## **HUMAN SETTLEMENTS GRANT**

Transferring department	Rural Households Infrastructure Grant     Human Settlements (Vote 30)
Strategic goal	The grant seeks to provide funds to subsidise rural households to eliminate household backlogs in
oriarePic Pour	water and sanitation
	The programme intends to provide once-off subsidy to eliminate backlogs whilst the ongoing
	maintenance of the infrastructure is to be institutionalised to be dealt with within communities
	This is intended to facilitate the resuscitation of Community Based Organisations and Non-Governmental Organisations sector in providing on-site training to rural households on how to sustain
	the maintenance of infrastructure beyond implementation
	To facilitate hands-on involvement of beneficiaries into the implementation of programme benefiting
	them so as to instil a culture of ownership towards sustainable communities
Grant purpose	The grant is intended to provide specific capital finance for the eradication of rural sanitation backlogs
	targeted at existing households without access to sanitation and water
Outcomes statements	<ul> <li>Facilitating access to water and sanitation by rural households</li> <li>Alleviate poverty by implementing programmes through labour based methods</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Alleviate poverty by implementing programmes through labour based methods</li> <li>Pursuit of on-site solutions, and allowing people to take charge of the improvement of their wellbeing</li> </ul>
Outputs statements	Number of rural households provided with basic sanitation facilities
Outputs statements	Number of rural households having access to safe water
	Number of jobs created using Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) guidelines
	Number of people trained on on-site technologies and maintenance of facilities
Details contained in	Outcome indicators
business plans	Outputs indicators
	• Inputs
Conditions	Key activities     Grant specifically targets the eradication of rural sanitation and water from rural communities and
Conditions	should not be used on new residential developments
	Implementation of this grant has to be done in consultation with the Water Services Authorities (WSA)
	responsible
	Service Level Agreements with the respective WSAs have to be entered into with the national
	programme manager. Agreements include joint planning as well as acceptance of obligation by the
	<ul> <li>recipient municipality regarding facilitation of possible operation and maintenance of facilities provided</li> <li>The design of sanitation facilities have to be within acceptable norms and standards</li> </ul>
	The design of samuation factities have to be within acceptable from and standards     The implementation of the programme should include training of communities on technical and also on
	their understanding of their responsibilities with the outcomes of the programme
	Transparency of selection criteria
Allocation criteria	Identified households in rural municipalities where water and sanitation needs exist or which have no
	conventional accepted basic sanitation facilities
Reason not incorporated in	This is a special purpose grant with specific objectives and distribution criteria, different from that of the
equitable share	equitable share
	Outputs have to be measured specifically  2008/09 audited financial outcomes
Past performance	New grant in the 2010/11 financial year
	2008/09 service delivery performance
	New grant in the 2010/11 financial year
Projected life	The programme is in line with government's target to eradicate rural backlogs by 2014
MTEF allocations	• 2010/11: R100 million
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	• 2011/12: R350 million
	• 2012/13: R750 million
Payment schedule	The grant will be disbursed in accordance with an agreed payment schedule by National Treasury
Responsibilities of the	Administer the implementation of the programme
national department	Agree with municipalities on outputs and targets
•	Continuously monitor implementation and provide support to municipalities
	Submit monthly and quarterly reports to National Treasury
	Verifying reports from service providers
Process for approval of	Concrete plans on how the programme will be rolled out and progress on implementation will determine future allocations
2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Based on current concentrations of backlogs in rural sanitation as a priority, the Department of Human</li> </ul>
	Settlements must determine the municipalities and specific communities where funding will be spent.
	Similarly, in respect to the eradication of rural household water backlogs, the Department of Water
	Affairs must determine communities where funding will be spent. In both cases, this should be done in
	consultation with the respective WSAs
	Selection and prioritisation of project list will be finalised by 29 October 2010

# NATIONAL TREASURY GRANTS

	Local Government Financial Management Grant
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 9)
Strategic goal	To secure sound and sustainable management of the fiscal and financial affairs of municipalities
Grant purpose	To promote and support reforms in financial management by building capacity in municipalities to implement the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA)
Outcomes statements	Improved capacity in financial management of municipalities
	Progressive improvement in the quality of reporting for municipalities
	Appropriately skilled financial management officers appointed in municipalities consistent with the
	<ul> <li>competency regulations</li> <li>Municipalities have processes and procedures in place to provide quality reports and are publishing financial information on a regular basis</li> </ul>
	Continuous improvement in audit outcomes
	Improved revenue, expenditure, asset and liability management
	Improved overall compliance with implementing the MFMA
Outputs	Improved and sustained skills development including the appointment of at least five interns supporting the implementation of financial management reforms in municipalities focusing on the gaps identified in MFMA implementation plans
	Upgrading of IT systems to deliver reports required for financial management improvement and improve the quality of data
	<ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of multi-year budgets meeting uniform norms and standards</li> <li>Assist in the implementation of supply chain reforms, accounting reforms, producing quality and timely financial statements</li> </ul>
	Assist in the preparation and implementation of financial recovery plans
	Progressive improvements in audit outcomes
	Improvements to internal and external reporting on budgets, finances, Service Delivery Budget and Implementation Plan (SDBIP), in-year and annual reports
	Implementation of the MFMA     Outcomes indicators
Details contained in business	Outcomes indicators     Output indicators
plans	Inputs
	Key activities
Conditions	Establishment of a Budget and Treasury Office with positions filled by appropriately qualified personnel and appointment of a at least five interns over a multi year period
	The employment of an appropriately skilled chief financial officer
	Establishment of Supply Chain Management and Internal Audit units
	<ul> <li>Ongoing review, revision, and submission of MFMA implementation plans to National Treasury to address weaknesses in financial management</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Acquisition of a financial management system that can produce multi-year budgets, in-year reports, SDBIP, annual reports and automation of financial management practices</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Utilise funding to support the training of municipal officials in financial management reforms in support of Competency Regulations, Gazette 29967 of June 2007</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Preparation and submission of annual financial statements for audits and implement changes required to address audit findings</li> </ul>
	Provide technical support to municipalities in financial management and the transference of skills to municipal officials
	Where appropriate, the preparation of a financial recovery plan and the implementation thereof
Allocation criteria	Funds allocated to all municipalities to assist in the implementation of financial management reforms, attendance at accredited training and capacity building programmes on financial management
	To address special requests linked to financial reforms, as pilot initiatives for wider application to all municipalities, after successful implementation
	To assist in financial and technical support to municipalities in need of such support as identified by the MFMA implementation coordination team
Reason not incorporated in	To provide direct support to develop municipal financial management capacity and to lend assistance
equitable share	for the implementation of the MFMA and regulations
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes
-	All funds were transferred and no adverse audit issues were identified. The department's 2008/09
	annual report contains further details on the grant outcomes

