and the ten-year review ("Towards a Ten Year Review") published for discussion by the Policy Co-ordination and Advisory Services Unit in the Presidency (PCAS). The 2004 MTEF has a renewed focus on strengthening investment and job creation, reducing poverty and supporting vulnerable groups, education and skills development, creating sustainable communities, and enhancing service delivery. This focus is in line with the ten-year review, which promotes four key ideas for the next ten years:

- A Framework of encompassing interest a social compact
- Improving the performance of the state
- Addressing the consequences of the social transition
- Improving regional environment and implement NEPAD

The 2004 Budget Review sets out in detail how the constitutional issues and the ten-year review are taken into account for the 2004 division of revenue. It focuses on the economic and fiscal policy considerations, revenue issues, debt and financing considerations and expenditure plans of government, and aspects of provincial and local government financing, are discussed in chapters 6 and 7. Readers are thus advised to read this annexure with the 2004 Budget Review. One of the key challenges facing all delivery programmes is to address the problems of the 'second economy', deal with issue of income poverty, unemployment and social exclusion. These issues are addressed through the Expanded Public Works Programme, expansion of the social safety net by extending Child Support Grant up to the age of 14 years, skills development, agricultural support for land redistribution programmes, and various other policy initiatives as outlined in the 2004 Budget Review. Below is a summary of the Constitutional principles that informed the division of revenue.

National interest and the division of resources

A stable macroeconomic environment, strong economic growth, reduced income poverty, eradicating social exclusion, developing a sense of belonging among our citizens, low unemployment, reduced crime, addressing HIV and Aids and an efficient public service contribute to higher standards of living for all South Africans. Since programmes to meet these goals cut across all three spheres of government, and often across departments, they are most appropriately co-ordinated by national government. Broad-based programmes in the national interest introduced by Government over the first decade of democracy include the prioritisation of the social sectors (education, health and social welfare), expansion of the social safety net, nutrition (including food

security), housing, sustainable infrastructure development (at provincial and municipal level) and rural development.

Provision for debt costs

The total resources shared between the three spheres of government include the proceeds of borrowing by national government. The bulk of that borrowing is in the form of savings of South African citizens. The remainder is in foreign savings. In recognition of Government's obligation to repay those citizens and to protect the capacity to borrow at the lowest rates, the costs of servicing debt are met before resources are shared. Most of this borrowing went into financing Government programmes across the three spheres of government. With inflation being within the target range of 3-6 per cent, debt service costs have stabilised releasing more resources for non-ineterst spending. The continuous commitment to fiscal discipline will contribute to lower debt service costs in the future. Chapter 5 in the 2004 Budget Review deals with financing the budget deficit and debt service costs.

National Government needs and interests

The Constitution assigns exclusive and concurrent powers and functions to each sphere of government. The national government is exclusively responsible for those functions that transcend provincial boundaries and serve national interest, including protection services, economic services and foreign affairs. Key priorities on the national budget are the strengthening of the integrated justice sector, infrastructure development and rehabilitation, employment creation and programmes to alleviate poverty. The national sphere is also responsible for meeting the contractual and statutory commitments of the state and for providing transversal systems of governance, including tax administration and financial information systems. National government is responsible for policy development, regulation and monitoring of functions shared with provincial and local government.

Provincial and local government basic services

Sub-national governments have significant autonomy to allocate resources to meet basic needs and respond to provincial and local priorities. The division of revenue provides equitable share increases to provinces and local government to give effect to government's commitment in progressively meeting basic needs. This year's division of revenue aims to further strengthen social service delivery, including scaling up HIV and Aids treatment programmes, further take up of the Child Support grant, agriculture support to farmers developing from the land reform programme, accelerated rollout of free basic electricity, water and sanitation to poor households. To improve access to free basic services and deal with backlogs in basic municipal infrastructure, all funding for municipal infrastructure have been consolidated into the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG).

Fiscal capacity and efficiency

The Constitution assigns the primary revenue-raising powers to the national sphere. Despite the promulgation of the *Provincial Tax Regulation Process Act* (No. 53 of 2001), provinces still have limited revenue-raising capacity relative to the resources required to deliver provincial functions that do not lend themselves to self-funding or cost recovery. To compensate for this, provinces receive the largest share of nationally raised revenue. Local governments finance most of their expenditure through property rates, user charges and fees. It is recognised, however, that rural municipalities raise significantly less revenue than the urban metropolitan municipalities.

The implementation of the *Public Finance Management Act* (No. 1 of 1999), has improved the fiscal efficiency of provincial governments, and the Municipal Finance Management Act (No 56 of

2003) is expected to do the same for municipalities over the next few years. Fiscal efficiency indicators are still being developed, as budget and expenditure classifications are standardised to allow for comparisons between various governments. Once more accurate data on these indicators become available, it will be possible to take more explicit account of these in the determination of the division of revenue.

Developmental needs

South Africa has strong features of a developing country, and needs to take active steps to ameliorate the worst effects of apartheid as the foundation for a competitive economy are built. The commitments of the Growth and Development Summit (GDS) represent a significant step to ensuring that social and economic deficits are addressed over the next ten years. In order to deal with the development needs of provinces and municipalities, changes are considered in the equitable share formulae for provincial and local government and in specific conditional grants. In particular, the various infrastructure grants and growing capital budgets aim to boost economic and rural development of provinces and municipalities. Government's Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) and Urban Renewal Programme (URP) forms part of its strategy of promoting balanced development. Developmental needs are taken into account in the vertical division of revenue, which explains the growth in the provincial and local government shares of nationally raised revenue, and in the horizontal division within each sphere, through the formulae used for dividing the grants among municipalities and provinces.

Economic disparities

Economic disparities exist between and within provinces and municipalities. The equitable share formulae recognise that provinces and municipalities have different demographic and economic profiles and markedly different levels of economic development. The equitable share formulae are redistributive. In particular, Government has increased allocations to invest in economic infrastructure like roads, and social infrastructure like schools, hospitals and clinics, in order to stimulate economic development and job creation. The prioritisation of nodal areas in the allocation of local government grants seeks to address disparities among municipalities.

Obligations in terms of national legislation

While the Constitution confers significant autonomy on provincial governments to determine provincial priorities within a national policy framework and allocate provincial budgets, national government retains responsibility for policy development and for monitoring implementation within concurrent functions. Although the equitable share allocations and other transfers allow provinces and local government discretion, national policies create mandates that are accommodated. The budget process allows for these national policies, and norms and standards to be incorporated into sub-national budgets.

Conditional grants also provide funding for national priorities that are implemented by provincial or local government. These include grants for housing and integrated nutrition.

The 2003 session of Parliament has considered significant national legislation like the National Health Bill, Social Assistance Bill and South African Social Security Agency Bill. These bills, once enacted, will have an impact on future obligations for provincial and local spheres of government. Given that they are still in the process of being enacted or implemented, such impact will only be fully taken into account for the 2005 MTEF, once the responsible sectors have presented specific proposals.

Predictability and stability

Government has resolved that the equitable shares for a given year will be based on estimates of nationally raised revenues, as announced in the Budget. Provincial and local government equitable share allocations are based on projections of revenue to be raised nationally. These allocations are protected. In the event that nationally raised revenue falls short of the estimates, the equitable share will not be adjusted downwards. All conditional grants to be allocated to provinces and local government are allocated on a three-year term to enable the two spheres to undertake forward planning of programmes funded through these grants. The Bill also requires provincial governments to publish all their grants to local government per municipality.

Furthermore, the Division of Revenue Bill specifies that all allocations must be transferred according to a payment schedule. Thus, at the beginning of the financial year, provinces and local governments are assured of the resources they will receive and know the dates on which the allocations will be transferred. Any amendments to the payment schedule require a fair and transparent process. The Bill also enables provincial and local government to account for all transfers from the national government. Greater certainty of revenues improves the quality of budget planning and expenditure projections in all spheres of government.

Need for flexibility in responding to emergencies

When Government introduced multi-year rolling budgets six years ago, it also introduced the concept of a contingency reserve. Government has flexibility to respond to emergencies or other needs through a contingency reserve that provides a cushion for "unforeseeable and unavoidable" expenditure. Sections 16 and 25 of the *Public Finance Management Act* make specific provision in relation to allocation of funds to deal with emergency situations while section 30(7) deals with adjustment allocations in respect of unforeseeable and unavoidable expenditure. For example, the impact of the drought has been taken into account in this way in 2003/04, as a further R250 million was allocated over and above the R250 million made available during the adjustments budget. Given expectations that the drought will persist into 2004/05, the contingency reserve is adjusted upwards for the 2004 MTEF.

Part 2: Response to the Financial and Fiscal Commission Recommendations

Section 214 of the Constitution and Section 9 of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act* (Act 97 of 1997) requires the FFC to make recommendations in April every year on the division of revenue for the coming budget. The FFC complied with this obligation by tabling its submission entitled "*Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System*" for the 2004-2007 MTEF in Parliament in April 2003. The Constitution and section 10 of the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations Act* also requires national government to take account of these recommendations of the FFC when determining the division of revenue between the three spheres of government. This part of the explanatory memorandum sets out the response of the national government to these recommendations.

The FFC recommendations focus on two sets of issues. The first set of recommendations deals with the division of revenue for each sphere of government. The main issue for the national sphere is the financing of HIV and Aids. Regarding provinces, the recommendations centre on the various components or elements of the provincial equitable share formula, and the location of funding for social security grants and the measurement of fiscal capacity. On local government, the recommendations focus on the funding of institutional capacity - *the I component*, and call for an evaluation of funding of rural and urban nodes, and propose a differentiated approach to municipalities. Government responds to this set of recommendations in detail.

The second set reviews the intergovernmental fiscal relations system in South Africa, and covers expenditure assignment, performance measurement, poverty targeting and the provision of constitutionally mandated basic service. Given that these proposals are general proposals and not directly related to the 2004 division of revenue, Government responds to these in less detail.

In examining Government's response to the FFC recommendations, it should be noted that Government accepted last year the need for a *comprehensive review* of the fiscal framework for provinces and municipalities. It was hoped that the review would have been completed for the 2004 Budget, but this has not been possible for a number of reasons, including the need to fully incorporate the results of Census 2001, and the impact of shifting the social grant function from provinces to national and restructuring the electricity distribution industry. The restructuring and shifting of functions will have significant fiscal implications for provincial and local government budgets. It is hoped that the comprehensive review will be completed in time for the 2005 Budget. The review will examine the formulae for the equitable share and conditional grants for provincial and local government spheres, as well as their taxation and borrowing powers, and ensure that these are consistent with their expenditure functions. Many of the more significant proposals of the FFC will be considered as part of the review.

Provincial Proposals

FFC proposals on HIV and Aids funding and health conditional grants

The FFC makes three proposals to accelerate the implementation of HIV and Aids as priority programmes. Firstly, it proposes that current national programmes directed at the procurement of condoms, awareness campaigns and specific research efforts should be retained and strengthened. Secondly, it proposes that social spending outcomes resulting from the increases in the equitable share targeted at HIV and Aids programmes be evaluated to establish their effectiveness. Lastly, it proposes that all existing health conditional grants be reviewed with a view to converting them into a more efficient conditional grant mechanism with a clear and coordinated policy framework to be established at the national level.

Government's response

Government's current approach to the funding of HIV and Aids programmes is largely in line with the FFC proposals. The proposed continuation of current programmes funded through earmarked grants is supported by Government, especially where these programmes are demonstrating a high degree of effectiveness, and also because this appears to be an appropriate way to fund such programmes at this stage. Additional allocations to the HIV and Aids grant in health are consistent with this approach.

Whilst the reviewing of current HIV and Aids conditional grants for effectiveness and coordination is always welcome, it is not clear at this stage how these grants would be consolidated into a single efficient conditional grant mechanism, given the expected outcomes of the current conditional grants, especially at a stage where the national policy framework on HIV and Aids is relatively new. However, this proposal will be explored as part of the comprehensive review of the provincial fiscal framework.

It is also difficult to evaluate the impact of current spending on HIV and Aids financed through the equitable share for a number of reasons. One of the reasons for national government increasing the equitable share allocation to provinces for the 2003 MTEF was to expand HIV and Aids programmes. However, it is not always possible to separate all costs associated with HIV and Aids from other costs (for example, costs within hospital programmes). Secondly, because the additional funds currently complement existing programmes, they are likely to have a higher impact in provinces where the programmes were already running effectively with the necessary

infrastructure. In trying to understand the efficacy and effectiveness of HIV and Aids programmes, it is perhaps more appropriate to consider a comprehensive approach that takes account of what is currently being done, and propose appropriate responses from a policy and funding perspective.

The FFC also reviews the health conditional grants. It concludes that the National Tertiary Services grant 'does not bear any direct relationship to the removal of the spillover problem' 1 nor does it appear to have been costed appropriately. Further, the Health Professional Training and Development Grant is 'incorrectly specified' and 'overcosted' as medical students 'only cost the public hospital budget an additional R142 million a year'. While agreeing with certain aspects of the FFC's observation and the view that the health grants need to be reviewed, Government believes this should be done with the overall review for the 2005 MTEF. National government has resolved that the Departments of Health, Education and National Treasury undertake a comprehensive review of the funding of academic hospitals, and its link to the Tertiary Services grant. Such a review must also inform Government on the long-term vision for such hospitals and for tertiary services, their distribution between provinces, the restructuring required to effect such transformation, and the link to the financing of academic hospitals and university medical faculties. The review will also inform the future appropriateness of the equitable share formula and conditional grants, with a view to rationalising the number and size of health conditional grants, and the distribution formulae for any grants recommended through the review. The health sector will also finalise the Modernisation of Tertiary Services Project, which is examining a ten-year framework for future provision of highly specialised services. The outcome of these two projects will inform Government's approach to future funding of tertiary services from 2005 onwards.

In terms of the FFC proposal regarding the Integrated Nutrition Programme, Government has already taken steps to shift the Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP) component of the Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP) to the education sector. The remaining part of the INP grant is to be phased out in 2005/06.

FFCs proposals on the education component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that the formula used to allocate the education component of the equitable share be revised to phase out the double weighting of 'school age' children. It argues that the double weighting penalises poorer provinces with the largest number of 'out of age' learners. It further reiterates its proposal that the formula used to allocate the education component of the provincial equitable share formula be adjusted to incorporate the reception year (Grade R). In addition, the provincial allocation of funds should be based on a poverty-weighted count of the number of children aged five and six in each province.

The FFC further recommends that Government establish a conditional grant programme for the financing of education programmes for improving adult literacy and numeracy.

Government's response

Government notes that the 2002 enrolment figures and the Census 2001 show that the out-of-age enrolment problem is no longer significant. However, the trends in enrolment are not stable in some provinces, raising questions about their accuracy. This makes the school-age cohort variable a 'stabiliser' within the education component. Therefore the current elements and weightings will be retained for the 2004 Budget, but examined as part of the review for the 2005 Budget.

The Early Childhood Development (ECD) grant is phased out, and the education component in the equitable share formula has been expanded for the 2004 Budget to cover grade R by adjusting the age cohort to 5-17.

¹ See page 66 of the FFC submission on the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework 2004-2007: "Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System"

The funding of ABET is more difficult as it is not known beforehand what the likely uptake of the programme will be for purposes of determining allocations. This matter will be explored as part of the review of the equitable share formula.

FFCs proposals on the health component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that provincial population growth rates be incorporated into the health care component of the provincial equitable share formula. It also proposes that the age and gender variation in the population be taken into account when determining the relative need for health services. An acceptable index should be constructed making use of international and domestic data. It further proposes that the current weighting of total medical scheme populations be reviewed and that the data used be based annually on the best available estimate, which could entail combining the latest October Household Survey information, averaged for a reasonable number of years.

Government's response

The updating of population data regularly has not been possible in the past because of the lack of reliable estimates per province, and the absence of data on interprovincial migration trends. It is unclear at this stage whether such information will be available and more reliable over the next few years. If such information were to be available it would be used.

The proposal to replace the current medical aid versus non-medical aid utilisation rates with alternative utilisation rates is being explored. However, reliable information on such utilisation rates is not readily available. The information from the Registrar of Medical Aid Schemes has also been considered, but their information does not contain provincial breakdowns on medical aid membership. It is therefore not possible to implement these proposals at this stage.

FFCs proposals on social development component of provincial equitable share formula

The FFC reiterates its proposal that social security grants be budgeted for and funded at a national level to avoid the crowding out of the other provincial service delivery mandates. It further proposes that

- Populations of grant recipients in the current system should more closely reflect the actual take-up of the three grants in the provinces;
- The overall allocation to welfare in the current system be revised to reflect more accurately the share of aggregate provincial spending on social development;
- The allocation to welfare in the provincial equitable share formula distinguishes between social security grants and welfare services, and assigns amounts to each. The allocation for welfare services could be based on an indicator such as the proportion of the population below a predetermined poverty level; and
- Consideration be given to the ways in which the existing top-down methodology for allocating the social development share among provinces can be revised so that it more closely reflects the relative needs of the provinces.

Government's response

The FFC proposals regarding this component should be viewed against the significant developments relating to the social development function, which are already being implemented by government, because they respond to some of the concerns raised by the FFC.

Two bills on the establishment of a National Social Security Agency (South African Social Security Agency Bill and amendments to the Social Assistance Act) have already being tabled in Parliament, to facilitate the shifting of social security payments to the national sphere.

Government is also concerned at the rate at which increases in social grant spending continue to apply significant pressure on provincial budgets, and on other provincial functions like education and health, in particular. The FFC proposal to raise weighting for social security grants (or even social development) in the provincial equitable share formula will not resolve the problem of social security expenditure squeezing out other provincial functions.

FFCs overall proposals on the provincial equitable share formula

The FFC proposes that the shares of the different components in the formula should ultimately be determined according to explicit policy guidelines based on minimum norms and standards.

Government's response

These proposals are quite similar to the costed-norms proposal presented by the FFC in previous years. The previous response of the national government remains relevant in this respect. For instance, Government took a very clear view (refer to pages 231-235 in *Annexure E* in the 2001 Budget Review) on why it could not adopt a costed norms approach when it was initially proposed for both technical reasons and due to its irreconcilability with certain principles underpinning the intergovernmental system. Instead of a tool for allocations, Government encouraged the use of a costed norm model as a tool for analysing expenditure. This viewpoint is still held by Government

However, with regard to specific proposals on the formula as a whole or its components, the national government believes this should be done as part of the review of the equitable share formula for the 2005 Budget process, taking into account the results of Census 2001. Government will consider specific proposals from the FFC on the formula during this review process.

Local government proposals

FFC's proposals on local government revenue capacity and the equitable share formula

The FFC proposes a number of issues that must be dealt with in respect to the local government equitable share formula, including:

- Establishing the role of municipalities in areas such as health care, economic development and the provision of free basic services;
- Studying the structure of actual and potential revenues, considering the new demarcation and restructuring of electricity and water; and
- Exploring the relationship between conditional grants and the equitable share formula.

Government's response

Government supports the FFC proposals and recognises the need for a comprehensive review of the local government fiscal framework. This review, which covers the equitable share and conditional grants, as well as other taxes and levies in addition to property rates is currently under way, and it is hoped that these will be finalised in time for the 2005 Budget. Government agreed last year that this review is necessary in the light of the 2000 demarcation, 2003 shifting of functions between district and local municipalities, and impending restructuring of electricity.

To the extent that Government had to clarify the functions of municipalities, it gazetted (Government Gazette No. 24228) on 3 January 2003, the functions of category B and C municipalities, including different roles of municipalities in performing functions like health, economic development and provision of other services.

FFC's proposals on municipal institutional capacity

The FFC proposes that the Institutional (I) element of the local government equitable share formula and capacity-building conditional grants to municipalities be assessed to ensure that it reflects the capacity needs of municipalities. In addition, it points out that the *I-Grant* allocations to district municipalities are determined by the same formula used to allocate the *I-Grant* to local municipalities, which suggests absence of targeting of the *I-Grants* to district municipalities according to size or economic condition.

Government's response

Government agrees that both the institutional element and capacity-building grants be assessed, but believes that this should be part of the review of the local government fiscal framework for the 2005 Budget. Government is also mindful of the differences between district and local municipalities, and the need for the local government equitable share formula to take account of the specific functions performed by them. However, since these functions differ for different district and local municipalities, more detailed criteria are required for all the components of the equitable share transfer.

With regard to capacity-building conditional grants, steps are being taken in 2003/04 to limit the size of conditional grants, and ensure that all such grants are transferred directly to municipalities, so that their efficacy is increased. Capacity building grants will increasingly be assessed in terms of outputs and outcomes.

FFC's proposals on financing development nodes

The FFC proposes an explicit policy to target funds to the development nodes. In this regard it proposes that:

- The effectiveness of the Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) and Urban Renewal Programme (URP) be carefully evaluated. This should include the collection of data on development indicators within nodes, so as to inform nodal policy development and implementation; and
- Funding for the urban and rural development nodes should not come from the local government equitable share allocation.

Government's response

Government believes that the FFC is raising valid issues on evaluating the ISRDS and URP programmes, and whether they are best funded through the equitable share, as the equitable share is designed to treat municipalities uniformly, taking account of basic needs and assigned functions. However, it must be recognised that current equitable share transfers still fund many transitional programmes, particularly where municipalities lack capacity to implement basic services like water to poor rural households. For the medium- to long-term, government supports the approach of the FFC on the equitable share grant, but believes that transitional funding arrangements are necessary and can only be phased-out over a few years. Government will consider the case for funding developmental nodes for ISRDS and URP from the national share, as part of comprehensive review of the local government framework for the 2005 Budget.

Government also agrees that all these programmes be continuously evaluated through performance and development indicators. Current reporting on these programmes focuses on process and management outputs, rather than on performance or specific projects.

FFC's proposals on the differentiated approach to municipalities

The FFC proposes that consideration should be given to developing a differentiated approach to municipalities in areas such as borrowing, revenue sources, and municipal service partnerships.

Government's response

Current pieces of legislation such as the Municipal Finance Management Act (No 56 of 2003) and the Municipal Systems Act (No 32 of 2000) already provide for a differentiated approach to municipalities based on predetermined criteria.

Government is considering developing differentiated (and asymmetric) approaches to municipalities based on capacity. However, as the FFC points out, it is difficult to develop one system of classification that could be used for a variety of purposes. The 'application of different classification systems to suit different needs' will require each sector to develop such systems (e.g. for water services, electricity), but will also need to ensure that such systems are compatible with the intergovernmental fiscal system. The problem is complicated by the fact that the weakest capacitated municipalities are invariably unable to provide good quality information, on their challenges and performance.

FFC recommendations on intergovernmental system

The bulk of the FFC's proposals on the intergovernmental system are largely work-in-progress research, and are intended for implementation in the medium to long term. These proposals are a welcome contribution towards assessing the intergovernmental fiscal system for the first decade of democracy, and to propose improvements for the next decade. The proposals relate to expenditure assignment, costed-norms, constitutionally-mandated services, performance management, funding instruments for poverty-alleviation programmes, and building institutional capacity.

Government's response

These proposals on the intergovernmental system are separate from the division of revenue proposals, and are for wider debate and discussion, so the Government's response should be seen as its first response to this discussion, which should be further debated in Parliament and all legislatures as part of the ten-year review process.

Government supports the FFC in seeking greater certainty with regard to what functions each sphere of government are expected to perform as this is necessary for any system where tax and budget powers are divided between different spheres of government, and for the division of revenue process. However, the expenditure assignment process is complex requiring co-operation between spheres of government. This is particularly the case in South Africa, as most delivery-type functions are shared between spheres of government. The only purely exclusive functions are often national functions like defence or foreign affairs. Most other functions (both concurrent Schedule 4 and exclusive Schedule 5 functions in terms of the Constitution) are almost always shared in terms of policy-making, planning and budgeting. This is the case for school education, health services, social development, housing, roads, public transport, water, electricity and agriculture. The exact assignment of such functions requires more discussion in sectoral intergovernmental forums like

² See page 108 of the FFC submission on the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework 2004-2007: "Towards a Review of the Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations System"

MinMECs, as invariably, these have budgetary implications and involve tradeoffs with other sectors. To this extent, it is important that the implementation of basic delivery responsibilities for key service functions is clearly determined between spheres of government.

The FFC proposals also focus on the funding of poverty-alleviation programmes. Government undertook a review of these programmes and has decided to phase most of them into the equitable share or into the infrastructure grants. With regard to water provision and housing, national government notes that it is much more difficult to determine how functions are to be shared between local and other spheres of government, as capacity of various municipalities differs and may require asymmetric approaches. However, government accepts that water provision with regard to domestic consumption is largely a local function, and for this reason is transferring water schemes from the national government to municipalities. Financing mechanisms are adjusted accordingly. The issue of housing is more complex, as the Constitution makes it a concurrent national/provincial function, but not a local function. Housing legislation does, however, allow for municipalities to be accredited in order to perform the housing function, but progress in this regard has been slow. Government will review these specific functions to the extent that greater certainty and clarity is required.

The FFC proposals also focus on the re-assignment of social grants. Government already accepts this proposal, and legislation to this effect is before Parliament. The legislation sets up a National Social Security Agency to administer social grants. It is not clear at this stage how such an agency can be made accountable to both national and provincial governments as proposed by the FFC. It will take a number of years to implement the new legislation after it is enacted.

The FFC proposal on constitutionally mandated basic services is noted. It is worth noting that both the vertical division of revenue and provincial and local government formulae are predicated on the premise that each sphere should have sufficient funds to perform the functions assigned to it by the Constitution. Further, where possible and to the extent that data are available, the equitable share and conditional grant formulae take explicit account of certain basic services.

The FFC proposals also make suggestions on policy, delivery and financial output indicators. The issue of performance, accountability and co-ordination is a major priority for national government. These objectives are given effect in legislation like the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), the Municipal Systems Act and the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA), which focus on outputs, outcomes and performance. Government has also taken a number of other steps such as designing performance measures and targets, and implementing performance agreements to improve the system of accountability. These measures are designed to ensure that resources are used efficiently, in order to encourage each government to deliver services efficiency, and reduce wastage and inefficiency. Government has progressed to developing measures for each major concurrent sector, for education, health, social development, housing, roads and public works. The challenge facing each sector is to develop appropriate measures, using the current system of strategic and performance plans, budget documents and annual reports.

Part 3: Fiscal Framework for 2004 MTEF

Fiscal framework

Table E1 presents medium-term macroeconomic forecasts for the 2004 Budget. It sets out the growth assumptions, fiscal projections and policy targets on which the fiscal framework is based.

Table E1 Medium-term macroeconomic assumptions, 2003/04 – 2006/07

2003/04		2004	/05	2005	/06	2006/07	
	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2004
R billion	Budget						
Gross domestic product	1 234,6	1 223,2	1 344,3	1 331,8	1 466,6	1 455,6	1 592,6
Real GDP growth	3,4%	1,6%	3,8%	3,3%	4,0%	3,6%	4,0%
GDP inflation	6,6%	4,7%	4,9%	5,4%	4,9%	5,5%	5,2%
National Budget Framewo	ork						
Revenue	304,5	300,3	331,0	327,0	361,2	360,3	394,0
Percentage of GDP	24,7%	24,6%	24,6%	24,6%	24,6%	24,7%	24,7%
Expenditure	334,0	331,7	363,3	368,9	395,6	404,7	439,1
Percentage of GDP	27,1%	27,1%	27,0%	27,7%	27,0%	27,8%	27,6%
Budget deficit	-29,5	-31,4	-32,4	-41,9	-34,4	-44,4	-45,1
Percentage of GDP	-2,4%	-2,6%	-2,4%	-3,1%	-2,3%	-3,0%	-2,8%

Table E2 sets out the impact of these policy decisions on the division of revenue. Before resources can be divided, provision must be made for national commitments such as debt service costs and a contingency reserve. Debt service obligations of R50,4 billion, R53,9 billion and R57,9 billion are projected for the three MTEF years, and a contingency reserve amount of R2,5 billion, R4,0 billion and R8 billion is set aside. Once these allocations are deducted, the total allocated to be shared between the three spheres amounts to R315,9 billion, R346,7 billion and R373,1 billion over the three MTEF years. This pool of revenue is divided between national, provincial and local spheres.

Table E2 Division of revenue between spheres of government, 2000/01 – 2006/07

	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
R million	Outcome	Outcome	Outcome	Revised	Mediu	m-term estir	nates
National departments	73 178	87 705	99 091	110 494	120 597	131 047	139 677
Provinces	108 899	121 099	136 925	161 476	181 130	199 704	216 344
Equitable share	98 398	107 460	123 457	144 743	159 971	173 852	186 392
Conditional grants	10 501	13 638	13 46 8	16 733	21 158	25 853	29 953
Local government	5 536	6 520	8 706	12 390	14 245	15 916	17 091
Equitable share	2 415	3 184	4 187	6 350	7 678	8 643	9 365
Conditional grants	3 121	3 336	4 519	6 039	6 568	7 272	7 <i>7</i> 26
Non-interest allocations	187 613	215 324	244 721	284 359	315 972	346 667	373 112
Percentage increase	10,1%	14,8%	13,7%	16,2%	11,1%	9,7%	7,6%
State debt cost	46 321	47 581	46 808	47 326	50 432	53 986	57 945
Contingency reserve	_	_	_	_	2 500	4 000	8 000
Main budget expenditure	233 934	262 905	291 529	331 685	368 904	404 653	439 057
Percentage increase	8,9%	12,4%	10,9%	13,8%	11,2%	9,7%	8,5%
Percentage shares							
National departments	39,0%	40,7%	40,5%	38,9%	38,2%	37,8%	37,4%
Provinces	58,0%	56,2%	56,0%	56,8%	57,3%	57,6%	58,0%
Local government	3,0%	3,0%	3,6%	4,4%	4,5%	4,6%	4,6%

The revised fiscal framework aims at further strengthening social service delivery, including:

- Scaling up of HIV and Aids treatment programmes through the roll out of antiretroviral drugs alongside current prevention measures.
- A renewed focus on employment creation through an Expanded Public Works Programme and a series of interventions to strengthen the skills base and empower communities.
- Support for provincial economic development programmes with high potential for creating employment opportunities, with specific focus on enabling provinces to scale up farmer support programmes to land reform programme beneficiaries.

- Extending social assistance through enhanced income support to the poor (including completion of the take up of 11, 12 and 13 year old children) and improvements in the social grant payment system.
- Enhanced spending on education programmes, specifically relating to the rollout of the Early Childhood Development Programme, and other inputs needed to further strengthen the quality of school education especially in poor communities.
- Accelerate the rollout of free basic electricity, water, refuse removal and sanitation to poor households and investment in municipal infrastructure to create sustainable local communities.
- Consolidate local government financial management and budget reforms as envisaged in the Municipal Finance Management Act (No. 56 of 2003).
- Expanded capacity in the safety and security sector in support of the sector policing strategy and the establishment of a new Protection and Security Services Division.
- Taking core administrative services to citizens, particularly in rural areas where access is limited.
- Supporting South Africa's ongoing commitment to actively promote peace in Africa and support regional trade and development.

The new priorities, and expansions of previous year's programmes, are accommodated through reprioritisation and growth in the resource envelope. Cabinet determines the division of revenue between spheres of Government using the previous year's baseline division as a point of departure and taking account of ongoing commitments, current and new policy priorities, and the FFC recommendations.

Both the shares for provincial and local government allocations increase significantly, with the provincial allocation increasing from 56,8 per cent to 58,0 per cent, and the local government allocation from 4,4 per cent in 2003/04 to 4,6 per cent in 2006/07. The share of national government decreases from 38,9 per cent in 2003/04 to 37,4 per cent in 2006/07. Over half of the additional resources are allocated to the provinces, in recognition of the challenges they face in delivering social services, building and maintaining economic infrastructure, employment creation, promoting rural development and coping with HIV and Aids. Local government, which must provide for free basic services and expand municipal infrastructure, gets a larger slice of additional revenue than its baseline proportion.

Table E3 reflects the additional resources available over last year's baseline allocations, totalling R9,7 billion in 2004/05, R14,1 billion in 2005/06 and R20,6 billion over the new baseline for 2006/07. The additional funds are divided between the spheres depending on which sphere is responsible for the prioritised functions.

Table E3 Changes over baseline, 2004/05 - 2006/07

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 ¹
National	3 248	4 951	6 023
Provincial	5 458	7 880	13 001
Local	1 000	1 300	1 600
Allocated expenditure	9 706	14 131	20 624

^{1.} The assumed baseline for 2006/07 is the 2005/06 baseline plus 6 per cent.

Table E4 sets out Schedule 1 of the Division of Revenue Bill that reflects the *legal* division of revenue between the three spheres. In this division, the national share includes all conditional grants to the other two spheres in line with section 214(1) of the Constitution, and the provincial and local government allocations reflect their equitable shares only.

Table E4 Schedule 1 of the Division of Revenue Bill. 2004/05 – 2006/07

Sphere of government	Column A	Column B		
	2004/05	Medium-term forward estimate		
R million	Allocation	2005/06	2006/07	
National 1, 2	201 255	222 158	243 301	
Provincial	159 971	173 852	186 392	
Local	7 678	8 643	9 365	
Total	368 904	404 653	439 057	

National share includes conditional grants to provinces and local spheres, debt service cost and the contingency reserve.

Nationally-raised revenue is distributed between spheres in accordance with the Division of Revenue Act and the Constitution. The national equitable share is divided between national departments through an Appropriation Act. Provincial equitable shares are direct charges on the National Revenue Fund and flow directly into Provincial Revenue Funds, where provincial legislatures appropriate the funds to votes and their main divisions — in this instance, votes and programmes of provincial departments. Various local government allocations are appropriated on national votes, as the Constitution does not make them a direct charge on the National Revenue Fund. The local government equitable share is appropriated on the vote of the Department of Provincial and Local Government. The actual division of all grants (whether appropriated or a direct charge) between provinces or municipalities is in accordance with the Division of Revenue Act and this memorandum.

Part 4: Provincial Allocations

The Constitution entitles provinces to a share of nationally raised revenue. National transfers to provinces for 2004/05, comprise more than 97 per cent of provincial revenues, with provinces raising less than 3 per cent of their revenues from own sources. Of the funds that are transferred, 88,4 per cent is through the equitable share and the remaining 11,6 per cent grants flow as conditional grants. Table E5 shows all transfers to provinces for 2004/05.

Table E5 Total transfers to provinces, 2004/05

	Equitable	Conditional	Total
R million	share	grants	transfers
Eastern Cape	26 990	3 138	30 129
Free State	10 551	1 613	12 164
Gauteng	24 547	4 461	29 008
KwaZulu-Natal	33 059	3 847	36 906
Limpopo	21 789	2 164	23 953
Mpumalanga	11 606	1 208	12 814
Northern Cape	3 839	573	4 412
North West	13 270	1 591	14 862
Western Cape	14 320	2 564	16 884
Total	159 971	21 158	181 130

Provincial equitable share

The provincial equitable share allocation is used to fund the bulk of public services rendered by provinces. It is divided between provinces on the basis of the provincial equitable share formula. The provincial equitable share is R159,9 billion in 2004/05, R173,9 billion in 2005/06 and R186,4 billion in 2006/07.

^{2.} The direct charges for the provincial equitable share are netted out.

The equitable share formula

Updates of data in the equitable share formula are effected on an annual basis, depending on availability of official data. Government committed itself to a major review of the formula for the 2004 Budget. Though the review process has begun, the process could not be completed in time as new data from the Census 2001 and other data sources were published towards the end of the budget allocation process. Government agreed to retain the structure of the provincial equitable share formula for the 2004 Budget, but to update for Census 2001 and other data. The more wideranging review will apply to the 2005 Budget, and will cover aspects pertaining to the structure of the formula, weights of components and other economic development and poverty-related policy considerations. The review is also timed to coincide with the imminent change in the financing and administrative arrangements relating to the delivery of social security grants.

For the 2004 Budget, a number of data updates to the formula are effected. The *education component* is updated by replacing average enrolment data with 2000-2002 enrolment figures and by lowering the school age cohort to cover the 5 – 17 school age cohort (by using Census 2001 data) to take account of Early Childhood Development. The *basic component*, which uses population shares, is updated with 2001 Census data. The remuneration data currently used in the *economic activity* component is replaced with Gross Domestic Product by Region (GDP-R) data.

The equitable share formula comprises seven components or indices of relative demand for services between provinces and takes into account particular provincial circumstances. It considers, for example, infrastructure backlogs and poverty levels. The provincial equitable share formula consists of the following components:

- An education share (41 per cent) based on the size of the school-age population (ages 5-17) and the average number of learners enrolled in public ordinary schools for the past three years
- A health share (19 per cent) based on the proportion of the population with and without access to medical aid
- A welfare component (18 per cent) based on the estimated number of people entitled to social security grants the elderly, disabled and children weighted by using a poverty index derived from the Income and Expenditure Survey
- A basic share (7 per cent) derived from each province's share of the total population of the country
- A backlog component (3 per cent) based on the distribution of capital needs as captured in the schools register of needs, the audit of hospital facilities and the distribution of the rural population
- An economic output component (7 per cent) based on Gross Domestic Product by Region (GDP-R) data
- An institutional component (5 per cent) divided equally among the provinces

Table E6 shows the current structure and distribution of shares by component. The elements of the formula are neither indicative budgets nor guidelines as to how much should be spent on those functions. Rather, the components are weighted broadly in line with expenditure patterns to provide an indication of relative need for the purpose of allocating funds. Provincial Executive Committees have discretion regarding the departmental allocations for each function.

Table E6 Distributing the equitable share, percentages by province

	Education	Health	Social	Basic	Economic	Institu-	Backlog	Target
			welfare	share	activity	tional		shares
Weighting	41,0	19,0	18,0	7,0	7,0	5,0	3,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	17,3	17,0	19,6	14,4	8,1	11,1	20,7	16,6
Free State	6,0	6,5	7,1	6,0	5,4	11,1	5,6	6,5
Gauteng	13,6	14,7	13,9	19,7	33,4	11,1	5,0	15,3
KwaZulu-Natal	22,8	21,7	19,6	21,0	16,5	11,1	23,0	20,9
Limpopo	15,0	13,3	13,7	11,8	6,6	11,1	22,9	13,7
Mpumalanga	7,6	7,2	6,5	7,0	6,9	11,1	8,5	7,4
Northern Cape	1,7	2,0	2,2	1,8	2,0	11,1	1,3	2,3
North West	7,8	8,6	8,7	8,2	6,7	11,1	9,5	8,3
Western Cape	8,2	8,9	8,8	10,1	14,2	11,1	3,6	9,0
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

The phasing-in of the formula

The formula has been updated for latest available data (Census 2001, school enrolment and GDP-R) and to ensure stability in provincial budgets, Government agreed to phase in the impact of these updates over three years, from 2004/05 to 2006/07. This is mainly to ensure that none of the provinces receive an allocation lower than was previously indicated in the baseline allocations. Table E7 shows the phasing.

Table E7 Phasing in the equitable share, 2003/04 – 2006/07

	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Percentage	Base shares		3-year phasing	
Phasing	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Eastern Cape	17,0	16,9	16,7	16,6
Free State	6,6	6,6	6,5	6,5
Gauteng	15,4	15,3	15,3	15,3
KwaZulu-Natal	20,6	20,7	20,8	20,9
Limpopo	13,6	13,6	13,6	13,7
Mpumalanga	7,2	7,3	7,3	7,4
Northern Cape	2,4	2,4	2,4	2,3
North West	8,3	8,3	8,3	8,3
Western Cape	8,9	9,0	9,0	9,0
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

Education component

The education component targets primary and secondary schooling, which accounts for roughly 80 per cent of provincial education spending. For 2004, Government has decided to retain the weightings in the 2003 Budget. Both the school-age population and enrolment numbers are used to reflect the relative demand for education services. The school-age cohort, ages 5-17, is double weighted, reflecting Government's desire to eliminate out-of-age enrolment while the average school enrolment data for 2000-2002 are single weighted. Table E8 shows the weighted target shares for the 2004 MTEF after updating the education component for new data.

Table E8 Calculation of education component

Thousands	2003/04	2004	Medium-term esti	mates
	Weighted share	Enrolment	School-age	Weighted target share
	(%)		(5-17)	(%)
Weighting		1	2	
Eastern Cape	18,4	2 083	2 219	17,3
Free State	6,3	729	760	6,0
Gauteng	12,6	1 577	1 786	13,6
KwaZulu-Natal	22,0	2 706	2 946	22,8
Limpopo	15,4	1 834	1 915	15,0
Mpumalanga	7,3	910	969	7,6
Northern Cape	1,9	198	222	1,7
North West	8,0	907	1 021	7,8
Western Cape	8,0	927	1 095	8,2
Total	100,0	11 870	12 933	100,0

Health component

The health component (table E9) addresses the need for provinces to deliver primary and secondary health care services. As all citizens are eligible for health services, the provincial shares of the total population form the basis for the health share. The formulation of the health component recognises that people without medical aid are more likely to use public health facilities, and are therefore weighted four times more than those with medical aid support. The proportions of the population with and without access to medical aid are taken from the 1995 October Household Survey and applied to the census figures. Although there have been October Household Surveys in subsequent years, these do not improve the quality of this information and the 1995 data have been retained.

Table E9 Calculation of health component

Thousands	With	Without	Weighted	
	medical aid	medical aid	share (%)	
Weighting	1	4		
Eastern Cape	510	5 793	17,0	
Free State	467	2 166	6,5	
Gauteng	2 958	4 390	14,7	
KwaZulu-Natal	1 103	7 314	21,7	
Limpopo	376	4 554	13,3	
Mpumalanga	392	2 409	7,2	
Northern Cape	175	665	2,0	
North West	457	2 897	8,6	
Western Cape	1 127	2 830	8,9	
Total	7 566	33 018	100,0	

Welfare component

The welfare component captures provinces' responsibility for providing social security grants. The welfare component has two elements, the target population for the main social grants ('all grants' in Table E10) and the population in the lowest two quintiles of the income distribution ('income adjustment'). The constituent parts reflect the target populations of social security payments, weighted by the distribution of expenditure for each type of grant. For example, the bulk of social security payments are old-age pensions. Means-testing of grants is reflected through an income adjustment based on the provincial share of the population in the lowest two quintiles of the income distribution. This information was drawn from the 1995 Income and Expenditure Survey.

