

**NOTICE 39 OF 2014****WITHDRAWAL OF THE NOTICE OF CLAIM IN TERMS OF SECTION 11(A)(3) OF THE RESTITUTION OF LAND RIGHTS ACT, NO. 22 OF 1994 (AS AMENDED)**

**WHEREAS** a land claim was lodged by John Stripp, which claim was published on the 29 June 1995, in terms of section 11(1) of the Restitution of Land Rights Act, No. 22 of 1994 (as amended), herein referred to as the Act and

**WHEREAS** during further investigation of the land claim, in so far as it related to the properties referred to below, the Regional Land Claims Commissioner, has reason to believe that the criteria set out in section 11(1)(b) of the Act, has not been met and

**WHEREAS** the Commissioner has notified all the parties having an interest in the claim that they should show cause as to why the claim should not be withdrawn before the expiry of the period of 30 days. No representations to the contrary were filed

**NOW THEREFORE NOTICE** is hereby given in terms of section 11(A)(3) of the Act that the Commissioner withdraws the notice of claim which was previously published under the terms of section 11(1) of the Act in Gazette No. 1687 dated 23 November 2007.

The details of the Gazette No. 1687 dated 23 November 2007, including the following:

Reference No.	KRK 6/2/3/A/31/148/0/31 (WC46)
Claimant:	Watsondorp Group, represented by John Stripp
Description of properties	Erven 6156, 6179 and the Remainder of erf 6182 George
Extent of properties	2160 m <sup>2</sup> , 1716 m <sup>2</sup> & 5, 25.12 hectares respectively
Owner	Dileo CC: T35405/86
Date Submitted	29 June 1995

The reason the Regional Land Claims Commissioner believes that the criteria in section 11(1) of the Act may not have been met, is that:

- (a) the claimant and the Watsondorp co-claimants were over compensated for their properties at the date of the dispossession as reflected in a historical valuation report commissioned by the Regional Land Claims Commission: Western Cape.

  
LEBJANE MAPHUTHA  
REGIONAL LAND CLAIMS COMMISSISONER

DATE: 2014/01/22