Local Government Financial Management Grant	
	<ul> <li>2008/09 service delivery performance</li> <li>Workshops with municipal officials have been undertaken across the country on the implementation of the regulations providing for minimum competencies and training providers have been accredited</li> <li>Training was provided to over 800 municipal officials with regard to the Asset Transfer Framework, covering the disposal, transfers, granting of right of use, control and management of assets</li> <li>Regulations on Medium Term Budgeting were issued and training provided to over 350 municipal officials</li> <li>Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) training was provided to over 250 municipal officials</li> <li>Over 573 graduate finance interns have been appointed in municipalities with the objective of increasing finance management capacity</li> <li>220 municipalities submitted their Annual Financial Statements within the required timelines</li> <li>235 municipalities have submitted their Annual Reports for 2007/08</li> <li>Quarterly MFMA co-ordination meetings are held with relevant stakeholders in support of provincial and municipal efforts in implementing the financial reforms. Technical advisors have been placed in two provincial treasuries KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape and other support is offered to</li> </ul>
Projected life	municipalities in Limpopo and Eastern Cape provinces  Other information on MFMA is available on the webpage, www.treasury.gov.za  The programme is designed to support and implement the MFMA and associated reforms. The grant forms part of government's broader capacity building initiative and focuses on building in-house
MTEF allocations	municipal capacity  2010/11: R365 million 2011/12: R385 million 2012/13: R404 million
Payment schedule	The grant will be disbursed during July 2010
Responsibilities of the national department	Responsibilities of the national department  Monitoring and management of the programme  Transfer funds to municipalities to assist implementation of the MFMA and its supporting regulations  Undertake ongoing monitoring in selected municipalities
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Ongoing review, revision and submission of implementation plans to address weaknesses in financial management</li> <li>The programme is based on MFMA implementation plans of municipalities</li> </ul>

	Neighbourhood Development Partnership Grant (NDPG)
Transferring department	National Treasury (Vote 9)
Strategic goal	To improve quality of life of residents in township areas through the creation of economically viable and sustainable neighbourhoods
Grant purpose	To support neighbourhood development projects that provide community infrastructure and create the platform for other public and private sector development, towards improving the quality of life of residents in targeted underserved neighbourhoods (townships generally)
Outcomes statements	Deliver targeted and sustainable capital improvements
	Crowd in private and public investments and partners into target areas
_	Increase municipal/local capacity for ongoing township development
Outputs	Number of projects granted award status
	<ul> <li>Number of projects in receipt of planning and project preparation technical assistance</li> <li>Number of projects under construction</li> </ul>
Details contained in business	Inputs
plans	Key activities
	Programme structure
	Performance indicators
Conditions	Approval by the National Treasury of programme and/or project business plans which are in
	alignment to the NDPG's goals
	<ul> <li>The receiving officer must submit a milestone payment schedule with budgets and timeframes for project implementation</li> </ul>
	Obtain a council resolution striving to achieve measurable outputs
Allocation criteria	Allocations are made to qualifying municipalities based on capacity constraints and priority needs
Thousand the the	for neighbourhood development and/or renewal projects that facilitate commercial and social
	upliftment that include:
	- planning for neighbourhood development and/or renewal
	- implementation of plans for neighbourhood development and/or renewal projects through well-
<b>S</b>	considered projects     To facilitate neighbourhood development and/or renewal in selected municipal areas
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	To facilitate neighbourhood development and/of fenewar in selected municipal areas
Past performance	2008/09 Audited financial outcomes
t ast periormance	<ul> <li>R71 million in Schedule 7 indirect transfers to municipalities and R68 million spent</li> </ul>
	R223 million in Schedule 6 direct transfers to municipalities and R182 million spent
	2008/09 Service delivery performance
	86 projects in 51 municipalities granted award status
	48 projects in receipt of planning and project preparation technical assistance     32 projects in receipt of planning and project preparation technical assistance
	27 projects are in construction phases (2008/09: 23 projects commenced construction)  The projects are in construction phases (2008/09: 23 projects commenced construction)  The projects are in construction phases (2008/09: 23 projects commenced construction)
Projected life	<ul> <li>The grant will be ongoing to assist municipalities in planning and implementing projects identified to meet outcomes above, subject to annual reviews</li> </ul>
2010 MTEF allocations	Direct transfers:
	~ 2010/11: R1 030 million
	- 2011/12: R1 190 million
	- 2012/13: R1 182 million  • Indirect transfers:
	- 2010/11: R125 million
	- 2011/12: R100 million
	- 2012/13: R105 million
Payment schedule	Grant to be disbursed in accordance with a payment schedule approved by National Treasury
Responsibilities of the	Responsibilities of the national department
national department	The national department must:
	- determine the criteria for evaluating requests for grants from municipalities
	- request applications for the grant as appropriate and report in terms of the Division of Revenue Act
	- ensure that projects submitted for funding must demonstrate the inclusion of private sector
	funding and involvement in the project structure where appropriate
	- determine the grant allocations for the future MTEF periods
	Periodic reviews between Neighbourhood Development Partnership Unit and municipalities on
	progress made with the design and implementation of plans
	Responsibilities of municipalities
	<ul> <li>Submission of monthly expenditure and progress reports as stipulated in the Division of Revenue Act</li> </ul>
Process for approval of 2011	Ongoing review of performance and progress meetings where appropriate
MTEF allocations	Annual allocations are based on progress of implementation
	The second secon