Table E10 Calculation of the welfare component

Percentage	Old age	Disability	Child care	All grants	Income	Weighted
					adjustment	share
Weighting	65,0	25,0	10,0	75,0	25,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	19,1	15,5	17,4	18,0	24,3	19,6
Free State	6,2	6,5	5,7	6,2	9,6	7,1
Gauteng	15,7	18,1	14,3	16,2	7,2	13,9
KwaZulu-Natal	19,8	20,7	21,7	20,2	17,6	19,6
Limpopo	13,0	12,1	14,8	13,0	15,8	13,7
Mpumalanga	5,9	6,9	7,3	6,3	7,1	6,5
Northern Cape	2,1	2,1	2,0	2,1	2,6	2,2
North West	7,8	8,3	8,4	8,0	10,7	8,7
Western Cape	10,4	9,7	8,4	10,0	5,2	8,8
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

One reason for the more comprehensive review for the 2005 Budget is the fact that the rapid takeup of social grants has resulted in a sharp increase in the share of social welfare expenditure. The share of social development averaged 24,7 per cent for the adjusted 2003/04 provincial budgets or 29,3 per cent of the total provincial equitable share, which is substantially above its 18 per cent weighting in the formula.

Economic activity component

The economic activity component is a proxy for provincial tax capacity, directing a proportion of nationally raised revenue back to its source. It also reflects costs associated with economic activity, such as maintenance of provincial roads. In 1999, the distribution of employee remuneration replaced provincial Gross Geographic Product (GGP) figures, since remuneration comprises roughly 60 per cent of provincial GGP. For the 2004 Budget, the remuneration data are replaced with 2001 GDP-R data. Table E11 shows the new target shares for the economic activity component taking into account the 2001 GDP-R data.

Table E11 Economic activity shares

Percentage	2003/04	2004 Medium-term estimates
	Remuneration of employees, 1999	GDP-R, 2001
Eastern Cape	6,5	8,1
Free State	5,3	5,4
Gauteng	41,6	33,4
KwaZulu-Natal	17,0	16,5
Limpopo	3,0	6,6
Mpumalanga	4,9	6,9
Northern Cape	1,7	2,0
North West	5,7	6,7
Western Cape	14,4	14,2
Total	100,0	100,0

Backlog component

In 1999, the basic component was split into a basic share distributed by population and a backlog component. The backlog component (table E12) incorporates estimates of capital needs as drawn from the Schools Survey of Needs and the 1998 MTEF health sector report on hospital rehabilitation. The backlog component also incorporates a rural factor, in keeping with

Government's focus on rural development. As no new information is available regarding its sub-components, the backlog component remains unchanged.

Table E12 Calculation of backlog component

Percentage	Health	Education	Rural	Weighted
				share
Weighting	18,0	40,0	42,0	100,0
Eastern Cape	16,3	22,0	21,3	20,7
Free State	3,8	7,8	4,4	5,6
Gauteng	10,8	6,3	1,2	5,0
KwaZulu-Natal	16,0	23,5	25,5	23,0
Limpopo	27,5	20,4	23,3	22,9
Mpumalanga	9,2	7,5	9,1	8,5
Northern Cape	1,2	1,2	1,3	1,3
North West	9,1	7,5	11,6	9,5
Western Cape	6,1	3,9	2,3	3,6
Total	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0

Basic components

The basic component is derived from each province's share of the total population of the country. This component has been updated with 2001 Census data and table E13 shows the new weighted target share.

Table E13 Basic component shares

Thousands	200	3/04	2004 Medium-	term estimates
	1996 Census population	Weighted share	2001 Census population	Weighted target share
		(%)		(%)
Eastern Cape	6 303	15,5	6 437	14,4
Free State	2 634	6,5	2 707	6,0
Gauteng	7 348	18,1	8 837	19,7
KwaZulu-Natal	8 417	20,7	9 426	21,0
Limpopo	4 929	12,1	5 274	11,8
Mpumalanga	2 801	6,9	3 123	7,0
Northern Cape	840	2,1	823	1,8
North West	3 355	8,3	3 669	8,2
Western Cape	3 957	9,7	4 524	10,1
Total	40 584	100,0	44 820	100,0

Institutional component

The institutional component recognises that some costs associated with running a government, and providing services, are not directly related to the size of a province's population. It is therefore distributed equally between provinces, as was the in previous years. It constitutes 5 per cent of the total equitable share, of which each province gets 11,1 per cent.

Conditional grants to provinces

Schedules 4 and 5 of the Division of Revenue Bill list all conditional grants to provinces. Conditional grants are a small but significant portion of provincial revenue. These grants were introduced in 1998 to provide for national priorities and compensate provinces for cross-boundary use of services, particularly in hospital services. The current conditional grant system has been shaped by reforms introduced through successive Division of Revenue Acts since 2000. These

reforms have contributed to clarifying accountability between spheres. They have also helped sharpen description of policy objectives and grant outputs, thus resulting in improved use of grants in speeding delivery, and the strengthening of Parliamentary oversight. However, the recent reports of the Auditor-General for the 2002/03 financial year indicate that many national departments do not fully comply with the Act, as their monitoring systems for such grants are 'ineffective', and the audit 'could not be satisfied that the transfer payments were utilised as stipulated'³.

A major change in conditional grant funding is in the shift of the *Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP)* component of the *Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP)*, from health to education. In terms of the objectives of the grant and actual spending, the grant funded actual school feeding, administration of school feeding and a range of nutrition related activities and administration in provincial health departments. From 2004 national and provincial education departments will administer the school-feeding portion of the grant, so funding has been transferred to the national Department of Education. A portion of the grant funding to other non-school feeding remains as a health conditional grant with the national Department of Health until the end of 2005/06; thereafter it is phased into the provincial equitable share.

Allocations

Table E14 provides a summary of conditional grants by sector and province for 2004/05. Conditional grants to provinces amount to R21,2 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R29,9 billion in 2006/07, an average annual increase of 21 per cent over the next three years. Eight departments administer grants, with health (R7,7 billion), housing (R4,6 billion), CSG extension (R3,7 billion) and infrastructure grants (R3,3 billion) being the largest grants.

Table E14 Conditional grants to provinces, 2004/05

R million	Agriculture	Health	Provincial and Local Government	Provincial Infrastructure Grant	Housing	Education	Social Develop- ment	Sport and Recreation South Africa	Total
Eastern Cape	42	628	44	609	611	200	1,004	1	3,138
Free State	19	620	34	199	395	57	288	1	1,613
Gauteng	6	2,609	21	332	1,140	93	259	1	4,461
KwaZulu-Natal	41	1,209	41	706	776	211	861	1	3,847
Limpopo	33	318	24	593	381	173	640	1	2,164
Mpumalanga	24	227	24	255	304	74	298	1	1,208
Northern Cape	13	179	25	159	93	25	79	1	573
North West	32	268	24	288	430	82	466	1	1,591
Western Cape	17	1,596	24	205	460	47	213	1	2,564
Total	227	7,655	261	3,348	4,589	961	4,108	9	21,158

More detailed information, including the formula for each grant, is provided in the attached Appendix E1. The framework provides the conditions for the grant, the outputs expected, the allocation criteria to divide the grant between provinces, the audit outcome in 2002/03 and any other material issues to be addressed. Table E15 presents a summary of all the conditional grants listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the Bill for the 2003 MTEF.

³ General report of the Auditor-General on the audit outcomes for the financial year ended 31 March 2003, page 94

-

Table E15 Conditional grants per sector, 2003/04 - 2006/07

Table E13 Conditional grants per sector, 2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
R million				
Provincial and Local Government	298	261	44	46
Local Government Capacity Building Fund	232	220	_	_
Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG	38	41	44	46
Disaster Management	27	_	_	_
National Treasury	2,534	3,348	3,731	4,118
Provincial Infrastructure	2,334	3,348	3,731	4,118
Provincial Infrastructure - Flood Rehabilitation	200	-	_	_
Education	1,144	961	1,048	1,243
Financial Management and Quality Enhancement	213	_	_	_
HIV and Aids	132	129	136	144
Early Childhood Development	88	_	_	_
Primary School Nutrition Programme	712	832	912	1,098
Health	6,711	7,655	8,486	9,228
National Tertiary Services	3,995	4,273	4,529	4,801
Health Professions Training and Development	1,333	1,434	1,520	1,520
Hospital Revitalisation	718	912	1,027	1,180
Hospital Construction - Academic Hospitals	92	_	_	_
Comprehensive HIV and Aids Grant	334	782	1,135	1,567
Integrated Nutrition Programme	97	112	123	_
Hospital Management and Quality Improvement	133	142	150	159
Medico-legal	9	_	_	_
Social Development	1,654	4,108	7,362	9,774
HIV and Aids (Community-Based Care)	66	70	74	79
Child Support Extension	1,200	3,650	6,900	9,284
Food Emergency Relief	388	388	388	411
Agriculture	36	227	290	345
Land Care: Poverty Relief and Infrastructure Development	36	27	40	45
Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme	_	200	250	300
Housing	4,355	4,589	4,868	5,160
Housing Subsidy	4,246	4,474	4,745	5,030
Human Resettlement and Redevelopment	109	116	122	130
Sport and Recreation South Africa	_	9	24	39
Mass Sport and Recreation Participation Programme	_	9	24	39
Total	16,733	21,158	25,853	29,953

Health grants

Health administers 6 conditional grants, constituting about 36,2 per cent of total conditional grants to provinces. This share declines to 30,8 by 2006/07, mainly due to the rapid growth in Social Development grants. Health grants are R7,7 billion in 2004/05, and are budgeted to increase at an annual average rate of 7,5 per cent to R9,2 billion by 2006/07. The National Tertiary Services grant (NTS grant) and the Health Professions Training and Development grant (HPTD) are the largest grants administered by the national Department of Health. The HIV and Aids and Hospital Revitilisation grants grow more rapidly over the MTEF (67,5 and 18 per cent annually).

The Comprehensive HIV and Aids grant, in addition to other interventions, is one of the key funding streams to mitigate the impact of the disease. An amount of R1,9 billion (R300 million, R600 million and R1 billion) is added to the baseline allocation of the grant in this budget to implement a comprehensive HIV and Aids care over the three years. The grant increases from R334 million in 2003/04 to R1,6 billion in 2006/07 to support various aspects of the programme. In addition to providing for ARV rollout, the grant provides for post exposure prophylaxis for

victims of sexual abuse, rollout of mother-to-child transmission prevention and targeted interventions for commercial sex workers – whilst still maintaining other HIV and Aids prevention programmes. The 2003 Adjustment Budget provided R90 million to the health sector to undertake preparatory work for the roll out of ARV.

The *Hospital Revitalisation grant* plays a key role in funding upgrading and replacement of hospital infrastructure and focuses particularly on projects in which an entire hospital is addressed. The grant includes a component aimed at improving systems for medical equipment. After a 17 per cent increase to R718 million in 2003/04 the Hospital Revitalisation grant is allocated R912 million in 2004/05 and R1 billion in 2005/06. A further R91 million is added to the grant in 2006/07 taking it to R1,2 billion. Over the next three years, the grant will fund the revitalisation of 27 hospitals, three in each province.

The National Tertiary Services grant (NTS grant) has declined in real terms for Gauteng and Western Cape mainly due to the anticipated scaling down of the number of hospitals offering tertiary services, and a corresponding shift of lower levels of care to community and district hospitals. However, such restructuring requires a broad strategy to shift staff, resources, assets, and a realistic phasing-in period. At a technical level, the national Department of Health and National Treasury recognise the need for a review of the funding of academic hospitals, and its link to the tertiary services grant. Such a review must also inform Government on the long-term vision for such hospitals and for tertiary services, their distribution between provinces, the restructuring required to effect such transformation, and the link to the financing of academic hospitals and university medical faculties. The review will also inform the comprehensive review of the equitable share formula and conditional grants, with a view to rationalising the number and size of health conditional grants, and the distribution formulae for any grants recommended through the review. The health sector will also finalise the Modernisation of Tertiary Services Project, which is examining a ten-year framework for future provision of highly specialised services. The outcome of these two projects will inform Government's approach to future funding of tertiary services from 2005 onwards.

The NTS grant is R4,3 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R4,8 billion in 2006/07 and targets subspeciality service units in 27 hospitals spread across provinces. Due to historic patterns of tertiary services, Western Cape and Gauteng receive 66,3 per cent of the grant as they provide a large proportion of these sophisticated services for the benefit of the health sector countrywide.

The *Health Professions Training and Development grant (HPTD)* compensates provinces for their role in supporting teaching and training of health science students. It increases from R1,4 billion in 2004/05 to R1,5 billion in 2006/07. The largest portion is distributed to provinces according to a formula based on the number of current medical students. In the 2002 Budget, an additional developmental component was introduced to provide for a phased increase in the number of medical specialists and registrars in historically under-served provinces to address inter-provincial inequities in post-graduate training capacity. This additional component amounts to R227 million over five years. The grant is kept constant in nominal terms in 2006/07, pending completion of the review of this grant and its improved alignment with higher educational funding streams.

The *Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP)* component of the *Integrated Nutrition Programme (INP) shifts* to education from 2004/05. The health sector will manage a small portion of the INP which assists malnourished pre-school children under the age of five. Health retains R112 million in 2004/05 and R123 million in 2005/06 to continue with the programme for another two years after which funding for this component shifts to the equitable share formula.

The Hospital Management and Quality Improvement grant is allocated R142 million in 2004/05, increasing to R159 million in 2006/07. This grant facilitates a range of management development initiatives, including personnel, and procurement delegations and financial management capacity. It also supports the implementation of a range of hospital quality of care interventions specified in

the national policy and can be seen as complimentary to the aims of the hospital revitalisation programme.

Education grants

For the past three years, the national Department of Education managed grants for Financial Management and Quality Enhancement, Early Childhood Development and HIV and Aids. The Early Childhood Development and Financial Management and Quality Enhancement grants have been phased into the provincial equitable share for the 2004 Budget.

Starting this year, the education sector will be responsible for the management of the Primary School Nutrition Programme (PSNP). The PSNP is allocated R832 million in 2004/05, R912 million in 2005/06 and R1,1 billion in 2006/07.

The funding for the HIV and Aids programme for life skills education in schools increases from R132 million in 2003/04 to R144 million in 2006/07.

National Treasury grants

The provincial infrastructure grant is increased by R2,0 billion over the next three years and grows from R2,5 billion in 2003/04 to R3,3 billion in 2004/05 and is budgeted to grow to R4,1 billion by 2006/07. Over the next three years, provincial infrastructure spending, in addition to provincial own capital funding, will be boosted by R11,2 billion. This growing allocation is in line with Governments aim of stimulating rural and provincial economic development and addressing unemployment through an Expanded Public Works Programme. In addition, the growth in this grant enables Government to direct funds towards provinces with large backlogs, without neglecting provinces that have inherited higher levels of infrastructure. Provinces are expected to use these funds mainly for rehabilitation and construction of roads, schools, and health facilities and to address infrastructure needs for rural development focusing on agriculture. Provincial treasuries administer this grant and allocations are made to the line departments. In order to deal effectively with backlogs, the provincial division has been effected using a combination of the equitable share formula and backlog component.

Housing grants

The Department of Housing administers two grants. The Housing Subsidy grant provides subsidies for low-income housing, and the Human Settlement Redevelopment grant funds urban pilot projects. Following significant growth in the Housing Subsidy grant in 2002, the 2003 Budget provided an additional R373 million for inflation adjustment of subsidies. The Housing Subsidy grant increases from R4,5 billion in 2004/05 to R5,0 billion in 2006/07. The Human Settlement grant increases from R116 million in 2004/05 to R130 million in 2006/07. Past and present spending trends on these grants reflect some sluggishness. However, following the National Housing Summit held during November 2003, and with the review of the programmes to be undertaken by the Department of Housing and National Treasury, it is expected that some of the impediments to speedy and efficient delivery will be reduced or eradicated paving the way to faster housing delivery.

Department of Provincial and Local Government Grants to Provinces

The Department of Provincial and Local Government transfers two grants to provinces – Local Government Capacity Building Fund and the Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG – to enable provinces to assist municipalities.

The Local Government Capacity Building Fund supports institutional arrangements and assists municipalities facing service delivery challenges. This fund is allocated R220 million in 2004/05 and will be phased out in 2005/06 and consolidated into the local government equitable share.

Further, project management support is provided to municipalities through provinces to implement the infrastructure programme. This component of the grant will be reviewed in 2004/05. Provinces are allocated R41 million in 2004/05, increasing to R46 million in 2006/07.

Social development grants

The Department of Social Development manages conditional grants to extend coverage of the child support grant to children until they reach the age of 14 years, ensure food security and to bolster HIV and Aids community-based care.

The Child Support Extension grant amounts to R3,7 billion in 2004/05 increasing to R6,9 billion in 2005/06 and R9,3 billion in 2006/07. The grant will fund the phased extension of the means-tested child support grant to children until they reach the age of 14 years. The phasing which started with 7 and 8 year old children in 2003/04, is extended to 9 and 10 year old children in 2004/05 and 11, 12 and 13 year-old children in 2005/06. These allocations also make provision for reasonable administration and payment costs as well as the carry-through cost of the phasing in.

The aim of the Food Relief grant is to provide emergency food assistance to individuals and households facing the risk of food security due to various factors such as drought or rapid rise in food prices, as was the case in 2002/03. The Food Relief grant amounts to R388 million in 2004/05, growing to R411 million by 2006/07.

The HIV and Aids Integrated Plan grant amounts to R70 million in 2004/05, increasing to R74 million in 2005/06 and R79 million in 2006/07. The main focus of this grant is to facilitate the implementation of an integrated HIV and Aids programme through home and community based care.

Agriculture grants

The Department of Agriculture has allocated R112 million to provinces over the next three years to implement the Land Care Programme from its allocation for poverty alleviation. The goal of the National Land Care Programme is to promote the sustainable use and management of natural resources. This is to encourage and empower communities to take responsibility for the management of resources in order to support food security and job creation through increased productivity. Some of the themes within the programme include: water care, soil care, veld care and junior land care.

A new grant has been introduced in Agriculture to support the implementation of the Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme (CASP). The agriculture sector has formulated the CASP as a strategy to provide effective agricultural support and to streamline the provision of services to meet the needs of developing farmers. Increasing access to agricultural services to these farmers is critical for the performance of land reform programme, especially LRAD. Although the implementation of the land reform programme is a national competency, the provision of agricultural support services is the responsibility of provincial departments of agriculture. Without these services the national land reform programme cannot fully achieve its objective to improve food security and alleviate poverty. The sector is allocated R200 million, R250 million and R300 million over the MTEF years to enhance its capacity to support developing farmers. Agriculture will also get additional resources from the infrastructure grant for the development and rehabilitation of agriculture infrastructure. Given that livestock farming is a major activity in communal areas, the sector has prioritised dipping infrastructure, which will improve animal health and productivity of livestock farms.

Sports and Recreation

The Department of Sport and Recreation has been allocated funds to promote mass participation within historically disadvantaged communities in a selected number of development sporting activities. A grant named 'Mass Participation in Sport' is being introduced to enable the department to transfer funds to provinces. A total of R9 million, R24 million and R39 million have been allocated equally among provinces over the MTEF years.

Part 5: Local Government Allocations

The local government share increases over the next three years by R3,9 billion over baseline (refer to table E3). These additional allocations give effect to Government's commitment to poverty relief and job creation, including the provision of free basic services, infrastructure development and institution building.

Local government's share of nationally raised revenue increases from 4,2 per cent in 2003/04 to 4,6 per cent in 2006/07, growing from R12,4 billion in 2003/04 to R14,2 billion in 2004/05, an increase of 14,5 per cent. The allocation grows to R17,1 billion by the end of the MTEF in 2006/07. Table E16 indicates national transfers to local government for the 2004 MTEF.

Table E16 National transfers to local government, 2003/04 - 2006/07

R million	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Equitable share	6 350	7 678	8 643	9 365
Water Services Operating Subsidy	1 001	858	934	991
Equitable share and related	7 352	8 536	9 578	10 355
Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme	2 246	-	-	-
Water Services Project	1 102	160	139	-
Community Based Public Works Programme	262	-	-	-
Local Economic Development Fund	117	-	-	-
Sport and Recreation facilities	123	132	0	-
National Electrification Programme	230	248	258	-
Urban Transport Fund	9	-	-	-
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	47	4 446	5 193	5 987
Infrastructure	4 137	4 986	5 589	5 987
Restructuring grant	539	343	350	350
Financial management grant	211	198	199	199
Municipal Systems Improvement	151	182	200	200
Current transfers	901	723	749	749
Total transfers to local government	12 390	14 245	15 916	17 091

National allocations are divided into three major categories, namely the equitable share grant (together with the Water Services Operating Subsidy) and conditional grants for municipal infrastructure and capacity building. The unconditional equitable share allocation is the most important national allocation, in accordance with section 214 of the Constitution, and is growing in significance relative to conditional grants. Its share rises from 53 per cent in 2003/04 to 55 per cent in 2006/07. It has also risen significantly over the last eight years, from R1,5 billion in 1995/96, rising to R9,4 billion in 2006/07 (excluding the water operating grant), or close to 6 times what it was in 1995.

The most significant change to local government allocations in 2004/05 is the progress made in the consolidation of infrastructure grants, with the establishment of the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG). The process will be completed over the next two years, by the end of 2005/06. Capacity building and restructuring grants are allocated R2,2 billion over the next three years.

National allocations are an important (and growing) source of revenue for municipalities. In 2003/04 national allocations comprised around 13,7 per cent of total local government budgets, varying from between 3 to 6,7 per cent for metros, and as high as 92,1 per cent in some districts. The shares of national allocations in total municipal budgets (table E17) also differ across provinces, ranging around 4,0 per cent in Gauteng and Western Cape to about 55,2 per cent in Limpopo.

The analysis uses as a basis the size of municipal capital and operating budgets and varies between municipalities reflecting the extent of backlogs, income distribution and fiscal capacity of municipalities, urban municipalities raising more of their own revenue, whilst rural municipalities tend to raise less of their own revenue. Major sources of own revenue include property taxes, regional service levies, user charges on electricity, water, refuse removal and other municipal services. The analysis excludes the allocations for restructuring and disasters that were recently announced.

Table E17 Transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 - 2006/07

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu- lation, 2001	Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹		Total tra	Rand per capita Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹ 2003/04	Transfers as % of budget ³ 2003/04		
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07		%
Eastern Cape	6,437	8,408,598	2,668,968	2,907,371	2,748,413	2,859,786	1,306	31.7%
Free State	2,707	4,904,217	1,176,945	1,292,262	1,111,728	1,121,298	1,812	24.0%
Gauteng	9,391	30,438,218	1,209,673	1,978,028	2,443,720	2,723,958	3,241	4.0%
KwaZulu-Natal	9,426	16,148,377	2,285,974	2,518,908	2,948,951	3,229,342	1,713	14.2%
Limpopo	5,498	3,290,196	1,815,694	2,060,352	2,542,093	2,774,193	598	55.2%
Mpumalanga	2,865	3,505,446	774,097	879,121	1,083,649	1,169,588	1,223	22.1%
Northern Cape	984	1,707,505	429,681	453,520	429,375	396,716	1,735	25.2%
North West	2,988	3,358,821	842,435	993,568	1,117,941	1,256,717	1,124	25.1%
Western Cape	4,524	14,483,860	594,570	680,225	805,983	845,100	3,201	4.1%
Total	44,820	86,245,238	11,798,036	13,763,355	15,231,853	16,376,698	1,924	13.7%

- 1. Includes total municipal capital and operating budgets and applies to the 2003/04 municipal financial year
- 2. Includes equitable share, infrastructure grants, recurrent grants and indirect grants but excludes unallocated transfers, for the national financial year.
- 3. Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

All grants to municipalities are now published per municipality to enable municipalities to plan fully for their coming 2004/05 budgets, and to promote better accountability by ensuring that all national allocations are included in municipal budgets. Table E22 indicates the allocations per municipality, and table E23 does so for the equitable share and infrastructure grants.

The allocations are published for both the national and municipal financial years. The municipal financial year commences three months later than the national and provincial financial year, on 1 July. The allocation in terms of the national financial year serves as the legal appropriation requirement for national and provincial transferring departments. The allocations in terms of the municipal financial year facilitate proper reconciliation for audit purposes.

In determining the allocations for this Budget, Census 2001 data were used to update the current formula. Government is committed to conducting a review of the equitable share formula for the 2005 Budget. The challenge will be to obtain quality disaggregated municipal information.

The equitable share for local government

Background

Section 227 of the Constitution requires that an equitable share of nationally raised revenue be allocated to the local sphere of government to enable it to provide basic services and perform the functions allocated to it. The size of the equitable share allocation to the local sphere of government takes account of the fiscal capacity, fiscal efficiency, developmental needs, extent of poverty and backlogs in municipalities, to the extent that such information is available for all municipalities.

The equitable share grant is an unconditional grant assisting municipalities to supplement their revenue to deliver services to poor households. Table E16 shows that the equitable share increase by R1,4 billion from the 2003/04 allocation of R6,3 billion to R7,7 billion in 2004/05.

The equitable share grant and formula were first introduced in 1998/99. It has undergone a number of changes since its inception, to take account of costs of transformation, data updates and new priorities. Transitional costs include the incorporation of former R293 town subsidies to shift functions from provinces to municipalities (2000/01), followed by R293 personnel subsidies (2001/02), the re-alignment of functions to the newly demarcated municipalities and funding of district municipalities (2002/03), as well as the alignment of the equitable share to the final division of powers and functions (water, sanitation, refuse) between local and district municipalities (2003/04). New priorities since 1998 included the creation of two windows (2003/04) to fund free basic services (electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal), and one window (2002/03) to support the operational costs related to nodal priority programmes in the acceleration of the provision of free basic services to poor communities. Other policy or data updates include adjustments to the method of measuring poverty (from income to expenditure), increasing the poverty threshold from R800 to R1 100 in 2001/02, regular updates to the alpha and beta parameters, and the institutional *I grant*.

No major technical changes have been introduced to the equitable share formula in the 2004 Budget, other than to update it with Census 2001 data that was released in 2003. The guarantee mechanism has also been adjusted to phase in the impact of the new census results. This adjustment is discussed elsewhere below. The census adjustments update population, urban/rural proportions, the number of poor households without access to the basic level of electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal, and the number of poor households spending less than R1 100 per month in a municipality.

In 2002 Government recognised the urgent need to review the formula, given the major restructuring that the local government sphere has undergone since 1998, and announced a comprehensive review for the 2004 Budget. The review is still under way and it is hoped that this process can be completed in time for the 2005 MTEF, but this depends on a number of factors, and the availability of new information for *all* municipalities (and by municipality). These factors include the impact on each municipality of the restructuring of the electricity distribution industry, the shifting of staff from water schemes administered by the national Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, a review of the future of the Regional Services Council Levies, the expected impact of the Property Rates Bill, and the revenue raising potential of every municipality.

Current equitable share formula

The local government equitable share formula used for the 2004 MTEF allocations per municipality is outlined below. The formula includes six budget windows, and allocates the equitable share grant for the 2004/05 *national* financial year. The adjustment of allocations to a *municipal* financial year is effected thereafter.

The allocation per window in the equitable share formula is based on the policy imperatives for the MTEF. Table E18 below indicates that the only significant change made to baseline for the 2004/05 financial year is a 69,2 per cent increase in the free basic services window, which increases from R867 million to R1 467 million. This increase also applies to the two outer years, where the free basic services window increases to R2 255 million in 2005/06 and R2 676 million in 2006/07.

Table E18 Local government equitable share, 2004/05 - 2006/07

	Baseline	Adjustments to baseline (2004 Medium-term estimates)						
R million	2004/05	2004/05 2004/05		200	2005/06		2006/07	
		9	% change		% change		% change	
R293 allocations	263	263	-	184	-30,0	129	-30,0	
S-grant (including guarantees)	4 746	4 746	_	4 866	2,5	5 202	6,9	
I-grant	473	473	-	494	4,4	514	4,0	
Nodal allocations	228	228	-	244	7,0	244	_	
Free basic services (water, sanitation and refuse)	867	1 467	69,2	2 255	53,7	2 676	18,7	
Free basic electricity/energy	500	500	-	600	20,0	600	_	
Total equitable share	7 078	7 678	8,5	8 643	12,6	9 365	8,3	

Each of these windows is discussed below. STATS SA provides the demographic information used for the equitable share.

I-grant

The purpose of the I-grant is to provide resources to municipalities to assist in funding the basic institutional and governance arrangements. The grant is designed to target municipalities with little capacity to fund their own administrative infrastructure. Currently the I-grant formula allocates funding to selected local and district municipalities. Metropolitan and large local municipalities have relatively high fiscal capacity and do not qualify for the grant. The first part of the I-grant formula captures how the administrative costs of a municipality increase with population size. It assumes that these costs increase more slowly than population: larger municipality has more costs, but not proportionately more than a smaller one. The second part of the formula is compensating for the inability of the municipality to fund its own administrative overheads. The formula for the I-grant is:

$$I_i = I_0 P_i^{\gamma} - 0.075 (y_i - 250) P_i I_i = I_0 P_i^{\gamma} - 0.075 (y_i - 250) P_i$$

Where:

 I_0 = a per capita I-grant parameter that serves to determine the total amount of money allocated through the I-grant;

 P_i = is the population in the municipality i;

 $\gamma=~a~scale~parameter~that~could~take~any~value>0~and \le 1;~and$

 y_i = is the average monthly per capita expenditure in municipality i for values of y_i below the stated monthly per capita floor of R250, the term (y_i - per capita floor) is set equal to zero.

The following parameters are applied to arrive at an I-grant allocation per municipality for the 2004 MTEF:

	Parameter	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
I_0	Per capita parameter for category B municipalities	R175 684	R181 392	R186 799
	Per capita parameter for category C municipalities	R266 612	R273 153	R279 053
γ	Scale parameter		0.25	
y _i	Average monthly per capita expenditure threshold		250	
	Population cut-off		5 000	
	Per capita floor		R250	

S-grant

The S-grant is the biggest component within the equitable share grant, and is designed to meet the operating costs of a municipality when providing a package of basic services to low income households. It is important to note that poor households are classified as those spending less than R1 100 per month.

The formula for the S-grant is:

$$S = \alpha \beta L H_i$$

Where : $\alpha = \text{a phase-in parameter with } 0 < \alpha \le 1;$

 $\beta =$ a budget-adjustment parameter, set to adjust the size of the grants to

the available budget;

L = an estimate of the annual cost of providing basic public services; and

 H_i = the number of poor households.

The following parameters are used for the 2004 MTEF, and are the same as the 2003 baseline values (excludes the budget adjustment parameter):

	Parameter	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
α	Rural alpha	0.85	1	1
	Urban alpha	1	1	1
β	Budget adjustment parameter	0,559	0,835	0,909
L	Annual cost of basket of basic services per poor household		R1 032	

The alpha parameters were introduced in recognition of the differences in the financial and administrative capacities of rural and urban municipalities. The alpha values for urban and metropolitan municipalities are set at 1 for the 2004/05 financial year, whilst the alpha values for

the rural municipalities are planned to reach 1 in the 2005/06 financial year. This will take account of capacity to spend efficiently and effectively. The threshold poverty level is set at R1 100 household expenditure per month. Initial indications from Census 2001 and the 2002 Household Survey suggest that based on this threshold 5,5 million households are living in poverty.

Free Basic Services (FBS) and Free Basic Electricity (FBE)

Two components were introduced in the 2003/04 financial year to accelerate the pace for the provision of free basic electricity/energy and free basic services (water, sanitation, refuse) to poor households. These are now the second most important windows in size, set at R2,0 billion in 2004/05, increasing to R3,3 billion in 2006/07. The FBS grant is worked out as the average of two calculations:

o The first calculation is proportional to the S grant, i.e. it depends only on the total number of poor households in the municipality and the urban-rural weighting factor θ . This allocation can be represented as follows:

$$FBS_1 = \theta FH$$

where F is an FBS allocation per poor household and H is the number of poor households in the municipality. If a B municipality does not perform all functions, then a proportionate amount of this FBS allocation is transferred to the appropriate C municipality.

o The second calculation takes into consideration how many poor households receive the particular basic service in question. This allocation can be represented as:

$$FBS_2 = FW * H_1 + FS * H_2 + FR * H_3$$

where FW is an allocation for free water, FS is an allocation for sanitation and FR is an allocation for refuse removal. H_1 is the number of poor households receiving water, H_2 is the number of poor households receiving sanitation services and H_3 is the number of poor households receiving refuse removal services. If a particular B municipality does not provide any of these services, then that part of the allocation is transferred to the appropriate C municipality.

o The final FBS grant is

$$FBS = \frac{1}{2}(FBS_1 + FBS_2)$$

The FBE grant is worked out according to the same process as the FBS grant, but only taking into account infrastructure for poor households as it relates to electricity/energy.

Nodal Allocations

The President announced 21 development nodes in his 2001 State of the Nation Address. Departments were subsequently requested to prioritise funding to these under-developed areas. Additional equitable share allocations have been made available to these nodes for non-infrastructure developmental programmes, beginning in 2002/03. The funding of the nodes is linked to the life span of projects. Similar to previous years, 65 per cent of the nodal equitable share allocation will be allocated to the rural nodes and 35 per cent to the urban nodes. Nodal allocations are based on the S grant and reflected for each municipality designated as a nodal area. Allocations are reflected in the schedules to the Division of Revenue Bill.

R293 allocation

The equitable share allocations for the 2001/02 to 2003/04 financial years included funding for the staff of former R293 towns, which were part of municipalities in the old Bantustans. Their staff and functions were transferred to provinces in 1994, and thereafter to the new municipalities. The R293 allocation originally had two components; one dealing with non-personnel and the other with

personnel. The non-personnel component of the R293 allocation was phased-out and included into the local government equitable share for the 2000/01 financial year. Most staff were only transferred after 2000, and municipalities accepting such staff were guaranteed to receive the full amount for this grant over a three-year period ending 30 June 2004. Thereafter the normal formula allocations will apply, but the guarantee mechanism (as discussed below) will also apply for the next three years. To ensure that R293 staff personnel subsidies are guaranteed at full 70 per cent levels, these allocations are still reflected as a separate window over the next three years. The baseline allocations reduce from R396 million in 2003/04 to R263 million in 2004/05, R184 million in 2005/06 and R129 million in 2006/07. Thereafter, this component will no longer exist.

'Guaranteed' amount

To create stability and prevent the disruption of services, municipalities are guaranteed 70 per cent of their previous year's allocation. However, given the new functions for the 2003/04 financial year for category B and C municipalities, the equitable share allocations have been adjusted to provide funds to the municipality legally assigned the function. The guarantee mechanism does not therefore apply to that portion where a municipality no longer carries out a specific function.

The introduction of the new census data creates substantial shifts in the distribution of allocations between municipalities. To phase in the impact and maintain stability in the system, a 100 per cent guarantee of the 2004/05 indicative allocations and a 70 per cent guarantee of the 2005/06 indicative allocations, as published in terms of the Division of Revenue Act (Act No. 7 of 2003), have been incorporated.

Minimum Allocation

In light of the fact that it is administratively cumbersome to deal with small allocations and that some district municipalities see their allocations dropping to zero since they no longer perform functions related to the provision of basic services, a minimum allocation of R1 million on the overall equitable share allocations to all municipalities has been introduced to provide for other district municipal functions.

Water Services Operating Subsidy

This grant is a transitional operational grant closely related to the local government equitable share grant, in that it should in principle be part of the equitable share. The grant is a transitional and indirect grant, in that it is used to fund over 300 water schemes in municipalities through the Water Trading Account on the vote of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). DWAF has administered a number of these schemes in poor areas prior to 1994. Such schemes are in the process of being transferred to municipalities. The operating grant amounts to R1 001 million in 2003/04, R858 million in 2004/05, R934 million in 2005/06 and R991 million in 2006/07 or a total of R3.8 billion over the MTEF.

DWAF is in the process of transferring schemes over the next two years, for which funding will be phased out from 2006/07. Like the process for the R293 staff, DWAF plans to conclude bilateral negotiations with municipalities by 30 June 2004. All funds on this programme will thereafter be transferred to municipalities directly as soon as such transfers occur. Direct grants to DWAF will be phased progressively downwards and those to municipalities upwards.

The transfer of water schemes involves the transfer of both assets and staff, and the resulting operating costs of salaries and free basic services. The 300 schemes employ 8 094 staff and affect 83 municipalities. Over 40 per cent of the staff is to be transferred to municipalities in Limpopo. Estimated personnel related costs over the three years amount to R393 million. Full costs for the operations of the schemes are being finalised. About 500 of the 8 094 staff have already been transferred to 5 municipalities. The medium-term plan is to transfer 1 000 staff in 2003/04 and up

to 6 500 in the 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years. However, it should be noted that many municipalities are reluctant to accept all the staff or schemes for several reasons: they either already have staff to operate such water schemes, the DWAF personnel are often poorly skilled or are remunerated at levels higher than rural municipalities can afford. Moreover some of these schemes are overstaffed, and DWAF may have to find alternative ways to deal with such staff, rather than shift them to municipalities.

All receiving municipalities will be required to conclude formal transfer agreements where the latest effective date of transfer is 30 June 2005. The operating and transfer subsidy will be treated as a grant-in-kind until the effective date of transfer, and thereafter progressively phased into the equitable share. The operating subsidy will cover staff related costs (HR component) and direct operating and maintenance costs (O component). The allocation per municipality will be according to the operational budget for each scheme and the funding requirements identified and agreed in the transfer agreement. Clear performance targets will be set with the assistance of the Department of Provincial and Local Government and SALGA to complete the process.

Conditional grants to local government

Schedules 6 and 7 of the Division of Revenue Bill present conditional grants to municipalities. Despite the growing importance of the unconditional equitable share grant, conditional grants are still a significant portion of national grants to local government. In particular, conditional grants are used to:

- Incorporate national priorities in municipal budgets
- Promote national norms and standards
- Address backlogs and regional disparities in municipal infrastructure
- Effect transition by supporting capacity-building and restructuring of municipalities.

Total conditional grants to municipalities increase from R6,0 billion in 2003/04, to R6,6 billion in 2004/05, R7,3 billion in 2005/06 and R7,7 billion in 2006/07. There are two categories of conditional grants, infrastructure and capacity-building/restructuring grants. The most significant development for 2004/05 is the establishment of the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant, which replaces all the other current infrastructure grants over the next two years. The capacity building and restructuring grants rise slightly, but are capped at R749 million for the two outer years, and are also rationalised. As a result, significant changes are introduced in the policy framework underlying some grants, particularly in infrastructure and capacity building. Below is a summary of all the conditional grants listed in Schedules 6 and 7 of the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill.

Infrastructure conditional grants to local government

Infrastructure grants are a critical instrument for achieving national Government's objective of expanding the delivery of basic services to poor households and to alleviating poverty. They complement the equitable share allocations to give effect to government's commitment towards poverty relief and the delivery of free basic services.

Infrastructure grants are also aimed at stimulating job creation and ensuring skills transfer over the medium term. Municipalities are therefore required to dedicate a portion of their capital budgets to labour-based infrastructure methods to meet the objectives of the Expanded Public Works Programme. The total allocation for infrastructure is R5,0 billion, R5,6 billion, R6,0 billion for each of the MTEF years.

The Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) gives effect to earlier Cabinet decisions and policy positions on the establishment of a single consolidated funding mechanisms to support municipal infrastructure. The MIG has been set up to merge the following funding programmes in a phased manner over a three-year period commencing in 2004/05:

- Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme, in support of internal bulk, connector infrastructure and community facilities to poor households;
- Water Service Capital Fund, in support of bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at a basic level;
- Community Based Public Works Programme, in support of the creation of community assets in rural, historically disadvantage communities;
- Local Economic Development Fund, in support of planning, and implementation of job creation and poverty alleviation;
- Building for Sport and Recreation Programme, in support of promoting sport and recreation facilities within disadvantage communities; and
- Electrification funding in support of addressing the electrification backlog of permanently occupied residential dwellings that are situated in historically under-supplied areas.

The MIG is a new infrastructure transfer mechanism and is geared to making the system of transfers to municipalities simpler, more certain and direct. Its conditions are more flexible, designed to support the capital budgets of municipalities, and to facilitate integrated development planning. The MIG will not fund specific projects, but is designed to complement the capital budgets of municipalities (similar to the provincial infrastructure grant). Reporting on spending will therefore be on the entire capital budget of municipalities, which also has to ensure that there are sufficient operational budgets in the future to fund such capital expenditure.

Much technical work has been undertaken over the past 6 months to ensure that the phasing in of the grant is smooth and fully operational by 2006/07, if not sooner, especially for the larger, more well capacitated municipalities. To this end, all committed and uncommitted infrastructure transfers to local government (except for the Sport and Recreation Programme and the Electrification Programme) have been moved to the vote of the Department of Provincial and Local Government with effect from 1 April 2004. Commitments made by the respective line departments prior to 30 September 2003 will be honoured by the municipalities through the imposition of conditions on the grant.

The above arrangement will not apply to those commitments where DWAF is the implementing agent, and is committed by way of contracts as part of its capital programme. These funds will be retained on the vote of DWAF over the next two years, before fully phasing into the MIG.

The MIG policy also makes provision for various capacities of municipalities namely, highly capacitated, medium capacitated and low capacitated municipalities. The fifty highly capacitated municipalities, as measured by budget size, will receive their allocations directly from the grant. The final allocations equal either the existing commitments for the municipality or the allocation as calculated by the MIG formula, whichever is higher. This arrangement is applicable to all municipalities categorised as having high capacity and that will be able to produce medium term capital plans and budgets, reflecting all projects to be funded in each sector.

