

Government Gazette

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Vol. 448 Pretoria 29 October 2002 No. 23984

AIDS HELPLINE: 0800-0123-22 Prevention is the cure

GENERAL NOTICE

NOTICE 2103 OF 2002

DEPARTMENT OF PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

DRAFT WHITE PAPER ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP AND GOVERNANCE

- Cabinet approved the Draft White Paper on Traditional Leadership and Governance on 23 October 2002. The approved Draft White Paper is hereby published for inputs and comments.
- 2. Please send your inputs and comments to:

The Director-General
Department of Provincial and Local Government
Private Bag X804
PRETORIA
0001

or

535 Proes Street Arcadia PRETORIA 0001

For attention and general enquiries: Ms Debbie Pienaar

Telephone number:

(012) 3011011

Fax number:

(012) 3233337

E-mail address:

debbie@dplg.gov.za

For enquiries on the content: Mr Johan Meiring

Telephone number:

(012) 3011001

Fax number:

(012) 323337

E-mail address:

johan@dplg.gov.za

- 3. Copies of the Draft White Paper are also available at the above address and on the Government web-site: www.polity.org.za.
- 4. All inputs and comments must be submitted not later than 22 November 2002.

DRAFT WHITE PAPER

ON

TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP

AND

GOVERNANCE

OCTOBER 2002

DEPARTMENT OF PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

F	OREV	NOR	D	3
IN	ITRO	DUC	TION	6
	OBJE	ECTIV	E OF THE POLICY	7
	POLICY PROCESS			7
	SUM	MARY	8	
1.	s	ETTI	NG THE CONTEXT	10
	1.1	INTE	RNATIONAL EXPERIENCE	10
	1.2	Sou	JTH A FRICAN CONTEXT	15
2.	٧	ISIO	N FOR THE INSTITUTION OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP	19
3.	Т	RAD	ITIONAL LEADERSHIP, GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPMENT	21
	3.1	BAC	KGROUND TO TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP AND GOVERNANCE	21
	3.2	THE	ROLE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP IN GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPMENT	24
	3.3	THE	FUNCTIONS OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP	27
	3.4	TRA	DITIONAL LEADERSHIP AND PARTY POLITICAL AFFILIATION	30
	3.5	TRA	DITIONAL LEADERSHIP AND MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES	31
	3.6	TRA	DITIONAL COMMUNITIES STRADDLING PROVINCIAL BOUNDARIES	32
	3.7	TRA	DITIONAL LEADERSHIP, CAPACITY BUILDING AND SUPPORT	33
4.	IN	ISTI	TUTIONAL SYSTEMS	35
	4.1	STR	UCTURES OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP	35
	4.2	LEV	ELS OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS	38
	4.3	Suc	CESSION TO TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP POSITIONS	40
	4.4	SAL	ARIES, ALLOWANCES AND BENEFITS OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS	42
	4.5	Acc	COUNTABILITY OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS AND STRUCTURES	44
	4.6	TER	MINATION OF SERVICE AND RETIREMENT OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS	45
	4.	.6.1	Termination of service	45
	4.	.6.2	Retirement	46
	4.7	Hou	JSES OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS	47
	4.	.7.1	Introduction	47
	4.	.7.2	The role of the Houses	
	4.	.7.3	Membership of the Houses	49
		4.7.	3.1 The Provincial Houses	49

	4.7.3.2 The National House	50		
4	4.7.4 Accountability of the Houses	50		
	4.7.4.1 Accountability of the Provincial Houses	50		
	4.7.4.2 Remuneration of members of Houses	51		
4.8	TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND THEIR RESOLUTION	51		
5. L	LEGISLATIVE COMPLEXITY	54		
5.1	CONTINUATION OF OLD LAW	54		
5.2	ASSIGNMENT OF LAWS	54		
5.3	CURRENT REALITY	55		
5.4	RATIONALISATION OF LEGISLATIVE REGIME	55		
6. C	CONCLUSION	59		
ANNEXURE A: LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO THE PROVINCIAL PREMIERS				

FOREWORD

The institution of traditional leadership occupies an important place in African life and, historically, in the body politic of South Africa. It embodies the preservation of culture, traditions, customs and values of the African people while also representing the early forms of societal organisation and governance. However, when South Africa adopted the Interim Constitution and, subsequently, the new Constitution, the people declared the Republic of South Africa to be a sovereign, democratic State founded on a number of basic values, including the supremacy of the Constitution. This marked the ushering in of a new era.