# PUBLIC WORKS GRANT

Transferring department	xpanded Public Works Programme Incentive Grant for Municipalities  Public Works (Vote 6)
Strategic goal	To increase the amount of Full Time Equivalent (FTEs) jobs through labour intensive infrastructure programmes by municipalities
Grant purpose	To incentivise municipalities to increase labour intensive employment through infrastructure programmes that maximise job creation and skills development in line with the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) guidelines
Outcomes statements	<ul> <li>Improvements to the quality of life of unemployed people through employment creation and increased income from the EPWP</li> <li>Reduced levels of poverty and unemployment</li> <li>Improved social stability through mobilising the unemployed in productive activities</li> <li>Improved opportunities for sustainable work through experience gained</li> <li>Increased contribution to the objective of halving unemployment by 2014</li> </ul>
Outputs	<ul> <li>Increased number of people employed and receiving income through the EPWP</li> <li>Average duration of work opportunities created</li> <li>Increased income per EPWP beneficiary</li> <li>Increase in number of municipalities reporting on EPWP</li> </ul>
Details contained in the national business plan	<ul> <li>Outcome indicators</li> <li>Output indicators</li> <li>Inputs</li> <li>Key activities</li> </ul>
Conditions	<ul> <li>Municipalities must sign a standard incentive agreement with national Department of Public Works (NDPW) to agree to comply with the conditions and obligations of the grant</li> <li>Municipalities must report progress on all projects for which they are claiming the incentive via NDPW's EPWP Management Information System (MIS). This includes reporting beneficiary information</li> <li>Municipalities must maintain beneficiary and payroll records as specified in the audit requirements in the EPWP Incentive Manual</li> <li>Eligible municipalities must use the EPWP incentive grant received for continuing or expanding job creation programmes</li> </ul>
Allocation criteria	<ul> <li>Minimum thresholds and performance targets along with indicative incentive amounts to be paid out to eligible municipalities if performance targets are met, are published in the gazette</li> <li>Indicative incentive allocations for each municipality are based on the targeted number of FTEs to be created by each municipality through its infrastructure programmes. The targeted number of FTEs for each municipality is based on the allocated infrastructure grant budget and performance in the 2008/05 financial year</li> <li>Eligibility criteria</li> <li>In any one financial year, to be eligible to participate in the EPWP incentive grant, municipalities must have reported to NDPW on their contribution to job creation in a prior financial year: <ul> <li>to be eligible for the 2010/11 incentive, municipalities must have reported on 2008/09 EPWF performance to NDPW by the 15 April 2009</li> <li>municipalities that did not report in 2008/09, but reported before 15 October 2009, will be allocated a nominal incentive amount of R870 000</li> </ul> </li> <li>In addition, municipalities must meet the minimum performance threshold. The minimum performance threshold is the number of FTEs that must be created by the municipality in delivering infrastructure and is based on their existing infrastructure grant allocations. Rural municipalities have a zero minimum threshold in 2010/11</li> <li>Disbursement criteria</li> <li>Reports must be loaded on the MIS 20 days after the end of the quarter to be considered for payment of the incentive of the preceding quarter</li> <li>The incentive is paid on a quarterly basis, based on actual performance in the preceding quarter provided the minimum quarterly threshold is met</li> <li>Municipalities that partially meet their performance target will be paid the incentive on a pro-rata basis up to the full incentive amount as published in the gazette</li> <li>The indicative incentive allocation from underperforming municipalities may be re-allocated to over performing municipalities</li></ul>
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This grant is intended to incentivise and reward performance on the EPWP
Past performance	New grant
Projected life	Grant continues until the end of the 2013/14 financial year

Ex	panded Public Works Programme Incentive Grant for Municipalities
MTEF allocations	• 2010/11: R623 million
	• 2011/12: R1 108 million
	• 2012/13: R1 163 million
Payment schedule	<ul> <li>Four instalments in the 2010/11 national financial year: 14 May 2010, 13 August 2010, 15<sup>th</sup> November</li> </ul>
	2010 and 15 February 2011 in terms of an approved payment schedule by National Treasury
Responsibilities of the	Responsibilities of the national department
national department	<ul> <li>Determine eligible municipalities and set performance targets and incentive allocations for these municipalities</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Provide municipalities with the EPWP incentive manual outlining the conditions and obligations of the grant as well as the audit requirements</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Support municipalities develop plans and design projects to meet targets</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Monitor the performance of municipalities and report on quarterly progress against targets to National Treasury within 40 days after the end of the quarter. The quarterly progress report shall show incentive amounts earned due to performance in the preceding quarter and shall include the revised payment schedule</li> </ul>
	Conduct sample audits on a continuous basis
	Audit the final performance of municipalities after the closure of the financial year
	All reported data will be subject to verification and auditing; and performance may be adjusted in
	accordance with audited data
	Disburse incentives on a quarterly basis to eligible municipalities based on performance in the previous
	quarter once the quarterly thresholds have been exceeded
	Responsibilities of eligible municipalities
	<ul> <li>Sign the standard incentive agreement in order to comply with the conditions and obligations of the incentive agreement before 30 July 2010</li> </ul>
	Report all projects to be taken into account when assessing performance into the EPWP MIS and
	update progress quarterly in accordance with the reporting requirements in the incentive agreement
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Municipalities must report performance on EPWP projects for the 2009/10 financial year by 15 April 2010. Performance in 2009/10 as well as allocated infrastructure budgets will determine the targets and allocations for 2011/12</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Municipalities that did not report in 2009/10, but reported before 15 October 2010, will be allocated a nominal incentive amount of R870 000</li> </ul>
	NDPW will distribute the standard incentive agreement before the end of May of each year
	<ul> <li>Eligible municipalities must sign the standard incentive agreement with NDPW by the 29 July 2011 and agree to comply with the conditions and obligations of the incentive grant before the first disbursement at the beginning of the municipal financial year</li> </ul>

