

## BOARD NOTICE 99 OF 2012

### BOARD NOTICE

#### The South African Veterinary Council

NOTICE IN TERMS OF SECTION 33(3)(b)A) OF THE VETERINARY AND PARA-VETERINARY PROFESSIONS ACT, 1982 (ACT 19 OF 1982)

Particulars of the following persons found guilty of unprofessional conduct by the South African Veterinary Council after due inquiry into their conduct, are published for general information:

Name of Person	Address	Transgression	Penalty	Date of conviction
D97/3940 Dr HEINRICH DAVIES	P O BOX 573 DERDEPOORT PARK 0035	Unprofessional conduct in that: 1. The services and facility of Roodeplaat Dierekliniek was advertised together with the products of Bosveld Voere in the form of unsolicited printed material (a pamphlet/flyer) and placed unaddressed in the postal box of the unidentified recipient.  The pamphlet/flyer exceeded the limitations stipulated by Rule 7(2)(d) read with Rule 7(3) in that the pamphlet/flyer : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• was not contained in an envelope; and</li> <li>• did not contain the name and address of person to whom it was directed;</li> </ul> 2. The advertising of the facility together with a vetshop is in contravention of Rule 16 in that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the name of the facility was used directly and/or indirectly, to promote the business of Bosveld Voere in which Dr H Davies has an interest; and</li> <li>• the name of the vetshop was used in connection with Bosveld Dierekliniek.</li> </ul>	Reprimand	25/03/2010
H04/6359 MR KEITH THOMAS HOWELL	P O BOX 5411 GREENFIELDS EAST LONDON 5208	Unprofessional conduct in that he acted in contravention of section 23(2)(c) of the Veterinary & Para-Veterinary Professions Act 19 of 1982, by creating the false impression that you were a veterinarian.	Reprimand	25/03/2010
D78/1424 DR PETER STEPHEN HUMPHREYS	12 ST JAMES STREET SOMERSET WEST 7130	On the 17 <sup>th</sup> February 2004, at Helderberg Animal Hospital, he failed to perform a proper clinical examination for Sasha, a female Labrador, the property of Ms S Meyer and thus failed to make a correct diagnosis.	Reprimand	03/11/2008
D94/3579 DR VIMAL SUKDEW PRAGDIN	POSTNET SUITE #345 PRIVATE BAG X3 NORTH RIDING 2162	On or about the 17 <sup>th</sup> of August 2008 at Animal Emergency Clinic, he failed to treat the patient, Peach, a male cat, the property of Ms E Salai, appropriately.	Fine: R10 000-00  The payment of R5 000-00 of the fine is suspended for a period of 3 years on the condition that he are not found guilty of a similar offence within this period.	24/05/2010
D88/2852 DR CORNELIUS COENRAAD RALL	P O BOX 19 COLIGNY 2725	Unprofessional conduct in that: 1. He failed to maintain sterile and hygienic conditions when performing a surgical procedure by:	Registration suspended for a period of 60 days;  The execution of the penalty	28/07/2010

		<p>a. failing to sterilise the surgical equipment used in the surgical procedure prior to performing the surgical procedure; and</p> <p>b. permitting an employee to assist in the surgical procedure without him/her wearing the necessary sterile gown, cap, mask, and/or gloves;</p> <p>2. He failed to prepare the patient for the surgical procedure by failing to remove the hair from the area of incision thereby leading to hairs being included in the sutures and as a result leading to an inflammation to the incision area; and</p> <p>3. He failed to maintain professional standards by answering his cellular phone whilst performing the surgical procedure.</p>	<p>wholly is suspended for a period of 36 months subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>a. He is not found guilty of any similar transgression committed within the period of suspension;</p> <p>b. He write a referenced article on the correct sterilising procedures and theatre techniques for publication in the SAVC Newsletter; and</p> <p>c. His veterinary clinic be inspected.</p>	
D90/3044 DR HERKLAAS WILLEM SCHOEMAN	34 BURNE CRESCENT GLENASHLEY 4051	<p>1. He failed to euthanase a female Boerbull despite having been instructed to do so;</p> <p>2. He failed to inform the client of his decision not to euthanase the Boerbull but to instead re-home her;</p> <p>4. He failed to refund the payment made by the client in full for the euthanasia and cremation of the Boerbull; and</p> <p>5. He failed to inform the client of his failure to re-home the Boerbull and of his intention to keep her.</p>	<p>Registration suspended for a period of 30 days;</p> <p>The execution of the penalty wholly is suspended for a period of 36 months subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>a. He is not found guilty of any similar transgression committed within the period of suspension;</p> <p>b. He writes a referenced article on the Rules and Regulations, and their implementation governing the euthanasia of animals for publication in the SAVC Newsletter; and</p> <p>c. Fine of R10 000-00</p>	26/07/2010
D96/3755 DR LUVHENGU PATRICK THENGA	43 CONRAD DRIVE BLAIRGOWRIE 2194	<p>Unprofessional, improper and disgraceful conduct on the following charge/s:</p> <p>He fraudulently charged fees for fictitious pathological tests on blood drawn from Tigger, a male Boxer, the property of Ms KP Rau.</p>	<p>a) You are required to:</p> <p>i. Attend an anger management course;</p> <p>ii. Attend a course on Ethics and to write the Council exam on Jurisprudence; and</p> <p>iii. Comply with the requirements for CPD for the cycle ending March 2009;</p> <p>b) Should you fail to comply with the penalty in (a) above within six (6) months from the date on which the penalty was handed down; he will automatically be suspended for a period of six (6) months.</p>	09/11/2010
D92/3265 DR JOHANNES	GERFA CENTRE 5 & 6	Unprofessional conduct in that he acted in contravention of Rule 16(7)(d) of the rules	Reprimand	05/10/2011