Following the 1994 elections, the new government embarked on a course to transform the South African State. This included the transformation of institutions of governance to bring them in line with the new democratic order and constitutional principles such as equality and non-discrimination. One of these was the institution of traditional leadership. Like our forebears in the continent, the country was thereby presented with the singular challenge of defining the place and role of the institution of traditional leadership in the new system of governance. The new Constitution laid the basis for this and enjoined the new government, the obligation to develop legislation that would put this matter to rest.

For a while now, the Department has been seized with this task. The Department initiated a policy process that involved extensive research and the audit of traditional leadership in the early stages. This exercise led to the publication of the Discussion Document towards a White Paper on Traditional Leadership and Institutions. This document was discussed at a consultative conference involving all stakeholders prior to the formulation of this draft White Paper. At that conference everyone agreed on the need to urgently transform the institution. This draft White Paper therefore draws from extensive research and earlier consultations including useful contributions by stakeholders at the consultative conference referred to.

The key issues addressed in the draft White Paper relate primarily to the place and role of the institution of traditional leadership in the new system of governance. It sets out a broad policy framework that lays the basis for the drafting of national framework legislation. This legislation will, in turn set norms and standards that will inform the drafting of the provincial legislation necessary to deal with the peculiarities in various provinces. Mechanisms will be put in place to ensure consistency with the national framework legislation. The Department has no doubt that the draft White Paper will go a long way towards restoring the dignity of the institution of traditional leadership and ensure that it occupies its pride of place within the democratic system of governance.

It is the Department's considered view that the institution has a place in our democracy, and has a potential to transform and contribute enormously towards the restoration of the moral fibre of our society and in the reconstruction and development of the country, especially in rural areas. It is also important that conditions for democratic governance and stability in rural areas are created so that accelerated service delivery and sustainable development can be achieved. This will only be possible if measures are taken to ensure that people in rural areas shape the character and form of the institution of traditional leadership at a local level, inform how it operates and hold it accountable.

Having traversed this path before, the whole continent of Africa is watching with keen interest as the country grapples with the vexed questions this draft White Paper deals with. Being the beneficiaries of the experiences in Africa and elsewhere, government is confident that a solution to the unique South African situation will be found whilst at the same time contributing to the body of knowledge in this important aspect of governance.

The Department is therefore committed to working with all the people in ensuring that a truly South African solution is found. We would therefore like to invite everyone to actively participate in this important policy process. We wish to assure the public and all roleplayers that all the submissions and views will be given due consideration.

Finally, the Department is giving consideration to the issue of traditional leadership and governance of the Khoisan communities. The matter will be dealt with within the context of the processes initiated by this White Paper. This matter will be brought to finality after consultation with the communities.

F.S. MUFAMADI
MINISTER FOR PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

INTRODUCTION

When the process of negotiations started in South Africa after the unbanning of the liberation movements, one of the issues that was tabled for discussion was the role and status of traditional leaders and traditional leadership institutions. The agreements reached in this regard were legislated upon in Chapter 11 of the 1993 Interim Constitution. All other outstanding issues were left to the processes which lead to the adoption of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996.

Section 211 of the Constitution provides that the institution, status and role of traditional leadership, according to customary law, are recognised, subject to the Constitution, and that a traditional authority that observes a system of customary law may function subject to any applicable legislation and customs, including amendments thereto.

Section 212 of the Constitution then left the finer details regarding the issue of traditional leadership to legislation. The 1998 White Paper on Local Government laid the basis for transforming local government and highlighted the fact that the issue of traditional leadership will be addressed in a White Paper dealing specifically with traditional leadership issues.