# SPORT AND RECREATION SOUTH AFRICA GRANTS

	2010 FIFA World Cup Stadiums Development Grant
Transferring department	Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19)
Strategic goal	Acceleration of infrastructure development in the country
Grant purpose	To fund the design and construction of new designated stadiums or the design and upgrading of designated existing stadiums and supporting bulk services infrastructure in the World Cup host cities
Outcomes statements	Sporting facilities constructed in line with international football requirements
	Economic benefits accruing to small enterprises
0.4.4	Employment opportunities created in the construction programme
Outputs	<ul> <li>Appropriately resourced divisions in host city municipal offices to undertake large multi-faceted stadium development projects and contract management as required for the 2010 FIFA World Cup and thereafter</li> </ul>
	Project management units in place and functioning in host city offices
	Ensure timely disbursement of the 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadiums development funds
	Funds conversion rate to fixed asset     Construction contract completion reports
	Construction contract completion reports     Five new stadiums constructed and completed for the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition
	Five existing stadiums upgraded for the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition
Details contained in business	Activities to be undertaken in the construction of each stadium. These activities are contained in
plans	the construction programme for each venue, detailing the start, the sequence of activities and the end dates
Conditions	The project and funding must be approved by municipal council in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act
	The allocation must be used for the following, subject to the specific maximum amounts allocated for each component:
	- the construction of a new stadium or the upgrading of an existing stadium designated by the transferring national officer in consultation with the receiving municipality
	- the provision and installation of bulk service infrastructure to ensure a functional stadium designated stadium for the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition and thereafter to the amount indicated in Schedule 6
	- transaction advisory cost
	The municipality must:
	- enter into a construction contract with a qualified construction company in accordance with its supply chain management policy
	<ul> <li>appoint and retain a dedicated project manager for the duration of the project that is responsible for managing the performance of the construction company in accordance with the construction contract</li> </ul>
	- ring-fence funds transferred to the municipality's primary bank account and interest thereon in a separate dedicated 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium secondary account
	<ul> <li>submit a project plan to the national transferring officer by 1 April 2010 which must provide for separate cost centres for professional fees, the stadium, bulk services infrastructure and overlay</li> </ul>
	If there is a shortfall in funding for the construction of the stadium the municipality:     can obtain funding from other sources
	<ul> <li>may approach a registered financial institution for a loan subject to the municipal supply chain management regulations. The interest on the loan will be subsidised from the National Revenue Fund in 2010/11</li> </ul>
	Upon completion of the stadium construction programme the municipality must provide the transferring department:
	<ul> <li>with a report that includes the final construction cost and expenditure items, sources of funds, detailed reasons for cost overruns, guarantees provided by the equipment manufacturers especially the guarantee provided to mitigate against corrosion of the roof structure and, the number of jobs (unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled) created during the construction of the stadium</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>with a report that details the estimated annual operating and maintenance costs of the stadium and how the municipality intends to finance these costs for the next ten years after the 2010 FIFA World Cup</li> </ul>
	On completion of the 2010 FIFA World Stadium Development Programme, the transferring officer must provide National Treasury, by no later than 30 September 2010, with a consolidated report that includes the final construction cost and expenditure items, sources of funds, detailed reasons for cost overruns, guarantees provided by the equipment manufacturers especially the guarantee provided to mitigate against corrosion of the roof structures and, number of jobs (unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled) created during the construction of the stadium

	2010 FIFA World Cup Stadiums Development Grant
	Disposal of stadiums are subject to approval of the national transferring officer and Nationa Treasury
Allocation criteria	Grant allocated based on projected funding requirements of World Cup host cities
Reason not incorporated in the	The conditional allocation is made for specific sports facilities to be constructed or upgraded by
equitable share	designated World Cup host cities for the 2010 FIFA World Cup
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes
	R4 300 million disbursed and R3 287 million was spent
	2008/09 service delivery performance
	Project Status:
	- Soccer City: Practical completion - 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium
	- Green Point: Practical completion - 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium
	- eThekwini: Practical completion - 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium
	- Nelson Mandela: Complete
	- Mbombela: Practical completion - 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium
	- Polokwane: Practical completion - 2010 FIFA World Cup Stadium
	- Mangaung: Complete
	- Royal Bafokeng: Complete
	- Ellis Park: Complete
D . ( 1156	- Loftus Versfeld: Complete
Projected life	The grant has a projected life of up to 2010/11 financial year
2010 MTEF allocations	• 2010/11: R302 million
Payment schedule	<ul> <li>Transfers will be made in accordance with the an approved payment schedule by National Treasur</li> </ul>
Responsibilities of the national	Responsibilities of the national department
department	The transferring national officer must ensure that:
	<ul> <li>spending on stadiums does not exceed the amounts appropriated for that purpose on municip.</li> </ul>
	budgets
	- Municipal Finance Management Act is adhered to
	- expert technical, engineering and project management support necessary to ensure the
	construction or upgrading of the relevant stadiums are provided to the World Cup host cities
	- ensure, by no later than 29 October 2010, that all the final financial reports on stadiums a
	submitted by the transferring national officer to the National Treasury
	- submit a monitoring plan to National Treasury 30 days after the Division of Revenue Act h
	taken effect. The monitoring plan must set out the key performance indicators, financi
	procedures, internal audit processes and monitoring and reporting mechanisms of the nation
	department, in order to ensure the effective and efficient construction or upgrading of the relevant stadiums
	- Consolidate reports relating to the maintenance of the stadiums
	Responsibilities of municipalities
	Ensure that the amount budgeted for the construction or upgrading of the relevant stadium and
	supporting infrastructure takes into account the allocation and indicative allocations for the grant
	Submit final financial reports on stadiums to transferring national officer
	· ·
	is it are stated to the approved payment of the approved payment of the area.
	- William and Months after Works Comprehensive Statement Comprehensive C
	with regard to the stadium construction programme and the allocated funds, and submit a report to
	the transferring national officer that includes a reconciliation of all expenditure, lessons learned
	from the construction project and a business model that will ensure financial sustainability,
	maintenance and operations of the stadium for a period of ten years after the completion of the 201
	FIFA World Cup competition in July 2010
	Submission of monthly professional quantity surveyor's cost report and expenditure reports by  municipalities as stimulated in the Division of Research Act.
	municipalities as stipulated in the Division of Revenue Act  Not applicable
Process for approval of 2011	Not applicable