CHRISTIAAN BOTH A	C/O MOSTERT 6TH AVENUE MELKBOSSTRA ND CAPE TOWN 7441	relating to the veterinary profession (GNR 2086), read with Rule 7(2)(c), of the Veterinary & Para-Veterinary Professions Act 19 of 1982, in that you touted for work by advertising on his website a discount and/or an incentive on the fee usually charged for a clinical service, viz. a vaccination or clinical examination, with a view to attracting clients.		
D95/3709 DR SIMON TERTIUS ZAGT	P O BOX 251 MONTANA PARK 0159	<p>Unprofessional conduct on the following charges:</p> <p>Charge 1: He contravened section 34 of the Act by prescribing medicines, 30 x 10 ml bottles of Salix to Graystone Stud, owned by Mr St John D Gray, knowing that the medicines would be administered for the treatment of animals and /or horses that were not under his professional care; /or in the alternative;</p> <p>Charge 2: He contravened Rule 10 of the Rules relating to the Veterinary profession by issuing a prescription for 30 x 10 ml bottles of Salix medicine without satisfying yourself that the administering of the medicine was justified with due allowance for the benefits and risks which the medicine could have held for the animal to which it was administered; and</p> <p>Charge 3: He contravened Rule 26 of the Rules relating to the Veterinary profession by:</p> <p>a) Failing to complete a veterinary case sheet in duplicate, as required by all veterinary professionals attending to horses in training registered with the National Horseracing Authority of Southern Africa, containing the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. premise identification;</li> <li>ii. patient identification;</li> <li>iii. diagnosis; and/or in the alternative</li> <li>iv. treatment; and//or in the alternative</li> </ul> <p>(b) Failing to retain the original completed case sheet and hand a copy of the case sheet to the person requesting the treatment.</p>	<p>Registration is withdrawn</p> <p>This penalty is wholly suspended for five years on the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Dr Zagt pay a fine in the amount of R5000-00;</li> <li>ii. Dr Zagt attend an SAVC approved course on prescribing and scheduling of medicines;</li> <li>iii. Dr Zagt submit his CPD points by 31 November 2011; and</li> <li>iv. That Dr Zagt is not found guilty of a similar offence.</li> </ul>	12/09/2011
D83/1861 DR J H MEYER	P O BOX 10020 FONTEINRIET 1464	<p>Unprofessional conduct on the following charges:</p> <p>1. He acted in contravention of section 34 of the Act by dispensing medicines, 26 bottles of M99, to Mr Andre Pienaar, knowing that the medicines would be administered for the treatment of animals that were not under your professional care; and</p> <p>2. He furthermore acted in contravention of Rule 10 of the Rules relating to the</p>	<p>Suspension for a period of 6 months.</p> <p>This penalty being wholly suspended for 10 years on the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dr Meyer is not found guilty of a similar transgression during the period of suspension;</li> <li>2. Dr Meyer pays a fine of R25,000-00;</li> <li>3. Dr Meyer to write a</li> </ul>	08/11/2010

		<p>Veterinary profession by issuing prescriptions for the above mentioned medicine without:</p> <p>a. Satisfying himself that the administering of the medicine was justified with due allowance for the benefits and risks which the medicine could have held for:</p> <p>i. The animal to which it was administered;</p> <p>ii. The layperson by whom it was administered; and</p> <p>iii. The consumer of the products of that animal if residues of the medicine concerned would be present in those products;</p> <p>b. Fully informing the owner of the animal to which the medicine was administered with regard to:</p> <p>i. The application and effect of and precautionary measures in connection with that medicine; and</p> <p>ii. The period, if any, during which the products of that animal are to be withheld from human consumption.</p>	<p>referenced article for publication in the SAVC Newsletter on the impact of the misuse of scheduled medicines on the veterinary profession.</p>
--	--	---	---