In 1998, Cabinet endorsed a policy process that would lead to the finalisation of all outstanding issues around the institution of traditional leadership. Subsequently, in April 2000, the Department of Provincial and Local Government published a discussion document wherein a number of issues and challenges pertaining to the institution were raised for public comment. The inputs received on the discussion document and during subsequent consultations led to the drafting of this White Paper.

Objective of the policy

The central objective of the policy is to set out a framework that will inform legislation intended to:

- (a) define the place and role of the institution within the new system of democratic governance;
- (b) transform the institution in line with constitutional imperatives; and
- (c) restore the integrity and legitimacy of the institution of traditional leadership in line with customary law and practices.

Policy process

During the first phase, a national audit on the institution of traditional leadership was conducted. This audit focused on, among others, the identification of statutes in terms of which traditional leadership institutions were established or carried out their responsibilities, their role and functions, the relationship between the institution, and other structures of governance and the relevant statistical information on traditional leadership. This phase culminated in the production of the Status Quo Report (SQR), which is the founding document for this draft White Paper.

The second phase saw the production and launch of the Discussion Document Towards a White Paper on Traditional Leadership and Institutions, in April 2000. This document outlined relevant issues on which policy was required. The views of traditional leaders, organised local government, government departments, statutory bodies, the general public and other relevant stakeholders were obtained on identified policy issues, through an extensive and coordinated consultation process. This consultation process culminated in a two-day national workshop of all relevant stakeholders in August 2000.

The third and final phase resulted in the production of this draft White Paper. The draft White Paper outlines various policy positions that will pave the way for the drafting of national framework legislation relating to the institution of traditional leadership, followed by complementary provincial legislation. It has also been

agreed that, instead of having, in the interim, a two-phased process, all outstanding issues should be addressed comprehensively in this draft White Paper.

<u>Note:</u> It is important to note that some of the terms used in this draft White Paper continue to cause consternation in some quarters. Their use in this draft White Paper is meant solely to provide for a clearer understanding by the reader and to highlight, in a distinctive manner, those terms and titles which need to be reviewed and replaced.

Summary of chapters

The draft White Paper contains six chapters.

Chapter 1: Looks at how a number of countries in the world generally, and in Africa particularly, have handled the issue of traditional leadership, and at the various legal and constitutional mechanisms that have been developed in order to accord traditional leadership a suitable role. This chapter also looks at the South African context, in particular the history of traditional leadership, including how colonial powers interfered with the institution, introduced laws to regulate it with a view to turning it into a tool that would serve the interests of the colonisers. It outlines how this was further taken forward by the apartheid government and extended into the era of homeland governments created by the same government through a myriad of laws. It addresses how this period distorted custom, changed the institution such that it worked against the wishes of the people and gave it powers and responsibilities that fell outside its original functions. It also addresses the current state of traditional leadership and the contradictions relating to its role and functions.

Chapter 2: Outlines government's vision for the transformation of the institution of traditional leadership and the principles guiding such transformation.

Chapter 3: Highlights governance and development challenges facing the institution. It reflects on the cooperative nature of the new state, the role the

institution can play, the role the Houses of Traditional Leaders can play, and, in particular the role that can be played by traditional leadership structures at local level, and also addresses traditional leadership and party political affiliation. It also addresses traditional leadership institutions in relation to municipal and provincial boundaries, and their implications.

Chapter 4: Deals with institutional issues internal to the institution of traditional leadership, including succession, and addresses the different challenges pertaining to the institution. It also outlines the position of government on all these aspects. The chapter also addresses the question of disputes relating to traditional leadership that arose as a result of continuous interventions by successive regimes, and proposes the establishment of an independent commission to resolve them.

Chapter 5: Sets out the different laws relating to the institution, the need to rationalise such laws and to amend and repeal some of them, and the need to introduce legislation that outlines the national framework to regulate the institution of traditional leadership.

Chapter 6: Concludes the White Paper and further consolidates government's view that traditional leaders should act as custodians of culture and customs, and play a complementary, supportive and advisory role to government. It also speaks to the provincial and regional variations and thus moots the enactment of provincial legislation informed by the norms and standards set by national framework legislation.