Municipalities that are not classified as highly capacitated will receive their committed and uncommitted allocations via the district municipality in whose jurisdiction they fall. After determining the allocations to the highly capacitated municipalities, the remainder of the funds will be used to meet existing commitments in the medium and poorly capacitated municipalities. The Department of Provincial and Local Government will ensure that a plan exists to build in-house capacity over the next two to three years to ensure that these municipalities graduate into highly capacitated municipalities over the shortest possible time so that they could manage this programme allowing for funding to flow directly to them. Various levels of support will be rendered to municipalities either by the national government, provincial government and Project Management Units (PMU's) to ensure the smooth implementation of the MIG programme.

Medium-capacitated local municipalities that are able to submit three-year capital plans by 30 June 2004 will, however, qualify to receive allocations directly from the district municipality.

The MIG gives municipalities a central role in coordinating development activity and the delivery of municipal infrastructure within their jurisdictions. The MIG is focused on achieving a number of output conditions, including the achievement of service coverage targets, employment creation and linking Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) and budgets. The role of national government would be to support, and monitor policy outcomes and regulate municipal infrastructure investments. Crucially, the policy reform around infrastructure grants will bring the grant system in line with the general direction and path of the intergovernmental system, which is focused towards improving the capacity, efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and accountability of the local government sphere, and making integrated development plans the primary mechanisms for intergovernmental coordination.

The grant frameworks of the respective programmes reflect the output-based reporting as required in terms of the Division of Revenue Act. Municipalities will be required to report on spending and progress in implementing projects, focusing on priority areas, such as water and sanitation, refuse removal, roads and community facilities as well as reporting on labour utilisation. Municipalities will be required to improve the quality and content of annual reports. In this regard, specific outputs and outcomes will be monitored through this reporting mechanism.

MIG Formula

There are five main components of the formula, after accounting for funds flowing to the Special Municipal Infrastructure Fund (SMIF) (4 per cent of total funds) for innovation and region-wide programmes. The SMIF is an in-year allocation made by the Department of Provincial and Local Government to municipalities.

For the 2004/05 municipal financial year, the application of the formula begins with determining the allocation due to each municipality using the formula. Depending on the level of existing commitments and the capacity of the municipality, a proportion will flow as MIG funds to the municipality as described above. The key condition over this initial period is the submission of three-year capital spending plans by municipalities conforming to MIG criteria. The other applicable conditions and outputs are contained in the MIG framework as per the Division of Revenue Gazette.

$$\mathbf{MIG}_{(F)} = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{M}$$

• **B** Basic residential infrastructure (new and rehabilitated)

Proportional allocations for water supply and sanitation (72%), electricity (0%), roads (23%) and 'other' (5%) (Street lighting and solid waste removal)

- P Public municipal service infrastructure (new and rehabilitated)
- E Allocation for social institutions and micro-enterprises infrastructure
- N Allocation to all nodal municipalities
- M Negative or positive allocation related to past performance of each municipality relative to grant conditions

Over the 2004 MTEF, R15,7 billion is available for the MIG Programme. The Sport and Recreation Programme and the Electricity Programme are excluded from the MIG programme in 2004/05. The Electricity Programme will only be included in the MIG allocations after two years

upon finalisation of the restructuring of the electricity distribution industry. Until such time, the electricity portion has been shifted to water and sanitation over the 2004/05 to 2006/07 medium-term because existing commitments exceed the amounts determined by the MIG formula. This effectively gives the water and sanitation component the largest allocation at 72 per cent (or R2,3 billion, R2,7 billion and R3,1 billion in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 respectively) of the B component.

Table E19 Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) allocations per sector, 2004/05 - 2006/07

	Weighted	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
R million	share	Mediu	nates	
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	100,0%	4 446	5 193	5 987
Special Municipal Infrastructure Fund and Management	4,0%	178	208	239
Municipal Infrastructure Grant (formula)	96,0%	4 268	4 985	5 748
of which Municipal Infrastructure Grant (formula)	100,0%	4 268	4 985	5 748
B Component	75,0%	3 201	3 739	4 311
Water and sanitation	72,0%	2 305	2 692	3 104
Electricity	0,0%	_	_	_
Roads	23,0%	736	860	991
Other	5,0%	160	187	216
P Component	15,0%	640	748	862
E Component	5,0%	213	249	287
N Component	5,0%	213	249	287

Table E19 captures the way in which the funds are distributed by each component using data from STATS SA to municipalities. The formula allocations have been adjusted to provide funds to the municipality legally assigned the function to perform the particular service, in line with Government Gazette No. 24228 of 3 January 2003 issued by the Minister of Provincial and Local Government.

Table E20 Horizontal Distribution of Funds ¹				
B Component				
Water & Sanitation	Number of Water Backlogs in Municipality * 0.5 * W & S Allocation +			
	Total Number of Water Backlogs in SA			
	Number of Sanitation Backlogs in Municipality * 0.5 * W & S Allocation			
	Total Number of Sanitation Backlogs in SA			
	Backlog = Household with less than basic access to water and sanitation			
	Basic access to water = Access to water within 200m of dwelling			
	Basic access to sanitation = Pit Latrine with Ventilation			
Electricity	Number of Electricity Backlogs in Municipality * Electricity Allocation			
	Total Number of Electricity Backlogs in SA			
	Backlog = Household with no access to electricity for lighting			
	Basic access to electricity = Use of electricity for lighting			
Roads	Number of Roads Backlogs in Municipality * Roads Allocation			
	Total Number of Roads Backlogs in SA			
	Backlog = Household living in an informal dwelling			
Other	Number of Other Backlogs in Municipality * Other Allocation			
	Total Number of Other Backlogs in SA			
	Backlog = Household with less than basic access to refuse removal			
	Basic = Refuse removal by municipality at least on a weekly basis			
New and Rehabilitated Infrastructure	Assume an 80:20 % split between new and rehabilitated infrastructure.			
P Component	Number of Poor Households in Municipality * P Allocation			
•	Total Number of Poor Households in SA			
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month			
New and Rehabilitated Infrastructure	Assume an 80:20 % split between new and rehabilitated infrastructure.			
E Component	Number of Poor Households in Municipality * E Allocation			
P	Total Number of Poor Households in SA			
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month			
N Component	Number of Poor Households in Nodal Areas in Municipality * N Allocation			
r	Total Number of Poor Households in all Nodal Areas			
	Poverty threshold = R1100 household expenditure per month			

^{1.} All the data used in the formula has been obtained from STATS SA; namely Census 2001 and poverty data based on imputed household income

Capacity-building and restructuring grants

There are two capacity-building grants, the Financial Management Grant and the Municipal Systems Improvement Programme, and a Restructuring Grant. These grants totalled R901 million in 2003/04, and reduce to R723 million in 2004/05 and R749 million each for 2005/06 and 2006/07. Government has limited the size of these grants to R749 million, and intends to reduce them further after 2006/07, as such grants should by their nature build capacity initially and phasedown thereafter. Such reductions also benefit the equitable share, which gains from any reductions in the capacity-building grants.

Over the past years, national and provincial governments have committed significant resources to capacity building. It is not clear what, or whether, capacity building funds have in fact brought about improved capacity in municipalities. Emphasis is now shifting towards building in-house municipal capacity, improve service delivery and value-for-money. For this reason, such grants will, in future, be allocated to municipalities directly, rather than to provincial or national departments. Government is also focusing its efforts on greater coordination between capacity-building initiatives.

The capacity-building grants were set up to assist municipalities in building management, planning, technical, budgeting and financial management skills.

The Department of Provincial and Local Government is working closely with sector departments to develop a comprehensive capacity-building strategy. An interim framework for municipal capacity building regulates the alignment of capacity-building programmes. In line with this approach, the Local Government Capacity Building Grant, which is currently distributed via provinces to municipalities, will be incorporated into the Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG) and will be transferred directly to municipalities.

The *Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)* under the vote of the Provincial and Local Government Department assists municipalities to build in-house capacity through district and selected local municipal support and focuses on stabilising municipal and governance systems, supporting Planning and Implementation Management Support centres (PIMS), reviewing IDP's and implementing the Municipal Systems Act. To date 44 PIMS centres have been established in 44 districts and a further 3 are in the process of being established and IDPs have been completed and are currently being implemented. Allocations over the 2004 MTEF amount to a further R582 million.

The Financial Management Grant under the National Treasury vote funds budget and financial management reforms, including building in-house municipal capacity to implement multi-year budgeting, link integrated development plans to budgets, produce quality and timely in-year and annual reports, as well as the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act. It also includes a provision for an international technical assistance programme. In 2000 a pilot programme commenced to develop the reforms in selected municipalities. The number of participating municipalities grew from the initial 7 in 2000 to 63 in 2003. Countrywide roll out was promoted in 2003 and by the end of 2004 all municipalities will be participating in the programme. The programme provides for the gearing of international support and direct allocations to municipalities. The allocations amounted to R50 million, R60 million, R154 million and R212 million in 2000/01, 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04, respectively. The 2004 MTEF provides for a further R599 million. During 2003 sixteen international financial advisors were placed in selected municipalities to support the implementation of the reforms. This is to expand over the medium-term to over thirty advisors. Furthermore, to facilitate skills development in financial management, municipalities have utilised the grant to appoint over one hundred Municipal Finance Management Interns.

The *Restructuring Grant* under the National Treasury vote is a demand driven grant and is aimed at funding municipal restructuring initiatives of a financial, institutional and developmental nature that are locally designed and owned. Only large municipalities are eligible for this grant. Following an initial slow take-up rate on the restructuring grant, a noticeable increase in demand occurred during 2003. Multi-year contracts will be concluded with several new municipalities, and future efforts will focus on assessing the successful implementation in terms of agreed milestones. The grant has been capped at R350 million from 2006 and will be reviewed thereafter. The City of Johannesburg's restructuring plan encompassed a wide range of institutional and financial reforms including the implementation of iGoli 2002 strategy. First time applications from eligible municipalities were of a poor quality and standard. Three municipalities were allocated the grant R99 million during 2003, Cacadu District, Msunduzi and Mangaung local municipalities. Applications were received from Buffalo City, Emfuleni, Polokwane, uMhlatuze, Sol Plaatje, Matjhabeng, Mogale City, local municipalities and from the five metropolitan municipalities. These applications are being evaluated and it is anticipated that, for successful municipalities, funding will be disbursed by March 2004.

Part 6: Way Forward

The first decade of democracy witnessed remarkable progress in the development, evolution and consolidation of South Africa's intergovernmental grants system. Intergovernmental executive and legislative structures have been established. These include, among others:

- The National Council of Provinces which includes the Premiers, representatives of provincial legislatures and organised local government;
- The Presidential Coordinating Council comprising the President, Premiers and Minister of Provincial and Local Government Affairs
- The Budget Council constituted by the Minister of Finance and the MECs for Finance
- The Budget Forum, which is the Budget Council extended with representation from organised local government
- Sectoral MinMECs made up of the national minister and provincial MECs responsible for concurrent functions such as education, health, welfare, housing, agriculture and others
- Joint MinMECs which bring together sectoral MinMECs with Budget Council and in the case of local government, with the Budget Forum.

These are the building blocks on which the intergovernmental system has evolved. They bring together democratically elected representatives with the view of facilitating alignment of intergovernmental policy programmes and implementation across the three spheres. Several technical forum(s) of officials support each of these forums. Effective intergovernmental coordination among the three spheres during the first ten years of democracy saw the successful implementation of the following reforms, which have now become permanent features of the intergovernmental system:

- Introduction of three-year rolling budgets the Medium Term Expenditure Framework which
 initially focused on national and provincial budgets, and has been extended to local government
 in the last two years, with three year allocations of national transfers now published four
 months before municipalities table their budgets. Together with the early publication of the
 Medium term Budget Policy Statement, this gives South Africans advance indication of the
 future direction of government policies and sets out how coming budgets will give expression
 to national policies affecting them.
- Strategic planning, financial management and reporting, publication of performance information and other reforms underpinned by the Public Finance Management Act Promulgated on 1999.

• The enactment of the Municipal Finance Management Act, which is due to take effect in July this year, setting out the legal framework for extending all the reforms that have been implemented at national and provincial levels to local government, some of which are already being piloted in several municipalities.

In addition, the last decade saw the development and application of objective redistributive provincial and local government formulae that have been used to determine allocation of resources within each sphere. Notwithstanding that these formulae have been reviewed, revised and updated as circumstances change or new information became available, with the ending of the first decade of democracy, Census 2001 results released and more clarity on the division of powers and functions among spheres including the shifting of social grants and restructuring of electricity distribution, it is opportune that a more in-depth fiscal review of the intergovernmental grant system be undertaken. The review will be broad ranging and all encompassing.

Provincial fiscal framework

The review will consider the taxation and borrowing powers of provinces. With the passage of the Provincial Tax Regulation Process Act, Western Cape is in the process of applying for a surcharge on the fuel levy. Further, the last Budget Council lekgotla has also raised whether it is feasible for provinces to borrow with their limited fiscal capacity, and given the nature of their functions, many of which like education, health and social grants have no potential to raise significant revenue. The fiscal review will examine the way forward on the taxation and borrowing powers of provinces, taking into account past policy papers that have informed the current provincial fiscal framework.

With regard to the provincial grant formulae, it is instructive to assess the outcome of the current grants framework. The table below indicates how redistributive the current equitable share allocations are. It should be borne in mind that the actual allocations and percentages are outcomes of the formula (and underlying that, of the policy parameters and weightings of components). These outcomes can guide how the formula should be revised for the 2005 and future budgets. The table indicates that in 2004/05, the equitable share allocation per head is redistributive, from Gauteng which is 22 per cent lower than the per capita average, Western Cape at 11 per cent and to a lesser extent KwaZulu-Natal (2 per cent). The province that gains the most is Northern Cape (31 per cent), Eastern Cape (17 per cent) and Limpopo (16 per cent), and to a lesser extent Free State (9 per cent), Mpumalanga (4 per cent) and North West (1 per cent).

Table E21 Available resources: Per capita percentage above or below national average

		2004/05			1998/99	
	Equitable share (ES)	ES and conditional grants	ES, conditional grants and own revenue	Equitable share (ES)	ES and conditional grants	ES, conditional grants and own revenue
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Eastern Cape	17.5	15.8	14.7	14.5	9.7	8.5
Free State	9.2	11.2	12.0	5.8	5.9	6.1
Gauteng	-22.2	-18.8	-17.4	-18.3	-11.7	-9.4
KwaZulu-Natal	-1.7	-3.1	-3.5	-5.5	-3.6	-4.9
Limpopo	15.8	12.4	10.5	8.5	3.4	1.2
Mpumalanga	4.1	1.5	-0.4	-4.5	-9.5	-11.6
Northern Cape	30.7	32.7	38.3	19.9	13.1	24.4
North West	1.3	0.2	-0.4	4.5	-1.0	-0.7
Western Cape	-11.3	-7.7	-5.6	3.3	10.5	12.2

Conditional grants, however, tend to make the allocations less redistributive. This is not surprising as most tertiary services and medical training (the largest provincial conditional grants) are

provided in academic hospitals in wealthier provinces like Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. Hence, Gauteng and Western Cape lose less per capita at 19 and 8 per cent respectively, whilst KwaZulu-Natal loses 3 per cent. Eastern Cape (16 per cent), Limpopo and Mpumalanga (2 per cent) lose slightly, whilst Northern Cape and Free State gain significantly. If own revenue collected is added, the Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal budgets are lower per capita by 17, 6 and 3 per respectively, whilst Eastern Cape, Mpumalanga and North West are average, and all other provinces budget more per head on their revenue side.

Compared to 1998/9, more (equitable share) funds are redistributed per head in 2004/05 from Gauteng, Western Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. More funds flow per head to Northern Cape, Eastern Cape, Limpopo, Free State and Mpumalanga. Only the North West receives less funds per head than in 1998/99.

A second factor to assess on provincial grants is to compare the adjusted 2003/04 budget shares for social grants, and the social sectors, compared to the weight in the formula. Most striking is that provinces expect to spend 29,3 per cent of their total equitable share allocations on social development budgets, compared to its 18 per cent weighting. Similarly, total social services expenditure on education, health and social development (excluding the tertiary services and training grants) is now 85,6 per cent compared to its weighting of 78 per cent.

These are the sorts of factors that will require further analysis going forward, to determine the adequacy of funding for provinces, given the functions they are expected to deliver on.

Local government fiscal framework

More than provinces, the local government fiscal framework will require the greatest adjustments, given the significant changes expected. The restructuring of the electricity industry will have significant impact on the budgets of municipalities. A related issue will be whether REDs are funded directly for the provision of electricity to poor households, or whether this is done via municipalities using the REDs as an external provider in terms of the Municipal Systems Act. The future of the RSC levies will also be reviewed, and if replaced, will affect the current intergovernmental framework for local government. Any increase in fiscal capacity of municipalities through the new Property Rates Bill will also affect how allocations are divided between municipalities, as fiscal capacities will be affected differently between municipalities. The shifting of functions or new functions like municipal health, public transport and their subsidies, municipal roads, municipal police, municipal housing and other shared functions will also have to be taken into account. Hence the review of the fiscal framework, taking account of the above shifts in functions, will probably be very significant.

Municipalities will also be affected by the transfer of water schemes and their staff, which will also have to be taken into account when finalising the grant framework. This may have to be addressed in a similar manner as with the R293 staff transfer.

Whilst the intentions is to review all conditional grants, the new Municipal Infrastructure Grant formula is not expected to change significantly. On the other hand, the current equitable share formula was adopted in 1998, and has had to be adjusted to take account of the many changes to municipalities over the last 4 years, including the new municipal system introduced in December 2000. Though it is widely accepted that this formula needs to be restructured, any new formula is dependent on reliable information available per municipality. Official surveys conducted by STATS SA tend to be reliable only at a provincial level, and many municipalities are unable to provide relevant information on their revenue or expenditure in terms of internationally accepted economic classifications. The problem facing the allocations system is that it needs only one weak municipality to render unusable any information collected from all other municipalities. National allocations are an important (and growing) source of revenue for municipalities. In 2003/04

national allocations comprised around 13,7 per cent of total local government budgets, varying from between 3,0 to 6,7 per cent for metros, up to a maximum of 92,1 per cent for the Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge) in Limpopo province. The variance of the share of national allocations between municipalities reflects the different fiscal capacities, poverty and backlogs of municipalities, with more urban municipalities raising more of their own revenue, whilst more rural municipalities tend to raise less of their own revenue. Attached at the end of this memorandum are municipal allocations (Tables E22 and E23).

Review of conditional grants

An important part of the grants review for both provinces and local government is the reforms required to make the current system of conditional grants more coherent, transparent, performance-driven and accountable.

The first point of the review is to ensure that all conditional grants are clearly motivated, and that the formula used is equitable, and that all data used for the formula for a grant is of an acceptable quality, preferably certified by STATS SA or as audited, and available to the public. Many of the current conditional grants tend to reflect sectoral agreements secured through the relevant MinMECs.

The second point of the review is to assess whether conditional grants achieve their objectives, and are linked to clear performance objectives. Spending information on conditional grants is often poor. Many transferring national departments do not effectively monitor such grants after making their transfers, as noted by the Auditor-General on the audits for the 2002/03 financial year. Five key national departments administering conditional grants were found not in material non-compliance with the 2002 Division of Revenue Act, including ineffective monitoring, to the point where the Auditor-General could not determine whether such grants were utilised as stipulated. These comments affected some of the biggest grants for housing, health, education and local government. Neither is the audit process comprehensive at this stage in tracking whether grants transferred are budgeted for by provinces and municipalities receiving such grants. In this respect, it is important the all transfers are audited against the Schedules of the Division of Revenue Act.

Conclusion

In spite of the remarkable successes in the development of the intergovernmental fiscal framework, the review process for the 2005 framework will consider the outcomes of the current allocation formulae, and the broader fiscal framework. The coming 2004 Intergovernmental Fiscal Review, expected in May, will also cover more detailed analysis on provincial and local budgets, as well as on specific sectors like education, health, social development, water, electricity, housing and roads. Such information will enhance the review process.

The Division of Revenue Bill, attendant documentation (schedules indicating division and grant frameworks), and background material such as the *Intergovernmental Fiscal Review* are available on the National Treasury website (www.treasury.gov.za).

Table E22 Transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 - 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu- lation, 2001	Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹		Rand per capita Total 2003 municipal	Transfers as a % of 2003/04 budgets ³			
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	budgets ¹ 2003/04	%
Eastern Cape								
Alfred Nzo (Umzimvubu)	550	323 882	282 778	307 271	284 640	302 784	588	87,3%
Amatole (Buffalo City)	1 664	1 958 090	685 300	743 701	699 285	740 158	1 177	35,0%
Cacadu (Kouga)	388	673 181	139 024	150 864	127 670	134 620	1 734	20,7%
Chris Hani (Lukanji)	810	622 301	391 367	423 492	405 753	412 657	768	62,9%
O.R. Tambo (King Sabata Dalindyebo)	1 676	1 272 049	736 911	823 015	761 875	809 129	759	57,9%
Ukhahlamba (Malatswai)	341	301 133	214 621	218 723	220 023	199 105	882	71,3%
Nelson Mandela	1 006	3 257 962	218 966	240 306	249 166	261 333	3 239	6,7%
Sub total	6 437	8 408 598	2 668 968	2 907 371	2 748 413	2 859 786	1 306	31,7%
Free State								
Lejweleputswa (Matjhabeng)	657	1 151 307	242 779	302 655	263 645	286 769	1 752	21,1%
Motheo (Mangaung)	728	1 679 204	357 146	339 263	259 620	270 647	2 306	21,3%
Northern Free State (Metsimaholo)	460	958 631	150 911	191 232	170 310	153 503	2 083	15,7%
Thabo Mofutsanyana (Maluti a Phofung)	726	940 761	369 778	392 599	358 198	345 977	1 296	39,3%
Xhariep (Kopanong)	135	174 314	56 330	66 514	59 954	64 402	1 289	32,3%
Sub total	2 707	4 904 217	1 176 945	1 292 262	1 111 728	1 121 298	1 812	24,0%
Gauteng								24,07
Metsweding (Kungwini)	160	275 259	33 436	44 245	55 518	63 848	1 722	12,1%
Sedibeng (Emfuleni)	795	1 573 341	115 759	153 915	190 190	212 004	1 980	7,4%
West Rand (Mogale City)	744	1 335 366	124 593	201 881	258 150	287 089	1 794	9,3%
City of Johannesburg	3 226	12 175 136	385 118	682 829	800 484	900 266	3 774	3,2%
City of Tshwane		7 080 904	262 412	390 546	479 656			3,7%
Ekurhuleni Metro	1 986					519 209	3 565	
Sub total	2 480	7 998 212	288 355	504 612	659 721	741 542	3 225	3,6%
	9 391	30 438 218	1 209 673	1 978 028	2 443 720	2 723 958	3 241	4,0%
KwaZulu-Natal	400	640 704	07.000	402 200	405.005	127 200	4 044	14.00
Amajuba (Amajuba)	468	613 731	87 992	103 390	125 095	137 296	1 311	14,3%
llembe (Kwa Dukuza)	560	404 710	157 172	184 064	200 770	219 646	722	38,8%
Sisonke (Kokstad)	298	480 014	104 521	104 159	125 404	143 035	1 609	21,8%
Ugu (Hibiscus Coast)	704	636 627	194 774	219 927	268 380	296 550	904	30,6%
Umgungundlovu (Msunduzi)	928	1 755 534	317 702	284 110	274 315	302 185	1 892	18,1%
Umkhanyakude (Jozini)	573	247 295	174 089	181 292	215 055	241 034	431	70,4%
Umzinyathi (Endumeni)	456	396 190	173 533	174 247	196 489	208 599	868	43,8%
Uthukela (Emnambithi)	657	389 700	129 518	170 488	211 460	236 880	593	33,2%
Uthungulu (Umhlathuze)	886	907 291	187 392	218 948	280 009	308 119	1 024	20,7%
Zululand (Abaqulusi)	804	515 409	221 567	235 016	289 477	311 990	641	43,0%
eThekwini	3 090	9 801 876	537 713	643 268	762 497	824 009	3 172	5,5%
Sub total	9 426	16 148 377	2 285 974	2 518 908	2 948 951	3 229 342	1 713	14,2%
Limpopo								
Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge)	598	267 085	246 012	247 930	294 827	330 307	447	92,1%
Capricorn (Polokwane)	1 155	1 058 887	327 047	387 313	482 260	535 055	917	30,9%
Greater Sekhukhune	967	380 054	343 506	417 670	507 655	529 564	393	90,4%
Mopani (Greater Tzaneen)	964	433 373	320 983	373 292	457 580	495 680	449	74,1%
Vhembe (Makhado)	1 200	672 101	425 214	445 059	561 210	611 441	560	63,3%
Waterberg (Mogalakwena)	614	478 696	152 932	189 089	238 561	272 146	779	31,9%
Sub total	5 498	3 290 196	1 815 694	2 060 352	2 542 093	2 774 193	598	55,2%
Mpumalanga								
Ehlanzeni (Mbombela)	945	897 149	314 937	319 805	394 990	420 104	950	35,1%
Gert Sibande (Govan Mbeki)	900	1 143 113	230 737	249 096	307 440	337 713	1 270	20,2%
Nkangala (Emalahleni)	1 021	1 465 184	228 423	310 220	381 219	411 771	1 436	15,6%
Sub total	2 865	3 505 446	774 097	879 121	1 083 649	1 169 588	1 223	22,1%

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities	Census popu- lation, 2001	Total 2003 municipal budgets ¹		Total transfers ²				Transfers as a % of 2003/04 budgets ³
R thousand	thousands	2003/04	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	budgets ¹ 2003/04	%
Northern Cape								
Frances Baard (Sol Plaatje)	325	679 253	109 055	116 071	121 194	114 907	2 091	16,1%
Karoo (Emthanjeni)	165	234 206	73 415	83 835	72 390	69 846	1 423	31,3%
Kgalagadi (Ga-Segonyana)	177	223 327	131 916	133 064	122 754	101 315	1 262	59,1%
Namakwa (Nama Khoi)	108	177 804	47 272	51 528	45 086	41 772	1 645	26,6%
Siyanda (//Khara Hais)	210	392 915	68 022	69 022	67 951	68 874	1 872	17,3%
Sub total	984	1 707 505	429 681	453 520	429 375	396 716	1 735	25,2%
North West								
Bojanala Platinum (Rustenburg)	1 185	1 454 700	315 862	411 040	486 968	538 553	1 227	21,7%
Bophirima (Lekwa-Teemane)	440	386 226	174 440	178 851	177 464	201 385	878	45,2%
Central (Mafikeng)	763	495 667	223 590	246 463	270 267	310 979	650	45,1%
Southern (City of Klerksdorp)	600	1 022 228	128 543	157 214	183 243	205 799	1 705	12,6%
Sub total	2 988	3 358 821	842 435	993 568	1 117 941	1 256 717	1 124	25,1%
Western Cape								
Boland (Drakenstein)	629	1 547 171	79 497	95 604	107 249	112 171	2 458	5,1%
Central Karoo (Beaufort West)	60	131 353	49 517	53 065	51 811	28 299	2 172	37,7%
Eden (Eden)	455	1 245 152	77 053	82 522	94 502	98 155	2 737	6,2%
Overberg (Overstrand)	204	536 974	36 139	40 120	45 307	48 379	2 638	6,7%
West Coast (Saldanha Bay)	283	772 040	47 677	47 929	52 828	54 033	2 731	6,2%
Cape Town	2 893	10 251 170	304 688	360 984	454 286	504 063	3 543	3,0%
Sub total	4 524	14 483 860	594 570	680 225	805 983	845 100	3 201	4,1%
Total	44 820	86 245 238	11 798 036	13 763 355	15 231 853	16 376 698	1 924	13,7%

Includes total municipal capital and operating budgets.

^{2.} Includes equitable share, infrastructure grants, recurrent grants and indirect grants but excludes unallocated transfers.

^{3.} Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

Table E23 Equitable share & infrastructure transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 – 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities		Equitable sha	ara transfors			Infrastructui	ra transfars	
		Equitable site	are transiers			iiiiasiiuciui	e iransiers	
R thousand	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Eastern Cape								
Alfred Nzo (Umzimvubu)	151,603	183,986	153,309	157,253	94,372	101,665	109,597	129,191
Amatole (Buffalo City)	420,413	473,751	403,734	428,232	163,264	230,003	254,321	277,090
Cacadu (Kouga)	83,992	93,686	80,883	87,372	26,578	34,942	35,841	39,768
Chris Hani (Lukanji)	221,071	257,441	221,796	228,988	109,162	127,412	137,827	155,685
O.R. Tambo (King Sabata Dalindyebo)	405,560	488,857	402,130	412,541	228,750	287,816	323,134	363,348
Ukhahlamba (Malatswai)	105,132	123,117	108,221	114,798	56,892	77,720	93,698	77,722
Nelson Mandela	149,300	161,075	155,976	174,216	64,616	75,731	89,690	86,117
Sub total	1,537,070	1,781,913	1,526,050	1,603,400	743,634	935,289	1,044,107	1,128,921
Free State	.,001,010	.,,	.,020,000	1,000,100	,	000,200	.,,	.,,
Lejweleputswa (Matjhabeng)	187,668	214,293	165,701	174,167	39,317	80,937	90,459	106,117
Motheo (Mangaung)	226,299	235,291	167,864	174,107	54,469	71,731	84,349	92,062
Northern Free State (Metsimaholo)	109,344	122,754	97,227	100,876	30,226		67,801	47,095
Thabo Mofutsanyana (Maluti a Phofung)	222,252		206,305		30,226 97,631	63,234 112,549		
, ,		252,499		194,853			123,532	123,642
Xhariep (Kopanong) Sub total	43,462	49,687	43,163	44,793	7,592	11,532	11,970	13,788
	789,025	874,524	680,260	688,691	229,235	339,982	378,112	382,704
Gauteng	10.000	04.007	00.000	00.400	0.407	40.040	10.705	
Metsweding (Kungwini)	19,209	24,897	33,036	36,189	9,127	13,940	16,705	20,283
Sedibeng (Emfuleni)	72,003	97,776	129,310	146,709	35,706	52,038	56,611	61,026
West Rand (Mogale City)	77,818	119,375	167,683	188,550	33,911	78,406	86,199	94,270
City of Johannesburg	238,763	391,161	540,445	613,393	135,441	223,637	256,939	285,873
City of Tshwane	158,737	201,389	269,776	300,956	78,739	161,956	180,680	200,253
Ekurhuleni Metro	192,485	305,515	425,108	485,023	91,570	196,097	231,613	255,520
Sub total	759,015	1,140,115	1,565,358	1,770,820	384,493	726,075	828,747	917,224
KwaZulu-Natal								
Amajuba (Amajuba)	44,980	59,932	78,525	85,326	23,914	36,485	40,933	46,208
Ilembe (Kwa Dukuza)	89,339	103,086	114,035	123,119	46,614	74,959	81,198	90,469
Sisonke (Kokstad)	51,134	61,334	81,147	89,008	27,483	37,005	39,818	48,417
Ugu (Hibiscus Coast)	94,340	110,909	146,429	158,447	74,318	101,729	115,073	129,796
Umgungundlovu (Msunduzi)	133,412	142,629	176,832	190,437	54,619	80,437	88,780	103,372
Umkhanyakude (Jozini)	79,737	91,904	123,210	131,485	60,928	78,770	83,524	99,974
Umzinyathi (Endumeni)	84,272	93,853	108,830	116,384	63,054	71,756	80,225	82,890
Uthukela (Emnambithi)	67,313	95,448	130,443	140,409	34,136	64,046	71,267	84,691
Uthungulu (Umhlathuze)	90,435	119,065	166,969	179,577	50,034	91,124	104,274	119,075
Zululand (Abaqulusi)	93,714	114,818	156,623	167,630	74,402	102,929	115,617	125,858
eThekwini	373,607	392,243	474,524	525,556	157,419	244,599	282,982	297,453
Sub total	1,202,283	1,385,221	1,757,567	1,907,379	666,922	983,838	1,103,692	1,228,203
Limpopo								
Bohlabela (Bushbuckridge)	101,322	113,623	144,374	152,512	61,286	75,652	88,425	109,699
Capricorn (Polokwane)	120,161	164,070	238,862	262,676	69,950	133,442	149,873	177,368
Greater Sekhukhune	124,938	164,119	226,813	242,180	88,179	144,489	164,083	189,188
Mopani (Greater Tzaneen)	145,591	164,092	222,554	239,517	42,329	93,736	114,732	148,057
Vhembe (Makhado)	162,807	184,982	251,086	271,806	51,710	97,665	127,478	174,371
Waterberg (Mogalakwena)	74,071	97,868	133,131	146,075	34,993	63,393	75,067	94,165
Sub total	728,890	888,752	1,216,820	1,314,766	348,446	608,378	719,658	892,847
Mpumalanga	.,	-, - :	,	. ,	-, -	-,-	-,	. ,
Ehlanzeni (Mbombela)	120,481	145,470	199,263	217,881	63,295	109,609	125,284	130,616
Gert Sibande (Govan Mbeki)	117,589	139,681	182,851	202,877	53,987	89,034	102,453	111,857
Nkangala (Emalahleni)	90,398	139,713	191,825	211,453	49,665	103,366	116,643	124,193
·	328,467	.55,7 10	.01,020	632,211	166,947	302,009	344,380	366,665

Table E23 Equitable share & infrastructure transfers to municipalities, 2003/04 – 2006/07 national financial year

Metropolitan and consolidated district and local municipalities		Equitable sha	are transfers			Infrastructu	re transfers	e transfers	
R thousand	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	
Northern Cape									
Frances Baard (Sol Plaatje)	61,771	68,758	65,184	70,523	28,758	30,091	37,596	27,876	
Karoo (Emthanjeni)	53,158	59,073	45,335	45,174	10,867	16,800	17,931	14,463	
Kgalagadi (Ga-Segonyana)	46,514	54,415	50,499	51,353	40,773	60,482	53,080	30,852	
Namakwa (Nama Khoi)	29,427	33,071	26,486	26,106	8,159	11,641	10,741	7,098	
Siyanda (//Khara Hais)	41,052	46,775	42,381	44,859	9,819	16,194	18,849	16,494	
Sub total	231,922	262,092	229,886	238,015	98,376	135,208	138,197	96,784	
North West									
Bojanala Platinum (Rustenburg)	179,985	207,115	250,461	273,779	71,574	170,825	198,779	219,391	
Bophirima (Lekwa-Teemane)	95,723	111,996	104,691	112,971	26,767	44,155	47,371	59,872	
Central (Mafikeng)	122,743	141,583	153,637	165,979	41,369	67,955	80,460	106,211	
Southern (City of Klerksdorp)	83,388	92,999	116,579	131,350	27,740	56,365	60,435	67,970	
Sub total	481,839	553,692	625,368	684,079	167,451	339,301	387,045	453,445	
Western Cape									
Boland (Drakenstein)	46,863	54,411	64,643	72,233	20,978	33,793	35,075	32,407	
Central Karoo (Beaufort West)	13,990	15,341	15,694	16,258	27,384	32,044	28,398	2,972	
Eden (Eden)	30,864	40,554	52,551	58,574	26,034	32,418	31,863	28,532	
Overberg (Overstrand)	17,297	21,668	26,537	29,805	10,209	12,852	13,042	12,596	
West Coast (Saldanha Bay)	22,861	28,621	33,121	36,741	12,805	15,558	15,848	13,183	
Cape Town	159,992	205,778	275,550	311,970	137,540	151,207	174,736	191,094	
Sub total	291,867	366,373	468,095	525,580	234,950	277,872	298,962	280,784	
Total	6,350,377	7,677,546	8,643,341	9,364,941	3,040,455	4,647,952	5,242,899	5,747,577	

Not possible to make a comparison with 2004 transfers as municipal budgets for 2004 not yet available.

APPENDIX E1 and E2:

FRAMEWORKS FOR CONDITIONAL GRANTS TO PROVINCES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Appendix E1 and E2: Frameworks for Conditional Grants to Provinces and Local Government

Detailed Frameworks on Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 Grants to Provinces and Municipalities

Introduction

Appendix E1 and E2 provide a brief description of the framework for each grant in Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Division of Revenue Bill. The following are key areas considered for each grant:

- Purpose and measurable objectives of the grant
- Conditions of the grant (additional to what is required in the Bill)
- Criteria for allocation between provinces or municipalities
- Rationale for funding through a conditional grant
- Monitoring mechanisms
- Past performance
- The projected life of the grant
- 2004/05 Allocation
- The payment schedule
- Responsibility of national transferring department

This framework is not part of the Division of Revenue Bill, but is published in order to provide more information on each grant to Parliament, legislatures, municipal councils, officials in all three spheres of government and the public. Once the 2004 Division of Revenue Bill is enacted, these frameworks will be gazetted in terms of section 24(1) of the Bill. It is also expected that each transferring and receiving national and provincial department will provide more detail on the grant framework in its own departmental strategic plan to be tabled during February 2004 in terms of chapter 5 of the Treasury Regulations.

The financial statements and annual reports for 2004/05 will report against the Division of Revenue Bill and its schedules, and the grant frameworks as gazetted in terms of section 24(1). Such reports must cover both financial and non-financial performance, focusing on the outputs achieved. The Auditor-General is expected to audit compliance to the 2004 Division of Revenue Act and gazetted grant framework by both transferring national departments and receiving provincial departments or municipalities.