	2010 World Cup Host City Operating Grant
Transferring department	Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19)
Strategic goal	Hosting a successful 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa
Grant purpose	<ul> <li>To assist host cities with the operational response associated with the hosting of the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition</li> </ul>
Outcomes statements	Operational plans to address the needs of the 2010 FIFA World Cup
Outputs	<ul> <li>Successful hosting of the 2010 FIFA World Cup</li> <li>Short-term jobs created</li> <li>Expertise in event management developed</li> </ul>
Details contained in business plan	<ul> <li>The activities that each host city will carry out in order to ensure that the tournament is successful</li> <li>Plans of events and how these are to be carried out</li> </ul>
Conditions	<ul> <li>The project and funding must be approved by municipal council in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act</li> <li>The allocation must be used for the following, subject to the specific maximum amounts allocated for:         <ul> <li>the operations of the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition</li> <li>the recruitment of specialists in event planning and commissioning of stadiums</li> <li>the execution of labour intensive city beautification and environmental projects</li> <li>the installation of appropriate signage for the competition</li> <li>the preparation and distribution of promotional and marketing materials highlighting the host city's economic assets and investment opportunities</li> <li>the preparation of training venues, public viewing areas and fan parks</li> <li>the provision of ancillary event related overlay facilities</li> </ul> </li> <li>The municipality must:         <ul> <li>ensure that the operational plan complies with the minimum requirements for the hosting of the 2010 FIFA World Cup competition</li> <li>ensure that the operational plan is approved by the municipal council</li> <li>enter into contracts with qualified specialists (if required) in accordance with its supply chain management policy</li> <li>appoint and retain a dedicated operations manager for the duration of the project that is responsible for managing the performance of the volunteers and contracted specialists in accordance with the contracts</li> <li>ring-fence funds transferred to the municipality's primary bank account and interest thereon in a separate dedicated 2010 FIFA World Cup operation secondary account</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Allocation criteria	<ul> <li>submit a detailed operational plan to the national transferring officer by 1 April 2010</li> <li>The allocation is a grant to assist host cities with the funding of the operations of the 2010 FIFA World</li> </ul>
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	Cup competition     The allocation is made for specific operational budgets for the hosting of the FIFA World Cup in 2010/11
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes  New grant 2008/09 service delivery performance New grant
Projected life	The grant has a projected life of up to 2010/11 financial year
2010 MTEF allocations	• 2010/11; R210 million
Payment schedule	Transfers will be made quarterly and in accordance with the approved payment schedule from National Treasury
Responsibilities of the	• The national transferring officer is responsible for the application of oversight and monitoring
national department	provisions of the grant  The national transferring officer must present a system to monitor this grant within thirty days after this Act has been promulgated  Prepare consolidated report and lessons learnt by 31 October 2010
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	Not applicable

# TRANSPORT GRANTS

	Rural Transport Services and Infrastructure Grant
Transferring department	Transport (Vote 36)
Strategic goal	<ul> <li>To promote rural transport infrastructure and services through coordinated rural nodal and linkage development which meets the requirement of rural public transport networks and corridors</li> </ul>
Grant purpose	To strengthen the rural transport services by improving accessibility to essential services
Outcomes statements	Improved rural transport networks which enhances socio-economic conditions
Outputs	To improve rural infrastructure by upgrading rural access roads; construction of pedestrian bridges and walkways; construction of intermodal public transport facilities and rural freight logistics facilities.
Details contained in business plan	<ul> <li>Outcomes indicators</li> <li>Output Indicators</li> <li>Inputs</li> <li>Key Activities</li> </ul>
Conditions	<ul> <li>Projects must be in line with the Rural Transport Strategy for South Africa (RTSSA) Action Plan;</li> <li>Public Transport Strategy Action Plan; Freight Logistics Strategy Action Plan and Road Infrastructure Action Plan (RISFSA)</li> <li>Projects must improve integrated delivery of government programmes</li> </ul>
Allocation criteria  Reason not incorporated in equitable share  Past performance	<ul> <li>Identified projects shall be included in the municipal Integrated Transport Plans (ITPs) and Integrated Development Plans (IDPs)</li> <li>Projects shall enhance linkage between households and services</li> <li>Projects shall provide access and mobility to basic, social and economic services</li> <li>The execution of projects must be in accordance with the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) principles</li> <li>Project should be in line with the Public Transport Strategy and Freight Logistics Strategy</li> <li>Projects should aim to improve mobility and accessibility in rural areas through the:         <ul> <li>development of rural transport infrastructure</li> <li>enhancement of rural transport services</li> <li>use of non-motorised transportation</li> <li>provision of rural passenger transport facilities</li> </ul> </li> <li>This is a specific purpose grant mainly for the provision of rural transport infrastructure and services</li> <li>2008/09 audited financial outcome</li> <li>Allocated and transferred R8.9 million to municipalities and 82 per cent was spent</li> <li>The Auditor-General's Report contained no matters on the grant</li> <li>2008/09 service delivery performance</li> <li>Design of the Intermodal Rural Transport Facility at Chris Hani District municipality</li> </ul>
Brainstad life	<ul> <li>Design and construction of pedestrian bridges at Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality</li> <li>Development of non-motorised transport master plan at Sekhukhune District municipality</li> <li>Upgrade of 1.9km access road with paving at Dr Ruth Mompati District municipality</li> <li>Grant continues until 2014, thereafter municipalities will budget and implement similar type of</li> </ul>
Projected life	programmes and projects
2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>2010/11: R10.4 million</li> <li>2011/12: R11.1 million</li> <li>2012/13: R11.7 million</li> </ul>
Payment schedule	Funds will be transferred according to an approved payment schedule by National Treasury
Responsibilities of the national department	Monitoring implementation of projects     Inspection of progress on site     Provide guidance for rural transport services and infrastructure
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Projects will be ensured that are included in the ITPs and IDPs</li> <li>Selection and prioritisation of project list will be finalised by 29 October 2010</li> </ul>

	Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant
Transferring department	Transport (Vote 36)
Strategic goal	<ul> <li>To support the Public Transport Strategy and Action Plan in promoting the provision of accessible, reliable and affordable Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (IRPTN) services in the major cities of South Africa</li> </ul>
Grant purpose	<ul> <li>To provide for accelerated planning, construction and implementation of public and non-motorised transport networks in major cities of South Africa. This includes network-related infrastructure and information systems as well as transitional measures such as the inclusion of directly affected public transport operators and workers and also once-off measures to ensure the availability of network vehicle fleets for the 2010 FIFA World Cup and for network phase 1A services</li> </ul>
Outcomes statements	<ul> <li>Improved public transport network services that are formal, scheduled, well managed with supporting non-motorised networks and which are accessible to an increasing percentage of the population of the major cities of South Africa</li> </ul>
Outputs	<ul> <li>Number of weekday passenger trips carried on IRPTN systems</li> <li>The number of households within I km of IRPTN access points (stations and feeder service stops)</li> <li>Number of kilometres of bi-directional, exclusive lanes in operation in IRPTN systems</li> <li>Number of route kilometres of IRPTN services by trunk, complementary and feeder operating categories</li> <li>Number of IRPTN network stations and feeder service stops in operation</li> <li>Size of the formal IRPTN vehicle fleet in operation (per contracted trunk, complementary and feeder vehicle type) as well as average passenger trips per trunk/complementary vehicle per weekday as well as total passenger kilometres supplied per vehicle type in a typical peak week</li> </ul>
Details contained in business plan	<ul> <li>Outcomes Indicators</li> <li>Output indicators</li> <li>Inputs</li> <li>Key Activities</li> </ul>
Conditions	<ul> <li>The current Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems (PTIS) grant funds municipal public transport infrastructure and systems and related transitional measures in support of integrated networks as defined in the Public Transport Strategy and provided for in the National Land Transport Act</li> <li>The allocation of PTIS funds must be aligned with the Integrated Transport Plan and its IRPTN components as approved by the relevant municipal council</li> <li>Total city-wide IRPTN system must cover direct vehicle operator costs from fare revenue, any other local sources of revenue and Public Transport Operations Grant if applicable. This applies to the city-wide network as a whole and not necessarily to initial phases</li> <li>Cities are required to establish dedicated project teams with sufficient capacity to design and implement IRPTN projects</li> <li>Cities are required to establish specialist capacity to manage and monitor IRPTN system contracts and operations as well as to plan future expansions of the network and this must be in place in advance of</li> </ul>
Allocation criteria	<ul> <li>the first IRPTN operator commencing with service provision to the public</li> <li>The grant is focused on IRPTN implementation in up to 12 cities in accordance with Phase 1 and 2 of the Public Transport Strategy and Action Plan</li> <li>Budget proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the outputs of a municipal IRPTN operational plan which specifies the infrastructure, systems and transitional costs of serving a defined number of passenger trips per day by a given fleet of IRPTN vehicles running on a defined amount of exclusive</li> </ul>
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	<ul> <li>IRPTN infrastructure (including IRPTN stations, feeder stops, depots and exclusive lanes)</li> <li>This is a specific purpose grant with objectives and distribution criteria which differ from those of the Equitable Share allocations</li> </ul>
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes
z ast periormante	<ul> <li>The Auditor-General's report contained no matters on the grant</li> <li>2008/09 service delivery performance</li> <li>Funds allocated: R3 169 million</li> <li>Funds transferred: R2 919 million</li> <li>Funds spent: R1 450 million</li> <li>R250 million was not transferred to the City of Tshwane in this period due to project delays and a lack of capacity to spend these funds which were redirected to the National Revenue Fund</li> <li>Construction of Phase 1a infrastructure of the Rea Vaya BRT was 80 per cent complete in the City of Johannesburg and 143 BRT vehicles were delivered to the city</li> <li>Cape Town commenced construction of BRT infrastructure worth R600 million</li> <li>IRPTN operational planning commenced in Buffalo City, Rustenburg and Polokwane</li> <li>The grant is expected to continue up to at least 2020 in support of the Public Transport Strategy of 2007</li> </ul>
2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>2010/11: R3 699 million</li> <li>2011/12: R4 425 million</li> <li>2012/13: R4 125 million</li> </ul>
Payment schedule	Transfers are made in terms of the approved payment schedule by National Treasury
*	

	Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant	
Responsibilities of the	Responsibilities of the national department	
national department	Monitor IRPTN implementation progress in line with the conditions above	
-	Verify reports from municipalities by conducting at least one site visit per annum	
	Allocate the funds based on stated priorities through a Joint PTIS Committee comprising the	
	Department of Transport and National Treasury	
	Responsibilities of municipalities	
	Provide business plans for the PTIS IRPTN expenditure that are based on sound operational plans	
	Compile and submit data that indicates the efficiency and effectiveness of the IRPTN services where     constitute have compared in line with presentable autout above.	
	operations have commenced - in line with measurable outputs above	
	<ul> <li>Provide detailed information on the actual costs of procuring IRPTN inputs including those for infrastructure, systems and transitional and regulatory items</li> </ul>	
	Establish a dedicated IRPTN project team to implement the system in the development phase and subsequently once IRPTN services to the public have commenced	
	Establish a specialist capacity to manage and monitor operations and to plan expansions	
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	Municipalities will be requested to submit budget proposals that are based on business plans by 30 July 2010	
ZOTI (VIII ZII ZIIOLZIIOIIS	These requests will be evaluated by a Joint PTIS Committee comprising the Department of Transport and National Treasury	
	Cities may be required to make presentations to the Joint PTIS Committee in August and September 2010	
	Municipal provisional allocations will be finalised by 29 October 2010	