1. SETTING THE CONTEXT

There is no universally acceptable approach for dealing with the accommodation of the institution of traditional leadership within newly established democracies. The manner in which the institution of traditional leadership has been dealt with at an international level has varied greatly from country to country. This section, therefore, looks at how some of the countries have dealt with the issue before. It will show, among others, that South Africa is not the only country faced with this issue and that there are lessons to be learnt from elsewhere in the world. It will reveal that the institution of traditional leadership is not an unchanging facet of society and that it can adapt.

1.1 International Experience

Traditional leadership is not unique to South Africa. Almost every country in the world has had various forms of hereditary leadership and absolute monarchies. The demand for representative forms of government, based on universal franchise, the struggles by countryside peasants and urban factory-based workers for better wages and working conditions, and the struggles for gender equality, resulted in the birth of the democracy movement all over the world.

The revolutions that occurred in different Eastern European states led to the complete elimination of systems of traditional rule and introduced different forms of governance. In Western Europe, countries like the United Kingdom, Spain, the Holland, Sweden, Norway and others, the essential nature of the systems of traditional rule were abolished and replaced with democratic governance systems, although rudimentary elements of the institution still remain. In the United Kingdom, the monarchy, headed by the Queen, still plays a significant role in national affairs. This role is, however, highly symbolic and ceremonial and its character is not political or administrative. The House of Lords, which is the second and upper House of Parliament of Britain, although founded on the principles of traditional rule, has, over time, transformed and is generally seen as part of the democratic

parliament of the United Kingdom. It is, however, important to note that, recently, there have been calls for the scrapping of the House of Lords. It is also important to note that the colonisation of most African states by the United Kingdom was done on behalf of the British imperial government and the monarchy.

In Africa, prior to colonisation, systems of governance were characterised by traditional leadership rule, and traditional leaders and institutions dealt with a wide range of issues which related to traditional communities.

The subsequent colonisation of different African states by European powers achieved results which were in line with the dictates and needs of the colonial powers. Whereas other European states saw the institutions as uncivilised and therefore good for assimilation, others pulled them into the colonial administrative framework with a diminished status and role. African societies were traumatised by the impact of European policies and practices. Several values and practices that were dear to Africans and which had been practised for centuries had to be sacrificed.

Under English colonial rule institutions such as the institution of traditional leadership were retained, but only after they had been violently suppressed to remove the possibility of them having political power. Entirely new and, in many cases, non-customary functions, ostensibly intended to advance colonial interests, were assigned to the institution. Sometimes this was done by distorting certain customary principles to fit the needs of colonialism. For example, colonialism used, to its own advantage, traditional leaders who were assigned unusual responsibilities such as ensuring that law and order within their areas was maintained by empowering them to disallow assemblies and demonstrations and to effect arrests as peace officers.

Under French and Portuguese colonial rule, Africans were discouraged and sometimes prohibited from living their ways of life as they were before colonialism, even in cases where these were not injurious to the ideas of civilisation as entertained in the West. The French and Portuguese colonialists understood

themselves as having been entrusted with a mission to "civilise" the native African in accordance with western ways.

The post-colonial era and the emergence of democracy in most African countries created the need for changes. Africa has been undergoing many changes, most of which were intended to improve the lives of Africans in line with changes in the rest of the world. Some of these changes will clash with long held values and notions sanctified by history and the distortions introduced by the colonisers. It will be necessary to make changes in order to advance the idea of basic values without having to sacrifice the very notion of being African.

It must be pointed out that some of the main obstacles towards change in Africa have come from the customary society and, in particular, from the institution of traditional leadership. This led to the portrayal of traditional leaders as enemies of change and democracy and, in some instances, led to their total elimination.

Other African countries that have tried to effect substantial changes to the institution of traditional rule in the aftermath of democracy include Ghana, Namibia, Zimbabwe, Botswana and Uganda. The following is a brief summary of the experiences of these countries.

The Constitution of Ghana recognises the institution of traditional leadership. It provides for the establishment of national and regional houses of traditional leadership. Traditional leaders have a role to play in issues of development although they are forbidden from active participation in party politics.