Appendix E1: Grant Framework for Conditional Grants to Provinces

AGRICULTURE GRANTS

	are Programme: Poverty Relief and Infrastructure Development
Transferring Department	Agriculture (Vote 26)
Purpose	To address the degradation problems of natural/agricultural resources and improve the
	socio-economic status, and food security of rural communities
Measurable	Rehabilitation of irrigation schemes to benefit small scale farmers
objectives/outputs	Improvement of veldt management systems
	Improvement in production systems for small scale farmers
	Reduction in depletion of soil fertility and soil acidity
	Training facilitation
	Raise the level of awareness to show how incorrect farming activities impact
	negatively on resources
Conditions	Implementation of approved projects according to the project schedule
Allocation criteria	Based on:
	Four thematic areas of soil care, water care, veldt care and junior land care
	Targeting the poverty nodes, and nationally targeted areas for poverty alleviation
	Targeting areas with high levels of degradation of natural resources
Reasons not incorporated	The funding originated with the special poverty allocations made by national
to equitable share	government
Monitoring mechanisms	Provinces report monthly and quarterly on implementation progress
	The Intergovernmental Technical Working Group on Land Care assesses progress
	and ensures sharing of best practises across provinces
Past performance	2002/03 financial outcomes
	Allocated amount of R38 million was transferred and spent by provinces
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	2 200
	2 800 people benefited from upgraded water supply for drinking and irrigation, 115km of irrigation channels repaired
	60 000 ha of natural veldt planned, with 350 km fencing and 90 km of stock
	watering supply network constructed
	82 000 ha of invasive plants were eradicated in order to improve veld condition
	1 115 ha arable land was improved through the demonstration of various soil care
	practices
	• Training was done to ensure that land care groups are self-sustainable after
	completion of the projects
Projected life	3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R27,1 million, R40 million and R44,5 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of	Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
national department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any
	corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during
	audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring
	system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to
	SCOF in NCOP

	Comprehensive Agriculture Support Programme
Transferring Department	Agriculture (Vote 26)
Purpose	To enhance the provision of support services to promote and facilitate agricultural development targeting beneficiaries of the Land and Agrarian Reform programmes and resource poor land-users
Measurable objectives/outputs	 Increased access to and improvement in the quality of agricultural support services provided to targeted beneficiaries (i.e. advisory, information and knowledge management, training and capacity building, market and business development support; financial, on and off farm infrastructure such as dipping) Improvement in the capacity of the departments to deliver agricultural support services Number of targeted beneficiaries [from Land and Agrarian Reform Programmes] getting access to public agricultural support services Increased access by resource poor farmers to timeous market and technical information Improvement in the availability of farm and off farm infrastructure supporting targeted farmers (for example dipping, fencing, rehabilitation of irrigation schemes etc)
Conditions	 Funds to be used to supplement provincial budgets to improve and increase farmer support services within the CASP framework Submission of business plans by 31 March 2004 indicating how this allocation will be used in line with CASP objectives. Information should indicate additional inputs to be acquired and services to be improved or developed Quarterly report on performance (i.e output) in terms of reporting schedule as agreed between provinces and national department Provincial departmental strategic plans for 2005/06 to clearly indicate CASP measurable objectives and performance targets as agreed with national department
Allocation criteria	 The formula used to allocate funds is a weighted average of the following variables: The size of agricultural land, with higher weights for arable land Number of farmers emerging from the land reform programme – considering restitution and LRAD – increasing number of farmers increases demand for support services Rural population as determined by Stats SA based on 2001 Census - farming mostly targets rural areas – this variable captures the possibility of rural people undertaking various types of farming including livestock
Reasons not incorporated to equitable share	The development of support services is a national priority given that they will enhance the productive capacity and economic success of the resource limited land users; household and communal food producers; beneficiaries of the Land Reform and Agrarian Reforms programmes The grant will allow for the development and implementation of national norms and standard for service delivery, and for better targeting
Monitoring mechanisms	 Submission of quarterly reports reflecting outputs against the plans Provincial visits by national department to assess performance and provide advice Regular assessment by ITCA
Past performance	New conditional grant
Projected life	The grant will be reviewed after 3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R200 million, R250 million, and R300 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of	Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004,
national department	 and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

EDUCATION GRANTS

	HIV and Aids Grant (Life Skills Education)
Transferring department	Education (Vote 15)
Purpose	 To ensure access to an appropriate and effective integrated system of prevention, care and support for children infected and affected by HIV and Aids To deliver life skills, sexuality and HIV and Aids education in primary and secondary
	schools
Measurable outputs	 Additional 400 master trainers to be trained Additional training of 10 000 educators to deliver programme to learners Verified reporting of implementation of the life skills programmes in schools Peer education, care and support programmes implemented in selected schools in nodal
Conditions	Provincial business plans with focus on the above outputs must be approved by the national Accounting Officer before the transfer of the first instalment
	The outcomes as outlined in the approved business plans must be achieved
Allocation criteria	Education component of the equitable share formula as explained in Annexure E of Budget Review is used to allocate this grant amongst provinces
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	Enables the Department of Education to provide overall direction such that congruency, coherence, and alignment with the Department of Education's National Strategy for HIV and Aids and the National Integrated Plan for Children Infected and Affected with HIV and Aids (NIP) is ensured, and also enables the Department of Education to play an oversight role over the implementation of life skills programmes in primary and secondary schools
Monitoring mechanisms	 Departments of Education, Health and Social Development will schedule interdepartmental and inter-provincial meetings as part of the National Integrated Plan for Children and Youth affected and infected with HIV and Aids Monitoring and evaluation will be conducted by the Departments of Education both at national and provincial level At least two site visits per province and 4 inter-provincial meetings/workshops held to support and monitor implementation of programmes
Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes Of the total allocation amounting to R144,6 million, R133 million was transferred to provinces, R11 million was not transferred to Limpopo due to slow spending Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and six of ten education departments received qualified audits 2002/03 service delivery performance
	 HIV and Aids learner support materials for Grades 1 to 9 was developed and distributed to schools Provinces trained more than 300 Master Trainers (mainly district-based officials) to cascade training to educators to deliver curriculum-based programmes to all learners Provinces trained and provided ongoing follow-up support to more than 50 000 educators on care and support, sexuality education, HIV and Aids and other related life skills Provided schools with age-appropriate Teacher Learner Support Material – more than 80% of schools covered According to surveys conducted in provinces, 70%-80% of schools are implementing the life skills programme through the curriculum
Projected life	It is envisaged that, given the nature of the epidemic, the need for such a grant will persist for at least another 5 to 8 years
Payment schedule	Four instalments (15 April 2004, 15 July 2004, 15 October 2004 and 14 January 2005)
2004 MTEF Allocations Responsibilities of national department	 R128,6 million, R136,3 and R144,5 million Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Primary School Nutrition Programme
Receiving department	Education (Vote 15)
Purpose	To improve the nutrition status of South African children: specifically to enhance active learning capacity and improve attendance in schools
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 Reach about 15 000 poverty stricken schools at which about 5 million learners will be fed Improve coverage of planned feeding days to a minimum of 156 in all 9 provinces Comply 100% with nutritional criteria for school feeding as directed by Cabinet decision (feed according to approved menu, feed by 10h00, and comply with food safety standards)
Conditions	 Provincial business plans with focus on the above outputs must be approved by the national Accounting Officer before the transfer of the first instalment Grant must be kept on separate responsibility and objective codes Compliance with the targeting criteria and minimum norms and standards as determined by Cabinet decision of 23/1/02 and implementation guidelines of the national Department with regard to approved menus, nutrition quality and quantity and food safety Measurable objectives as outlined in this document must be achieved
Allocation criteria	The distribution formula that is based on an index comprising of three indicators, namely the poverty gap (1996), poverty distribution according to population (1996) and anthropometric indicators of children (2000)
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	The Primary School Nutrition Programme is a government programme for poverty alleviation specifically initiated to uphold the rights of children to basic food. For this reason, there is a national mandate to fund, spend and account transparently before government and the public
Monitoring mechanisms	 Provinces must report quarterly in terms of progress indicators Monitoring visits by Education and Health on nutrition quality, quantity and food safety Periodic assessment commissioned by national Department
Past performance	This is the first year of this conditional grant in education
Projected life	It is envisaged that, given the economic climate in the country and the impact of HIV and Aids, the need for such a grant will persist for another 8 to 10 years at least
Payment schedule	Four instalments (15 April 2004, 15 July 2004, 15 October 2004 and 14 January 2005)
2004 MTEF Allocations	R832,2 million, R912,2 million and R1 098 million
Responsibilities of	• Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all
national department	approvals of business plans to be completed by 30 April 2004
	Information on allocation formula, and data used to be tabled with SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

HEALTH GRANTS

By the national Department of Health (DOH), in order to ensure equitable access to basic ter health services by all South Africans		National Tertiary Services Grant
by the national Department of Health (DOH), in order to ensure equitable access to basic ter health services by all South Africans Measurable outputs Number of admissions, outpatients and day cases per specialised service unit, per institution improvement in management of information for identified hospitals Amintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should contained a province of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should contained and province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospita allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the age services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the Nati Treasury Past performance Nonitoring mechanisms Past performance Allocation of NTSG monitoring data via District Hospital Information System (DHIS any other agreed to mechanism Quarterly submission of NTSG monitoring data via District Hospital Information System (DHIS any other agreed to mechanism Past performance Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four on health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04 2002/03 service delivery performance Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, inclue sta		Health (Vote 16)
Improvement in management of information for identified hospitals	Purpose	by the national Department of Health (DOH), in order to ensure equitable access to basic tertiary health services by all South Africans
Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should e – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provises or designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the prov and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospita allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, information should be supplied to the national DOH No discrimination in admitting patients on the grounds of residency or province Cost to seignated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the age services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the Nati Treasury	Measurable outputs	
Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the ag services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the Nati Treasury Reason not incorporated in equitable share National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally collectively These services benefit other provinces and the spill-over costs cannot be covered by the equit share formula Monitoring mechanisms Past performance Past performance Allocated amount of R3,7 billion was transferred and spent by provinces Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four on health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04 2002/03 service delivery performance Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, inclue establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting articularly in terms of statistics and data sets improved Management has in general improved in tertiary hospitals Projected life The need to support the provision of tertiary services in provinces will continue into foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation the grant will be constantly reviewed Responsibilities of national department Fequal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month Could/05 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Peview of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Comm on Finance in NCOP Finalise long term plan for modernisation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, t submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 Function o	Conditions	 Maintenance of a separate budget and management centre for each identified hospital Appointment of a chief executive officer and delegation of general management functions and powers to the Chief Executive Officer of each identified hospital. These functions should cover – financial accountability, supply chain management, hiring and disciplining of staff Provision of designated national tertiary services at activity levels as agreed between the province and the national DOH Provinces to include appropriate information in their strategic plans Departments that receive this grant must communicate in writing to each benefiting hospital the allocations made, conditions and expected service level outputs. For monitoring purposes, this information should be supplied to the national DOH
National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally collectively These services benefit other provinces and the spill-over costs cannot be covered by the equitable share Monitoring mechanisms	Allocation Criteria	 Cost of designated national tertiary services as determined by 2001 costing and service review Phasing in over a five year period Costs based on service delivery levels and should a province provide less than the agreed services, funding may be adjusted downwards accordingly, in consultation with the National
Past performance 2002/03 audited financial outcomes Allocated amount of R3,7 billion was transferred and spent by provinces Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04 2002/03 service delivery performance Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, inclue establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting Standards of reporting particularly in terms of statistics and data sets improved Management has in general improved in tertiary hospitals Projected life The need to support the provision of tertiary services in provinces will continue into foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation the grant will be constantly reviewed 2004 MTEF Allocations Payment schedule Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month 2004/05 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Comm on Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, t submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services	incorporated in	 National tertiary services have spill-over benefits and need to be planned nationally and collectively These services benefit other provinces and the spill-over costs cannot be covered by the equitable
Allocated amount of R3,7 billion was transferred and spent by provinces Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04 2002/03 service delivery performance Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, incluses tablishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting establishment of reutiners of statistics and data sets improved Management has in general improved in tertiary hospitals The need to support the provision of tertiary services in provinces will continue into foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation the grant will be constantly reviewed 2004 MTEF Allocations R4 273 million, R4 529,4 million, and R4 801,1 million Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month 2004/05 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Comm on Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services	Monitoring mechanisms	Quarterly submission of NTSG monitoring data via District Hospital Information System (DHIS) or any other agreed to mechanism
 Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, inclue establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting Standards of reporting particularly in terms of statistics and data sets improved Management has in general improved in tertiary hospitals The need to support the provision of tertiary services in provinces will continue into foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation the grant will be constantly reviewed Reyment schedule Responsibilities of national department Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Common Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services 	Past performance	 Allocated amount of R3,7 billion was transferred and spent by provinces Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten health departments received qualified audits Funding of academic hospitals under pressure in 2003/04
foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation the grant will be constantly reviewed 2004 MTEF Allocations R4 273 million, R4 529,4 million, and R4 801,1 million Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month 2004/05 • Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services • Develop grant framework to support modernisation process • Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring • Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Common Finance in NCOP • Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 • Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services		 Transition from former Central Hospitals Grant has been successfully achieved, including establishment of routine monitoring system for NTSG via DHIS Standards of reporting Standards of reporting particularly in terms of statistics and data sets improved
Equal monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month	·	foreseeable future. The planning of the service configuration and the basis for the calculation of the grant will be constantly reviewed
Responsibilities of national department • Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services • Develop grant framework to support modernisation process • Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring • Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Common Finance in NCOP • Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 • Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services		R4 2/3 million, R4 529,4 million, and R4 801,1 million Faual monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th day of the month
 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Common Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed 2004/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services 	-	
 2004/05-2005/06 Develop structures and mechanisms to implement modernisation of tertiary services 	-	 Finalise long term plan for modernisation of tertiary services Develop grant framework to support modernisation process Review of funding based on first two years of output monitoring Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be
Review funding of academic hospitalis with readonal freasury and Department of Education		2004/05-2005/06

Transferring _T	
	Tealth (Vote 16)
lepartment	` '
Purpose •	Support provinces to fund service costs associated with training of health professionals
•	Enable shifting of teaching activities from central hospitals to regional and district facilities
•	Development and recruitment of medical specialists in under-served provinces
Measurable outputs •	Number and composition of health sciences students by province and institution
•	Number of filled training placements per discipline and per institution as compared to plan
•	Expanded specialist and teaching infrastructure in target provinces
Conditions	Business plans for provinces receiving developmental portion of the grant should be approved by 1 April 2004 for this portion to the national DOH, indicating planned deployment of additional registrars and specialists, showing current and proposed posts Each province to publish in its strategic plan for 2004/05, information as required by the national
	DOH, on the training of all health care personnel by institution, including any subsidies and other associated costs, deployment of additional registrars and specialists by gaining provinces and institutions showing current and proposed posts and related infrastructure
•	Provinces to create and budget for additional posts related to registrars and specialists as agreed with national DOH and the deans of medical faculty in universities
•	Timely submission of monitoring information as agreed with national DOH. This should include
•	annual reports on additional numbers of registrars and specialists in gaining provinces
Allocation criteria •	A specific increment has been allocated to provinces without health professional training
Inocution criticita	facilities to develop specialist and teaching capacity
•	The remaining funds are divided between the five provinces with medical schools on the basis of
	proportion of medical undergraduates; ten percent of the remainder is divided equally between
	the four provinces with no medical school
•	Target allocations per province to be phased in over 5 year period, subject to annual review, to
	accelerate the phase in period
Reason not incorporated •	Grant primarily targets certain provinces, which currently provide the bulk of health
n equitable share	professionals training nationally
•	Expansion and shifting of location of teaching activities requires national coordination
Monitoring mechanisms •	Bi-annual reporting by province on number of students enrolled by discipline, level and training
Tomtoring mechanisms	institution (frequency to be significantly decreased once national DOH has adequate database) using the prescribed format
•	Bi-annual reporting by province on the number and duration of practical placements by health
	sciences students by type/level of health facility (frequency to be significantly decreased once national DOH has adequate data-base)
•	Bi-annual reporting by targeted province on achievement of planned expansion of specialist and teaching infrastructure
Past performance 2	002/03 audited financial outcomes
•	All allocated amounts (R1,3 billion) were transferred to provinces and funding flowed to
	institutions as planned
•	Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten
	health departments received qualified audits
2	002/03 service delivery performance
•	The actual deployment of postgraduate employees was achieved with mixed results
Projected life •	The need to compensate provinces undertaking the bulk of training is likely to continue for the
	foreseeable future, but ongoing review of this grant is expected to improve its alignment with national human resource development policy
2004 MTEF Allocations R	.1 434,1 million, R1 520,2 million, R1 520,2 million
Payment schedule E	qual monthly instalments – normally on the 10 th working day.
	004/05
national department •	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select
-	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
•	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee
	on Finance in NCOP
•	Continue research to improve and reconfigure this grant. It is envisaged that this grant will be completely reformed within the next two years
•	Table new proposals to progressively improve this grant alongside modernisation of tertiary

Comprehensive HIV and Aids Grant	
Transferring department	Health (Vote 15)
Purpose	To Enable the health sector to develop an effective response to HIV and Aids epidemic: Support approved interventions including voluntary counselling and testing (VCT), mother to child transmission prevention (PMTCT) programmes, strengthening of provincial management, establishment of Regional Training Centres, post exposure prophylaxis (PEP), home-based care, and step-down care to provide a comprehensive treatment package Support implementation of the National Operational Plan for Comprehensive HIV and Aids Treatment and Care
Measurable outputs	 Number of health districts which have voluntary counselling and testing facilities Number of mothers receiving VCT and number of mother/baby pairs receiving PMTCT prophylaxis Number of facilities providing PMTCT services Number of home based care teams in operation, caseload and number of patient contacts Number of step-down facilities in operation, number of admissions and bed days Number of adults and children presenting after sexual assault, and number receiving PEP Number of projects targeting commercial sex workers and number of sex workers reached Increased condom distribution Number of persons enrolled in Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme Number of persons receiving nutritional support and supplementation Number of sites accredited to provide Comprehensive Treatment and Care programmes
Conditions	 Flow of instalments will be dependant on adequate performance on expenditure and outputs; in particular, continued poor performance in implementing Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme may result in reallocation of funds to other provinces Quarterly monitoring returns to be submitted Provinces must comply with all data reporting requirements of the National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care programme; non-compliance will result in withholding of funds Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be delivered at nationally accredited facilities only All treatment and care must follow national treatment and clinical guidelines as published by the National Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme Procurement of pharmaceuticals and nutritional supplements/products for use in the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be undertaken as agreed with the national Department of Health including through the appropriate use of national tenders All laboratory and diagnostic monitoring under the Comprehensive Treatment & Care programme must be procured from the National Health Laboratory Service Payment of all suppliers (including NHLS) will be effected within 30 days of receipt of invoice, continuing late payment may result in withholding of funds Provinces should budget for long-term recurrent funding of home based care and step-down care (i.e. once projects have matured)
Allocation criteria	Based on prevalence as determined by: 2001 Antenatal HIV Prevalence Survey, estimated share of HIV+ births, share of reported rapes, and estimated share of Aids cases
Reason not incorporated in equitable share Monitoring mechanisms	 National priority Distribution of epidemic differs from equitable share distribution Quarterly reporting of output in terms of the monitoring framework established by national
	DOH Reporting requirements as specified by the National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme Provincial liaison and technical support visits by members of the national DOH Regular meetings by the National Steering Committee

Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes All funds (R210 million) were transferred to provinces. Most provinces spent their allocated amounts with the exception of Mpumalanga which spent 60 percent Administration of conditional grants was a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten health departments received qualified audits
	2003/04 service delivery performance 400 new sites provided comprehensive PMTCT interventions bringing a total number of sites to 650, reaching out to more than 80,000 women Kwazulu-Natal, Western Cape and Gauteng achieved close to full coverage for the implementation of post-exposure prophylaxis Operational sites for Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) increased from 472 in 2001/02 to 1625 in 2002/03
Projected life	For duration of the allocation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R781,6 million, R1 135,1 million, and R1 567,2 million
Payment schedule	Equal monthly instalments
Responsibility of national	2004/05 – 2005/06
Department	 Finalise the output reporting framework for the programme to incorporate National Monitoring & Evaluation Framework for Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme Constant monitoring of outputs and spending trends under Comprehensive Treatment and Care Programme to allow for revision of grant amounts and allocations as required to support implementation Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Submit format for business plan to provinces no later than 28 February 2004, and all approvals of business plans to be completed by 1 April 2004 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed. Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP

	Hospital Revitalisation Grant
Transferring department	Health (vote 16)
Purpose	To transform and modernise infrastructure and equipment in hospitals in line with national policy and to achieve a sustainable infrastructure from which modern, equitable and sustainable services can be delivered
Measurable outputs	 Number of hospitals approved and started on the revitalisation programme 27 hospitals currently in the programme will be completely upgraded or replaced
Conditions	 Compliance with Integrated Health Planning Framework (IHPF) Compliance with provincial priorities for sustainable service delivery as identified in the provinces' Strategic Position Statements (SPS) All projects must comply with the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual requirements for implementation Business cases in a standard format indicated in the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual must be approved by the National Department of Health by 30th May for any additional projects to be funded in the following years allocation Submission of prioritisation schedule for all hospitals reflecting order of implementation of revitalisation hospitals over the long-term and backlog reduction in lower priority hospitals Annual Project Implementation Plans for each approved project in a standard format indicated in the 2004/05 Project Implementation Manual must be submitted to National Department of Health by the 28th February. Transfer of first instalment is subject to prior approval of implementation plans
Allocation criteria	 Allocations will be based on projects comprised of at least one hospital per province The number of projects per province will be agreed between the National Department and Treasury each year Monitoring of performance in achieving planned and national targets will affect allocations
Reasons not incorporated in the equitable share	 To provide the additional, targeted and time bound investment to provincial health departments to enable them to transform and modernise the hospital sector in line with nationally agreed goals and timeframes The large size of the whole hospital projects makes them difficult for provinces to fund from equitable share
Monitoring mechanisms	 Annual and Quarterly reporting on hospital monitoring modules in the prescribed format Prescribed monthly reports to Treasury Quarterly reporting on project implementation progress and expenditure to the National Department in the prescribed format
Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes The revised allocation for the grant amounted to R650 million. Provinces spent all transferred funds Administration of conditional grants a matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four out of ten health departments received qualified audits 2002/03 Service Delivery Performance All provinces converted fully to project based funding for revitalisation by April 2003 18 new projects (two per province) were added to the 9 that were initiated in 2001/02, bringing the total hospitals earmarked for complete revitalisation projects to 27. Of these hospitals, 16 are new hospitals, either to replace existing dilapidated hospitals or provide a complete new service. Some of these projects (15) are already under construction, while the rest are in planning stage Three large hospitals funded through conditional grants have been completed, Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (846 beds) in Kwazulu-Natal, Nelson Mandela hospital (460 beds) in the Eastern Cape, and Pretoria Academic (777 beds) in Gauteng
Projected life	The National and Provincial Departments of Health agreed target is to achieve full revitalisation of all hospitals in 20 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R911,9 million, R1 027,4 million, and R1 180,3 million
Payment Schedule	Equal monthly instalments

Responsibilities of national department

2004/05 - 2005/06.

- Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial
 departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any
 problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
- Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
- Agree with provinces and Treasury on the number and distribution of projects by province to be funded through the grant over the MTEF
- Obtain and evaluate additional business cases for projected project start requirements through the entire MTEF
- The department must publish a detailed performance assessment and report on this grant in its 2004/05 annual report, including the performance of the national department and provincial health departments
- The department must submit non-financial performance information per province (and if requested, by hospital) to the National Treasury and Select Committee on Finance in the NCOP no later than 90 days after end of each quarter

	Integrated Nutrition Programme Grant
Transferring depart.	Health (Vote 16)
Purpose	To implement integrated nutrition activities aimed at improving the nutritional status of all South Africans
Measurable objectives/ outputs	• Reduce wasting to < 2%, stunting < 18%, underweight <8%, and severe underweight < 1% in children by 2006/07
	• Reduce Vitamin A deficiency <19%, Iron Deficiency <7.5% and Iodine deficiency <5% in children by 2006/07
	• Increase percentage of children 6 – 11 months receiving vit A supplementation to ≥90% by 2006/07
	• Increase percentage of children 1 – 5 years receiving vit A supplementation to ≥75% by 2006/07
	• Increase percentage of post partum mothers receiving vit A supplementation to ≥90% by 2006/07
	• Increase the percentage of infants exclusively breastfed for 6 months ≥10% by 2006/07
	• Increase percentage of 12 month olds with Road to Health Charts = 100% by 2006/07
G PV	• Increase Percentage of baby-friendly maternity facilities ≥ 32% by 2006/07
Conditions	Access of funding through business plans
	 Grant must be kept on separate cost center codes Compliance with minimum norms and standards as determined by policy and implementation
	 Compliance with minimum norms and standards as determined by policy and implementation guidelines
	 Funding for programme management functions restricted to a maximum of 1 % of the grant
Allocation criteria	The INP conditional grant is distributed in total to the provincial departments of health according to an
	index comprised of three indicators:
	• Indicator 1: 1996 Poverty gap (65 per cent of Index)
	• Indicator 2: 1996 Population 0 to 15 years living under the poverty line (30 per cent of index)
	• Indicator 3: 2000 Anthropometric indicators (5 per cent of Index)
Reason not incorporated	• The Primary School Nutrition Programme has shifted to education in 2004/05. The INP remains
in equitable share	a conditional grant for two years, this establishes the level at which the programme has to be
	funded when it phases into equitable share in 2006/07
Monitoring mechanisms	Provinces must report quarterly in terms of progress indicators
	Provinces must report monthly in terms of financial indicators
	The National office conducts regular monitoring and technical support visits to the provinces
D 4 6	• Formal assessments
Past performance 2002/03	2002/03 Audited financial outcomes
2002/03	• R592 million was allocated and transferred to provinces, and 98% was reported as spent
	 Administration of conditional grants was matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four out of ten health departments received qualified audits
	2002/03 Service delivery performance
	• Feeding took place in 15,650 schools reaching 4,6 million children. This coverage constitute 95
	per cent of targeted number of schools
Projected life	The programme will phased into equitable share in 2006/07
2004 MTEF Allocations	R112,2 million, R123,4 million
Payment schedule	Four installments based on cash flow plans:
	On approval of Business Plan (April)
	On receipt of monthly financial reports for 1st quarter (July)
	On receipt of monthly financial reports for 2nd quarter (October)
	On receipt of monthly financial reports for 3 rd quarter (January)
Responsibilities of	• Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial
national department	departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any
	problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	 Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee
	on Finance in NCOP

Hospital Management and Quality Improvement Grant	
Transferring depart.	Health (Vote 16)
Purpose	To transform hospital management and improve quality of care in line with national policy
Measurable objectives/	Number of hospitals with approved management strengthening and quality improvement plans
outputs	started on the programme
Conditions	Implementation plans in the prescribed format must be submitted and approved before the flow of the first instalment
	Significant progress must be reported on the quarterly targets as stated in the plan before subsequent payments are transferred
	This grant must support Revitalisation projects, but may additionally be used for appropriate projects in other Hospitals, provided revitalisation project needs are met
Allocation criteria	Project based allocation in order to achieve hospital management transformation within agreed period of revitalisation programme
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	To provide the additional, targeted and time bound investment to provincial health departments to enable them to transform and modernise the hospital sector in line with nationally agreed goals and timeframes
Monitoring mechanisms	Annual and Quarterly reporting on hospital monitoring modules in the prescribed format
	Prescribed monthly reports to Treasury
	• Quarterly reporting on project implementation progress and expenditure to the National
	Department in the prescribed format
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
2002/03	• Allocation amounted to R126 million. All funds were transferred. Provinces spent around 85%
	of transferred funds, with somewhat lower spending in Mpumalanga and Eastern Cape
	• Administration of conditional grants was matter emphasised in 2002/03 audit, and four of ten
	health departments received qualified audits
	2002/03 Service Delivery Performance
	• The grant was originally targeted at financial management of tertiary hospitals, and this was extended to support management and quality improvement in the revitalisation programme During the transition utilisation was hampered by split responsibility and lack of clarity, 2003/04 is the first year the grant has been largely focused on revitalisation programme
	All central hospitals are now headed by chief executive officers and most have fully fledged
	general management teams in place
	• Systems to support greater delegation of management authority to hospital level are being finalised by the provincial departments of health
	Cost centre accounting system is in use in 46 public hospitals
Projected for 2003/04	The grant is linked to the projected lifespan of the Revitalisation Programme, currently targeted at
	completion within 20 years. It is expected that the rollout of management improvements can be
	achieved in shorter timeframes than the infrastructure and so it is expected to be completed within 10
2004 MTEE Allegations	to 12 years
2004 MTEF Allocations Payment schedule	R141,8 million, R150,3 million, R159,4 million Quarterly instalments
Payment schedule Responsibilities of	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial
national department	department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any
national ucpai unent	problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select
	Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	 Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee
	on Finance in NCOP

HOUSING GRANTS

	Housing Subsidy Grant	
Transferring department	Housing (Vote 29)	
Purpose	To finance subsidies under the national housing programme	
Measurable objectives/	Number of subsidies financed per annum averages 180 000 houses	
outputs	Number of houses under construction	
	Number of houses completed per province	
	Number of households benefiting	
Conditions	 Provincial cash flows linked to projects for 2004/05 must be submitted to the national Department of Housing by 31 March 2004 for approval before the flow of the first instalment Provincial housing department to ensure that all subsidy allocations for 2005/06 are allocated by 31 October 2004 and such allocations should be submitted to National 	
	Housing Department for evaluation and approval by 15 th November 2004. The provincial housing HOD must satisfy himself/herself that municipalities will duly provide for infrastructure before allocating subsidy • Provinces must utilise the Housing Subsidy System for budgeting, subsidy	
	administration, financial administration and reporting purposes Submit comprehensive reporting on expenditure on individual projects by the 15 th of	
	each and every month	
	 Housing allocations must be in terms of national housing programmes and priorities, as approved 	
	 Provincial housing departments must set aside a minimum 0.75 percent of the allocation to finance emergency housing needs. The funding will provide for the needs of people who must be assisted in cases similar to Constitutional Court ruling in the Grootboom case. 	
Allocation criteria	A formula which introduces an urban preference is used to determine allocations for 2004/05. The allocation is based on:	
	The needs of each province as measured by the housing backlog. Backlog is a function of people who are homeless, staying in inadequate housing or conditions, and is assigned a weight of 50 percent;	
	A poverty indicator as measured by the number of households earning less than R3 500 in each province and is weighted 30 percent;	
	A population indicator as measured by each province's share of total population using statistics from 1996 census and is weighted 20 percent. The distribution of the formula is the first of the formula 2007/06.	
	• The third component of the formula will be adjusted with effect from the 2005/06 financial year to:	
	 A population indicator, weighted at 20 percent, as measured by each province's share of total population as per the 2001 census data with effect from the 2005/06 financial year 	
Reason not incorporated in	The provision of housing to the poor is a national priority. The conditional grant enables	
equitable share	the national government to provide for the implementation of housing delivery in provincial budgets, and the monitoring of provinces accordingly	
Monitoring mechanisms	The national Department of Housing has installed a transversal computerised subsidy management system (HSS) in all provincial housing departments for the administration of the subsidy scheme and to allow the national department to monitor progress and expenditure continuously through monthly reporting, visits to provinces,	
	interaction by the housing sector Chief Financial Officers and Heads of Housing and MINMEC meetings	
	 The national Department of Housing requires that the provincial department of housing focus particular attention on the submission of comprehensive non-financial information to form part of the prescribed conditional grant reporting 	

D / 6	000000
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	• R3,8 billion was allocated and transferred to provinces. When including unspent
	funds in 2001/02, the total funds available for spending amounted to R4,5 billion, of
	which R900 million was not spent
	Little information provided on actual spending against transfers to municipalities and housing funds
	• Three of the ten housing departments and an unknown number of housing funds received qualified audit opinions. The Auditor-General noted as a matter emphasised that there was material contravention of the DoRA, and could not determine whether transfer payments were utilised as stipulated.
	2002/03 service delivery outcomes
	• The number of subsidies approved in the last three years averaged 346 331 per
	annum, while the number of houses completed during the same period averaged
	179 171 per annum
Projected life	Unless government directs otherwise and taking into account the level of backlogs in
	housing, it is anticipated that the need for funding will exist for at least the next 20 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R4 473,6 million, R4 745,4 million, and R5 030,1 million
Payment schedule	Monthly instalments (payment schedules) as determined through predetermined provincial
	expenditure projections
Responsibilities of national	• Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audits. Also to report
	on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province, linking housing outputs to previous years subsidies.
	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP
	Department and National Treasury to review the housing delivery mechanisms in order to improve spending capacity in the light of past underspending, and to determine interim measures in terms of section 35 of the Division of Revenue Bill

Human Settlement and Redevelopment Grant	
Transferring department	Housing (Vote 29)
Purpose	To fund projects that aim to improve the quality of the environment by identifying dysfunctionalities in human settlements
Measurable outputs	The outputs of the programme depend largely on the unique content of each project
	funded in terms of the pilot programme. This will include:
	Upgraded infrastructure in depressed areas The number of existing depressed areas re-planned and redeveloped, such as
	The number of existing depressed areas re-planned and redeveloped, such as inner city redevelopment, urban renewal and informal settlement upgrading; and
	Completed plans of areas which could promote integration (new developments)
Conditions	Provincial Housing Departments to ensure that business plans for the 2005/06
	financial year are submitted to the National Department of Housing no later than 15 August 2004
	The business plan will form part of the contract (referred to as a Letter of
	Allocation) between the provincial government and the national Department of
Allocation criteria	Housing on specific projects based on approved business plans Division between provinces is made on the basis of the housing subsidy grant formula
Reason not incorporated	As a Pilot Programme, the Department of Housing needs to be involved in approving,
in equitable share	monitoring and evaluating the projects in line with expected outputs with a view to the
	formulation of a more comprehensive permanent programme, and it should remain a
	conditional grant. The programme is furthermore viewed as an initiative through which projects and programmes can be funded that are in support of the housing
	investment being made in an effort to create viable communities
Monitoring mechanisms	The Directorate: Special Programmes Support monitors projects on a monthly
	basis through financial and implementation progress reports, as well as site visits
	in order to ensure compliance and correct reporting on Key Performance
	Indicators, in accordance with an approved monitoring framework • The National Department of Housing requires that provincial departments' of
	Housing focus particularly on the submission of comprehensive, non-financial,
	performance related information that forms part of the conditional grant reports to
7	be submitted ito the approved Monitoring and Reporting Framework
Past performance	 2002/03 audited financial outcomes R106 million was transferred to the provinces, in 2001/02 the grant was
	underspent by R80 million, this increased total funds available for spending by
	provinces to R186 million, and spending amounted to R66 million resulting in
	provincial underspending by about R120 million
	Three of the ten housing departments received qualified audit opinions. The Auditor-General noted as a matter emphasised that there was material
	contravention of the DoRA, and could not determine whether transfer payments
	were utilised as stipulated
	2002/03 service delivery outcomes
	63 project plans were approved and implementation started: • Sixteen of the 63 business plans are for planning and/or replanning exercises.
	• Sixteen of the 63 business plans are for planning and/or replanning exercises. Furthermore 14 of the 16 business plans are located within urban renewal nodes
	The remaining 47 business plans will upon completion provide upgraded
	infrastructure in depressed areas, of which 13 will lead to upgraded infrastructure
	in urban renewal nodes, and an additional 3 will provide infrastructure in rural development nodes
Projected life	The programme is an important tool in achieving functional human settlements, it is
	expected to continue until all settlement areas that need improvements are covered
2004 MTEF Allocations	R115,5 million, R122,5 million, and R129,8 million
Payment schedule	Monthly instalments (payment schedules) as determined through predetermined provincial expenditure projections
Responsibilities of national	Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of
department	receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any
	corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to
	report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to
	Select Committee on Finance in NCOP

THE NATIONAL TREASURY

	Provincial Infrastructure Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	 Help accelerate construction, maintenance and rehabilitation of new and existing infrastructure in education, roads, health and agriculture Gradually increase the labour-intensity of certain specific types of projects over the next five years
Measurable objectives/ outputs	 Rehabilitation and maintenance of roads, schools, health facilities and agriculture infrastructure Average length of employment for labour intensive projects Number of persons participating in the training programs under the expanded public works programme
Conditions	 Submission and approval of detailed infrastructure plans by 1 April 2004. The flow of the first instalment depends on the submission and approval of plans. The plans must disaggregate the information by project or cluster of projects, and should demonstrate how its implementation fits into an overall infrastructure strategy in that province. These plans should form part of the treasury's strategic plan to be tabled 15 days after the Budget, as well as that of each of the line function departments receiving funds To adhere to the labour-intensive construction methods in terms of the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) guidelines agreed between Department of Public Works, National Treasury and SALGA Submission of quarterly reports on physical progress with implementation of infrastructure projects in addition to in year expenditure monitoring reports. Reported information should cover the full infrastructure budget in the province, not only the grant allocation. Reports should also indicate progress in terms of expenditure and jobs created with EPWP designated projects
Allocation criteria	 Amounts of R100 million, R115 million and R130 million in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 were top sliced for Northern Cape to take account of the vastness of its land area and the resulting length of road network to be constructed and maintained An average of the percentage equitable shares and backlog component of equitable share formula has been used to allocate the grant among funds to provinces. The aim is to introduce a bias in favour of provinces with substantial backlogs while at the same time supporting those that inherited substantial infrastructure
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This grant ensures that provinces give priority to infrastructure maintenance, rehabilitation and construction, and support rural development initiatives in line with Government priorities
Monitoring mechanisms	Provinces are required to submit detailed quarterly reports, which capture the full details of the projects including the allocation for the year, the expenditure for the period in question and on outputs achieved
Past performance	 Allocation of this grant amounted to R1 950 million, which include R400 million for flood rehabilitation, was transferred to provinces. The grant aims to encourage increased allocation for infrastructure on roads, health and education and improved performance in the implementation of projects. Provinces increased spending on capex from R11 056 million in 2001/02 to R14 473 million in 2002/03, which amounts to 31 percent. This has increased the share or capital spending from 6 percent in 1998/99 to about percent 13 percent in 2002/03. A large share of growth in capital spending is in social services and roads. This grant only constitutes 12 percent of total capex budgets in provinces, indicating that this grant has achieved its object of increasing provincial budgets for infrastructure. Detailed reporting done by National Treasury in the annual Intergovernmental Fiscal Review. Quarterly spending trends reflect that provinces have improved their spending capacity Actual spending outcomes of this grant must be based on total spending on capital in each province. Each line function department accounts for its spending separately, to its own legislature 2002/03 Service delivery performance The real outcome of the higher levels of spending on infrastructure is left to each province, and relevant Minmecs for key concurrent functions like education, health and roads
Projected life	To be reviewed after five years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R3 348,4 million, R3 730,8 million, and R4 118,1 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly instalments
Responsibilities of national department	 National Treasury to ensure that all capital plans submitted are approved by 1 April 2004 This is a general Schedule 4 grant, and each provincial department accounts for its expenditure to its own legislature. The responsible national department could also, through its Minmec, prepare consolidated reports on infrastructure to the NCOP if requested. The National Treasury publishes the Intergovernmental Fiscal Review to report on spending trends and outcomes as reported

PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT GRANTS

Local Government Capacity Building Fund (LGCBF)	
Transferring department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To assist municipalities to build their institutional capacity and improve their systems for sustainable service delivery
Measurable objectives/ outputs	The following should be achieved by municipalities that are being assisted: Prepare operating and capital budgets Prepare annual financial statements
	 Council approval and implementation of consolidated credit control, indigence and tariff policies Monthly and quarterly financial reporting to take place as required by proclamation R1535 and R1536 Debt restructuring plans to be approved by creditors and council, and implemented
Conditions	Provinces will access LGCBF through the submission of business plans
	 Council to approve appointment of management support team (MST) and a team to conduct the financial analysis and prognosis
	 Provinces will be expected to manage the available funds, providing the necessary support where required
Allocation criteria	Allocations are based on the current needs as expressed by the Provinces, after assessing the number of municipalities in financial distress and the extent of the support required. Larger municipalities that can access funding from National Treasury have been excluded from the allocation process
Reason not incorporated in	According to section 154 (1) of the Constitution, the national government and provincial
Equitable Share	governments, by legislative and other measures, must support and strengthen the capacity of municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions
Monitoring mechanisms	Quarterly reports on the support provided to municipalities and the progress made with the implementation of systems in municipalities. Monthly reports of conditional reporting as stipulated in the Division of Revenue Act
Past performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
Tust performance	 Total allocation amounting R170 million was transferred to provinces, the available funds for spending was R187 million, including roll overs from the previous year. Provinces reported spending R146 million, with R41 million unspent The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, but reported generally as an emphasis of matter, material contravention of the requirements of the Division of Revenue
	Act. Two of the provincial local government departments also received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	• Municipalities have benefited from this grant for the past 3 years. Financial Systems have been put in place and helped municipalities to realise their tax base
Projected life	The fund is phased out into the local government equitable share after 2004/05.
2004 MTEF Allocations	R220,5 million
Payment schedule	Monthly instalments
Responsibilities of national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) or reports with a quarter lag to Select
	Committee on Finance in NCOP

Provincial Project Management Capacity for MIG (previously called the Provincial CMIP Grant)	
Transferring Department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To provide support to provinces to manage the implementation of Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) effectively and efficiently on behalf of the Department to ensure sustainability of MIG projects
Measurable objectives/ outputs	The key outputs of the programme are: Proper co-ordination between all municipal infrastructure programmes in their respective provinces MIG projects are aligned to provincial development plans and integrated development plans of municipalities Support the establishment of municipal service partnerships through the MIG programme
Conditions	 Business plan setting out clear objectives and outputs in respect of programme management for MIG in their respective provinces must be submitted before the flow of the first instalment The submission of monthly and quarterly reports in respect of targets, key performance indicators and measurable outputs as required by the Department
Allocation criteria	Programme management costs ranging between 1,5 percent and 2,2 percent depending on the size of the provincial allocation
Reasons for funding through conditional grants	According to Section 154(1) of the Constitution, the national government and provincial governments, by legislative and other measures, must support and strengthen the capacity of municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions. Provinces monitor and report to the Department on a monthly basis on progress made with the implementation of MIG projects on the ground
Monitoring mechanisms	Through monthly and quarterly reports and monthly meetings in the provinces
Past Performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	 R104 million was transferred, and provinces spent R90 million The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, but reported generally as an emphasis of matter material contravention of the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. Two of the provincial local government departments also received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	Provinces assisted the Department successfully in managing the programme through improved monitoring and expenditure and putting capacity in place in municipalities
Projected life	The grant will phase into MIG in 2005/06
2004 MTEF Allocations	R40,7 million, R43,6 million, and R46,2 million
Payment schedule	Monthly payments to provinces
Responsibilities of national department	 Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e. output) reports with a quarter lag to Select Committee on Finance in NCOP Grant to be consolidated into MIG in 2005/06

SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

HIV and Aids Grant (Community-Based Care)	
Transferring Department	Department of Social Development (Vote 19)
Purpose	 To provide social welfare services to orphans and vulnerable children who are infected and affected by HIV and Aids, within family and community context, in partnership with non profit making organizations (NGOs, CBOs and other community organisations) To develop and support institutional structures and professionals, community workers and child and youth care workers through targeted training programmes in order to ensure effective delivery of services
Measurable	Number of orphans receiving appropriate care and support increased
objectives/outputs	• 50% of identified children and families receive essential material assistance
objectives/outputs	 50% of the identified vulnerable children provided with alternative care 60% of care givers identified from communities, NGOs, CBOs, faith based organizations, families and volunteers
	 to be capacitated through training and support 70% of the identified children and families provided with counselling and support services
	 70% of the identified children and families provided with counselling and support services Number of coordinating structures and partnerships for management and maintenance of social welfare services to children infected and affected by HIV and Aids increased
Conditions	Approved business plans with measurable outputs must exist for each province in line with the framework for the grant
	• Each operational plan should be approved and signed by the Head of Department and submitted to the Director-General before 20 February of each year. The first installment will only flow if plans are approved
	Legal contracts signed between provincial departments of social development and the implementing agencies by 1 April each year A fine to be a section of the sect
Allocation criteria	A formula based on antenatal HIV and Aids prevalence survey and poverty index
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	The National Integrated Plan for Children Infected and Affected by HIV and Aids is a programme involving three social service departments (Education, Health and Social Development)
	• The conditional grant provides the opportunity to establish a coordinated approach across the provinces in terms of planning and implementation, and also enables more effective monitoring by the national departments
Monitoring mechanisms	Monthly expenditure reporting by provinces
	 Quarterly performance evaluation and reporting by national and provincial coordinators Quarterly provincial visits to evaluate implementation of the programmes
	 Structured site visits twice a year by a team consisting of both Social Development and Health officials on the
	national and provincial levels
Performance	2002/03 audited financial outcomes
	 97% of the allocated amount of R47,5 million was spent by the provinces Audit did not specifically comment on conditional grant. Three of the ten social development departments received qualified audits
	2002/03 service delivery performance
	The following outputs has been achieved:
	 314 centers/sites for home/community-based care and support were supported 29,612 additional children orphaned or vulnerable due to HIV and AIDS were identified which brings the
	number of children identified since the inception of this programme in 2000 to over 75,000
	Services provided to children include food parcels, provision of clothing, counseling, support, provision of day care and after school centers/drop in centres, placement of children in foster care and residential care and addressing the education and health needs of children
	 13061 food parcels ranging between R154 and R350 per parcel were provided
	Over 1000 care givers received stipends, between R225 and R500 per household
	• 2,695 families received support such as food parcels, counseling, placements, assistance with bereavement, and linking families with income generating projects
	 1,604 volunteers were recruited and trained 41 Child Care Forums have been established in some parts of the country
	 41 Child Care Forums have been established in some parts of the country 85 Support groups for Persons Living With Aids are being provided with both financial and professional
Projected life	For the duration of the allocation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R70,2 million, R74,4 million, and R78,9 million
Payment schedule	Three instalments
Responsibilities of national department	• Department to provide report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03 of receiving provincial departments and the national department, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 per province
	• Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Child Support Extension Grant to Children Aged 7- 14 Years
Transferring department	Social Development (Vote 19)
Purpose	To fund extension of child support grant to eligible children between the ages of 7 to 14 years, (entitlement coming to and end on the child's 14 th birthday) phased in over three years, and to cover associated administrative and payment costs
Measurable objectives/ outputs	Number of children between the ages of 7 and 14 years who access the grant according to the proposed annual phasing in as follows: • Children under the age of 9 years in 2003/04 • Children under the age of 11 years in 2004/05 • Children under the age of 14 years in 2005/06
Conditions	 The funds may only be utilised for the payment of child support grants to eligible children (as determined in the Social Assistance Act, 1992 and regulations) between the ages of six and fourteen years (i.e. from their seventh to fourteenth birthday) and to cover reasonable administrative and payment cost associated with this Provincial implementation plans must be submitted to the national transferring officer by 20 February and approved by 15 March each year. Provincial plans must consider capacity to implement and reasonable processes to build capacity. The flow of the first instalment depends on submission and approval of plans A consolidated implementation plan as per (2) above to be submitted to the DG of National Treasury by 1 April for information Provinces must submit to the DG: National DoSD, within 15 days after the end of each month, a report detailing: Beneficiary Numbers The total number of CSG children (seven to ten-years) registered and eligible Age distribution of registered children (seven to ten-years by year-group) Number of new children (seven to ten-years) registered for payment in the relevant month and the age distribution of these children Number of children (seven to ten-years) deregistered during the relevant month and age (by year-group) Number of applications still being processed and projected children (seven to ten-years) numbers for the year Expenditure Final grant expenditure for the month and composition of expenditure for the extension of CSG (children seven to ten-years). Final administrative and payment expenditure related to the child support extension grant Projected expenditure based on trends in beneficiary numbers A consolidated quarterly report as per (4) above to be submitted to the DG: National Treasury by the DG: Social Development within 20 working days after the end of eac
Allocation criteria	Based on number of eligible beneficiaries (as per application of the means-test) by province, determined through the most reliable demographic and socio-economic data available and in line with the purpose of the Child Support Grant Extension grant (7 to 14 years)
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	 A conditional grant is used for the following reasons: Distribution between provinces may need to be different from proportions in the equitable share formula To ensure that undue pressure is not placed on provincial budgets. A need to have transparent and adequate budgeting for the extension. Provinces must be fully funded for this national mandate There is substantial uncertainty around population numbers, numbers of children that would qualify, their provincial distribution and the likely rates of uptake. A conditional grant will ensure that there is more flexibility to make adjustments in line with changing information and data A function shift to national is contemplated regarding the administration of social security grants. Transparent funding through a conditional grant will allow for greater ease in
Monitoring mechanisms	eventually transferring the function Monthly reports as set out under conditions