# WATER AFFAIRS GRANTS

Tanantautan dan satur	Water Services Operating Subsidy Grant  • Water Affairs (Vote 37)
Transferring department	, ,
Strategic goal	To ensure effective, efficient and sustainable service delivery by selected Water Services Authorities (WSA)
Grant purpose	<ul> <li>To subsidise water schemes owned and/or operated by the department or by other agencies on behalf of the department and transfer these schemes to local government</li> </ul>
Outcomes statements	To ensure that transferred schemes are fully functional, sustainable and operated by skilled personnel to
	ensure optimal service delivery by WSA
	To ensure that funds transferred to municipalities are utilised for the intended purpose and that there is proper accountability by both receiving and transferring institutions
Outpute	Operating outputs:
Outputs	- operation of water services schemes and improved revenue collection
	- all transfer agreements signed and formalised by 31 March 2010
	<ul> <li>successful transfer of all appropriate staff, budgets and schemes to municipalities by 31 March 2010</li> </ul>
	Transfer outputs:
	- schemes refurbished to standards outlined in terms of the agreed policy framework
	<ul> <li>sustainability assessments completed per scheme or group of schemes to be transferred</li> <li>Water services authority/provider has developed sufficient capacity in line with funding</li> </ul>
	requirements
	- cost recovery plan in place to support the sustainability of schemes
	- number of transferred schemes
Details contained in	Outcome indicators
business plans	Output indicators
	• Inputs
C 4141	Key activities     The operating and transfer subsidy is a grant-in-kind until the effective date of transfer
Conditions	The operating and transfer substdy is a grant-in-kind until the effective date of transfer  The operating subsidy (grant-in-kind) will cover staff related costs (HR component), the direct operating
	and maintenance costs (O component), the refurbishment costs and will facilitate the transfer of scheme
	All receiving municipalities and providers will be required to conclude formal transfer agreements
	The necessary capacity must be in place in the receiving institution for the implementation of the
	conditional grant
	All transfer agreements concluded by the end of 31 March 2011     Child will be and an end of 2010 ATTF be and a state of a concluded by the end of 31 March 2011
	Subsidy will be reduced over the 2010 MTEF based on the progress made with transfers of schemes with the difference incorporated into the local government equitable share
	The transferring national department may adjust the allocation to a municipality subject to an approval
	from National Treasury to reflect:
	<ul> <li>the actual personnel allocation payable to a municipality as a result of the number of staff transferred to the municipality</li> </ul>
	- the actual operating allocation payable to a municipality, as informed by the percentage or
	portion of assets transferred to a municipality in respect of assets shared across municipal boundaries
Allocation criteria	<ul> <li>Basic allocation per WSA in accordance with the operational requirements identified and agreed to in transfer agreements</li> </ul>
Reason not incorporated in	To facilitate the transfer of schemes to WSA/Providers, following which funds will be incorporated into
equitable share	the local government equitable share
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes
	Schedule 6 direct transfers to municipalities R986 million     Schedule 7 indirect subsidy for municipalities R284 million
	2008/09 service delivery performance
	• 58 agreements signed, 3 839 staff transferred and 1 787 (this includes the rudimentary schemes)
	schemes with a total asset value of approximating R6.4 billion transferred
	The Department of Water Affairs conducted joint assessments on water schemes earmarked to be
	transferred with the Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs outlining the
***************************************	process of transferring over 300 water schemes with their staff to 56 municipalities
Projected life	Detailed assessment will be undertaken by the Department of Water Affairs on the status of schemes      This will be provided by the prov
	transferred to municipalities. This will inform the projected life of the grant
	Department of Water Affairs to continue to monitor implementation of conditional grants until the

	Water Services Operating Subsidy Grant		
MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Direct transfer to municipalities:</li> <li>2010/11: R662 million</li> <li>2011/12: R380 million</li> <li>2012/13: R399 million</li> <li>Indirect transfer to municipalities:</li> <li>2010/11: R146 million</li> </ul>		
Payment schedule	Payments will be made on a quarterly basis as agreed to in the transfer agreement for each specific scheme/municipality and also according to an approved payment schedule by National Treasury		
Responsibilities of the national department	<ul> <li>Agree with municipalities on outputs and targets</li> <li>Continuously monitor implementation and provide support to municipalities</li> <li>Submit reports to National Treasury</li> <li>Verify reports from municipalities</li> <li>Ensure compliance with the grant conditions</li> <li>Implement monitoring and</li> </ul>		
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	All transfer agreements signed and formalised by 31 March 2011		