Past performance	Grant introduced in 2003/04. The take-up of the grant has been rapid in 2004/05, causing some pressure on provincial budgets.
Projected life	Maximum of three years while establishment of national social security agency for grant administration is in process of finalisation
2004 MTEF Allocations	R3 650 million, R6 900 million, and R9 284 million
Payment schedule	Quarterly transfers in advance to provinces in line with payment schedule
Responsibilities of national department	 Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Food Emergency Relief Grant
Transferring department	Social Development (Vote 19)
Purpose	To provide food relief to vulnerable individuals and households
Measurable	Number of beneficiaries (households and individuals) receiving food relief
objectives/outputs	
Conditions	 The funds may only be utilised to provide food relief to vulnerable individuals and households and to cover reasonable administrative and payment cost associated with this. Such administrative and payment costs must not exceed 3% of total provincial allocation. The Director-General of Social Development must give prior approval of any excess amount above this limit A national business plan must be developed and approved by the Director-General of Social Development, in consultation with the National Treasury, by 30 October each year. Provincial implementation plans, which must be in line with the national business plan, must be submitted to the national DG: Social Development by 20 February for approval by 15 March of each year. Provincial plans must consider capacity to implement and reasonable processes to build capacity. The flow of the first instalment depends on the submission and approval of the implementation plans Joint centralized procurement of service providers to effect uniformity and economies of scale Provinces to submit to the DG: National Department of Social Development (DoSD), within 15 days of the end of each quarter, a report detailing: Number of beneficiaries reached per month by location (municipality) and composition (gender, age, and recipient of social security benefit) Nature of food relief interventions (food parcel / drop in centres/ soup kitchens) Implementing agents (whether departmental offices, NGOs, etc.) and nature of association Number of beneficiaries that have exited the programme and whether alternative support has been arranged Provinces to submit to the DG: National Department of Social Development (DoSD), within 15 days of the end of each month, a report detailing: Expenditure Expenditure on food relief for the month and the composition of expenditure Projections regarding new beneficiaries for the remainder of the fi
Allocation criteria	National Treasury, 20 days after the end of each quarter 6. A national and 9 provincial annual evaluation reports The allocation per province is based on the proportional shares of poverty and income adjustment
	distribution per province
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a high priority, national Government intervention for which capacity in provinces is limited. A conditional grant is therefore required to ensure adequate monitoring and national support and to
m equitable share	ensure that the capacity is established without impacting on other provincial functions
Monitoring mechanisms	Monthly/quarterly reports as set out under conditions
Past performance	The grant was introduced in 2003/04
Projected life	3 years
2004 MTEF Allocations	R388 million, R388 million, and R411,3 million
Payment schedule	Three instalments
Responsibilities of national	Detailed information on the allocation formula, and data used, to be tabled with Select Committee
department	on Finance in NCOP during DoR hearings as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

SPORT AND RECREATION SA GRANTS

Mass Sport and Recreation Participation Programme	
Transferring department	Sport & Recreation SA (Vote 20)
Purpose	Promotion of mass participation within disadvantaged communities in a selected number of sport activities and the empowerment of communities to manage these activities in conjunction with provincial departments responsible for sport
Measurable outputs	 Coaching and sports administration training within the short and long term (focus on maximizing local community empowerment) Number of coaches and referees trained Number of people participating actively in sport Number of sustainable clubs/leagues created 27 000 new participants in sport 18 local municipalities empowered to continue with sports programmes
Conditions	Provincial departments responsible for sport will be required to enter formal agreements after approval of business plans, before funds are transferred Each approved project must have a sustainability plan Provinces identify priority areas in accordance with government nodal areas
Allocation criteria	Funds are distributed equally among provinces
Monitoring system	Regular performance monitoring based on in-person inspections by SRSA and reporting by the provinces
Past performance	The programme is to commence in April 2004
Projected life	SRSA will provide project funding and support for the 2004/05 financial year for specific areas, thereafter the projects will be introduced in new areas. Provinces will implement and monitor the projects on an ongoing basis
2004 MTEF Allocations	R9 million, R24 million, and R39 million
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	A conditional grant is necessary to ensure: National Coordination and facilitation National Campaign to get the nation active
Payment Schedule	The first payment will be made once a Memorandum of Agreement has been signed between SRSA and the relevant province. Thereafter, payments will be effected monthly on the basis of certified expenditure reports

Appendix E2: Grant Framework for Conditional Grants to Local Government

Government	
	Local Government Financial Management Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	To promote and support reforms to municipal financial management and the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA)
Measurable outputs	Outputs include:
	 The preparation and implementation of multi-year budgets which meet uniform norms and standards The implementation of accounting reforms Improvements in internal and external reporting on budgets, finances and annual reports Implement the Municipal Finance Management Act
Conditions	Conditions include:
	 The submission of a Council resolution striving to achieve multi-year budgets and implementation of accounting and reporting reforms The employment of an appropriately skilled chief financial officer Completion and submission of a checklist identifying critical financial management areas to be addressed Submission of a plan to implement budget and financial management reforms
Allocation criteria	The allocation of funds was initially targeted at pilot municipalities in all categories of
	municipalities (A, B and C) to implement and refine the financial reforms. With the phased implementation of the MFMA and the country-wide roll out of the reforms, the grant will be extended to cover all municipalities over the 2004 MTEF
Reason not incorporated in	To provide for the critical need to develop municipal financial management capacity and to lend
equitable share	support to the implementation of the Municipal Finance Management legislation
24 .	Mathematical design of the state of the stat
Monitoring system	Monthly monitoring is undertaken by the National Treasury per the requirements contained in
Past performance	DoRA and the agreements 2002/03 Audited financial outcome
Tast performance	 R221 million was transferred to 39 pilot municipalities. The monthly reports submitted by most municipalities on actual spending does not provide sufficient information on spending against amounts transferred, but reflected R117 million or 53 per cent spending. No audit issues were identified, as the department received an unqualified audit opinion for 2002/03. The department's 2002/03 annual report contains a detailed explanation of the grant outcomes. The audit process for municipalities receiving these grants has also not been concluded, as some municipalities have not submitted their financial statements, so it is not possible to assess whether the funds received were spent as stipulated
	Service delivery performance
	 24 of the 39 pilot municipalities tabled three-year budgets. All employed suitably qualified municipal managers and chief financial officers. 7 prepared annual reports in the new format as proposed One hundred and forty nine (149) municipalities are currently participating in the reform programme. Over 120 finance interns have been appointed using this funding to increase finance management capacity in local government
Projected life	The programme is designed to support and implement the MFMA. All municipalities will receive funding over the 2004 MTEF. This initiative is also linked to governments' international contractual obligations with regards the international advisor programme. The programme will continue to 2007/08 financial year. The grant forms part of government's broader Capacity Building initiative and focuses on building in-house municipal capacity
2004 MTEF allocation	R197,9 million, R198,7 million and R198,7 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years
Payment schedule	The grant will be disbursed in three instalments – April, July and November
Responsibilities by national department	 Treasury officials are responsible for monitoring and management of the programmes Funds will continue to be transferred to municipalities as well as leveraging a portion of the grant to secure international expertise through the Municipal Finance Management Technical Assistance Programme. DBSA has been appointed to manage the latter The programme will encompass implementation of the Municipal Finance Management Act,
	including the preparation of supporting material

	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)
Transferring Department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	To assist municipalities in building in-house capacity to perform their functions
Measurable Outputs	Capacity improvements in each category B and C municipality, including for
	Stability and governance systems Institutional continual to IRPR
	Institutional systems aligned to IDPs Institutional systems aligned to IDPs
	Implementation of division of powers and functions Implementation of municipal legislation
	 Implementation of municipal legislation Participation of communities as required in terms of Municipal Systems Act
	Farticipation of communities as required in terms of Municipal Systems Act
Conditions	Capacity Development Plans submitted by district municipalities, covering capacity needs of each local municipality and the district.
	 Local municipalities receiving direct support to submit capacity needs.
	The implementation of district-specific capacity development plans must also provide the
	following information:
	Achievement of stable municipal and governance systems
	Support to Planning and Implementation Management Support Centres (PIMS)
	Reviewing and aligning institutional systems to integrated development plans (IDPs)
	 Implementation of the division of powers and functions
	Implementation of the Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Structures Act
	Addressing community participation issues
	District Municipalities to provide work and sustainability plans for PIMS centres
	• Each district to show the allocations for supporting local municipalities, both as transfers or
	direct expenditure
Allocation Criteria	Allocations are made to district municipalities, and selected category B local municipalities.
-	Allocations to be determined according to assessed need
Reason not incorporated in	The grant gives effect to assist municipalities in implementing new legislation, Structures and
Equitable Share	Systems Acts. Submission of quarterly reports on support provided to municipalities and the progress made with
Monitoring system	the implementation of systems in municipalities.
	Submission of monthly expenditure reports by municipalities as stipulated in the Division of
	Revenue Act
Past Performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
	The Auditor-General reported as an emphasis of matter material contravention of the requirements
	of the Division of Revenue Act. The audit process for municipalities receiving these grants has also
	not been concluded, as some municipalities have not submitted their financial statements, so it is not
	possible to assess whether the funds received were spent as stipulated. The monthly reports
	submitted by most municipalities on actual spending does not provide sufficient information on
	spending against amounts transferred. Service delivery performance
	PIMS Centres have been established and are fully operational in 45 districts; a further 2 are in the
	process of being established
	• IDPs have been reviewed and municipalities are currently in the process of aligning
	institutional systems to IDPs, (are about 60% to 70% complete)
	Performance Management was extended to all municipalities, 80% of municipalities have
	established PMS framework
	The Department has experienced difficulties in administering this grant due mainly to its
	multiplicity of outputs
Projected Life	The fund will be utilised to assist municipalities to implement systems required by local government
2004 MTEE allegation	legislation, with a review in 2006/07
2004 MTEF allocation Payment Schedule	R 182,2 million, R200 million and R200 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial years Transfers will be made in accordance with the requirements of the Division of Revenue Act. The
1 ayment Schedule	first transfer will be made on submission of plans. The second transfer will be made upon progress
	in implementation
Responsibilities by national	Department to report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective
department	steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on
-	outputs achieved in 2002/03
	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be
	submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R40 million in 2004/05 to
	the National Treasury and SCOF by 31 March 2004.
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in
	NCOP

Local Government Restructuring Grant	
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 8)
Purpose	To support municipal restructuring initiatives of large municipalities
Measurable outputs	Outputs of individual grants are specified by municipality in their restructuring plans, and are subject to negotiation and agreement with the National Treasury
Conditions	 Funds will be made available on the basis of a municipality's commitment to a locally owned restructuring plan that addresses challenges in a sustainable manner The municipal council must pass a resolution agreeing to the plan Quarterly reports measuring progress towards achieving agreed benchmarks and milestones Transfers will depend upon the progressive implementation and achievement of agreed benchmarks and milestones
Allocation criteria	Municipalities with total annual budgets exceeding R300 million qualify for this grant, on the grounds of the macroeconomic risk should they not restructure or modernise. This allocation is demand-driven, with applications subjected to an intensive assessment, evaluation and review prior to negotiation on benchmarks and milestones, and credible plans to achieve such restructuring or modernisation
Reason not incorporated	The grant supports implementation of municipal restructuring or modernisation plans necessary to
in equitable share	avoid financial distress and possible risks to the national fiscus National Treasury conducts a technical evaluation of applications and reviews regular reports in terms
Monitoring system	of the grant agreements
Past performance	 2002/03 Audited financial outcome No audit issues were identified, as the department received an unqualified audit opinion for 2002/03. The department's 2002/03 annual report contains a detailed explanation of the grant outcomes. Four of the receiving municipalities did not fully comply with the conditions of the grant, resulting in a non-transfer of R224 million. Three of these municipalities (Msunduzi, Mangaung, Cacadu district) complied after the start of the financial year, and received R99 million of this amount in May 2003. The remaining R125 million due to Johannesburg has not as yet been transferred, due to non-compliance. Further explanations of the grant outcomes are contained in the departments annual report
	Service delivery performance The City of Johannesburg restructuring plan encompassed a wide range of institutional and financial reforms including the implementation of iGoli 2002 strategy. First time applications from other eligible municipalities were of a poor quality and standard. Three municipalities were allocated a total of R99 million during 2003 (Cacadu District, Msunduzi and Mangaung local municipalities). Applications were also received from Buffalo City, Emfuleni, Polokwane, uMhlatuze, Sol Plaatje, Matjhabeng, Mogale City, local municipalities and from the five metropolitan municipalities. These applications are being evaluated and it is anticipated that, for those successful municipalities, funding will be disbursed by March 2004
Projected life	The grant is anticipated to continue for a further four years and will be subject to a progress review in 2006/07
2004 MTEF allocation	R342,9 million, R350 million, R350 million for 2004/05, 2005/06, 2006/07 financial year
Payment schedule	Quarterly transfers is planned in accordance with restructuring agreements and achievements of milestones
Responsibilities by national department	 Five applications will be reassessed during 2004 depending on quality submission and renegotiation of milestones with three municipalities is planned Ongoing assessment to determine progress against agreed milestones and the future of the grant to be reviewed following the achievements of restructuring plans in 2007

	Building for Sport and Recreation Programme
Transferring department	Sport & Recreation SA (Vote 20)
Purpose	Promotion of sport and recreation within disadvantaged communities and upgrading of existing sports facilities
Measurable outputs	 Number of jobs created with focus on employment from local communities Number of existing facilities upgraded and new facilities constructed Value assessment of facilities constructed Number of communities empowered to promote sport and manage facilities
Conditions	 Programme Management System/policy to be adhered Municipalities are required to operate and maintain the facilities Sustainability planning for all projects is required Project identification should be done in conjunction with IDPs
Allocation criteria	The allocations are made in accordance with a Poverty Targeting Formula based on census 2001 information Allocations between District and Local municipalities are made on the basis of regional scope of the facility and the most appropriate authority to develop and maintain the facilities At least 24 per cent of projects are to be situated within the nodal areas The allocations to balance between rural and urban/peri urban disadvantaged communities
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	A conditional grant is necessary in order to ensure that: appropriate facilities are created within target communities sustainability plans are developed municipalities and communities are empowered to promote sport; and employment targets are met
Monitoring system	A Management Monitoring Information System has been introduced in terms of which monthly performance monitoring is carried out by the provinces, on the basis of monthly inspections and reports provided by the municipalities
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome The department received an unqualified report. No specific comment was made in regard to the grant Service delivery performance The following reflects past performance since 2001/02: • Allocation: R259 million • Number of projects/ facilities/ sports councils: 256 • Jobs provided during construction: 9 667 • Spent on community wages: 24% on average • Women employed: between 40 to 44%
Projected life	2004/05 financial year thereafter incorporated into the MIG
2004 MTEF allocation	R 132,270 million in 2004/05 financial year
Payment Schedule	The first payment will be transferred once the implementing agent agreement has been signed between the department and the municipality. Thereafter, payments will occur monthly on the basis of actual expenditure.
Responsibilities by national department	 Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Implementation of Water Services Projects
Transferring	Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)
department	
Purpose	To fund bulk, connector and internal infrastructure for water services at a basic level of service and to
	implement such projects on behalf of municipalities
Measurable outputs	• Number of people to be served with water – 107 000 (targeted)
	Number of jobs to be created: 3 200 for all categories
	• Number of people to be impacted through health and hygiene programme – 30 800 (targeted)
~ ***	Number of toilets to be constructed: 7700
Conditions	Municipalities are required to meet the following outputs;
	 Submit the necessary service plans (e.g. WSDP) and budget for the operation and maintenance costs of projects, including the implementation thereof
	 Have demonstrated acceptable level of expertise in managing water services projects
	 Have established the mechanisms and structures for reporting
	 Enter into formal service provision agreements (including provision for payment of services
	rendered by the department) with the department
	 Completed projects will be transferred to municipalities
Allocation criteria	The commitments for ongoing projects as well as operational, capacity and transference of completed
	projects will receive preference in the project selection process
	The Minister of DWAF to approve all projects earmarked for implementation
Reason not	This is a specific capital transfer focused on the national policy priority of ensuring all South Africans
incorporated in	have access to safe water sources and acceptable sanitation systems
equitable share	
Monitoring system	Projects are managed and monitored by DWAF and through contracts to Build, Operate, Train and
	Transfer arrangements
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
	The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, though the department received an
	adverse qualification Service delivery performance
	Approximately nine million people have been provided with access to basic water services and 113
	projects have been transferred to municipalities. Approximately 200 000 toilets have been constructed in
	the past five years and 1,2 million people have benefited from health and hygiene programmes
Projected life	Two years. The grant will be fully incorporated into MIG in 2006/07
	DWAF to continue service provision and/ or hand over to other service providers where
	municipalities are unable to take over services responsibilities of already completed projects not yet
	transferred
2004 MTEF	R 160 million and R139 million for 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years on the Vote of DWAF R787
allocation	million and R898 million for 2004/05and 2005/06 financial years has been moved to the MIG programme
<u> </u>	on the Vote of DPLG.
Payment schedule	Based on the requirements of WSAs the payment will be made on a quarterly basis and in terms of the
Responsibilities by	transfer agreement for each specific project/municipality/WSA • Assessment will be carried out to rank all recipients in preparedness for acceptance of transfers.
national	 Assessment will be carried out to rank all recipients in preparedness for acceptance of transfers. Priority will be given to those municipalities ready to accept transfers. This will be undertaken in co-
department	operation with DPLG and SALGA
F	 Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be
	taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
	• Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be
	submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Integrated National Electrification Programme (INEP)
Transferring department	Minerals and Energy (Vote 31)
Purpose	To implement the Programme (INEP) by providing capital subsidies to municipalities to address electrification backlogs of permanently occupied residential dwellings
Measurable outputs	The number of connections to households, schools and clinics
Conditions	Municipalities must contractually undertake to:
	 Account for the allocated funds Pass all benefits to end-customers Adhere to the approved electrification programme and agreed cash flow budgets Ring-fence electricity function
Allocation criteria	Applications from licensed municipal distributors based on:
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	 high backlogs the requirements to furnish appropriate documentation, approved tariffs, ring-fenced functions the financial, technical and staff capabilities to distribute electricity, to expand and maintain networks effective credit control policies consultation with communities in terms of the IDP process This is a specific capital transfer in support of the Integrated National Electrification Programme
Monitoring system	Monthly reports in accordance with PFMA and DoRA together with a technical audit process
Past performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome
	There were no specific comments on the INEP with regards to 2002/3 financial year
	Service delivery performance From 2001, 129 237 households and 3 schools were connected with a total expenditure of R812 million
Projected life	The INEP will be incorporated into the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) in 2006/07 or sooner
2004 MTEF Allocation	R 248 million and R 258 million for the 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial year.
Payment schedule	Transfers are made monthly based on pre-agreed plans and cash flows
Responsibilities by national department	 Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
	 Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP

	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)
Transferring department	Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)
Purpose	 To support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor households
Measurable outputs	Number of existing and new households receiving water per annum, backlogs to be removed by 2008
	Number of existing and new households receiving sanitation services per annum, backlogs to be removed by 2010
	Implementation of labour-intensive methods on new projects, particularly projects identified in EPWP guidelines
	Progress on removal of backlogs
	Maintenance of existing infrastructure for water, sanitation, electricity and other infrastructure
Conditions	Prioritise residential infrastructure for water, sanitation, electricity, refuse removal, streets,
	housing connector, and other municipal infrastructure like roads, in line with any MIG or sector
	policies established before the start of the municipal financial year
	Approval by the municipal council of a three-year capital plan linked to its IDP and budget no
	later than 30 June 2004. Approved budget must reflect operational and maintenance costs for the three years and beyond
	District municipalities must provide detailed information by 30 June 2004 on expected
	transfers from the district to local municipalities, as well as any information on projects
	undertaken directly by it. All spending on capital projects by the district municipality must be
	also approved by the local municipalities that such project will service
	Municipalities receiving the MIG grant must prioritise commitments made on CMIP and Number of the Conference o
	DWAF capital programmes before 30 September 2003
	To adhere to the labour-intensive construction methods in terms of the Expanded Public Works Description (EDVD) spidalines covered between Description of Public Works. National Transport
	Programme (EPWP) guidelines agreed between Department of Public Works, National Treasury
	and SALGA, for at least low-volume roads, sidewalks, storm-water drainage, trenching etc.
Allocation criteria	 Quarterly reporting on budget and project implementation Part 5 of Annexure E spells out the new MIG formula in detail and the appendix E4 provides the
Anocation criteria	basic data used in the formula. The formula incorporates backlog and poverty-weighted data
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a specific purpose grant with objectives and distribution criteria (eg backlogs on infrastructure) different from that of the equitable share
Monitoring system	This grant requires monitoring of the overall capital budget of municipalities. Each sector national or provincial department will be expected to fulfil sectoral monitoring role
	 A comprehensive monitoring system will be developed by DPLG and National Treasury Department of Public Works will be responsible for monitoring and training on EPWP at a
	 municipal level National and provincial treasuries will monitor municipal capital budgets, and the reporting on
	spending information
	• The overall monitoring system will be based on one reporting system, rather than through a
	plethora of departmental monitoring systems.
Past performance	This is a new programme, which consolidates a number of previous municipal infrastructure programmes
Projected life	10 years
2004/05 allocation	R4 446 million, R5 193 million and R5 987 million for 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 financial
	years
Phasing arrangements	Uncommitted funds from infrastructure grants on the vote of DWAF and DPLG will be transferred into the new programme
	Electrification funding will be incorporated once the framework for restructuring of the
	electricity distribution industry has been finalised
	Commitments made before 30 September 2003 by national departments administering grants
	incorporated into MIG must be prioritised by municipalities
Payment schedule	Transfers will be made in terms of the Division of Revenue Act
Responsibilities of	DPLG- Transfer funds as per schedule, co-ordinating MITT meetings, comply with DoRA and
national departments	MIG policy and framework
	DWAF –to support and monitor municipalities to prepare and implement Water Services Development Plans (WSDP's) and monitor progress on water and sanitation budgets
	Public Works –secure agreement of NT and SALGA on EPWP by 1 April 2004, provide
	training to municipalities by 30 June 2003, and monitor compliance with EPWP conditions
	National Treasury – ensure receipt of budgets of municipalities by 31 July 2004, monitor
	spending trends
	Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R177,8 million in 2004/05 to the National Treasury and SCOF by 31 March 2004

Wat	er Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy (via augmentation to the Water Trading Account)
Transferring	Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)
department	
Purpose	To augment the Water Trading Account of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF) to subsidise
•	water schemes owned and/or operated by the department or by other agencies on behalf of the department
Measurable outputs	This grant is used to fund over 300 water schemes involving 8094 staff. Both the schemes and their staff are to be transferred to 83 municipalities over the three years. The key measurable output is on the speed and success of effecting such transfers to municipalities Operating outputs: Operation of water services schemes and improved revenue collection
	 Support to complete Water Services Development Plans linked to municipal operating budgets and IDP's All transfer agreements signed and formalize by 30 June 2005
	 Successful transfer of all appropriate staff, budgets and schemes to municipalities by 30 June 2005 Transfer outputs:
	Schemes refurbished to standards outlined in terms of the agreed policy framework
	Sustainability assessments completed per scheme or group of schemes to be transferred
	 Water Services Authority/Provider has developed sufficient capacity in line with funding requirements Cost recovery plan in place to support the sustainability of schemes
Conditions	• The operating and transfer subsidy is a grant in kind until the effective date of transfer. The operating subsidy (grant in kind) will cover staff related costs (HR component), the direct operating and maintenance
	costs (O component) and will facilitate the transfer of schemes
	All receiving municipalities and service providers will be required to conclude formal transfer agreements where the latest effective date of transfer is 30 June 2005
	• 2004/05 – Where transfer agreements are in place by 30 June 2004, schemes transferred during the year will be transferred with the remaining O component for 2 years and the HR component for 3 years
A.W	2005/06 – All transfer agreements concluded, receiving institutions continue to receive the O component for 1 year and HR Component for 3 years
Allocation criteria	Basic allocation per Water Services Authority in accordance with the operational requirements identified and agreed to in transfer agreements
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	To facilitate the transfer of schemes to Water Service Authorities/Providers, following which funds will be incorporated into the local government equitable share
Monitoring system	A comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system is being developed
Past Performance	2002/03 Audited financial outcome The Auditor-General did not specifically comment on this grant, though both the department and the trading account received an adverse qualification
	Service delivery performance Progress can be summarised as follows: 11 agreements signed, 316 staff and 48 schemes with a total asset value of approximating R648,4 million transferred. The department has conducted a joint study with dplg outlining the process of transferring over 300 water schemes with their staff to 83 municipalities.
Projected life	The transfer process to be completed by 2005/06. DWAF role as service provide will terminates in 2005/06
2004/2005 allocation	R 858,3 million and R934,4 million for 2004/05 and 2005/06 financial years.
Payment schedule	The payments will be made on a quarterly basis as agreed to in the transfer agreement for each specific scheme/municipality.
Responsibilities	• Department report to SCOF on audited outcomes for 2002/03, identifying any corrective steps to be taken
by national	on any problems with this grant identified during audit. Also to report on outputs achieved in 2002/03
department	Detailed information on the allocation formula and data used, and on monitoring system, to be submitted to SCOF in NCOP during DoR hearings or as agreed
	Submission of quarterly performance (i.e outputs) reports with a quarter lag to SCOF in NCOP
	Department to submit allocations per municipality for unallocated R10 million in 2004/05 to the National Treasury and SCOF by 31 March 2004

APPENDIX E3:

RECURRENT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6)

(National and Municipal Financial Year)

APPENDIX E3

	Loc	al Governi	nent Fina		gement Gr	ant	Local (Fovernment R	estructuri	ng Grant	Mu	ncipal Sys	tems Impr	vement Pr	ogramme	_		SUB-TO	TAL: REC	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT		Γ
	Nation	al Financia	d Year		oal Financ	ial Year	National Finan	icial Year	Municip	al Financia	National	Financial	Year	Municipal	Financial 7	_	National Financial	ancial Ye	1 Year N	Municipal Financial Year	inancial Y	ear 0000
Category Number Municipality	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(R'000) (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	R000) (R'000) (R'000) (R'000) ((RV00) (R'000) (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)		R'000) (R'C	00) (R'		(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'	(R'000)
EASTERN CAPE																						
A Nelson Mandela	3 500	3 500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000											3 500	3500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000
	250	250	200		250												250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC102 Blue Crane Route R EC103 Ikwezi	250	250	500	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
		ì	8		ì												ì	2	2		2	2
B EC105 Ndlambe B EC106 Sundays River Valley	250				250												250	250	200	250	250	200
	250	250	200	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC109 Koukamma	250				250																	200
C DC10 Cacadu District Municipality	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000				10 000		3 300	3 480	3 480	3 300	3 480	3 480	14 300					4 480
Total: Cacadu Municipalíties	2 500	2500	4 000		2 500	4 000	10 000		10 000		3 300	3 480	3 480	3 300	3 480	3 480	15 800	2 980		15 800		7 480
	250	250	200	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC122 Mnquma																						
B EC123 Great Kei	250	250	200	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
	2 500	2 500			2 500														1 000			1 000
	250	250	500	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC127 Nkonkobe	050				050														909			005
	1 500	1 500	1 000	1 500	1 500	1 000					3 350	3 533	3 533	3350	3 533	3 533	4 850	5 033	4 533	4 850	5 033	4 533
Total: Amatole Municipalities	5 000	S			5 000						3 350	3 533	3 533	3 350	3 533	3 533			7 533			7 533
B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba																						
	250	250	200	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
	250		200														250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC134 Lukhanji	250				050														002		050	002
	250	250	500	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
	250				250														200		250	200
	250	•	•		250						0			00,00					500		250	200
C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality Total: Chris Hani Municipalities	1 000	- '	_	l I	1 000	_					3 438	3 625	3 625	3 438	3 625	3 625			4 625		4 625	4 625
Hall viola	7 200	2 300	4	7 200	7 200	4 000					0.430	C70 C	C70 C	0.400	C70 C	2000	0000	C71	670 /		C71 0	C70 /
	250			250	250	200											250	250	200	250	250	200
B EC142 Sengu	250	250	200		250													250	200			200
	250				250													250	200			200
C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	1 000	-	_	_	1 000						3 400	3 585	3 585	3 400	3 585	3 585		582	4 585			4 585
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	2 000	2 000	3 000	2 000	2 000						3 400	3 585	3 585	3 400	3 585	3 585		282	982			6 585
B EC151 Mbizana	250																250		200			200
	250	250	200	250	250	200											250	250	200	250	250	200
	250																250		200			200
	250																		200			200
B EC155 Nyandeni	250																		200			000
B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo	1 000																	1 000	1 000			1 000
C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality	2 500		1 000								2 975	3 137	3 137	2 975	3 137	3 137			4 137			4 137
Total: O.R Tambo Municipalities	2 000										2 975	3 137	3 137	2 975	3 137	3 137			8 137			8 137
B EC05b1 Umzimkhulu	250				250																	200
B EC05b2 Umzimvubu	250	250	500	250	250	500											250	250	200	250	250	200
C DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipality	1 000		-1	-1	1 000						2 800	2 953	2 953	2 800	2 953	2 953						3 953
Total: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	1 500	-	7	_	1500						2800	2 953	2 953	2 800	2 953	2953						4 953
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities	22 000	22 000	23 000	22 000	22 000	23 000	10 000	1	10 000		19 263	20 313	20 313	19 263	20 313	20 313	51 263 4	42 313 4	43 313	51 263 4	42 313 4	43 313
The state of the s											-											-

APPENDIX E3

	Local	Governm	ent Finan	Local Government Financial Management Grant	ement Gra	mt	T	Local Government Restructuring Grant	nt Restructu	ring Grant		N	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	stems Imp	ovement P	rogramme			SUB-T	L:R	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT		
	National	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	ıl Year	National	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	ial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year		National	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	inancial Y	ear
	2004/02	2002/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05	2005/06 2006/07	7 2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05	2002/06 2	2006/07	2004/05 2	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05 20	2005/06 20	2006/07
negory number muncipanty	(R'000)	(R 000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R 000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (.	(R'000)	(R'000) (a	(R'000) (I	(R'000) (1	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R	(R'000)
GE STATE																							
12181	030	050	000	050	050	003												050	050	00	0 5 0	0 9 0	00
	0.52	007	200	007	007	000												0.62	007	000	007	007	200
	1 000	1 000	000	1 000	1 000	1 000												1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
FS163 Mohokare	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
DC16 Xhariep District Municipality	250	250	500	250	250	200						2 675	2 821	2 821	2 675	2 821	2 821	2 925	3 071	3 321	2 925	3 071	3 321
al: Xhariep Municipalities	1 750	1 750	2 500	1 750	1750	2 500						2 675	2 821	2 821	2 675	2 821	2 821	4 425	4 571	5 321	4 425	4 571	5 321
	250	250	500	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
	2 000	2 000	1 000	2 000	2 000	1 000	25 000		25 000	_								27 000	2 000	1 000	27 000		1 000
FS173 Mantsopa	250	250	500	250	250	200												250	250	200	250		200
DC17 Motheo District Municipality												2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450		2 584
al: Motheo Municipalities	2 500	2 500	2 000	2 500	2 500	2 000	25 000		25 000			2 450	2 584	2 584	2 450	2 584	2 584	29 950	5 084	4 584	29 950	5 084	4 584
ES191 Macilomona																							
	020	050	200	050	050	200												020	050	002	050	0,50	9
	720	007	200	067	067	200												720	067	200	067	720	200
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
FS184 Matjhabeng	2 500	2 500	1 000	2 500	2 500	1 000												2 500	2 500	1 000	2 500	2 500	1 000
FS185 Nala																							
DC18 Lejweleputswa District Municipality	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000						3 305	3 485	3 485	3 305	3 485	3 485	4 305	4 485	4 485	4 305	4 485	4 485
al: Lejweleputswa Municipalities	4 000	4 000	3 000	4 000	4 000	3 000						3 305	3 485	3 485	3 305	3 485	3 485	7 305	7 485	6 485	7 305	7 485	6 485
	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000												2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
DC19 Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality												3 500	3 691	3 691	3 500	3 691	3 691	3 500	3 691	3 691	3 500	3 691	3 691
al: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	2 250	2 250	2 500	2 250	2 250	2 500						3 500	3 691	3 691	3 500	3 691	3 691	5 750	5 941	6 191	5 750	5 941	6 191
	2 000	2 000	2 000	61	2 000	2 000												2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
DC20 Northern Free State District Municipality												2 875	3 032	3 032	2 875	3 032	3 032	2 875	3 032	3 032	2 875	3 032	3 032
al: Northern Free State Municipalities	2 250	2 250	2 500	2 250	2 250	2 500						2 875	3 032	3 032	2 875	3 032	3 032	5 125	5 282	5 532	5 125	5 282	5 532
L. Euro Chate Manipole of Hon	12 750	12.750	12 500	12750	12750	12 500	000 20		200			14 005	15 613	15 613	14 005	15.613	15 613	232 62	10 363	00 113	, 222 62	, 636 96	10.113
al: Free State Municipalities	00/ 71	00/7	2000	00/71	00/71	MC 71	200 67		3 07			C00 +T	710 CI	710 CI			710 61						7110

APPENDIX E3

	Local	Governme	ent Financ	Local Government Financial Management Grant	nent Grant		Lo	cal Govern	Local Government Restructuring Grant	ucturing G	rant		Munici	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	Improven	nent Progr	amme			SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	L: RECU	RENT	
	National	National Financial Year	Year	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	Year	National F	National Financial Year	H	unicipal F	Municipal Financial Year	L	ational Fir	National Financial Year	H	nicipal Fin	Municipal Financial Year		National Financial Year	ncial Year		Municipal Financial Year	icial Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000) (2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	-	20	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	_	2004/05 200 (R'000) (R'0	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)		4/05 2005/06 00) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	(0) (R'000)	705 2005/06 30) (R'000)	706 2006/03 30) (R'000)	07 2004/05 0) (R'000)		2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	07 2004/05 0) (R'000)	35 2005/06 (R'000)	5 2006/07 (R'000)
GAUTENG																							
A Ekurhuleni A City of Johannesburg A City of Tshwane	3 000	3 000	1 000	3 000	3 000 3 000 3 000	1 000	000 59		νο	92 000								3 000 68 000 3 000		3 000 110 3 000 110 110 110 110 110 110	1 000 3 000	3 000 3 000 58 000 3 000 3 000 3 000	0 1 000 1 000 1 000
B GT02bl Nokeng tsa Taemane	250	250	200	250	250	200													250	250	200	250 250	0 500
D CBLC.2 Nugwin C CBDC3 Metsweding District Municipality Total: Metsweding Municipalities	250	250	500	250	250	500							2 875 3 2 875 3	3 032 3 (3 032 2	2 875 3 2 875 3	3 032 3 0 3 032 3 0	3 032 3 1 3 032 3 3	3 125 37 3 375 3.5	3 282 3 3	3 532 3 4 032 3.	3 125 3 282 3 375 3 532	2 3 532 2 4 032
B GT421 Emfuleni B GT422 Midvaal	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000												Ĭ	1 000 1	1 000	1 000 1	1 000 1 000	0 1 000
GT423 DC42	1											es es											
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000						.,	3 100	3 269 3.	3 269 3	3 100 3	3 269 3 2	3 269 4 1	4 100	4 269 4	4 269 4	4 100 4 269	9 4 269
B GT411 Mogale City B GT412 Randomein B GT414 Westomria	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000												1	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000 1 000	0 1 000
B CBLC8 Meratong City C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality												45	3 100 3	3 269 3 2	3 269 3	3 100 3	3 269 3 2	3 269 3 1	3 100 3 3	3 269 33	3 269 3	3 100 3 269	9 3 269
Total: West Rand Municipalities	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000							3 100 3	3 269 3 3	3 269 3	3 100	3 269 3 2	3 269 4 1	4 100 4	4 269 4	4 269 4	4 100 4 269	9 4 269
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	11 500	11 500	9	11 500	11 500	9	92 000		9	92 000		~	9 075 9	6 220	6 220	9 075 9	9 5 7 0 9 5 7 0	570 85 575		21 070 15 570	570 85 575	75 21 070	0 15 570

APPENDIX E3

	1		The Paris	N. S.	, , , , ,	_	-		J. D.		_	Manne	1		, L.			10	SIB TOTAL BESTIEBER	. necrina	CATT	
	Nation	al Governia al Financial		Municipal	Financial 7	/ear	National Fi	nancial Year	4	ng Grant pal Financial	Year	National F	nancial Year	ì	icitt r togra iicipal Fin	incial Year		National Financial Year	cial Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	al Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 20 (R'000) (R	-	2004/05 200 (R'000) (R'	704/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)		2005/06 (R'000)	7	2004/05 20 ₁ (R'000) (R'	704/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	72	05 2005/06 9) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2 2	3 2005/06 (R'000)	S 2006/07 (R'000)	., -	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
KWAZULU-NATAL																						
A eThekwini	3 500	3 500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000											3 500	3 500	1 000	3 500	3 500	1 000
KZ211	250	250	200	250	250	200											2	250 250	005 01	0 250	250	200
	250	250	200	250	250	200											- 2					200
B KZ214 uMuziwabantu	250	250	200	250	250	200											61.0	250 250	200	250	250	500
KZ216 DC21	0	0	8	007	007	8						3 800	4 007	4 007	3 800	4 007	· ·	4	4		4	4
朣	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000																
KZ221	250	250	200	250	250	200											2	250 250	0.00	0 250	250	200
B KZ222 uMngeni B KZ223 Mpofana	250	250	200	250	250	200											- 61					200
B KZ224 Impende	250		500	250	250	200	000		000								250	250 250	000 200	250		500
KZ226	250	4	200	250	250	200	000.00		000.00								97.9				250	200
KZ227	250	250	200	250	250	200						į										200
Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities	5 750	4 750	4 500	5 750	4 750	4 500	20 000		20 000			3 675	3875 38	3875 3	3675 3	3875 3875	75 59 425			5 59 425		8 375
B KZ232 Ennambithi/Ladysmith	1 000		1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000											_					1 000
KZ233	250	250	200	250	250	200											2	250 250	.0 200	0 250	250	200
B KZ234 Umtshezi B KZ235 Okhahlamba	250		200	250	250	200																200
KZ236	250	250	200	250	250	200								,	27	2000	,	250 250	0 200	250	250	500
1.5	2 000	2	3 000	2 000	2 000	3 000																6 665
B KZ241 Endumeni																						
	250		200	250	250	200											2	250 250		250	250	200
B KZ244 Msinga B KZ245 Umvoti	250	250	200	250 250	250	200											6 6		0 200			500
												3 400	3 585 3 3	3 585 3	3 400 3 :	3 585 3 585	3	3 585	3	3	3 585	3 585
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	750	750	1 500	750	750	1 500						3 400	3 585 3 5	285 3	3 400	585 3 585	85 4 150	50 4 335	2 085	5 4 150	4 335	5 085
KZ252	1 000		1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000											1 0	_	_	_	_	1 000
B KZ253 Utrecht	250	250	500	250	250	200											61 6	250 250	200	250	250	500
DC25	250		500	250	250	500							3 032 3 0				33	ж	ж	33		
otal: Amajuba Munici	1 750		2 500	1 750	1 750	2 500						2 875		3 032 2 3	2 875 3	3 032 3 032					4 782	5 532

APPENDIX E3

	Local Go	vernmen	t Financia	Local Government Financial Management Grant	ent Grant		Local	Local Government Restructuring Grant	Restructur	ing Grant		Muni	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	s Improve	ment Progr	amme		S	UB-TOTA	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	ENT	
	National Financial Year	tancial Y	H	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	-	National Financial Year	ncial Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	l Year	National F	National Financial Year	t	micipal Fi	Municipal Financial Year		National Financial Year	ncial Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	ial Year
	2004/05 2005	2005/06 20		2004/05 20	2005/06 20	7 20	2004/05 2005/06	06 2006/07	_	2002/06	7	2004/05 20	2005/06 2006/07	: 26	2004/05 2005/06	2009/02	707 2004/05	702 2002/06	20/9002 90	. 4	2002/06	2009/02
Category Number Municipanty			_	_		_	(R'000) (R'000)	o) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'000)	000) (R'000)	00) (R'000)	00) (R'000))O) (R'000))O) (R'000)) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
d	030	030	9	030	050	902																
NZ201	0.52	0.7	200	0.57	0.20	300												057	5.00	300	0.20	200
KZ262	720	052	200	720	720	200																
KZ263																						
KZ265	250	250	200	250	250	200												250 2	250 5	500 250	250	200
B KZ266 Ulundi C DC26 Zululand Dietriet Municipality		005	200	1 500		1 500						0000	3.050	3.050	2 000	3 050			1 550	007 7	4 550	4 550
Tetal: Zalaland Manifestina District Municipality	00001	0000	2000	2 350	0000	2000					1	2 000					0000	2 150 53				
1 otal: Zululand Municipalities		062.2	3 000	0077		3 000					1	7 200										
B KZ271 Umhlahuvalingana	050	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	250	250	005
	003	007	200	007	007	200																
KZ2/2		1	0		1	0 0 0																
KZ273	250	250	200	250	250	200																
KZ274	250	250	200	250	250	200															250	
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250 2	250 500	00 250		500
C DC27 Umkhanyakude District Municipality												3 000	3 164	3 164		3 164 3					3 164	
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	1 000 1	000 1	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000						3 000	3 164	3 164	3 000	3 164 3	3 164		4 164 5 164		4 164	
		250	200	250	250	200																
B KZ282 uMhlathuze		000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000											_					
	250	250	200	250	250	500												250 2	250 5	500 250	250	200
KZ285	250	250	200	250	250	200																
KZ286	250	250	200	250	250	200												250 2	250 5		250	
												2 900	3 058	3 058	2 900 3	3 058	3 058 2	3	3 058 3 058	58 2 900	c	3 058
Total: uThungulu Municipalities	2 000 2	2 000	3 000	2 000	2 000	3 000						2 900						4 900 5 058			5 058	850 9
B KZ291 eNdondakusuka																						
	250	250	200	250	250	200																
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250 2	250 500	250	250	500
C DC29 Ilembe District Municipality												2 900				3 058 3	3 058 2					
Total: Hembe Municipalities	200	200	1 000	200	200	1 000						2 900	3 058	3 058	2 900 3			3400 35				
B KZ5a1 Ingwe	250	250	200	250	250	200																
	250	250	200	250	250	200																
KZ5a3	250	250	200	250	250	200												250 2	250 5	500 250	250	200
KZ5a4		i			i																	
KZ5a5		250	200	250		200																
	250	250	200	250	250	200						2 950	3 1111	3 111 2	2 950 3	3 1111 3	3 111 3	3 200 3 3	3 361 3 611	3 200	3 361	
Total: Sisonke Municipalities	ľ	250	2500	1 250		2 500						2 950										
The section of the se	01 11 10	022.00	000	02 10	022.00	0000	00000		000			210010	22 (42	22,000	240 040	23 (43	23 (43	102 00	20 010	207 001	2430	00000
Total: INWaZulu-Ivatal Municipantes							000.00		oon oc								_			- 1		

APPENDIX E3

	S lead G	vernmen	Financia	Vanaoen	Local Government Financial Management Grant	-	Too	al Governn	nent Restru	Local Government Bestructuring Grant	1		Annicinal S	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	ovement P	ooramme.			STB-TOT	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	RRENT		Г
	National Financial Year	nancial Y	_	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	-	National Financial Year	nancial Yea	-	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Natio	National Financial Year	d Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	ear	National Financial Year	nancial Yea	_	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Ŀ
Cotonom Number Maniples	2004/05 200.	2005/06 20	. 20	2004/05 20	2005/06 20	7 20	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	: 20	705 2005/06	2009/02	20	2002/06	2	2004/02	2005/06 20	7	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	2 2	05 2005/06	2009/02	20.
Category runnoer municipanty	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (A	(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'C	(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	30) (R'000)) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R'	(R'000) (A	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'000)	00) (R'000)	0) (R'000)	(R'000)	(0)
LIMPOPO																							
			9	9	9	0													1	0			
B NP03A2 Makhuduthamaga	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250 2	250	200
NPUSAS	0520	007	900	052	052	200												250	007	200			200
B CBLC3 Greater Marble Hall	720	720	200	067	067	000												720	067	200			900
CBICS	050	250	200	250	250	200																	200
CBDC3	007	007	200	007	007	8						3 900	4 113	4 113	3 900	4 113	4 113	3 900	4 113	4 113	3 900 4 113		4 113
12	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000						3 900			3 900		4 113						6 113
•																							
B NP04A1 Maruleng	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250 2	250	200
C CBDC4 Bohlabela District Municipality	250	250	200	250	250	200						2 775			2 775		2 926	3 025		3 426 3	3 025 3 176		3 426
Total: Bohlabela Municipalities	200	200	1 000	200	200	1 000						2 775	2 926	2 926	2 775	2 926	2 926		3 426 3		3 275 3 4		926
		9	9			0																	
B NP331 Greater Giyani	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250 250		200
NP332		000	000	000	000	000																-	000
		0001	1 000	000 1	000 1	000 1																	000
DC33	250	250	200	250		200						3 175	3 348	3 348	3 175	3 348	3 348	3 425	3 508		3 425		878
1.5	1 750	750	2500	1 750	1 750	2000	1		1			3.175			3115	3 3/6	3 346			2 646			2 646
Total, Mopani Municipanues	00/1	00/1	00007	OC / T		2000	1		1			3.11.			CITC	0.040	0.040						9
	250	250	200	250	250	200												250					200
B NP342 Mutale	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250				200
NP343		2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000																2	000
NP344	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000												1 000	1 000 1	1 000	1 000 1 000		1 000
C DC34 Vhembe District Municipality												3 300			3 300	3 480	3 480						3 480
Total: Vhembe Municipalities	3 500	3 500	4 000	3 500	3 500	4 000						3 300	3 480	3 480	3 300	3 480	3 480	0089	2 0869	7 480 6	086 9 008 9		7 480
NP351	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250 250		000
NP352		250	200	250	250	200																	27 8
NP353		250	200	250	250	200																•	
		2 500	1 000	2 500		1 000																	1 000
B NP555 Lepelle-Nkumpi C DC35 Canacom Dietrict Municipality	1 000	250	000	1 000	1 000	200						3.175			3 175		3 348		4 348	348 4	250 2	4	348
1.5	,	4 500	4 000	4 500		4 000						3 175	3 348	3 348	3 175	3 348	3 348		7 848 7		7 675 7 848	7	7 348
B NP361 Thabazimbi	200	200	200	200	200	200												200	200	200	200	200	200
NP362	e e	0	0	0	0	G G												i i	0	00			0
NP364	250	052	200	052	250	200												250	052	200	052	052	200
B NP365 Modimolle	720	062	200	720	720	000												720	720	200			200
NP367																							
DC36												3 150	3 322	3 322	3 150	3 322	3 322	3 150	3 322	3 322	3 150 3 3		322
Ιğ	1 000	000 1	1 500	1 000	1 000	1 500						3 150			3 150		3 322				4 150 4 322		4 822
Total: Limono Municipalities	12.250 12	12.250	15 000	12.250	12.250	15 000			$\frac{1}{1}$			10 475	20 537	20 537	10 475	20 537	20 537	31 725 3	32 787 35	15 517 11 725	737 32 787	75 35 537	537
rome rambolo aramadamas	_																٤						i

APPENDIX E3

		Local	Governmen	ent Financis	al Manager	Local Government Financial Management Grant		Loc	al Governi	nent Restr	Local Government Restructuring Grant	ınt	Mu	nicipal Syst	tems Impre	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	gramme			SUB-TOT	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	RRENT		
		National 1	National Financial Year	H	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year		National Fi	National Financial Year	H	Municipal Financial Year	ancial Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	H	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	ear	National Financial Year	nancial Yea	Н	Municipal Financial Year	ancial Yea	ï
	mbon Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	005/06 2 ₁		004/05 2.	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07		04/05 200	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07		4/05 2005A	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2004/02	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07		.004/02 Zr	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	_	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	2/06 2000		2004/05 2005/06 2006/07	9002 900	20/
Category inu	Number Municipanty	(R'000) (F	(R'000) (F	(R'000) (A	(R'000) (A	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (R'C	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (.	(R'000) (I	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (1	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000) (R'000)	00) (R'000))O) (R'000)	(R'000)	00)
MPUMALANGA																								
B MF	MP301 Albert Luthuli	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
B MP	MP302 Msukaligwa																							
B MP	MP304 Seme																							
B	MP305 Lekwa																							
B	MP306 Dipaleseng	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
B MF	MP307 Govan Mbeki	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000															2	2	2 000
C	DC30 Gert Sibande District Municipality	1 500	1 500	1 000	1 500	1 500	1 000						2 500	2 636	2 636	2 500	2 636	2 636	4 000	4 136 3		4 000 4	4 136	3 636
Total: Gert Siban	Otal: Gert Sibande Municipalities	4 000	4 000	4 000	4 000	4 000	4 000						2 500	2 636	2 636	2 500	2 636	2 636	9 0029	9 989 9	9 989 9	9 009 9	9 989 9	9699
B	MP311 Delmas																							
B	MP312 Emalahleni	2 000	2 000	1 000	2 000	2 000	1 000												2 000	2 000 1	1 000 2	2 000 2	2 000	000 1
B MP	MP313 Steve Tshwete	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000														1 000 1	1 000 1		000
B	MP314 Highlands	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
B MF																								
B	MP316 Dr JS Moroka	250	250	200	250	250	200												250					200
C	DC31 Nkangala District Municipality												2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	2 847 2	2 847 2	2 700 2	2 847 2	2 847
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	Municipalities	3 500	3 500	3 000	3 500	3 500	3 000						2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	2 847	2 847	6 200	6347 5	5 847 6	6 200 6	6 347 5	5 847
B	MP321 Thebe Churen																							
M W		2 500	2.500	1 000	2.500	2 500	1 000												2.500	2 500	1 000	2 500	2 500	1 000
B																								
B MP	MP324 Nkomazi																							
C	DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality	2 000	2 000	1 000	2 000	2 000	1 000						3 100	3 269	3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269	5 100	5 269 4	4 269 5	5 100 5	5 269 4	4 269
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	Municipalities	4 500	4 500	2 000	4 500	4 500	2 000						3 100	3 269	3 269	3 100	3 269	3 269	2 009 2	S 69L L	5 269 7	2 009 7	2 694 4	5 269
Total: Mpumalan	Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	12 000	12 000	000 6	12000	12000	000 6						8 300	8 753	8 753	8 300	8 753	8 753	20 300 20	20 753 17	17 753 20	20 300 20	20 753 17 753	753

APPENDIX E3

RECURRENT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6)

	Local Government Financial Management Grant	nment F	inancial Me	nagemen	Grant	L	Local	Local Government Restructuring Grant	Rectricent	ing Grant	F	Minnie	Minicipal Systems Improvement Programme	Improvem	ent Program	omu		SIR	STIR_TOTAL - RECTIREEN	FCTRREN	L	
	National Financial Year	cial Year		icipal Fin	Municipal Financial Year	-	National Financial Year	ial Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	1 Year	National Fi	National Financial Year	۲	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Natio	National Financial Year	al Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	/ear
	2004/05 2005/06	5 2006/07	. 20	05 2005/06	0/9002 3000/0	7 20	05 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	7	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	2 20	05 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	20	2004/05 2	2005/06 20	2006/07
Category Number Municipality	_	_		_			-		(R'000)	_				_	_	_	(R'000)			-		(R'000)
NORTHERN CAPE																						
B NC01B1 Gamagara						200											25			250	250	200
	250 250		500	250	250	200											250	0 250	500	250	250	200
C CBDC1 Kgalagadi District Municipality	_					1 000													4 691	4 500	4 691	4 691
Total: Kgalagadi Cross Border Municipalities	1500 1500		2 000 1 5	500	500 2 (000						3 500	3 691 3 0	3 691 3 500	00 3 69	1 369	5 000	5 191	5 691	2 000	5 191	5 691
B NC061 Richtersyeld	250 25	250	200	250	250	200											250	250	200	250	250	200
																	i			i	ì	
						200											25			250	250	200
						200											250			250	250	200
						200											25			250	250	200
	250 250		500	250	250	200											250	0 250	500	250	250	200
C DC6 Namakwa District Municipality	1	1	1	1		000														4 100	4 269	4 269
Total: Namakwa Municipalities	2 250 2 250		3500 22	2 250 2	2250 35	3500						3 100	3 269 3	3 269 3 1	3 100 3 269	3 269	5 350	5 519	692 9	5 350	5 519	6 169
B NC021 Thuntu						00.											00		1 000	000	1 000	000
	050		000	050	050	200											090	350	000	000	350	000
						200											57		900	067	067	8
						200											150		200	250	250	200
	250 250		200	250	250	200											250	0 250	200	250	250	200
						200											250		200	250	250	200
						200											250		200	250	250	200
						200											250		200	250	250	200
C DC7 Karoo District Municipality	_	_	_	_		1 000						2 675			2 675 2 821		С	3	3 821	3 675	3 821	3 821
Total: Karoo Municipalities	3 500 3 500		5 000 3 5	3 500 3	3 500 5 (000						2 675	2 821 2 8	2 821 2 6	2 675 2 821		6 175	5 6 321	7 821	6 175	6 321	7 821
NCO8 Meior						200											250		200	250	050	005
	250 250		200	250	250	200											250	250	500	250	250	000
						2													9		ì	. 2
						200											250				250	
B NC085 Tsantsabane	250 250		500	250	250	200											250	0 250	200	250	250	200
B NC086 Kgatelopele						200															250	200
C DC8 Siyanda District Municipality	1	1	1	_		1 000						2 675				2 821	3 675		3 821		3 821	3 821
Total: Siyanda Municipalities	2 250 2 250		3 500 2 2	2 250 2	2 250 3 5	200						2 675	2 821 2 :	2 821 2 6	2 675 2 821			5 5 071	6 321	4 925	5 071	6 321
B NC091 Sol Plaatie						1 000											2 500			2.500	2 500	1 000
						200											20		'	250	250	200
	250 250		200	250	250	200											250	250	500	250	250	200
B CBLC7 Phokwane																				i	i	
	2 500 2 000		1 000 2 5		2 000	1 000						3 825	4 034 4 0	4 034 3 8	3 825 4 034					6 325	6 034	5 034
Total: Frances Baard Municipalities	5 500 5 000		3 000 5 5	5 500 5	5 000 3	3 000						3 825	4 0 3 4 4 (4 0 3 4 3 8	3 825 4 0 34	4034	9 325	5 9 034	7 034	9 325	9 034	7 034
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities	15 000 14 500	17 000	000 15 000	L	14 500 17 000	000						15 775	16 635 16 635	635 15 775	16 635	16 635	30 775	31 135	33 635	30 775	31 135	33 635
*]					1

APPENDIX E3

	Local Go	vernmen	Local Government Financial Management Grant	Managem	ent Grant		Loc	Local Government Restructuring Gran	nent Restri	cturing G	rant		Municip	Municipal Systems Improvement Programme	nprovemer	t Program	me		SUB	-TOTAL:	SUB-TOTAL: RECURRENT	L	
	National Financial Year	nancial Ye		funicipal l	Municipal Financial Year		- 22	National Financial Year	-	unicipal Fi	Municipal Financial Year	<u> </u>	tional Fina	National Financial Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	cial Year	Nation	al Fin	al Year	Municipal Financial Year	Financial	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 200. (R'000) (R'0	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'	2006/07 20A (R'000) (R'	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'0	2006/07 20A (R'000) (R'	2004/05 20C (R'000) (R'C	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	_		2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	07 2004/05 0) (R'000)	05 2005/06 0) (R'000)	(06 2006/07) (0) (R'000)	7 2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	(R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 20 (R'000) (A	2006/07 (R'000)
NORTH WEST																							
B NW371 Moretele	250	250	200	250	250	500												250	250	500	250	250	200
	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000												1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
B NW375 Mosse Kotone		067	200	067		000												067			067	0007	2000
												. 2	2 700 2	2 847 2 847	17 2 700	0 2 847	7 2 847	2 700	2 847	2 847	2 700	2 847	2 847
Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	1 500	1 500	2 000	1 500	1 500	2 000						2	2 700 2 :	2 847 2 847	17 2 700	0 2847					4 200	4 347	4 847
B NW381 Ration		250	200	250		200												250			250	250	200
B NW382 Tswaing	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	500	250	250	200
		200	1 500	2 750		1 500												2 750			2 750	1 500	1500
B NW384 Ditsobotla																							
C DC38 Central District Municipality		000		0.00								7					1 2 821			2 821	2 6/5	2 821	2 821
Total: Central Municipalities	3 2 5 0	2 0 0 0	2 500	3 250	2 000	2 500						2	2 675 2	2 821 2 82]	2 675	5 2 821		5 925	4 821	5 321	5 925	4 821	5 321
B NW391 Kagisano	250	250	200	250	250	200												250	250	200	250	250	200
	250	250	500	250	250	500												250	250	500	250	250	500
B NW305 Melong	250	052	000	057	050	200												250			052	250	200
	007	067	900	06.2	067	8												2007			067	067	8
												3	3 700 3 9	3 902 3 902	3 700	3 902	3 902	3 700			3 700	3 902	3 902
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000						3	3 700 3	3 902 3 902	3 700	3 902	3 902	4 700	4 902	5 902	4 700	4 902	5 902
B NW401 Ventersdorp		250	200	250		200												250			250	250	200
B NW402 Potchefstroom	2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000		1 000												2 000	1 000	1 000	2 000	1 000	1 000
		000	1 000	1 750		1 000												1 750			1 750	1 000	1 000
																							3(
C DC40 Southern District Municipality		1 500	1 500	1 500		1 500						2									3 850	3 978	
Total: Southern Municipalities	5 500	3 750	4 000	2 200	3 750	4 000						7	2 350 2	2 478 2 478	78 2 350	0 2478	8 2 478	7 850	6 228	6 478	7 850	6 228	6 478
Total: North West Municipalities	11 250 8	8 250 1	10 500	11 250	8 250 10	10 500						11	11425 12 (12 048 12 048	11 425	5 12 048	8 12 048	22 675	50 298	22 548	22 675	20 298	22 548

APPENDIX E3

	J level G	Local Government Einancial Management Grant	Financial	Managemen	at Grant	_	Local G	Local Government Bestructuring Grant	Rectructur	no Grant		Minici	Minicipal Systems Improvement Programme	Improveme	nt Program	me		SIR.	STR.TOTAL BECTIREEN	CIRREN		Γ
	National F	National Financial Year	H	Tunicipal Fi	Municipal Financial Year	H	1 2	al Year	Munici	1.51	H	Vational Fir	National Financial Year	H	Municipal Financial Year	cial Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	H	Municipal Financial Year	inanci	ear
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 20) (R'000) (R'	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'0	2006/07 200 (R'000) (R'0	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	07 2004/05 0) (R'000)	5 2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 200 (R'000) (R'	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	5/06 2006/07 00) (R'000)	97 2004/05 9) (R'000)	s 2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000) (2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 20 (R'000) (R	2006/07 (R'000)
WESTERN CAPE																						
A City of Cape Town	4 000	4 000	1 000	4 000 4	4 000 1	1 000											4 000	4 000	1 000	4 000	4 000	1 000
	250	250	900	250	250	200											250	250	200	250	250	500
B WC014 Saldanha Bav																						
	500	200	200	200	500	200						000 6	2 100	2 100	2 100	2 100	3,000	3 100	3 100	3 000	3 100	2 100
	1 750					2 000												3 859	4 109	3 750		4 109
B WC022 Witzenberg	900					Ş											6	000	000	000	9	8
	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000											1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
B WC025 Breede Valley B WC026 Breede River Winelands C DC2 Boland District Municipality	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	2 000	000						2 400	2 531 2 5	2.531 2.400	00 2.531		4 400	4 531	4 531	4 400	4 531	4 531
ipa	2 000					5 000										2 531		7 531	7 531	7 400	7 531	7 531
	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500											1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500
B WCO34 Swellendam B WCO34 Swellendam C DC3 Overberg District Municipality	250 1 500	250	500	250	250 1 500	500											3 850	3 978	3 978	250 3 850	250 3 978	3 978
Total: Overberg Municipalities	3 250					3 500						2 350 2	2 478 2 4	2 478 2 350		3 2 478		5 728	5 978	2 600	5 728	5 978
B WC041 Kannaland B WC042 Laneeberz	250	250	200	250	250	200											250	250	200	250	250	200
	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000 1	1 000											1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
B WCQ48 Knysna DC4 Eden District Municipality	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500	1 500 1	1 500						2 400	2 531 2 5	2 531 2 400	00 2 531	2 531	3 900	4 031	1 000	3 900	1 000	1 000
= 1	06/6					4 000											OCT O	0 201	166.0	001.0		166 0
	250 250	250 250	500 500	250 250	250 250	500 500											250 250	250 250	500 500	250 250	250 250	500
B WC053 Beaufort West C DC5 Central Karoo District Municipality	250	250	200	250		200						3 100	3 269 3 2	3 269 3 100	3 269		3 350	3 519	3 769	3 350	3 519	3 769
Total: Central Karoo Municipalities	750	750	1 500	750	750 1	500						3 100	3 269 3 2	3 269 3 100	3 269	3 269	3 850	4 019	4 769	3 850		4 769
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	18 500	18 500 17	17 000 13	18 500 18	18 500 17 000	000					-	12 250 12	12 918 12 918	18 12250	0 12 918	3 12 918	30 750	31 418	29 918	30 750	31 418	29 918
Unallocated and other National Allocations Municipal Systems Improvement Programme Restructuring Grant						192 900	350 000	350 000	192 900	350 000	350 000	40 000 50	20 000 20 000	40 000	000 20 000	000 05 000	40 000 192 900	50 000 350 000	50 000	40 000 3	50 000 35	50 000 350 000
National Total	137 000 132 500 136 000	12 500 13	6 000 13	7 000 13.	137 000 132 500 136 000	000 342 900	350 000	350 000	342 900	350 000	350 000 18	182 243 200	200 000 200 000	182 2	3 200 00	182 243 200 000 200 000	662 143	682 500	000 989	662 143 6	682 500 68	000 989

APPENDIX E4:

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

(National and Municipal Financial Years)

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports	orts and Recre	and Recreation Programme Grant	Grant	Z	iational Elec	cation 1	ogramme	(NEP)		SUB-TOI	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	ASTRUC	TURE
	National Financial	/ear	Municipal Financial Year	cial Year	National	National Financial Year	-	/funicipal	Municipal Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Н.	Municipa	-89.
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	:006/07 R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (.	707 (0)	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)		2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)
EASTERN CAPE														
A Nelson Mandela					11 781	15 000		13 910	12 750	11 781	15 000		13 910	12 750
B EC101 Camdeboo B EC102 Blue Crane Route					1 249	1 000 4		1 188	850	1 249	1 000		1 188	340
EC103								3 !	2					
B EC104 Makana B EC105 Ndlambe					1 730	100		1370	82	1 730	100		1370	82
EC106					829	200		687	425	859	500		687	425
					668	1 500		30 1 124	1 275	668	1 500		1 124	1 275
B EC109 Koukamma C DC10 Cacadu District Municipality	3 000		2 550							3 000			2 5 5 0	
Total: Cacadu Municipalities	3 000		2 550		4 737	3 700		4 474	3 145	7 737	3 700		7 024	3 145
EC121														
EC122 EC123					403	200		478	425	403	200		478	425
					10 260	12 000		12 060	10 200	10 260	12 000		12 060	10 200
B EC126 Ngqushwa					1 550	700		200	505	1 550	002		1 500	505
EC128	900		77		2 325	800		2 445	089	2 325	800		2 445	089
Total: Amatole Municipalities	9 700		8 245		14 538	14 000		16 483	11 900	24 238	14 000		24 7 28	11 900
B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba					2 480	1 000		2 280	850	2 480	1 000		2 280	850
EC132					558	009		468	510	558	009		468	510
					1 445	1 600		1 685	340 1 360	410 1 445	1 600		1 685	340 1 360
B EC135 Intsika Yethu B EC136 Emalahleni					1 705	1 500		1 930	1 275	1 705	1.500		1 930	1 275
EC137 FC138														
C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality	4 104		3 488							4 104	,		3 488	
Total: Chris Hani Municipalities	4 104		3 488		6 597	2 100		6 2 9 9	4 335	10 701	5 100		10 288	4 335
B EC141 Elundini B FC142 Seron					4 168	1 600		3 708	1 360	4 168	1 600		3 708	1 360
EC143					1 550	2 000		1 850	1 700	1 550	2 000		1 850	1 700
B EC144 Gariep C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	1 000		850		1 550	009		840	510	1 550 1 000	009		840 850	510
Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	1 000		850		7 268	4 200		968 9	3 570	8 268	4 200		7 248	3 570
B ECI51 Mbizana B ECI52 Ntabankulu B ECI53 Qaukeni														
B EC154 Port St. Johns B EC155 Nyandeni														
EC156 EC157					5 580	8 000		082 9	008 9	5 580	8 000		0829	008 9
C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality	5 500		4 675		002 2	900		0000	000	5 500			4 675	000 3
Total: O.K. Lambo Municipalities	0000		6/9		086 6	8 000		08/.9	0 800	11 080	2000		CC 11	008 9
B EC05b1 Unzimkhulu B EC05b2 Unzimvubu C DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipality	1 500		1 275							1 500			1 275	
Total: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	1 500		1 275							1 500			1 275	
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities	24 804		21 083		50 502	20 000		54 845	42 500	75 306	20 000		75 928	42 500

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	oorts and Rec	reation Pro	gramme Grant		National Electrification Programme (NEP)	ctrification P	rogramme (NEP)		SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	L: INFRAS	STRUCTU	3E	
	National Financial Year	ial Year	Municip	unicipal Financial Year		National Financial Year		Municipal F	.00	Natio	National Financial Year		Aunicipal F	ncia	ar
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	5 2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	97 2004/05 9) (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 20 (R'000) (R	2004/05 200 (R'000) (R'C	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'	2006/07 20C (R'000) (R'	2004/05 200 (R'000) (R'	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	(00)
FREE STATE															
B FS161 Letsemeng					620			420	340	620			420	340	
FS162						400		09	340		400		09	340	
B FS163 Mohokare C DC16 Xhariep District Municipality	1 600		1 360							1 600			1 360		
Total: Xhariep Municipalities	1 600		1 360		620	800		480	089	2 2 2 0	800		1 840	089	
FS172					4 2 1 6	S			4 250	4 2 1 6	S		4 966	4 250	
B FS173 Mantsopa C DC17 Mother District Municipality						200		30	170		200		30	170	
otal: Motheo Municipal					4 2 1 6	5 200		4 996	4 420	4 2 1 6	5 200		4 996	4 420	
B FS181 Maxilonvana															
FS182					403			203		403			203		
FS183															
FS184					620	1 000		770	850	620	1		770	850	
B F5185 Nata C DC18 Leiweleputswa District Municipality	4 309		3 663			00/		501	565	4 309	00/		3 663	666	
Total: Lejweleputswa Municipalities	4 309				1 023	1 700		1 078	1 445	5 332	1 700		4 741	1 445	
FS191															
B FS192 Dihlabeng					310	300		355	255	310	300		35.5	356	
FS193					17 873	15			13 582	17 873	15	_		13 582	
									425				75	425	
Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities					18 183	16 779		14 623	14 262	18 183	16 779	1	14 623	14 262	
B FS201 Monhaka					029	000		022	850	029	1 000		022	850	
					1116	. –		466	850	1116			466	850	
FS204					1 240	-		1 390	850	1 240			1 390	820	
B FS205 Mafube C DC20 Northern Free State District Municipality	4 500		3 825		310	1 000		460	850	310	1 000		3 825	850	
15	4 500				3 286	4 000		3 086	3 400	7 786	4 000			3 400	
Total: Free State Municipalities	10 409		8 848		27 328	28 479		24 263 2.	24 207	37 737	28 479	3	33 111 2	24 207	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	Building	g for Sports	and Recre	ation Progr	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant		National	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	on Program	me (NEP)			SUB-TO	FAL: INFI	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	TURE	
	National	National Financial Year		Municipal	Municipal Financial Year		National Financial Year	ial Year	Municil	Municipal Financial Year	l Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality									2004/02		2006/07			2009/02			2006/07
	(K.000)	(K 000) ((KUUU)	(K.000) (F	(K.000) (K.000)	(M.000)	(KODO)	(K.000)	(R.000)	(NOO)	(ROOD)	(K.000)	(ROOU)	(RODD)	(K1000)	(R.000)	(K.000)
SAUTENG																	
A Ekurhuleni	1 350			1 148		5 000	000 11 000		4 900	8 500		6 350	10 000		6 048	8 500	
A City of Johannesburg	1 350			1 148		10 000	000 6 00	0	9699	7 650		11 350	0006		7 784	7 650	
A City of Tshwane	3 250			2 763		10 000	000 7 000	0	5 861	5 950		13 250	7 000		8 623	5 950	
3 GT02b1 Nokeng tsa Taemane							50		75	425			200		75	425	
3 CBLC2 Kungwini							200		75	425			200		75	425	
CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality	1 370			1 165								1 370			1 165		
Fotal: Metsweding Municipalities	1 370			1 165			1 000	0	150	850		1 370	1 000		1315	820	
3 GT421 Emfuleni	1 368			1 163			1 000		150	850		1 368	1 000		1 313	850	
	1 000			850		2 240		0	1 790	850		3 240	1 000		2 640	850	
3 GT423 Lesedi						2 530		0	300	1 700		2 530	2 000		300	1 700	
C DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality																	
Fotal: Sedibeng Municipalities	2 368			2 013		4 770	70 4 000		2 240	3 400		7 138	4 000		4 253	3 400	
3 GT411 Mogale City	1 500			1 275			1 500		225	1 275		1 500	1 500		1 500	1 275	
	009			510		2 130			2 205	425		2 730	200		2 7 1 5	425	
							1 000	0	150	850			1 000		150	850	
						4 070	70 1 500	0	3 795	1 275		4 070	1 500		3 795	1 275	
CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality																	
Fotal: West Rand Municipalities	2 100			1 785		6 200	00 4 500	0	9.375	3 825		8 300	4 500		8 160	3 825	
Potol: Contana Municipolities	11 788			10.020		35 970	35 500		26.162	30 175		82L LV	35 500		36 182	30 175	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	and Recre	ation Pro	gramme Grant	Z	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	fication Progr	amme (NEF			SUB-TO1	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	ASTRUCI	URE	
	National Financial Year	/ear	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	.55	Nationa	al		Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 2 (R'000) (R'000) (2006/07 2 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	. 2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 20C (R'000) (R'0	2006/07 2004/05 (R'000) (R'000)	5 2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
KWAZULU-NATAL											1		1		
A eThekwini					23 712	25 000	18 597	97 21 250	0	23 712	25 000		18 597	21 250	
B KZ211 Vulamehlo B KZ212 Umdoni															
KZ213															
					843	2 500	1 218	18 2 125	5	843	2 500		1 218	2 125	
KZ215															
B KZ216 Hubiscus Coast C DC21 Ugu District Municipality	4 500		3 825							4 500			3 825		
Total: Ugu Municipalities	4 500		3 825		843	2 500	1 2	218 2 125	2	5 343	2 500		5 043	2 125	
B KZ221 nMshwathi															
KZ222					620	3 000	1 070	2.550		029	3 000		1 070	2.550	
KZ223									2		3			1	
KZ224															
KZ225					4 041	1 500	3 954	54 1 275	2	4 041	1 500		3 954	1 275	
KZ226															
B KZ227 Richmond C DC32 uMannamedlovn Dietrict Municipality	3 600		3.060							3 600			3.060		
The state of the s	0000 6				1001	002.4	ı			2000	000		2000	1000	
I otal: u/ngungundnovu //umcrpanues	3 000		3 000		4 001	4 500	5 024	0	0	107 8	4 200		8 084	2 8 72	
B KZ232 Emnambithi/Ladysmith					2 324	1 000	1 862	62 850	0	2 324	1 000		1 862	850	
KZ233															
KZ234					1 798	1 000	1 080	80 850	0	1 798	1 000		1 080	850	
KZ235															
B KZ236 Imbabazane C DC3 Uthukela District Municipality	3 000		2 550							3 000			2 550		
otal: Uthukela Municipa	3 000		2 550		4 122	2 000	2 942	1 700	0	7 122	2 000		5 492	1 700	
B KZ241 Endumeni					1 245	1 000	1 395	95 850	0	1 245	1 000		1 395	850	
KZ242															
KZ244															
KZ245															
C DC24 Umzinyathi District Municipality	I 400		1 190				,			1 400			1 190		
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	1 400		1 190		1 245	1 000	13	395 850	0	2 645	1 000		2 585	820	
B KZ252 Newcastle					1 240	1 000	1 390	068 06		1 240	1 000		1 390	850	
KZ253						300			2		300		45	255	
	0		i i												
C DC25 Amajuba District Municipality	1 500		1 275		- 070	900	_			1 500	000		1 275	10	
Total: Amajuba Municipalities	1 500	_	1 275	_	1 240	1300	1 435	35 1 105	0	2 740	1 300		2 710	1 105	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

		Building for S	ports and R	ecreation Pro	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant		National Electrification Programme (NEP)	tion Progra	mme (NEP)			SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	: INFRAS	TRUCTU	SE.	
		National Financial Year	ncial Year	Munici	Annicipal Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Munic	.59	ear	National	National Financial Year		funicipal I	Municipal Financial Year	ar
Category Number Municipality	20	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000))6 2006/07)) (R'000)	200 (R'	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	7 2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'C	07 9)	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
10000						i i					i I	0		000	1	
						C//	1 500	1 000 I	12/5		C//	1 500		000 1	6/7.1	
KZ262						1	200				1	200		0.5	575	
KZ263						1 550	4 000	2 150	3 400		1550	4 000		2 150	3 400	
KZ265							0				,	i i			0	
B KZ266 Ulundı		000		022.0		6 346	000 /	2 848	0565		6 346	000 /		2 848	2 950	
C DC26 Zululand District Municipality		3 000		7 250		ļ	4	i			2 000					
Total: Zululand Municipalities		3 000		2 550		8 671	13 000	6 073	11 050		11 671	13 000		8 623	11 050	
KZ271																
KZ272																
KZ273																
KZ274																
	-					3 922	1 000	3 324	058		3 922	1 000		3 324	850	
C DC27 Umkhanyakude District Municipality	pality	1 500		1 275	-						1 500			1 275		
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities		1 500		1 275		3 922	1 000	3 324	820		5 422	1 000	,	4 599	820	
100222																
KZ281							C C	i				Ç		ì		
KZ282							200	5/	472			200		c/	575	
KZ283							0	i				0		ì		
KZ284							200	75	425			200		75	425	
KZ285																
KZ286																
C DC28 uThungulu District Municipality	,	2 700		2 295							2 700		``	2 295		
Total: uThungulu Municipalities		2 700		2 295			1 000	150	850		2 700	1 000	``	2 445	850	
R KZ201 aMdondobrenko																
1677XI						087.9	000 2	6 640	0505		082.9	7 000		6 640	2 050	
76770						6010	000 /	Ť			6970	2000	_	×+0 0	0000	
NZ293																
		3 100		2 635							3 100			2 635		
otal. Hembe Municinal		3 100		2635		084 9	2 000	6 640	0505		0880	2 000		0 284	5 950	
							200			l			'			
B KZ5a1 Ingwe																
B KZ5a2 Kwa Sani																
B KZ5a3 Matatiele							200	75				200		75	425	
KZ5a4						973	1 200	896	1 020		973	1 200		896	1 020	
KZ5a5																
DC43		1 582		1 345							1 582			1 345		
Total: Sisonke Municipalities		1 582		1 345		613	1 700	1 043	1 445		2 556	1 700	,	2 388	1 445	
Total: KwaZulu. Natal Municinalitias		75 887	+	22,000		56 170	000 09	47.850	21 000	I	82.061	000 09		058 69	21 000	T
10tal: Kwazunu-watan mumerpanues		700 07		77 AVV	_	20 1/2	00 000	4/ 05/		_]	100 70	00 000	0		T DOO	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports and Recreat	rte and Bee	reation Programme Grant	1	Notion	National Fleetrification Programme (NFP)	ion Progres	me (NFP)		SIR.T	STB.TOTAL : INFRASTRICTIBE	DIATPIC	TIRE	
	National Financial Year	al Year	Municipal Financial Year		National Financial Year	ncial Year	Munic	Municipal Financial Year	<u> </u>	National Financial Year	ial Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/05 2005/06	2009/02	2004/05 2005/06 20	7 20	2004/05 2005/06	06 2006/07	2004/05	2009/002 90/5002	20	5 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Category Number Municipality		(R'000)	(R'000)		_		(R'000)					(R'000)		(R'000)
LIMPOPO														
NP03A3	•		C I							9		i c		
	1 000		000						I 000	90		820		
CBLC4														
CBLCS	0		1							9		1		
C CBDC3 Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	3 000		2 550						3 000	00		2 250		
Total: Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary Municipalities	4 000		3 400						4 000	96		3 400		
R NP04A1 Mamleno														
B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge														
CBDC4	1 500		1 275						1 500	00		1 275		
Total: Bohlabela Municipalities	1 500		1 275						1 500	00		1 275		
•														
B NP332 Greater Letaba						500	75			200	0	75	425	
NP333					446	200	521	425	4	446 500	•	521	425	
NP334														
DC33	3 000		2 550						3 000	00		2 550		
Total: Mopani Municipalities	3 000		2 550		446 1	000	969	850	3 446	46 1 000	•	3 146	850	
B NP341 Musina						620	93	527		620	_	93	527	
NP342														
	1 500		1 275						1 500			1 275		
NP344					302	1 500	385	1 275	Ж Ж	302 1 500	0	385	1 275	
C DC34 Vhembe District Municipality	1 500		1 275						1 500			1 275		
Total: Vhembe Municipalities	3 000		2 550		302 2	2 120	478	1802	3 302	02 2 120	•	3 028	1 802	
130014			127		-	90	0.71	O ii	-			100	C is C	
b Am2£2 A control	1 900		1 0/1		_	000 1	OCI	000	1 900	000 1 000		1 8 2 1	000	
NF352						9	4	330		7		46	220	
D MISSE MORPHONE	000		001		000	300	4 000	233	01/01	300		10101	620	
NF334 ND255	0000		001 6			oco	nen c		10 0.			10 100	5 955	
DC35														
otal: Capricorn Munici	996 L		6 771		4 650 5	5 950	5 275	5 058	12 616	16 5 950		12 045	5 058	
2001.5						0	t			i		i.		
NP361						200	C	472		200		C	472	
B NP362 Lephalale														
NP365						2 000	4 175		3 875			4 175	1 700	
NP366					2000	200	530	307.1	0 00			530	1 705	
	1 500		1 275			250	17.1		1 633	33 250		1446	212	
DC36	1 500		1 275			007	1/1	612	1 500			1 275	C1.2	
otel. Weterhouse Munici	2000		032.0		1 631	3 250	1 051	2743	7 621	3 3 50		7 501	2 763	
Lotal: Waterberg Municipalities	2 000		7 290			067	16% 4	CO/ 7	'Q'			106 /	7 /02	
Total: Limnono Municinalities	22 466		19 096		10 218 12	12 320	11 300	10 472	32 684	12 320		30 395	10 472	T
LOTAL LIBITORY STATEMENTS	OUT WA		T) ava	_		070	****		5			2000	71207	1