T	Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant
Transferring department	Water Affairs (Vote 37)
Strategic goal	<ul> <li>Facilitate the delivery on water targets through successful execution and implementation of regional bulk infrastructure projects</li> </ul>
Grant purpose	To develop regional bulk infrastructure for water supply to supplement water treatment works at
	resource development and link such water resource development with the local bulk and local
	distribution networks on a regional basis cutting across several local municipal boundaries  In the case of sanitation, to supplement regional bulk collection as well as regional waste water
	treatment works
Outcomes statements	Access to water supply enabled through the establishment of regional bulk infrastructure
	Proper waste water management and disposal will be effected through establishment of regional
	sanitation infrastructure (bulk sewer pipelines and waste water treatment works)
Outputs	<ul> <li>Number of project plans finalised for several regional bulk projects</li> <li>Number of funding arrangements for the economic component of projects and implementation started</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Number of funding arrangements for the economic component of projects and implementation started on a number of regional bulk networks, mostly in rural areas</li> </ul>
	Number of projects completed
	Number of people or households being impacted due to a new regional bulk system
	Number of villages/areas/municipalities to benefit from the regional bulk system
Details contained in	<ul> <li>Identify and prioritise all potential bulk water and sanitation related projects (master plans)</li> </ul>
business plans	• Integration of the grant with other departmental and national programmes and objectives (inter
	<ul> <li>governmental relations)</li> <li>Facilitate the effective and efficient implementation of sustainable bulk water and sanitation services</li> </ul>
	Activities with outcome and output indicators
Conditions	The emphasis is only on the social component of regional bulk water and sanitation services
Conditions	A clear perspective on the user profile must be provided (social and economic)
	The need for a bulk solution must be confirmed and accepted
	Proposed project must be ready for implementation
	All preparatory work must be completed and approved
	Financing plan with associated/co-funding options and agreements must be in place
	<ul> <li>No duplication of funding will be allowed</li> <li>Ownership and commitment for sustainable management must be proven</li> </ul>
	Priority in terms of national and sector objectives must be motivated
	Due to the uniqueness and individuality of each case, nominated proposal will be assessed on merit
	and associated motivation – no blanket allocation to be made
	• In the case of internal bulk, all funding requests must be preceded and accompanied by an acceptable
	asset management plan
	All projects must be aligned with and referenced to the Integrated Development Plan and Water Services Development Plan. Such alignment will be confirmed by the feasibility studies and checked
	by the project assessment panel
Allocation criteria	Allocations are made on a project basis and must take into account the conditions listed above
	R54 million is allocated in 2010/11 to Limpopo for drought relief
Reason not incorporated in	This is a specific capital grant with objectives and criteria different from that of the equitable share  Provided to the description of the equitable share.
equitable share	Regional bulk projects are closely linked to water resource development which is a national competency
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcome
rast periormance	Of the budget allocation of R450 million, an amount of R443 million (90 per cent) was either
	transferred or paid per invoice to Water Services Authorities or Implementing Agents
	2008/09 service deliver performance
	• 2 projects have been completed bringing the total completed projects since the start of the Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant programme to 6
	• 21 projects are in construction and 9 are in design or tender phase
	47 projects are in feasibility stage of which 21 have been completed and evaluated
Projected life	There is a huge backlog for regional bulk infrastructure and further studies will indicate the full extend
Specien nee	of the need
	At this stage it is estimated to continue beyond 10 years depending on future financing levels
MTEF allocations	• 2010/11: R893 million
	<ul> <li>2011/12: R1 675 million</li> <li>2012/13: R1 849 million</li> </ul>
Daymant cabadala	2012/13: R1 849 million     Transfers and payments per invoice are made in terms of the approved payment schedule by National
Payment schedule	Treasury

Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant		
Responsibilities of the national department	The national department must ensure:  every municipality benefiting from a specific project or scheme, is invited to participate in the feasibility study  enter into agreement with municipalities regarding the construction, ownership and operation of proposed infrastructure prior to the finalisation of funding arrangements and commencement of construction  submission of quarterly reports and annual reports by municipalities  quarterly visits to projects  Detailed information on the selection criteria as well as the conditions  Monitoring of implementation by Water Services Institutions (municipalities or water boards)  Direct implementation where capacity gaps exist	
Process for approval of 2011 MTEF allocations	<ul> <li>Implementation readiness studies will be evaluated and approved if the feasibility studies comply with the policy and criteria guidelines</li> <li>National Treasury and Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs will be consulted during the process of implementation readiness project evaluation</li> </ul>	

	Municipal Drought Relief Grant
Transferring department	Water Affairs (Vote 37)
Strategic goal	Ensuring access to water supply in areas that are declared and gazetted as drought disaster areas in the affected municipalities in Eastern Cape and Western Cape
Grant purpose	To provide capital finance for basic water supply in municipal infrastructure for affected households, micro enterprises and social institutions
Outcome statements	All citizens in drought declared areas have access to water supply     Impacts of drought alleviated in the affected municipalities
Outputs	Number of households, micro enterprises and social institutions receiving water
Details contained in the business plan	<ul> <li>The reduction of a number of households, micro enterprises and social institutions, without access to basic services</li> <li>Type of drought intervention infrastructure developed and registered in municipal asset registers</li> </ul>
Conditions	<ul> <li>Municipal drought implementation plans submitted to the national Department of Water Affairs (DWA)</li> <li>Technical reports developed by municipalities and assessed by DWA</li> <li>Monthly cash flow projections and progress reports submitted to DWA and presented to provincial and national coordination and management structures</li> <li>Affected municipalities must:         <ul> <li>account to DWA for the allocated funds on a monthly basis by the 10th of every month as per Division of Revenue Act (DoRA)</li> <li>adhere to the approved drought programme and agreed cash flow budgets</li> <li>reflect all assets created under the special drought relief funds in the municipal asset register</li> <li>use drought relief funds for providing new and/or refurbishment of critical infrastructure, only upon submission of a technical report which must be approved and regulated by the DWA</li> <li>operation and maintenance plans specific to drought intervention infrastructure must be incorporated and be funded through normal municipal processes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Allocation criteria	<ul> <li>Households, micro enterprises and social institutions without access to any form of water supply should be prioritised</li> <li>All households per affected municipality be covered within 2010/11municipal financial year</li> </ul>
Reason not incorporated in equitable share	This is a specific grant with objectives and criteria different from that of the equitable share
Past performance	2008/09 audited financial outcomes  R9 million was spent  2008/09 service delivery performance  Affected areas were supported
Projected life	One year
MTEF allocations	• 2010/11: R228.4 million
Payment schedule	Transfers are made in accordance with an approved payment schedule by National Treasury
Responsibilities of the national department	<ul> <li>Administer the grant and coordinates all stakeholders through the appropriate structures</li> <li>Monitor the overall programme implementation</li> <li>Submit reports to National Treasury in line with DoRA requirements</li> </ul>
Process for approval of 2011 allocations	Not applicable