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	rts and Rec	reation Progr	amme Gra	ınıt	Na	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	ication Prog	ramme (N	(P)		SUB-TC	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	RASTRUC	TURE	
	National Financial Year	l Year	Municipal	funicipal Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year		nicipal Fin	Municipal Financial Year	Natio	National Financial Year	ıl Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2002/06										2004/05		2006/07			2006/07
	(R'000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (.	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000) (I	(R'000) (R'000))O) (R'000)	 (R'000)) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (1	(R'000)
MPIMALANGA																
	1 000		850			3 100	3 000	2 ;		920	4 100			3 360	2 550	
B MP302 Msukaligwa	1 000		850			1 705	2 000			1 700	2 705			2 006	1 700	
B MP303 Mkhondo	1 000		850			2 083	2 000		1 373 1	00.	3 083			2 223	1 700	
B MP304 Seme						133	340			289	133			118	289	
B MP305 Lekwa						1 626	1 500	_	155 1	275	1 626	1 500		1 155	1 275	
B MP306 Dipaleseng						930	009		970	510	930	009		970	510	
B MP307 Govan Mbeki						1 653	4 000	1,	452 3 4	3 400	1 653	4 000		1 452	3 400	
C DC30 Gert Sibande District Municipality																
Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities	3 000		2 550			11 231	13 440	8	8 734 11 424	124	14 231	13 440		11 284	11 424	
B MP311 Delmas						096	1 000		050	850	096	1 000		1 050	850	
	1 197		1 018			3 000	3 931	<u>`</u>		141	4 197			2 739	3 341	
B MP313 Steve Tshwete						1 864	2 000			1 700	1 864			1 139	1 700	
B MP314 Highlands						1 120	1 000		950	850	1 120	1 000		950	850	
MP315																
							1 000		150	850		1 000		150	820	
C DC31 Nkangala District Municipality	3 000		2 550								3 000			2 550		
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	4 197		3 268			6 944	8 931	2	5 010 7 :	591	11 141	8 931		8 2 2 8	7 591	
MP321																
MP322	1 000		850			3 350	2 000	'n	3 765 4 2	4 250	4 350			4 615	4 250	
MP323						1 779	2 000	5		1 700	1 779			2 079	1 700	
						4 986	2 000	<u></u>	1 785 4 2	4 250	4 986	2 000		1 785	4 250	
C DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality	1 500		1 275								1 500			1 275		
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	2 500		2 125			10 115	12 000	7.	7 629 10 200	00	12 615	12 000		9 754	10 200	
Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	6 697		8 243			28 289	34 371	21:	21 373 29 215	:15	37 986	34 371		29 616	29 215	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Buildi	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	and Recrea	tion Progra	mme Grant		Nationa	ıl Electrifica	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	nme (NEP)			SUB-TO	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	RASTRUC	TURE	
	Nation		-	Municipal	.≌ ⊦	H	2	ıcial Year	Н.	.≘+	al Year	Nation	.≅-	1	Municip	-≅-	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (i	2006/07 20 (R'000) (F	2004/05 20 (R'000) (R	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	5/07 2004/05 000) (R'000)	705 2005/06 10) (R'000)	(R'000)	7 2004/05) (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 (R'000)
NORTHERN CAPE																	
NC01B1	3 413			2 901				200	75	425		3 413	500		2 976	425	
NW1a1																	
B CBLC1 Ga-Segonyana C CBDC1 Kgalagadi District Municipality								200	30	170			200		30	170	
Total: Kgalagadi Cross Border Municipalities	3 413			2 901			7	200	105	595		3 413	200		3 0 0 6	262	
NC061																	
NC062								20	623	128		720	150		623	128	
B NCU64 Kamiesberg B NCU65 Hantam							728 27	100	30			752			30	1/0 85	
NC066								8 8	30	170			200		30	170	
~							264	00	75			264			79	85	
C DC6 Namakwa District Municipality						,						,				000	
Total: Namakwa Municipalities						1	964	750	884	638		1 964	750		884	638	
							029	001	558	85		620	100		558	85	
NC072																	
NC073							264 2	200	294	170		264	200		294	170	
B NCO/4 Kareeberg																	
	3 413			2 901								3 413			2 901		
NC077																	
00																	
C DC/ Karoo District Municipality								1									
Total: Karoo Municipalities	3 413			2 901			884 3	300	851	. 255		4 297	300		3752	255	
NC081																	
NC082								200	30	170			200		30	170	
NC083							550 5	00.	1 625			1 550			1 625	425	
B NC085 Tearteshare																	
NC086							540 1	150	428	128		540	150		428	128	
C DC8 Siyanda District Municipality																	
Total: Siyanda Municipalities						2	2 090 8	850	2 083	3 723		2 090	850		2 083	723	
B NC091 Sol Plaatje							12	8	180				1 200		180	1 020	
B NC092 Thusanang							2	200	30	170			200		30	170	
NC093																	
CBLC7																	
C DC9 Frances Baard District Municipality							ļ						,			,	
Total: Frances Baard Municipalities							14	400	210	1 190			1 400		210	1 190	
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities	6827		-	5 803		4	4 937 4 000	00.	4133	3 400		11 764	4 000		9 935	3 400	
																	1

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	Building for Sports and Recreation Programme Grant	creation Programme G	rant	Na	National Electrification Programme (NEP)	ication Pros	ramme (NE	(d.		SUB-TO	SUB-TOTAL: INFRASTRUCTURE	SASTRUC	FURE	
	National Financial Year	Municipal Financial Year	al Year		National Financial Year	_	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Natio	National Financial Year	l Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 20 (R'000) (F	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	707 2004/05 30) (R'000)	05 2005/06 0) (R'000)) (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 (R'000)
NORTH WEST														
NW371				117	1 000			850	117			179	850	
NW372	1 171	966		620	2 500			25	1 791			1 991	2 125	
NW373	1 019	998		2 480	2 000	9	3 230 4 2	50	3 499	2 000		4 096	4 250	
NW374	2 500	2 125							2 500			2 125		
B NW375 Moses Kotane C DC37 Bojanala Platinum District Municipality														
Total: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	4 690	3 987		3 217	8 500	4	4 404 7 225	25	7 907	8 500		8 391	7 225	
B NW381 Radou														
NW382	1 000	850							1 000			850		
NW383	2 200	1 870						Ç.	2 200			1 870	i c	
B NW384 Ditsobotia B NW385 Zeerust					1 000		8 051	850		1 000		150	820	
DC38														
Total: Central Municipalities	3 200	2 720			1 000		150 8	850	3 200	1 000		2 870	820	
B NW391 Kagisano														
NW392					200		75 4	425		200		75	425	
B NW393 Mamusa														
	1 000	850							1 000			850		
NW395	1 000	850							1 000			850		
	1 200	1 020		465			465		1 665			1 485		
C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality														
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	3 200	2 720		465	200		540 4	425	3 665	200		3 260	425	
B NW401 Ventersdorp				2 170	700	2		95	2 170	200		2 275	595	
B NW402 Potchefstroom				2 536	1 500	,2	2 761 12	275	2 536			2 761	1 275	
NW403				1 550	1 000	<u></u>		50	1 550	_		1 700	820	
				620					620					
C DC40 Southern District Municipality														
Total: Southern Municipalities				928 9	3 200	, 9	6 736 2 720	20	928 9	3 200		6 736	2 720	
Total: North West Municipalities	11 090	9 427		10 558	13 200	11 830	830 11 220	20	21 648	13 200		21 257	11 220	

APPENDIX E4 (1 of 2)

	D.:14:2		******	Motion of D			ATEN	ū	HILL TATAL STREET ASSESSMENT OF MISSING	NIED A CTEDITIC	WEITER	
	National Financial Year	Municipal Financial Year	Ŀ	National Financial Year	HOII —	Municipal	rrogramme (INE.F.) Municipal Financial Year	National F	National Financial Year	Minici	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/05 2005/06 2006/0	97 2004/05 2005/06	7 20	5 2005/06	07 2	2004/05 20	2005/06 2006/07	2004/05 20	2005/06 2006/07	2	2005/06 2	2006/07
Category Number Municipality	(R'000)) (R'000) (R'000)			-	-				-+	- 1	(R'000)
WESTERN CAPE												
A City of Cape Town	1 000	850	8 302	9 000		8 722	7 650	9 302	000 6	9 572	7 650	
B WC011 Matzikama B WC012 Cederberg			· · ·			417	255	558	300	417	255	
WC013			1 094	94 500		188	425	1 094	200	188	425	
WC015 WC015	909	515	o 4	372 465 600		555	510	372 1 071	009	3/2 1 070	510	
C DCI West Coast District Municipality Total: West Coast Municipalities	909	515	2 489	39 1 400		1 532	1190	3 096	1 400	2 047	1190	
1												
WC022			-	197				197				
B WC023 Drakenstein	000 6	1 700		700		105	595	7 601	700	105	595	
WC025	000 7	00/1	12.5			780	340	1 240	400	780	340	
B WC026 Breede River Winelands C DC2 Boland District Municipality			1 116			851	255	1 116	300	851	255	
otal: Boland Municipal	2 000	1 700	3.2,	245 2 100		1 841	1 785	5 245	2 100	3 541	1 785	
B W/C031 Thearmteaschoof			9			509	325	069	005	509	301	
			1 070	70 400		730	340	1 070	400	730	340	
WC033												
B WC034 Swellendam C DC3 Overhere District Municipality				775 300		820	255	775	300	820	255	
otal: Overberg Municip			2 465	55 1 200		2 245	1 0 2 0	2 465	1 200	2 245	1 020	
B WC041 Kannaland	1 250	1 063						1 250		1 063		
WC042			223			253	170	223	200	253	170	
WC043	059	553	2 790			905	1615	3 440	1 900	1 458	1 615	
B WC045 Oudtshoorn				620 400		818 089	340	1 293	400	818	340	
WC047												
B WC048 Knysna C DC4 Eden District Municipality	200	425	4	465 800		285	089	965	800	1 010	089	
Total: Eden Municipalities	2 400	2 040	5 391	1 4800		3 241	4 080	7 791	4 800	5 281	4 080	
B WC051 Laingsburg	1 000	850	.9	330		029	281	1 620	330	1 520	281	
WC052	350	298	4					815		298		
B WC053 Beaufort West C DC5 Central Ramo District Municipality	1 600	1360	9	620 1 300		815	1 105	2 220	1 300	2 175	1 105	
otal: Central Karoo M	3300	2 805	17	705 1 630		1 485	1 386	5 005	1 630	4 290	1386	Ī
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	9 306	7 9 10	23 596	20 130		19 064	17 111	32 902	20 130	26 975	17 111	
National Total	132 270	112 430	247 577	77 258 000		220 819 2	219 300	379 847 2	258 000	333 249	219 300	T
			•		•	=	-	J	-	-	ē	1

	MIG Form	MIG Formula Allocations (i)		Implementation of Water Services Project (Capital)		Consolida Infrastruct	Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme	Total Exi	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	ents (ii)		Municip	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	icture Gran	t ¹ (iii)	
	Notional	Cinoncial Voor		Commitments	Zoor	Grant C	Grant Commitments		nol Financial V	100	Notion	(Final And	₹ -	Municin		Voor
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 2 (R'000) (i	2005/06 2006/07 2000) (R 000) (R 000)	20	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	20C (R'	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	74/05 2005/06 2006/07 000) (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 (R'000) (R'000)	3	2006/07 (R'000)
EASTERN CAPE																
A Nelson Mandela*	63 950	74 690 86	86 117			51 328	56 318	51 328	8 56318		63 950	74 690	86 117	65 131	75 947	86 117
B EC101 Camdeboo B EC102 Blue Crane Route	1 556		2 096 1 300	00				1 300					2 096		231	2 096
EC103	748	873 1		1 025 2 000				1 025	2 000				1 007		111	1 007
EC105	5 268			3				-					7 094		780	7 094
B EC106 Sundays River Valley B EC107 Baviaans	4 324 852		5 823 1 147										5 823		126	5 823
EC108	5 499		7 405										7 405		815	7 405
B EC 109 Koukamma C DC10 Cacadu District Municipality	2 390					20 509	23 565	20 509			27 205	32 141	3 219 474	27 748	554 28 657	3 219 474
Total: Cacadu Municipalities	29 531	34 491 39	39 768 3 275	75 2 000		20 509	23 565	23 784	4 25 565		27 205	32 141	39 768	27 748	32 979	39 768
	8968		12 077										12 077		1 328	12 077
EC122	12 249		494										16 494		1814	16 494
B EC123 Great Kei B EC124 Amahlathi	1 894 5 292	5 2 2 1 3 2 6 1 8 0 7	2 551 7 126										2 551		281 784	2 551
EC125	69 616			900 200		51 152	56 173	51 752	56 673		69 616	81 308	93 748	70 902	82 676	93 748
B EC126 Ngqushwa	3 342		4 500										4 500		495	4 500
	1 054		0 4 2 0										0 4 20		70/	0 420
DC12						98 774	111 294	128 934	4 143 273		136 148	159 013	132 748	138 663	156 124	132 748
Total: Amatole Municipalities	205 765		277 090 30 760	60 32 479		149 926	167 467	180 686	6 199 946		205 765	240 321	277 090	209 566	244 366	277 090
B EC131 Inxuba Yethemba	1 312		1 767										1 767		194	1 767
	1 148	1 341 1	1 547										1 547		170	1 547
B EC133 Inkwanca B EC134 Lukhanii	5 847		873										7 873		998	7 873
	7 333		9 875										9 875		1 086	9 875
B EC136 Emalahleni B EC137 Fnocobo	3 941	4 603 5	5 3 0 8										5 308		584 785	5 308
													2 769		305	2 769
C DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality	9 9	102 798 118	118 526 16 686	16 727		100 024	109 565	116 710	0 126 292		116710	132 727	118 526	118 472	131 165	118 526
1 ofal: Chris Hani Municipalities	019 611		080 OI C80			100 024	109 505	110/1		l	01/ 911	137.751	CS0 CS1	118 4/2	752 551	C80 CCI
EC141	5 451		341										7 341		807	7 341
B EC142 Sengu B EC143 Maletswai	5 487	0408 7	7 388										7 388		813	7 388
BC144	1 139												1 534		169	1 534
C DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality* Total: Ukhahlamba Municipalities	43 537		58 629 11 164 77 722 11 164	64 26 975 64 26 975		58 288 58 288	62 523 62 523	69 452 69 452	2 89 498 2 89 498		69 452 69 452	89 498 89 498	58 629	71 657	86 103 88 203	58 629
															000	
B EC151 Molzana B EC152 Ntabankulu	4 506	5 263 6	6 068										6 068		1 088	9909
EC153	8 424		11 345										11 345		1 248	11 345
B EC154 Port St. Johns B EC155 Nyandeni	9 163	10 702	12 339										0 544		1357	0 344
EC156	7367		920										9 920		1 091	9 920
B EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo* C DC15 O.R. Tambo District Municipality*	13 380 214 776	15 628 18 250 845 289	18 019 189 224 49 873	73 55 907		213 483	237 386	263 356	5 293 293		13 380 263 356	15 628 299 506	18 019 289 224	13 628 267 333	15 891 298 375	18 019 289 224
ΙΞΙ			363 348 49 873				237 386	263 356			276 736	315 134	363 348	280 960	320 437	363 348
B ECOShl Umzimkhulu	5 719	L 6199	7 701										7 701		847	7 701
EC0501 EC05b2	14 462												19 476		2 142	19 476
C DC44 Alfred Nzo District Municipality	75 755		102 014 61	6 100		94 065	102 738	100 165	5 102 738	T	100 165	109 597	102 014	101 202	108 763	102 014
	00% 6%		10 161	00		200 +6	007 701	1001	1		COT OOT	166 601	161 671		76/111	120 221
Total: Eastern Cape Municipalities	838 328	979 118 1 128 921	921 117 858	58 134 089		687 623	759 562	805 481	1 893 651		859 984	994 107 1 128 921	1 128 921	874 737	1 008 936 1 128 921	128 921

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

				Imnleme	Implementation of Water	Water	Consolid	Consolidated Municinal	ina			[[
	MIG For (Befor	MIG Formula Allocations (i) (Before Commitments)	ations (i)	Services	Services Project (Capital)	apital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme		otal Existing	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	(ii) s	Ð	Municipal Infrastructure Grant (iii) Final Allocations After Commitments)	Infrastruc ations Aft	cture Gran er Commit	r' (iii) ments)	
	Nation	National Financial	l Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	/ear	National	National Financial Year		Vational F	National Financial Year	ear	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)		2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	20)	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 2 (R'000) (i	07	2004/05 2 (R'000) (i	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	707 20 30) (R	2004/05 20((R'000) (R'	2005/06 20 (R'000) (F	(O)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
FREE STATE																		
FS161	3 681	4 299													4 957		545	4 957
	3753	4 383	5 053												5 053		556	5 053
B FS163 Mohokare C DC16 Xhariep District Municipality	2 805	3.277	3 / /8				7 948	8 965		7 948	8 965		9 312	11 170	3 //8	9516	416 9 942	3.7.8
-8	10 239	11958	13 788				7 948	8 965		7 948	8 965			11 170	13 788	9 5 1 6	11 458	13 788
B FS171 Naledi	2 487	2 904													3 349		368	3 340
	60 739	(-	81 793	11 494	3 500		40 151	45 094		51 645	48 594	9	60 739	70 939	81 793	61 861	72 133	81 793
FS173	5 139			100						100					6 920		761	6 920
C DC17 Motheo District Municipality							5 428	6 261		5 428	6 261			8 210		6 934	7 307	
Total: Motheo Municipalities	68 364	79 845	92 062	11 594	3 500		45 579	51 355		57 173	54 855	ا ا	67 515	79 149	92 062	68 795	80 569	92 062
B FS181 Masilonyana	8 140	9 507	10 962												10 962		1 206	10 962
FS182	3 695														4 976		547	4 976
FS183	5 805														7 818		860	7 818
	49 111	57 359	-				24 266	27 262		24 266	27 262	4	49 111	57 359	66 135	50 018	58 324	66 135
B FS185 Nala C DC18 I aiwelenntews Dietrict Municipality	12 050	14 073	16 226	5613	4 000		16 177	18 737		21 790	22 22		26.403	31 400	16 226	27.033	1 785	16 226
1	78.802	92.036	106 117	5 613	4 000		40 443	45 494		46.056	49 494	1		88 750	106117	77.052	099 06	106 117
Total, Deliverepuisma Municipanties	700 07	000 76		CTOC	100		î.	7		0000	144] 		66 153	110011	70011	20 00	1100 111/
FS191	17 542	20 488	23 623	6 232	4 843					6 232	4 843				23 623		2 598	23 623
FS192	11 076														14 916		1 641	14 916
FS193	6 777			1	0							•			9 127	0	1 004	9 127
B FS194 Maluti-a-Photung*	36 414	42 529	49 036	5 613	000 8		23 704	23 704		29 317	31.704		36 414	42 529	49 036	37.087	43 245	49 036
	15 136	17 678	2				51 720	58 033		51 720	58 033	5	57 952	64 224	20 383	58 642	59 401	20 383
Total: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	91 815	_	123 642	11 845	12 843		75 424	81 737		87 269	94 580		94 366 1	106 753	123 642	95 729	108 611	123 642
B FS201 Moqhaka*	906 6	11 570	13 340										906 6	11 570	13 340	10 089	11 765	13 340
FS203	10431	12 182		5 275	6 847					5 275	6 8 4 7				14 046		1 545	14 046
	10 172	11 881	_									-	10 172	11 881	13 698	10360	12 081	13 698
B FS205 Mafube	4 464	5 213	6 011	708 C	3 000		790 20	30.504		30.004	22 504		35 360	40.351	6 011	25 017	95 017	6 011
The state District	2.4.0.4.0			7007	2000	1	107 17	30 304		20.024	40.354	1		100 00	100	22.200	21900	100
Total: Northern Free State Mumcipalities	34 973	40 846	47 095	8 082	9 847		27 287	30 504		35 369	40 351	<u> </u>	55 448	63 801	47 095	26 366	61 963	47 095
Total: Free State Municipalities	284 193	331 921	382 704	37 134	30 189		196 681	218 055		233 815	248 244	30	302 245	349 633	382 704	307 458	353 270	382 704
•					-]						

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Amexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

* denotes a high capacity municipality

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

					Imnleme	Imnlementation of Water	Vater	Consolic	Consolidated Municipal	inal									
		MIG For	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	tions (i)	Corrigos	Sorrioge Project (Conite)	nitel	Infractruo	Infractmental Drogrammo		Total Evicting Commitmente (ii)	Commitm	onte (ii)		Municip.	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ^t (iii)	ıcture Gran	t' (iii)	
		(Before	(Before Commitments)	ents)	201 13C	Commitments	- Janear)	Crant	Grant Commitments		ordi Existi	g Commun	Tents (m)		(Final All	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ter Commit	ments)	
		Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	'ear	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
		2004/05	2002/00	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Category Num	Number Municipanty	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
GAUTENG																			
A	Ekurhuleni*	189 747	221 613	255 520				94 206	104 588		94 206	104 588		189 747	221 613	255 520	193 252	225 343	255 520
A	City of Johannesburg*	212 287	247 939	285 873		2 000		147 051	162 084		147 051	164 084		212 287	247 939	285 873	216 208	252 111	285 873
A	City of Tshwane*	148 706	173 680	200 253				81 511	90 441		81 511	90 441		148 706	173 680	200 253	151 453	176 603	200 253
B CTO	GT03h1 Novanates Tsamona	2 060	5 010	718 9												7189		750	6.814
	CBLC2 Kingwini	10 002	11 682	13 469												13 469		1 482	13 469
	CBDC2 Metsweding District Municipality							8 905	10 428		8 905	10 428		12 570	15 705		12 915	13 978	
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	g Municipalities	15 062	17 591	20 283				8 905	10 428		8 905	10 428		12 570	15 705	20 283	12 915	16 209	20 283
		35 082	40 974	47 242				22 759	26 167		22 759	26 167		35 082	40 974	47 242	35 730	41 663	47 242
		5 475	6 394	7 372												7 372		811	7 372
B GT ⁴		4 761	5 561	6 411												6 411		705	6 411
C DC	DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality							9 205	10 750		9 205	10 750		9 819	11 637		10 019	10 357	
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities	/Iunicipalities	45 317	52 928	970 19				31 964	36 917		31 964	36 917		44 900	52 611	61 026	45 749	53 537	61 026
B GT	GT411 Mossle City*	22.268	26 007	29 986				12.753	14.558		12.753	14.558		22.268	26 007	29 986	22,679	26 445	29 986
B GT ⁴		8 095	9 455	10 902										8 095	9 455	10 902	8 245	9 614	10 902
B GT ⁴		18 011	21 036	24 254												24 254		2 668	24 254
B CBL	CBLC8 Merafong City*	21 271	24 844	28 645										21 271	24 844	28 645	21 664	25 262	28 645
C CBI	CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality	359	419	483				18 472	21 218		18 472	21 218		18 472	21 393	483	18 793	19 093	483
Total: West Rand Municipalities	Municipalities	70 004	81 761	94 270				31 225	35 776		31 225	35 776		70 106	81 699	94 270	71 381	83 081	94 270
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	funicipalities	681 123	795 513	917 224		2 000		394 862	440 234		394 862	442 234		678 317	793 247	917 224	626 069	806 884	917 224

I. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MIC For	MIC Formula Allocations (i)	tions (i)	Impleme	Implementation of Water	Vater	Consolid	Consolidated Municipal				L		Ministra	Menicipal Inferentement	, on the	,1 (m)	
	(Befor	(Before Commitments)	ents)	Services Co	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	pital)	Infrastruc Grant	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		otal Existing	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	ts (ii)		(Final Allo	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	er Commi	ments)	
	Nation	National Financial Yea	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	ear	National	National Financial Year	L	National	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05	2005/06	20/9	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05 2	2005/06 2006/07	_	2004/05 2	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
KWAZULU-NATAL	(000 W)	(W00)		1	+	(000 V		-				.				(000 W)	(A 000)	(NOO)
A eThekwini*	220 886	257 982	297 453	11 326			146 860	161 080		158 186	161 080		220 886	257 982	297 453	224 967	262 324	297 453
11022	1170	0.00	713														100	,
B KZ211 Vulamenio	2 611	3 049	3 516												3 516		38/	3 516
	5 977	2 008	3 008 8 048												5 008 8 048		885	2 008 8 048
KZ214	2 923	3 414	3 936												3 936		433	3 936
KZ215	1 738	2 030	2341												2341		257	2 341
	6 244	7 292	8 408										6 244	7 292	8 408	6329	7 415	8 408
C DC21 Ugu District Municipality*	74 660	87 199	100 540	9 774	6 540		71 349	77 073		81 123	83 613		90 142	105 281	100 540	91 807	104 759	100 540
Total: Ugu Municipalities	98 380	112 573	129 796	9 774	6 540		71 349	77 073		81 123	83 613		98 386	112 573	129 796	98 166	114 467	129 796
10027	2250	,	203												200		400	, ,
KZ221	3 339	5 9 25	4 5 2 4												4 5 2 4		864	4 524
KZ222	2 393	2/95	3 222												3 222		455	3 222
KZ223	502.1	1 408	1 623												1 623		179	1 623
	1/8	1 018	11/3					237.60		200	,,,,		1000	101	11/3	0,00	129	1 1/3
	36911	45 18/	49 /94				71 /90	74 000		71 /00	74 000		36.977	45 18/	2 000	37 660	45 915	49 /94
KZZZ	7 877	3 301	3 806												3 806		410	3 806
DC22	26 896	31 413	36 220	6 201	870		22 250	25 185		28 451	26 055		35 199	41 093	36 220	35 847	40 557	36 220
Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities	26 763	89 655	103 372	6 201	820		44 016	49 851		50 217	50 721		72 176	84 280	103 372	73507	86 380	103 372
B KZ232 Emnampithi / advernith*	5 687	6 642	7 658										5 687	6 642	7 658	5 792	6.754	7 658
K7733	3 032	3 541	4 083											1	4 083)	449	4 083
KZ234	1 278	1 493	1 721												1 721		189	1 721
KZ235	4 165	4 864	5 608												5 608		617	5 608
	3 240	3 784	4 363												4 363		480	4 363
C DC23 Uthukela District Municipality	45 490	53 129	61 258	18 647	24 049		23 813	26 863		42 460	50 912		51 237	62 625	61 258	52 490	62 474	61 258
Total: Uthukela Municipalities	62 891	73 453	84 691	18 647	24 049		23 813	26 863		42 460	50 912		56 924	69 267	84 691	58 282	70 963	84 691
B KZ241 Endumeni	1 195	1 395	1 609												1 609		177	1 609
KZ242	3 905	4 561	5 259												5 259		278	5 259
KZ244	5 471	6 390	7 368												7 368		810	7 368
	11 303	13 202	15 222												15 222		1 674	15 222
C DC24 Umzinyathi District Municipality	39 679	46 343	53 434	13 200	19 575		55 911	59 650		69 111	79 225		69 111	79 225	53 434	70 224	76 388	53 434
Total: Umzinyathi Municipalities	61 554	71 891	82 890	13 200	19 575		55 911	29 620		69 111	79 225		69 111	79 225	82 890	70 224	79 628	82 890
B KZ252 Newcastle*	19 668	22 971	26 486	954			11 372	13.511		12 326	13.511		19 668	22 971	26 486	20 032	23 358	26 486
KZ253	858	1 002	1 155												1 155		127	1 155
KZ254	2 695	3 148	3 629									_			3 629		399	3 629
C DC25 Amajuba District Municipality	11 093	12 956	14 938	5 200	5 750		8 039	9 672		13 239	15 422	_	14 076	16 662	14 938	14 361	16 472	14 938
Total: Amajuba Municipalities	34 314	40 077	46 208	6 154	5 750		19 411	23 183		25 565	28 933		33 745	39 633	46 208	34 392	40 357	46 208

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the expacity of the municipality.
* denotes a high capacity nunicipality

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		MIG Form	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	tions (i)	Impleme Services I	Implementation of Water Services Project (Capital)		onsolidate astructur	Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme	Total Exist	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	ments (ii)		Municip	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	icture Gran	t¹ (iii)	
		(Before	(Before Commitments)	ents)	COL	Commitments		Grant Cor	Grant Commitments		0	ì		(Final All	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ter Commit	ments)	
	1	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year		ational Fi	National Financial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year		Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number	Number Municipality	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2002/06 20	2006/07 2004/05		2005/06 2006/07	7 2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
		+	+	(0000)	+	+		+	+	-	(2000 11)	(aoo w)	(mon)	(000 11)	+-	(ana w)	+	(wow)
		2 140	2 499	2 881											2 881		317	2 881
		3 662	4 278	4 932											4 932		543	4 932
B KZ263		4 394	5 132	5 917											5 917		159	5 917
		C+0 4	2 028	0 324											0 524		/18	0 524
B K2266	Olundi Zululand District Municipality	22.711	6 668 84 922	97 915	25 248	16 672	69	9 2 768	67 647	88 016	84 319		91 258	102.617	97 915	92.507	846 102 100	97 915
Total: Zululand Municipalities	toinolitios	13/ 2/	100 159	125 950	37.30	16 677	3		27 647	910 88			01 250	102 617	175 959	202 20	105 174	175 959
1 Otal. Zululalını Mulli	cipannes	TO+ CC	001 201	000 071	047 67	7/0 OT	3		1	070 00	CTC to		007 17	10 701	175 050	10076	t/T COT	000 071
B KZ271	Umhlabuyalingana	4 421	5 164	5 954											5 954		655	5 954
B KZ272		5 677	6 630	7 644											7 644		841	7 644
		1 115	1 302	1 501											1 501		165	1 501
		4 106	4 796	5 530											5 530		809	5 530
B KZ275		945	1 103	1 272											1 272		140	1 272
C DC27	Umkhanyakude District	57 977	67 713	78 073	12 497	7 060	59		63 757	72 036			73 348	82 524	78 073	74 357	82 034	78 073
Total: Umkhanyakude Municipalities	le Municipalities	74 240	86 708	99 974	12 497	2 060	59	59 539 6	63 757	72 036	70 817		73 348	82 524	99 974	74 357	84 44	99 974
B KZ281	Mbonambi	3 071	3.587	4 136											4 136		455	4 136
		21 338	24 921	28 734			-	11 716	13 880	11 716	13 880		21 338	24 921	28 734	21 732	25 341	28 734
		1 671	1 952	2 251											2 251		248	2 251
	_	6 334	7 397	8 529											8 529		938	8 529
B KZ285		1 841	2 150	2 479											2 479		273	2 479
B KZ286		4 102	4 791	5 525											5 525		809	5 525
C DC28	uThungulu District Municipality*	50 067	58 475	67 422	6 428	4 043	36		41 257	43 166			67 086	78 353	67 422	68 325	77 150	67 422
Total: uThungulu Municipalities	micipalities	88 424	103 274	119 075	6 428	4 043	48	48 454 5	55 137	54 882	59 180		88 424	103 274	119 075	85006	105 012	119 075
B K7291	aNdondaknenka	4 807	5 614	6.473											6.473		717	6.473
		1684	8 006	10.769									7 635	9008	10.769	7766	21/	10.769
D V7703		5 023	0060	007 01									7 073	0060	007.01	00//	2000	007.01
		3 572	4 172	4 810											4 810		529	4 810
		46 151	53 902	62 148	12.575	6 446	4	41 764 4	46 912	54 339	53 358		57 445	65 293	62 148	58308	64 947	62 148
Total: Hembe Municipalities	palities	67 181	78 464	90 469	12 575	6 446	14		46 912	54 339			65 070	74 198	90 469	66 074	75 988	90 469
105ZZ d		3 176	4 001	4 613											1 613		202	1 613
	Ingwe	3 420	1001	000											200		9 5	620
B KZ5a3		629	735	848											070		3 2	878
		2 932	3 425	3 949											3 949		434	3 949
		3 836	4 480	5 165											5 165		895	5 165
		24 516	28 634	33 015	13 211	5 291	19	19 027	21 988	32 238	27 279		34 450	38 118	33 015	34 853	37 557	33 015
Total: Sisonke Municipalities	ipalities	35 954	41 992	48 417	13 211	5 291	19	19 027 2	21 988	32 238	27 279		34 450	38 118	48 417	34 853	39 251	48 417
Total: KwaZulu-Natal Municinalities	1 Municipalities	912 054 1 065 226	_	1 228 203	135 262	200 90	502	502 012 65	171 171	728 174	740 438		901 777	901 777 1 043 692 1 228 203	1 228 203	017 387	917 387 1 063 988 1 228 203	228 203
I Utdie av ruszustu	ii Munchemare		_	1	100 001	1 (1 0)			14.1		24 /t/	Ī			704 044 1	711 00.	1 000 700	204 044

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (iii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

				Impleme	Implementation of Water	Vater	Consolid	Consolidated Municinal	na									
	MIG Formula Al (Before Comm	IG Formula Allocations (Before Commitments)	locations (i) itments)	Services	Services Project (Capital)	pital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	g Commitn	nents (ii)		Municip (Final All	Municipal Infrastructure Grant' (iii) (Final Allocations After Commitments)	icture Gran ter Commi	t' (jjj) ments)	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	ear	National	National Financial Year	Zear.	Nations	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	20/	2004/05	2005/06 20	20/	2004/05 2	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Category Number Municipality	(R'000)											(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)			(R'000)
LIMPOPO																		
B NP03A2 Makhuduthamaga	8 470	9 893	11 406												11 406		1 255	11 406
NP03A3	3 024		4 072												4 072		448	4 072
	3 763	4 395	5 068												5 068		557	5 068
CBLC4	7 031	8 2 1 2	9 469												9 469		1 042	9 469
B CBLC5 Greater Tubatse	9 650	11 270	12 995	307	10140		74.202	702 00		01010	200		140 400	164000	12 995	142,004	1 429	12 995
CBDC3	140 490	120 /81	100 100	270 /	10 140		24.203	80.380	1	01010	07/ 06		140 460	164 063	100 100	143 064	102 114	160 1/8
1 otal: Greater Seknuknune	140 489	104 083	189 188	c70 /	10 140		667 4/	90 290	1	81918	97/ 06		140 489	104 083	189 188	143 084	100 845	189 188
B NP04A1 Mamleng	3 409		4 590												4 590		505	4 590
B CBLC6 Bushbuckridge	15 060	17 589	20 280												20 280		2 231	20 280
C CBDC4 Bohlabela District Municipality	62 993		84 828	13 180	16 000		50 221	53 632		63 401	69 632		74 152	88 425	84 828	75722	88 030	84 828
Total: Bohlabela Municipalities	81 462	95 142	669 601	13 180	16 000		50 221	53 632		63 401	69 632		74 152	88 425	109 699	75722	90 202	109 699
	7 479	8 735	10 072												10 072		1 108	10 072
NP332	8 522		11 476												11 476		1 262	11 476
NP333	13 752		18 519										13 752	16 061	18 519	14 006	16 332	18 519
	3 931		5 294												5 294		582	5 294
C DC33 Mopani District Municipality	76 261	690 68	102 696	12 579	17 000		35 046	39 603		47 625	56 603		76538	97 671	102 696	78 863	98 224	102 696
Total: Mopani Municipalities	109 946	128 411	148 057	12 579	17 000		35 046	39 603		47 625	56 603		90 290	113 732	148 057	92869	117 508	148 057
B NP341 Musina	1 968	2000	2,650												059 (701	0 650
	7 627	2 080	2 550												2 550		201	2 552
NF 342 ND 343	12 537	20 467	23 508												23 508		2 506	23 508
NP344	15 412		20 754												20 754		2.283	20 754
	91 946	107 387	123 817	1 959	7 000		40 741	45 963		42 700	52 963		94 364	125 358	123 817	97 773	125 188	123 817
Total: Vhembe Municipalities	129 486	151 233	174 371	1 959	2 000		40 741	45 963		42 700	52 963		94 364	125 358	174 371	97 773	130 749	174 371
B NP351 Blombero	6119	7 146	8 240												8 240		906	8 240
NP352	4 782	5 585	6 439												6 439		20%	6 439
NP353	4 127	4 821	5 558												5 558		611	5 558
	56 728	66 255	76 392	298	4 000		18 032	20 348		18 630	24 348		56 728	66 255	76 392	57 776	67 370	76392
NP355	6 982	8 154	9 402												9 402		1 034	9 402
C DC35 Capricorn District Municipality	52 974	61 871	71 337	23 133	21 794		24 953	28 149		48 086	49 943		64 098	77 667	71 337	65 591	76 971	71 337
Total: Capricorn Municipalities	131 712	153 832	177 368	23 730	25 794		42 985	48 497		66 715	74 291		120 826	143 923	177 368	123 367	147 602	177 368
B NP361 Thabazimbi	9 7 06	11 336	13 071												13 071		1 438	13 071
NP362	10 563		14 224												14 224		1 565	14 224
	3 100	3 621	4 175												4 175		459	4 175
NP365	9 658		13 006												13 006		1 431	13 006
NP366	3 336		4 493												4 493		494	4 493
NP367	33 563	39 199	45 197	3 374	8 000					3 374	8 000				45 197		4 972	45 197
C DC36 Waterberg District Municipality	9	1		1 611	3 000		29 474	33 249		31 085	36 249		55 572	71 817		57 359	63 917	
Total: Waterberg Municipalities	69 926	81 670	94 165	4 985	11 000		29 474	33 249		34 459	44 249		55 572	71 817	94 165	57 359	74 275	94 165
Total: Limpopo Municipalities	663 021	774 370	892 847	64 057	86 934	$\frac{1}{1}$	272 760	301 530	1	336 817	388 464		575 694	707 338	892 847	590 175	727 744	892 847
¥ 4.4]						

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

				Immlemen	Implementation of Water	-	Consolidated Municinal	Minimal									
	MIG For	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	tions (i)	Sorricos L	Sorrioge Project (Conite)		oampuato	Infractructure Drogramme	Total Evieti	Total Evicting Commitments (ii)	onte (ii)		Municip	al Infrastru	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	r¹ (jjj	
	(Befor	(Before Commitments)	ents)	Con	S rioject (Capita Jommitments		rastructure r rogram Grant Commitments	riogramme	I Otal Exist	ing Commun	(II) silica		(Final Alle	cations Aft	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ments)	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year		National Financial Year	ncial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	ear	National	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05 2	2005/06 2006/07	6/07 2004/05	05 2005/06	06 2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	2006/07	2004/05	2002/06	2009/02	2004/02	2002/06	2006/07
Category Number Municipanty	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (R'000)	000) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (I	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
MPUMALANGA																	
B MP301 Albert Luthuli	18 231	21 293	24 551	5 317	3 500				5 317	3 500				24 551		2 701	24 551
B MP302 Msukaligwa	8 682	10 140	11 691	1 750					1 750					11 691		1 286	11 691
MP303	13 708	16 010	18 460	1 738	1 500				1 738	1 500				18 460		2 031	18 460
MP304	6 156	7 190	8 290	999	2 000				999	2 000				8 290		912	8 290
MP305	9 713	11 344	13 080											13 080		1 439	13 080
MP306	3 693	4 313	4 973	920					920					4 973		547	4 973
	22 881	26 723	30 812	3 000	1 553	∞		8266	11 776			22 881	26 723	30 812	23 303	27 173	30 812
C DC30 Gert Sibande District						29		32 903	29 383	32 903		51 923	62 289		53 063	55 437	
Total: Gert Sibande Municipalities	83 064	97 014	111 857	13 390	8 553	38	38 159 42	42 881	51 549	51 434		74 804	89 013	111 857	76 367	91 526	111 857
B MP311 Delmas	4 688	5 476	6313											6 313		694	6313
B MP312 Emalahleni*	24 109	28 157	32 465	3 000		11	11 390 12	12 846	14 390	12 846		24 109	28 157	32 465	24 554	28 631	32 465
B MP313 Steve Tshwete*	8 259	9 646	11 122			∞	8 017	9 164	8 017	9 164		8 259	9 646	11 122	8 412	608 6	11 122
MP314	2 509	2 931	3 379											3 379		372	3 379
	26 421	30 858	35 579											35 579		3 914	35 579
	26 239	30 645	35 334	5 250	1 000				5 250	1 000		0	9	35 334	9	3 887	35 334
C DC31 Nkangala District*				0	000	22	_	24 898	22 214	24 898		59 857	606 69		60 963	62 219	
Total: Nkangala Municipalities	92 224	107 713	124 193	8 250	1 000	41	41 621 46	46 908	49 871	47 908		92 224	107 713	124 193	93 928	109 526	124 193
B MP321 Thaba Chweu	7 577	8 849	10 203	2 000	1 500				2 000	1 500				10 203		1 122	10 203
	49 916	58 299	67 218	10 882	9 578	21	21 873 24:	24 594	32 755	34 172		49 916	58 299	67 218	50 838	59 280	67 218
MP323	5 718	8 6 9 8	7 700											7 700		847	7 700
	33 646	39 296	45 309	14 183	3 915				14 183	3 915				45 309		4 984	45 309
C DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality*	138	161	186			19	19 310 21	21 657	19 310	21 657		47 079	54 985	186	47 948	48 957	186
Total: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	96 994	113 284	130 616	27 065	14 993	41	41 183 46	46 251	68 248	61 244		96 994	113 284	130 616	98 286	115 190	130 616
Total: Mpumalanga Municipalities	272 283	318 010	366 665	48 705	24 546	120	120 963 136 040	040	169 668	160 586		264 023	310 009	366 665	269 081	316 241	366 665
]			-	i]

I. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

		4 000	1	•	Impleme	Implementation of Water	Vater	Consolida	Consolidated Municipal	ipal					;			J. J.	
		(Before	(Before Commitments)	itments)	Services	Services Project (Capital) Commitments		Infrastruct Grant C	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		otal Existin	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	nents (ii)		Final All	ocations Af	Municipal intrastructure Grant (m) (Final Allocations After Commitments)	rr (III) tments)	
		Nations	cial	ear	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year		National	National Financial Year	7ear	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	l Year
Category Number	Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (07	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 .: (R'000) (2006/07 20 (R'000) (R	2004/05 20 (R'000) (1	2005/06 2t (R'000) (A	2006/07 2 (R'000) (,	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 2 (R'000) (2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
NORTHERN CAPE																			
		650	759	875												875		96	875
NW1a1	Moshaweng	10 101	11 798	13 603												13 603		1 496	13 603
C CBDCI	Ga-Segonyana Kgalagadi District Municipality	7 565 4 594	8 836	10 188 6 186	21 500	15 000		35 569	37 380		57 069	52 380		57 069	52 380	10 188	56553	1 121 47 299	10 188
9	Border	22 910	26 758	30 852	21 500	15 000		35 569	37 380		57 069	52 380		27 069	52 380	30 852	56 553	50 012	30 852
B NC061	Richteneuald	318	27.2	801												807		71/	178
	Nama Khoi	1 862	2 174	2 507	853						853					2 507		276	2 507
	Kamiesberg	778	606	1 048												1 048		115	1 048
NC065	Hantam	1 015	1186	1 367												1 367		150	1 367
B NC066	Karoo Hoogland	691	807	931												931		102	931
DC6	Namakwa District Municipality	71	83	95	2 400	2 500		6 424	7 491		8 824	9 991		6 677	9 991	95	9 712	8 902	95
Total: Namakwa Municipalities	cipalities	5 271	6 156	2 098	3 253	2 500		6 424	7 491		6 677	1666		6 677	166 6	7 098	9 712	9 673	7 098
NC071	Ubuntu	1 098	1 283	1 479												1 479		163	1 479
NC072	Umsobomvu	1 747	2 040	2 3 5 2												2 352		259	2 352
NC073	Emthanjeni	1 646	1 923	2 217												2 217		244	2 217
B NC074	Kareeberg	581	679	783												783		& &	783
B NC076	Kenosterberg Thembelihle	040	1 2 4 8	868												808		8 5	808
NC077	Sivathemba	721	842	971												971		107	971
NC078	Siyancuma	2 952	3 448	3 975												3 975		437	3 975
C DC7	Karoo District Municipality	281	328	379	2 500	000 9		10 003	11 631		12 503	17 631		12 503	17 631	379	13 067	15 733	379
Total: Karoo Municipalities	lities	10 740	12 544	14 463	2 500	000 9		10 003	11 631		12 503	17 631		12 503	17 631	14 463	13 067	17 283	14 463
NC081	Mier	538	628	724												724		8	724
NC082	Kai ! Garib	4 065	4 747	5 474												5 474		602	5 474
B NC083	//Khara Hais	3 500	4 088	4 713												4 713		518	4 713
NC085	Tsantsabane	1 546	1 806	2 082												2 082		229	2 082
B NC086	Kgatelopele	594	693	799												799		88	799
C DC8	DC8 Siyanda District Municipality	811	947	1 092	2 500	4 500		11 604	13 499		14 104	17 999		14 104	17 999	1 092	14 532	16 139	1 092
Total: Siyanda Municip	alities	12 249	14 306	16 494	2 500	4 500		11 604	13 499		14 104	17 999		14 104	17 999	16 494	14 532	17 834	16 494
NC091	Sol Plaatie*	10 642	12 429	14 331				19 364	20 620		19 364	20 620		19 364	20 620	14 331	19 502	19 928	14 331
	Thusanang	3 492	4 0 7 9	4 703												4 703		517	4 703
NC093	Magareng	1 371	1 602	1 847												1 847		203	1 847
CBLC7	Phokwane	4 794	5 599	6 456	001	0			i c		t	i i		100	i i	6 456		710	6 456
DC)	Frances Baard District Municipality	402	409	241	2 500	0000		177 8	920100		17/01	0/5 51		10 /2/	9/5 CI	140	097 11	13 922	147
Total: Frances Baard Municipalities	Иппераниеs	70 / 07	74 177	2/ 8/0	7 200	000 9		166 /2	30 196		30 091	30 190		30 091	30 L90	9/8/7	30.763	35.281	2/8/6
Total: Northern Cape Municipalities	Municipalities	71 871	83 941	96 784	32 253	34 000		161 16	100 197		123 444	134 197		123 444 134 197	134 197	96 784	124 627 130 082	130 082	96 784

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity of the municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MIG For	MIG Formula Allocations (i)	tions (i)	Implem	Implementation of Water Services Project (Capital)	Water apital)	Consolic	Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme		otal Existing	Total Existing Commitments (ii)	ıts (ii)		Municipa	al Infrastru	Municipal Infrastructure Grant ¹ (iii)	t ¹ (iii)	
	(Befor	(Before Commitments)	ents)	သိ	Commitments	S	Grant	Grant Commitments					-	(Final Allo	cations Af	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ments)	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Nations	National Financial Year	Year	Nationa	National Financial Year		National	National Financial Year	ır	National	National Financial Year	Year	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2009/02	2004/05 2	2005/06 200	2006/07	2004/05 2	2002/06	2009/02	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07
Category number municipanty	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000) (.	(R'000) (R'0	(R'000)	(R'000) (i	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
NORTH WEST																		
B NW371 Moretele	24 567		33 083												33 083		3 639	33 083
	49 197		66 250				21 117	23 708		21 117	23 708		49 197	57 459	66 250	50 105	58 426	66 250
NW373	55 907		75 286				18174	20 326		18174	20 326		55 907	65 296	75 286	56 939	66 395	75 286
NW374	3 289	3 841	4 429												4 429		487	4 429
B NW375 Moses Kotane C Doingle District	29 956	34 987	40 340	13 800	10,000		23 841	90230		36 641	35 700		57 015	102 13	40 340	50 003	4 437	40 340
12	162 918	190 279	219 391	13 800	10 000		62 132	69 742		75 932	79 742	<u> </u>	162 918	190 279	219 391	165 928	193 481	219 391
do a constant a consta											!	<u>L</u>						
NW 381	3 526	4119	4 /49												4 /49		277	4 /49
NW382	4 441	5 187	2 980												2 980		929	2 980
	9 1 19	10 650	12 279												12 279		1351	12 279
NW384	5 919	6913	7 970												7 970		877	7 970
	4 973	2 808	9699												9699		737	9699
C DC38 Central District Municipality	50 894	59 441	68 536	0006	5 000		34 991	39 046		43 991	44 046		64 755	79 460	68 536	66 373	78 258	68 536
Total: Central Municipalities	78 871	92 117	106211	000 6	2 000		34 991	39 046		43 991	44 046		64 755	79 460	106 211	66 373	82 403	106 211
B NW391 Kacisano	3 525	4117	4 747												4 747		522	4 747
NW392	1 746	2.039	2 351												2 351		259	2 351
NW393	2 377	2 776	3 201												3 201		352	3 201
	6 346	7 412	8 546												8 546		940	8 546
NW395	691	908	930												930		102	930
	2 198	2 567	2 960												2 960		326	2 960
C DC39 Bophirima District Municipality	27 578	32 209	37 137	10 000	5 000		24 649	27 723		34 649	32 723		40 490	46 871	37 137	41 192	45 800	37 137
Total: Bophirima Municipalities	44 461	51 928	59 872	10 000	2 000		24 649	27 723		34 649	32 723		40 490	46 871	59 872	41 192	48 301	59 872
B NW401 Ventersdorp	4 352	5 083	5 861												5 861		645	5 861
B NW402 Potchefstroom*	600 6	10 522	12 132				0809	7 048		080 9	7 048		600 6	10 522	12 132	9 175	10 699	12 132
B NW403 Klerksdorp*	30 283	35 369	40 780				12 928	14 546		12 928	14 546		30 283	35 369	40 780	30 843	35 964	40 780
NW404	6 830	77 b	9 197												9 197		1 012	9 197
C DC40 Southern District Municipality				4 000	1 000		4 7 4 8	5 543		8 748	6 543		10 197	11 344		10 323	10 096	
Total: Southern Municipalities	50 474	58 951	026 29	4 000	1 000		23 756	27 137		27 756	28 137		49 489	57 235	026 29	50 341	58 416	67 970
Total: North West Municipalities	336725	393 275	453 445	36 800	21 000		145 528	163 648		182 328	184 648		317 653	373 845	453 445	323 834	382 601	453 445
												1						I

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The final allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity municipality.

INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 6A)

	MIC For	MIC Formula Allocations (i)	dione (i)	Impleme	Implementation of Water	Vater	Consolid	Consolidated Municipal					Municipa	In factor	Mention of the fooders designed of the	(#)	
	(Befor	(Before Commitments)	nents)	Services	Services Project (Capital) Commitments	(pital)	Infrastruc	Infrastructure Programme Grant Commitments		Total Existing Commitments (ii)	tments (ii)		(Final All	locations Af	(Final Allocations After Commitments)	ments)	
	Nation	National Financial Year	l Year	Nations	National Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	Nat	National Financial Year	l Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	Year
	2004/02	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/02	2005/06 2006/07	20	5 2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2002/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Category Number Municipanty	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) (R'000)	O) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
WESTERN CAPE																	
A City of Cape Town*	141 905	165 736	191 094				137 867	151 032	137 867	67 151 032		141 905	165 736	191 094	144 526	168 526	191 094
TOOM MANAGEMENT	ć													,		244	0
	1 572	1 836	2 127											2 127		233	2 117
WC013	1 367	1 597	182											1 841		203	1.841
	2134	2 492	2 873									2 134	2 492	2 873	2 173	2 534	2 873
WC015	2 171	2 535	2 923											2 923		322	2 923
C DC1 West Coast District Municipality	224	262	302				10 329	11 956	10 329			10 329	11 956	302	10 508	10 674	302
Total: West Coast Municipalities	9 790	11 434	13 183				10 329	11 956	10 329	29 11 956		12 463	14 448	13 183	12 681	14 309	13 183
B WC022 Witzenberg	3 120	3 644	4 202											4 202		462	4 202
WC023	7 461	8 714	10 047	1 014			069 9	7 594	7 704			7 704	8 714	10 047	7 815	8 860	10 047
WC024	5 046	5 894	6 795				4 402	5 138	44			5 046	5 894	6 795	5 139	5 993	6 795
	5 286	6173	7 118									5 286	6173	7 118	5 383	6 277	7 118
B WC026 Breede River Winelands C DC2 Roland District Municipality	185	3 466	3 996				10.512	12 194	10512	12 194		10 512	12 194	3 996	10.697	10 880	3 996 249
i	24 065	28 107	32 407	1 0 1 4			216.01	24 926	216 01			28 548	32 075	32 407	20 035	32 012	32.407
rotat: Dotailu Muincipariues	C00 +7		104 40	+101			±00 T7	076 47	0 77			040	34715	704 76	CC0 67	21.6 75	77 40 40
WC031	5 126	5 987	6 903	157						157				6 903		759	6 903
WC032	2 509	2 930	3378	2 011					2 011	=		2 509	2 930	3378	2 555	2 979	3378
B WC033 Cape Agulhas	702	819	945											945		2 2 2 3	945
MC03#	1 012	1 102	7				7 722	8 912	7 722	8 912		7 879	8 912	7	7 993	7 932	1 505 7
lii	6 353	10 924	12 596	2 168			7 7 22	8 912	068 6			10 388	11 842	12 596	10 548	11 925	12 596
D									,								
B WC041 Kannaland	1 090	1273	1 468	2 355	1 200				2 355	55 1 200				1 468		161	1 468
	2 471	2 886	3 328									2 471	2 886	3 328	2517	2 935	3 328
WC044	6 857	8 000	9 234				5 3 6 5	6 171	5 365	65 6171		6 857	8 000	9 234	6 984	8 14	9 234
WC045	2 584	3 0 1 8	3 480											3 480		383	3 480
B WC047 Plettenberg Bay	1 926	2 2 4 9	2 593											2 593		285	2 593
DC4	494	576	665				12 944	14 968	12 944	44 14 968		15 299	16 168	665	15 395	14 463	665
Total: Eden Municipalities	21 187	24 746	28 532	2 355	1 200		18 309	21 139	20 664			24 627	27 063	28 532	24 895	27 224	28 532
B WC051 Laingsburg	722	265	305											305		¥	305
	345	403	465											465		51	465
WC053	795	929	1 071											1 071		118	1 071
C DC5 Central Karoo District Municipality	840	186	1 131	1 362	029		25 677	26 098	27 039			27 039	26 768	1 131	27 009	23 948	1 131
Total: Central Karoo Municipalities	2 207	2 578	2 972	1 362	029		25 677	26 098	27 039	39 26 768		27 039	26 768	2 972	27 009	24 150	2 972
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	208 508	243 525	280 784	6689	1 870		221 508	244 063	228 407	07 245 933		244 969	278 832	280 784	248 694	279 047	280 784
Unallocated and other National Allocations Municipal Infrastructure Grant	133 378	155 778	179 612									133 378	155 778	179 612	133 378	155 778	179 612
National Total	4 401 484	5 140 677	881 22 2 188	478 969	430 924		2 724 028 3 016 470	016 470	3 202 9	3 202 997 3 447 394		4 401 484	5 140 677	5 927 188	4401 484 5 140 677 5 927 188 4 480 331 5 224 572 5 927 188	5 224 572	5 927 188
Manufal Luca				110101			THE CHAPT	OTO TO						JAMI AND			Jan

1. This table should be read in conjunction with the relevant section in Annexure E. The find allocations (ii) take into account formula derived allocations (i), as well as all existing commitments (ii). Hence the final allocations are equal or as close as possible to the formula allocations, depending on the capacity nunicipality.

* denotes a high capacity municipality

INDIRECT GRANT ALLOCATIONS TO MUNICIPALITIES (SCHEDULE 7)

(National and Municipal Financial Year)

		Water S	ervices Op	erating Sul	Water Services Operating Subsidy		Impl	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	ter Services P	roiects (Capital)			SUB-TO1	TAL: INDI	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	SLN	
	(via a National F	ingmentai	the V	ater Tradi Municip	ng Account) al Financial	Year	Nationa	I Financial Year	· -	cipal Financial Y	ear	Nationa	l Financial Y	i	Municipa	al Financial	Year
ategory Number Municipality	2004/05 2005/06 2006 (R'000) (R'000) (R'00	2005/06 (R'000)	707	2004/05 (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	000/07 3'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)		2004/05 2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000) (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
STERN CAPE																	
Nelson Mandela																	
EC101 Camdeboo EC102 Blue Crane Route EC103 Rewzi EC104 Makana EC105 Ndlambe EC106 Sundays River Valley EC107 Bavians EC108 Konga EC108 Konga EC108 Cacada District Municipality							6 436	4 967 4 967	6 612	2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 9		6 436 6 436	4 967		6612	4 249 4 249	
EC121 Mbhashe EC122 Mquma EC123 Great Kei EC124 Amahatni EC125 Buffalo City EC126 Nigushwa	7 631	8 063	8 521	7 767	8 221	8 574	1 100		1 007			8 731	8 063	8 521	8 774	8 221	8 574
DC12. NROBRODE EC128. NRUBE EC128. NRUBE DC12. Amatole District Municipality tal: Amatole Municipalities	14 580 22 211	18 868 26 931	18 782 27 303	15 276 23 043	18 975 27 196	18 917 27 491	8 286 9 386	5767	8 424 9 431	4 4 933 1 4 933		22 866 31 597	24 635 32 698	18 782 27 303	23 700 32 474	23 908 32 129	18 917 27 491
EC131 Inxuba Yethemba EC132 Tsolwana EC132 Inkwanca EC134 Lukhanji EC135 Insika Yethu EC136 Emalahleni EC136 Engobo EC137 Engobo EC138 Sakhtiswe DC13 Chris Hani District Municipality		20 499	20 359	17 438	20 607	20 503	15 877	19 506	17 362	2 16 687		32 701	40 005	20 359	34 800	37 294	20 503
ial: Chris Hani Municipalities	16 824	20 499	20 359	17 438	20 607	20 503	15 877	19 506	17 362			32 701	40 005	20 359	34 800	37 294	20 503
EC141 Elundini EC142 Senqu EC143 Maletswai EC144 Gariep DC14 Ukhahlamba District Municipality	4 900	5 201		4 975	4491		7 586	7317	8 000 8 900 8	6 6 259		12 486	12 518		12 981	10 750	
EC151 Mbizana EC152 Nuabankulu EC153 Qaukeni EC153 Qaukeni EC154 Nyandeni EC155 Nyandeni EC156 Mblondo EC157 King Sabata Dalindyebo DC15 Okt. Tambo District Municipality	28 648	23 258	25 102	27 999	23 726	25 323	9719	5217	959 6			38 367	28 475	25 102	37 655	28 189	25 323
ran. CAN A tambo yanancepanace ECOSD Umzimkhalu ECOSD Umzimkhalu DC44 Alfred Nyo District Municipality		12 715	11 387	11 445	12 584	11 460	6136	4 567	6 281			17 320	17 282	11 387	17 726	16 491	11 460
tal: Alfred Nzo Municipalities	11 184	12 715	11 387	11 445	12 584	11 460	6 136	4 567	6 281			17 320	17 282	11 387	17 726	16 491	11 460
ial: Eastern Cape Municipalities	83 767	88 604	84 151	84 900	88 604	84 777	55 139	47 339	57 347	17 40 498		138 906	135 943	84151	142 247	129 102	84 777

	,	Water	Services Op	Water Services Operating Subsidy	sidy		[mp]	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	f Water Se	rvices Proj	cts (Capita)	(I		SUB-T	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	HECT GI	RANTS		
	(V)	Notional Einemoial Von Municipal Einemoial	on to the v	ater tradit	ter trading Account)	Voor	Motion	I Dinonoid I	,,,,,,	Minimin	Municipal Dinamaial Voor	Voor	Notion	Notional Financial Voca	Voon	Mimic	Municipal Financial Voca	Voon	1
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	24/05 2005/06 2006/07	╁	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	1
tegory Number Municipality	(R'000)		(R'000)		_	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)				(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)	
ESTATE																			
PSI61 Lateamana																			
	750	250	200	625	313	200							750	250	200	625	313	200	_
		ì												ì					
							120			119			120			119			
ıl: Xhariep Municipalities	750	250	200	625	313	200	120			119			870	250	200	744	313	500	-
1																			1
	0												9						
	2 000	2 323		2 081	1 742								2 000	2 323		2 081	1 742		
FSI/3 Mantsopa							100			100			100			100			
DC1/ Motheo District Municipality	•			•	-		167			167			167			167	ľ		
ıl: Motheo Municipalities	2 000	2 323		2 081	1 742		291			291			2 291	2 323		2 372	1 742		,
FS182 Tokologo																			
							000			110			0001			110			
DC 10 Lejweieputswa District Municipanty							071			119			120			119			
ıl: Lejweleputswa Municipalities							120			119			120			119			_
	10			∞									10			8			
FS194 Maluti-a-Phofung	21 500	22 420	21 291	21 606	21 767	21 291							21 500	22 420	21 291	21 606	21 767	21 291	_
FS195 Phumelela																			
DC19 Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality							291			291			291			291			
l: Thabo Mofutsanyane Municipalities	21 510	22 420	21 291	21 614	21 767	21 291	291			291			21 801	22 420	21 291	21 905	21 767	21 291	I
DC20 Northern Free State District Municipality							120			119			120			119			
ıl: Northern Free State Municipalities							120			119			120			119			
Il: Free State Municipalities	24 260	24 993	21 791	24 320	23 822	21 791	941		1	939	1	Ī	25 201	24 993	21 791	25 259	23 822	21 791	T
The same of the sa		· · · · ·			-			-					1					1	

	. <u>.</u>	Water Services Operating Subsidy (via anomentation to the Water Trading Account)	Water Services Operating Subsidy	rating Subsi	idy Account)		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	Water Servi	ces Projects	(Capital)		SL	B-TOTAL:	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	GRANTS	
	Nations	National Financial Year	'ear	Municipal	Municipal Financial Year	/ear	National	National Financial Year		Municipal F	Municipal Financial Year	Nat	National Financial Year	ncial Year	Mu	Municipal Financial Year	cial Year
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05 2	2005/06 2	20.	2004/05 2	2005/06 20	20/	2004/05 200	2005/06 2006/07	07 2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	20	5 2005/06	2009/07
Category Number Mumcipanty	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) (J	(R'000) (1	(R'000) ((R'000) (.	(R'000) (R		(R'000) (R'	(R'000) (R'000)	9) (R'000)	(R'000)) (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)
GAUTENG																	
A Ekurhuleni							7	9		7	ò			9			
A City of Johannesburg A City of Tshwane	19 200	20 200	17 000	19 345	19 738	17 000	5 000	000 9		45 4 253	86 5 133	24 200	26	26 200 17	45 17 000 23 598	24 8	86 871 17 000
B GT02b1 Nokeng tsa Taemane																	
B CBLC2 Kungwini C CBDC3 Meteusoding District Municipality	2 032	2 245	3 344	2 080	2 500	3 347						2 032		2 245 3	3 344 2 080	80 2 500	3 347
Total: Metsweding Municipalities	2 032	2 245	3 344	2 080	2 500	3 347						2 032		2 245 3	3 344 2 (2 080 2 500	3 3 4 7
B G7421 Emfuleni																	
B GT423 Lesedi																	
C DC42 Sedibeng District Municipality																	
Total: Sedibeng Municipalities																	
B GT411 Monale City																	
B GT414 Westonaria																	
B CBLC8 Merafong City																	
C CBDC8 West Rand District Municipality																	
Total: West Rand Municipalities																	
Total: Gauteng Municipalities	21 232	22 445	20 344	21 425	22 238	20 347	5 031	6 100	+	4 298	5 219	26 263		28 545 20	20 344 25 723	23 27 457	20 347

APPENDIX E5

	``	Water S	Water Services Operating Subsidy	rating Sub	idy		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	Vater Services	Projects (C	npital)		SUB-T	OTAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	ANTS	
	(via Nationa	(via augmentaion to the Water Trading Account) National Financial Year Municipal Financial	n to the wa	Municipa	rer Trading Account) Municipal Financial Year	ear	National	National Financial Year		Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	Natio	National Financial Year	l Year	Munici	Municipal Financial Year	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (0)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 20 (R'000) (A	20.	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 2006/03 (R'000) (R'000)	707 2C	05 2005/06 3) (R'000)	5 2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
KWAZULU:NATAL																	
A eThekwini	1 308	1 413		1 339	1 055		1 619	78	1	1 348	59	2 927	1 491		2 687	1 114	
B KZ211 Vulamehlo B KZ212 Umdoni B KZ213 Umzumbe B KZ214 uMuziwabantu B KZ215 Ezingolweni B KZ216 Hibiscus Coast C DC21 Ugu District Municipality	870	1 792	2 300	1 001	1 855	2 300	1 619	78	1	1 339	67	2 489	1 870	2 300	2 340	1 922	2 300
Total: Ugu Municipalities	820	1 792	2 300	1 001	1 855	2 300	1 619	78	1	1 339	29	2 489	1 870	2 300	2 340	1 922	2 300
B KZ222 uMngeni B KZ222 uMngeni B KZ224 Impendle B KZ225 Msunduzi B KZ225 Msunduzi B KZ225 Mshambathini B KZ227 Richmond C DC22 uMgungundlovu District Municipality							1 619	78	1	1 339	67	1 619			1 339	67	
Total: uMgungundlovu Municipalities							1 619	78	1	1 339	29	1 619	78		1 339	<i>L</i> 9	
B KZ232 Ennambithi/Ladysmith B KZ233 Indaka B KZ234 Umtshezi B KZ236 Mchahlamba B KZ236 Imbabazane C DC23 Uthukela District Municipality	3 900	4 008	5 115	3 930	4 186	5 143	1 619	78		1 339	19	5 519	4 086		5 269	4 253	5 143
Total:Uthukela Municipalities	3 900	4 008	5115	3 930	4 186	5 143	1 619	78	1	1 339	29	5 519	4 086	5115	2 269	4 253	5 143
B KZ241 Endumeni B KZ242 Nquthu B KZ244 Msinga B KZ245 Umvoti C DC24 Umzinyathi District Municipality Total: I'mzinvathi Municipality	2 870	3 020	4 239	2 900	3 196	4 261 4 261	1 619	78		1 339	67	4 489	3 098	4 239	4 239	3 263	4 261
rotal: Ontanyaun ratumetpantues	0.01	070.0	167	7	0.1.0	107 -	7101	0,	•		6	100		671	(67.1	0.77	107
B KZ252 Newcastle B KZ253 Utrecht B KZ254 Damhauser C DC25 Amajuba District Municipality Total: Amajuba Municipalities	730	777 777	230	741	705	242	1619	78		1 339	19	2 349	855	230	2 080	772	242
10tal: Allajuba rtumetpanees	201		UC#	11/	30 /	717	7101	٥	-	600	0,	10.1		2	# 000	1	71,

APPENDIX E5

		į	Water	Services O	Water Services Operating Subsidy	bsidy		Imp	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	Vater Servic	es Projects	(Capital)		S	UB-TOTAL	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	GRANTS		
	1	Nationa	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	l Year	Nationa	National Financial Year		funicipal F	Municipal Financial Year		National Financial Year	ancial Year	M	Municipal Financial Year	ncial Year	
ntegory Number Municipality		2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 200 (R'000) (R'C	2006/07 2004/05 (R'000) (R'000)	1	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	707 2004/05 00) (R'000)	4/05 2005/06 00) (R'000)	5/06 2006/07 00) (R'000)	707 2004/05 70) (R'000)	05 2005/06 9) (R'000)	6 2006/07) (R'000)	9)
KZ261 eDumbe KZ262 uPhongolo KZ263 Abaqulusi KZ263 Nongona																			
DC26 Zululand District Municipality	ty	10 500	11 850	12 443	10 736	11 999	12 514	1 619	78		1 339	29	11.						12 514
al: Zululand Municipalities		10 500	11 850	12 443	10 736	11 999	12 514	1 619	28		1 339	29	T	12 119 11	11 928 12	12 443 12	12 075 12 066		12 514
KZ271 Umhlabuyalingana KZ272 Jozini KZ273 The Big 5 False Bay KZ274 Habisa KZ275 Mubatuba KZ275 Thumbatuba	icipality	5 000	4 080	4 411	4 875	4 091	4 449	1 619	78		1 339	29		6 619	4 158 4	4411 6	6214 4 1		4 449
al: Umkhanyakude Municipalities		2 000	4 080	4 411	4 875	4 091	4 449	1 619	24		1 339	29		6 619	4 158 4	4 411 6	6 214 4 1	4 158 4	4 449
KZ281 Mbonambi KZ282 uMhlathuze KZ283 Ntambanana KZ284 Umlatazi KZ285 Mthonjaneni KZ286 Ntandla CZ26 Nkandla	Vilit	1 600	930	3 409	718	704	3 409	1 619	78		1 339			640	930	3 409	718 7 3 998 2 8	704	3 409
al: uThungulu Municipalities		2 240	3 630	3 409	2 477	3506	3 409	1 619	78		1 339	29					3816 35	3 573 3	3 409
KZ291 eNdondakusuka KZ292 KwaDukuza KZ293 Ndwedwe KZ294 Maphumulo CZ94 Ilembe District Municipality		1 000	1 900	2 000	1 130	1 914	2 000	1 619	282		1 339	19			978				2 0000
al: Hembe Municipalities		1 000	1 900	2 000	1 130	1914	2 000	1 619	78		1 339	29		2 619	1 978 2	2 000 2	2 469 1 5	1 981 2	2 000
KZ5a1 Ingwe KZ5a2 Kwa Sani KZ5a3 Matatiele KZ5a4 Kokstad KZ5a5 Ubuhlebezwe DC43 Sisonke District Municipality								1 619	7.8		1 339	79		1 619	78	- 1	1 339	19	
al: Sisonke Municipalities								1 619	78	+	1 339	29	<u> </u> 	1 619	78	1	1 339	29	1
the $V_{ m mod} T_{ m old}$. Most $M_{ m mod}$ is a figure little.		30 710	33 470	24	20130	20 500	27 310	14 800	050		1474	720		200	33 330	24 147	43.070		310
al: Nwazulu-iyatai Municipanties		01+ 07	0/ + 70	/t T t	77 173	100 70	010 40	1 / 000	2000	_	T+/+	67/	+						910

	•	Water	Water Services Operating Subsidy	erating Sub	idy		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	ter Services P	rojects (Capi	ital)		SUB-T(OTAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	SLN	
	Nationa	National Financial Year	(Via augmentation to the Water Traumg Account)	Municipa	Municipal Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	Muni	Municipal Financial Year	ial Year	Nations	National Financial Year	l Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	/ear
ategory Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 .: (R'000) (2005/06 2 (R'000) (97 ((2004/05 2 (R'000) (.	2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	07 2004/05) (R'000)	; 2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
MPOPO																	
NP03A2 Makhuduthamaga																	
CBLC5 Greater Tubatse CBDC3 Greater Sekhukhune Cross Boundary	80 036	85 287	92,083	81 039	86 514	92.521	24 123	26 360	24 429			104 162	111 647	92,083	105 468	109 065	92,521
	80 039	85 287	92 083	81 039	86 514	92 521	24 123	26 360	24 429	22 551		104 162	111 647	92 083	105 468	109 065	92 521
NP04A1 Maruleng CBLC6 Buchbuckridge																	
	54 720	58 602	64 169	55 472	59 636	64 501	099		564	'4		55 380	58 602	64 169	56 036	59 636	64 501
tal: Bohlabela Municipalities	54 720	58 602	64 169	55 472	59 636	64 501	099		564	4		55 380			56 036	59 636	64 501
NP331 Greater Giyani																	
NP334 Ba-Phalaborwa DC33 Monani District Municipality	86 153	94 596	102 258	87 750	96 155	102.914	24 386	20 600	23.82	17 623		110 539	115 196	102 258	111 571	113 778	102 914
I.₽	86 153	94 596	102 258	87 750	96 155	102 914	24 386	20 600	23 821			110 539				113 778	102 914
NP342 Mutale																	
DC34 Vhembe District Municipality	137 000	147 146	157 785	139 332	149 885	159 231	18 612	28 520	20 030			155 612	175 666			174 284	159 231
tal: Vhembe Municipalities	137 000	147 146	157 785	139 332	149 885	159 231	18 612	28 520	20 030	30 24 399	2	155 612	175 666	157 785	159 362	174 284	159 231
NP351 Blombero																	
				206	299	313									206	299	313
	0	i c	i c	, i	000	0	t	000	Ī							0	00
DC35 Capricom District Municipanty	75 030	116.08	67 663	001 0/	82 304	88 133	7 007	4 700	0 /40	4 021		82.127	1/0 00	8/ 003	82 912	62 634	88 133
at. Capticotti Municipantes	oco c/	00 211	600 /6	716.01	C00 70	00 ++0	160 /	00/ †	50			171 70		C00 / 0		470.00	00
	19 900	22 041	27 085	20 461	2 334	27 125						19 900	22 041	27 085	20 461	2 334	27 125
				I		ļ									ţ	,	,
NP36/ Mogalakwena DC36 Wotonbara District Municipality				/6	140	14/	2 77 6	000	3 806	2 477		2 778	4 000		3 806	140	14/
12	10 000	22 041	27.085	20 558	2 474	17 777	3 778	4 000	3 806			82986	26.041	27 085	24 364	775	CLCLC
m. Tactors municipantes	000	1	200	000		1			5					300	100		1
tal: Limpopo Municipalities	452 842	488 649	531 043	460 523	477 267	534 885	78 655	84 180	79 396	96 72 016	,	531 497	572 829	531 043	539 919	549 283	534 885
				L										L			1

APPENDIX E5

	λ)	Water Services Operating Subsidy	Services Op	Water Services Operating Subsidy	sidy		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	f Water Ser	vices Projec	ts (Capital)			SUB-TO	OTAL: INDI	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	SLN	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Vear	Municin	Municipal Financial Year	Vear	Nationa	National Financial Year	Par	Minicipal	Municinal Financial Year	Par	Nationa	National Financial Year	Vear	Mimicin	Municinal Financial Year	Vear
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06 2	20/9	2004/05 2	2005/06 20	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
rtegory Number Municipality	(R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)			(R'000)						(R'000)			(R'000)			(R'000)
UMALANGA																		
MP301 Albert Luthuli MP302 Msukaligwa	13 500	15 500	16 343	14 007	15 659	8 508	380			380			13 880	15 500	16 343	14 387	15 659	8 508
MP305 Lekwa MP306 Diralasang																		
4	13 500	15 500	16 343	14 007	15 659	8 508	380			380			13 880	15 500	16 343	14 387	15 659	8 508
MD211 Dalmas																		
	16 900	18 851	19 961	17 443	19 209	20 045							16 900	18 851	19 961	17 443	19 209	20 045
MF316 DF35 Moroka DC31 Nkangala District Municipality	44 047	4/ 552	716 06	790 64	48 450	20.233							44 047	766 / 4	716 06	45 062	48 450	565.05
al: Nkangala Municipalities	60 942	66 403	70 278	62 505	629 29	70 578							60 942	66 403	70 278	62 505	62 62	70 578
MP321 Thaba Chweu	200	450	404	263	439	404							200	450	404	263	439	404
	30 079	32 679	34 472	30 758	33 170	34 517							30 079	32 679	34 472	30 758	33 170	34 517
	26 847	29 546	31 462	27 598	30 136	31 578							26 847	29 546	31 462	27 598	30 136	31 578
DC32 Ehlanzeni District Municipality																		
al: Ehlanzeni Municipalities	57 126	62 675	66 338	58 619	63 745	66 499							57 126	62 675	66 338	58 619	63 745	66 499
al: Mpumalanga Municipalities	131 568	144 578	152 959	135 131	147 063	145 585	380			380			131 948	144 578	152 959	135 511	147 063	145 585

		Water 5	Water Services Operating Subsidy	rating Subs	idy		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	of Water Se	rvices Proje	cts (Capital	-		SUB-T(OTAL: IND	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	VINTS	
	(vis Nationa	(via augmentaion to the Water Trading Account) National Financial Year Municipal Financial	on to the W	Municina	er Trading Account) Municinal Financial Year	Year	National	National Financial Year	'ear	Municina	Municinal Financial Year	Year	Nation	National Financial Year	Vear	Municir	Municinal Financial Year	Vear
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 2 (R'000) (/02 (0)	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 2 (R'000) (07	2004/05 (R'000) (2005/06 2 (R'000) (707	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
NORTHERN CAPE																		
NC01B1				19	25	30											25	30
NW1a1	009 6	10 144	9 440	9 725	9 935	9 440							009 6	10 144	9 440	9 725	9 935	9 440
CBLCI	3 500	3 800	3 980	3 575	3 845	3 980	,	ç		Î			3 500	3 800	3 980		3 845	3 980
Total: Knalanadi Crass Bandar Municipality	13 100	13 044	13.420	13 310	13 805	13.450	99	40		2/	34	Ī	99	13 084	13.420	13 301	13 830	13.450
commitment rooms con a medium der imor			2		200		3	-		1	5		201		CT .	3	ê	
	350	700	200	438	650	200							350	700	500	438	650	200
NC062																		
NC064	200	009	200	525	575	200							200	009	500	525	575	200
	250	200	200	313	200	200							250	200	200	313	200	200
NC066	100	C	000	Č	C L	000							.00	G L	000		9	000
B NCU6/ Khal-Ma	301	000	300	351	450	300	99	40		72	34		301	200 40	300	351	450 34	300
1:2	1 401	2,300	1 800	1 627	2.175	1 800	99	9+ 94		72	75		1 467	2,340	1 800	1 699	2.209	1 800
coample may a many							3			!	5							
NC072	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000							1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
NC073																		
NC074	222	950	896	404	955	896							222	950	896	404	955	896
NC0/5																		
B NC076 Thembeline																		
	200	813	420	578	715	420							200	813	420		715	420
DC7							99	40		72	34		99	40		72	34	
Total: Karoo Municipalities	1 722	2 763	2 388	1 982	2 670	2 388	99	40		72	34		1 788	2 803	2 388	2 054	2 704	2 388
NCO8 Mier	400	008	400	200	700	400							400	800		200	200	400
	313	260	300	300	270	300							313	090	300	300	020	300
NC083)))	ì)				i	
NC084	300	200	300	350	450	300							300	200	300	350	450	300
980DN																		
C DC8 Siyanda District Municipality	50	50	200				99	40		72	34		116	06	200		34	
Total: Siyanda Municipalities	1 063	1 610	1 200	1 150	1 420	1 000	99	40		72	34		1 129	1 650	1 200	1 222	1 454	1 000
B NC091 Sol Plaatje																		
B NC092 Thusanang	2 931	3 808	4 173	3 148	3 893	4 173							2 931	3 808		3 148	3 893	4 173
NC093	800	1 200	1 137	006	1 184	1 137						_	800	1 200	1 137		1 184	1 137
	4 100	4 332	4 164	4 150	4 266	4 164						_	4 100	4 332			4 266	4 164
DC9							99	40		72	34		99	40		72	34	
Total: Frances Baard Municipalities	7 831	9 340	9 474	8 198	9 343	9 474	99	40		72	34		7 897	9 380	9 474	8 270	9 377	9 474
Total: Northern Cane Municipalities	25 117	29 957	28 282	26 276	29 413	28 112	328	200	$\frac{1}{1}$	359	170	Ī	25 445	30 157	28 282	26 635	29 583	28 112
Total: 1401 tilletin Supe irrumerpunces			40404	10 11 01	47.110	411 04	200	20.0	-	ò	214	ĺ	;				300	444 04

APPENDIX E5

			Water	Water Services Operating Subsidy	erating Subs	idy		Implem	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	ter Services P	rojects (Capit	(al)		SUB-TO	SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	RECT GRA	NTS	
		(V)	(via augmentation to the Water Trading Account)	on to the W.	Municing	Ter Irading Account)		Metional	Notional Pinanaial Voon	Musik	Municipal Dinamaiol Voca	Voor	Notions	Notional Eineneial Voor	Voon	Municing	Municipal Cinemaiol Voca	V.00.
		National 2004/05	2005 Oc	200	iviumini oogawe	1 Financial 1	22	rational r	mancial real	'n	Topal Financia	3006.007	Nationii:	2005/06	200	Municipa	al Fillaliciai	1 car
itegory Numbe	Number Municipality	2004/03 (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000) ((R'000) ((R'000) (F	(R'000) (1	(R'000) (R	(R'000) (R'000)) (R'000)	(R'000)	2006/0/ (R'000)	2004/03 (R'000)	(R'000)	(R'000)		(R'000) ((R'000)
RTH WEST																		
NW371	1 Moretele	11 000	13 400	15 918	11 588	13 992	15 918						11 000	13 400	15 918	11 588	13 992	15 918
CALL		00011	00+ 01	016 61	11 300	2000	12 000						000 11	00+01	016 61	11 366	2000	017 71
NW3/2		9 300	7 2000	12 890	0 598	8 840	12.890						6 300	7 200	12 890	0 598	8 840	12.890
NW3/3		3 300	2 900	2 155	3 441	2 4 2 7	2 133						2 300	3 900	2 155	3 441	3 4 3 2	2 155
NW374																		
NW375	5 Moses Kotane	7 300	8 590	9 595	7 623	8 841	9 595	000		,	į		7 300	8 290	9 595	7 623	8 841	9 595
DC3/	Bolanaia Piatinum District Municipanty	000	000 00	200	01000	100	702.07	1 000		0	//0		000 00	000 00	702 07	//0	107	202.07
al: Bojanala Plati	al: Bojanala Platinum Municipalities	27 900	33 380	40 536	29 250	35 105	40 536	1 000		677	1.1		28 900	33 380	40 536	726 62	35 105	40 536
NW381	1 Ration																	
NW382																		
NW383																		
POCAMIN																		
OC M NI																		
NW385		0				,				,	ļ		0			i c		
DC38	Central District Municipality	30 000	31 350	33 468	30 199	31 662	33 474	1 000		677	1.1		31 000	31 350	33 468	30 876	31 662	33 474
al: Central Municipalities	cipalities	30 000	31 350	33 468	30 199	31 662	33 474	1 000		229	77		31 000	31 350	33 468	30 876	31 662	33 474
NW391	1 Kagisano																	
NW392																		
NW393	3 Mamisa																	
LOCINIA LOCINIA																		
N W 39.																		
NW395	5 Molopo																	
NW396	6 Lekwa-Teemane																	
DC39	Bophirima District Municipality	18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640						18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640
al: Bophirima Municipalities	unicipalities	000 81	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640						18 000	20 500	22 640	18 332	20 638	22 640
NW401	1 Ventersdorp																	
NW402	2 Potchefstroom																	
NW403																		
NW404																		
0,70																		
DC-40	Southern District Municipanty																	
al: Southern Municipalities	nicipalities																	
ol. North Wood Municipalities	fundatualities	000 32	95 330	06 644	197 77	207 705	029 50	2,000	1	1 354	1	Ī	000 22	95 730	777 70	70 135	207 705	029 90
al: North west iv	lunicipalities	20K C/	0C7 CQ	26 0 2	10///	2/ 405	0000	7 000		1.01	4		// 200	007 CQ	70 04	CCI 6/	c0+ /g	000

		Water is anoments	Water Services Operating Subsidy (via augmentation to the Water Trading Account)	perating Sul Vater Tradit	sidy 9 Account)		Imple	Implementation of Water Services Projects (Capital)	ater Services	Projects (Ca	pital)		SUB-T(SUB-TOTAL: INDIRECT GRANTS	IRECT GRA	SLN	
	Nation	National Financial Year	Year	Municip	Municipal Financial Year	l Year	National	ī.		cip	cial Year	Nation	National Financial Year	l Year	Municipa	cial	Year
Category Number Municipality	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 2 (R'000) (2005/06 2006/07 (R'000) (R'000)	7.07 2004/05 30) (R'000)	5 2005/06) (R'000)	5 2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)	2004/05 (R'000)	2005/06 (R'000)	2006/07 (R'000)
WESTERN CAPE																	
A City of Cape Town																	
B WC011 Matzikama																	
WC013 WC013																	
B WC014 Saldanha Bay B WC015 Swartland																	
C DC1 West Coast District Municipality																	
Total: West Coast Municipalities																	
B WC022 Witzenberg																	
WC023																	
B WC024 Stellenbosch																	
WC026																	
C DC2 Boland District Municipality																	
Total: Boland Municipalities																	
B WC031 Theewaterskloof																	
WC033																	
WC034																	
otal: Overber																	
WC041																	
B WC042 Langeberg																	
WC043																	
WC045	3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4 519						3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4 519
WC047																	
B WC048 Knysna C DC4 Eden District Municipality																	
ali	3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4 519						3 400	3 808	4 519	3 500	3 890	4 519
B WC051 Laingsburg																	
WC052																	
B WC053 Beaufort West C DC5 Central Karoo District Municipality	1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300						1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300
otal: Central Karoo	1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300						1 830	3 700	4 300	2 298	3 850	4 300
Total: Western Cape Municipalities	5 230	7 508	8 819	5 798	7 740	8 819		1				5 230	7 508	8 819	5 798	7 740	8 8 19
commission was a supply of the																	
Unallocated and other National Allocations												800 09			50.015	070.040	74 464
Financial Management Orani - DBSA Water Services Operating Subsidy	10 000	10 000	12 320	10 069	10 106	10 111						10 000	10 000	12 320	10 069	10 106	10 111
Municipal Infrastructure Grant												44 459			44 459	51 926	59 871
National Total	858 334	934 434	990 500	875 352	926 165	985 395	160 279	138 679	158 814	118 632	12	1 124 071	1 191 279	1 113 028	1 139 541	1 166 972	1 119 730
***************************************						2000								2		1	221

TOTAL ALLOCATIONS BY NATIONAL AND MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL YEAR:

Equitable Share (Schedule 3) + Recurrent Grants (Schedule 6) + Infrastructure Grants (Schedule 6A) + Indirect Grants (Schedule 7)