The Namibian Constitution provides that traditional leaders must pay allegiance to, and accept, the authority of the modern state. It also provides for a Council of Traditional Leaders, whose responsibility is to advise the President on the control and utilisation of communal land and on all other matters as may be referred to it by the President for advice. The Constitution also provides that traditional institutions should give support to the policies of the central government and regional and local authority councils in the performance of their duties and functions. Where their

powers conflict with those of government at all levels, the powers of the central government would prevail.

In Zimbabwe, soon after independence, the government tried to dismantle the inherited legal dualism to create what was described as a single, politically united "non-tribal" nation. Traditional leaders were stripped of their judicial functions and made to remain explicitly as symbolic cultural figureheads. This was reversed in 1993, and today the Zimbabwean Constitution provides for National and Provincial Houses of Chiefs. The National Council of Chiefs is also entitled to have 10 of its members as part of the 150-member National Assembly. Traditional leaders are also represented in rural district councils. Traditional leaders also qualify to stand for election to parliament on party political tickets.

In Botswana the Constitution provides for a House of Chiefs, which is an advisory body to the National Assembly and the Executive. The House does not have legislative powers but must be consulted on certain specific bills. There are also land boards, which are constituted by, among others, traditional leaders.

In 1966 the Constitution of Uganda abolished kings and kingdoms. After coming to power in 1986 President Yoweri Museveni restored title to traditional leaders but denied them political power or a political role. Article 246 of the Constitution of Uganda states that the institution of traditional leaders or cultural leaders may exist in any area of Uganda in accordance with culture, customs and traditions or the wishes and aspirations of the people to whom it applies and that the allegiance and privileges accorded to a traditional leader or cultural leader by virtue of that office may not be regarded as a discriminatory practice prohibited under article 21 of the Ugandan Constitution. However, any custom, practice, usage or tradition relating to a traditional leader or cultural leader which detracts from the rights of any person as guaranteed by the Constitution, is prohibited.

International agreements as well as regional agreements dealing with issues relating or relevant to traditional leadership and institutions form part of the legal framework regulating traditional leadership.

The following are the relevant agreements that South Africa has also either ratified or acceded to:

(a) International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 16 December 1966

South Africa signed this Covenant on 3 October 1994. It provides, among others, and in terms of Article 15, that states should recognise the rights of everyone to take part in cultural life.

(b) The African Charter of Human and People's Rights of 21 October 1986

Article 17 of the charter provides that every individual may freely take part in the cultural life of his/her community and that the promotion and protection of morals and traditional values recognised by the community shall be the duty of the state.

The charter also obliges the individual to preserve and strengthen positive African cultural values in his/her relations with other members of society and, in general, to contribute to the upliftment of the moral well-being of society. This is to be done in a spirit of tolerance, dialogue and consultation. It obliges him/her to contribute to the best of his/her abilities, at all times and at all levels, to the promotion and achievement of African unity.

Article 22 of the charter also provides that all peoples shall have the right to their cultural development with due regard to their freedom and identity and in the equal enjoyment of the common heritage of humanity.

(c) The Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 10 December 1948

This declaration binds all members of the United Nations, of which South Africa is a member. Article 27 of this declaration gives everyone the right to participate freely in the cultural life of the community.

The trends at an international level indicate that traditional leaders and traditional leadership institutions have a much bigger role to play as custodians of culture and protectors of custom. However, they also have a clear role to play in the performance of judicial functions within their communities. They define and interpret customary law, and settle disputes in accordance with customary law.

1.2 South African Context

Traditional leadership is indigenous to South Africa and to the continent of Africa. Its existence predates the colonial conquests and the apartheid era. Like other institutions and structures of governance, the institutions of traditional leadership evolved with time. Each traditional community was an independent entity and existed separately and independently from the others. They did not constitute a nation state as we understand it today. Prior to the colonial invasion, these societies were held together through hierarchies that were defined along family and kinship ties.

The European colonial expansion into Africa and the rest of the third world during the early 19th century significantly altered the social organisation of African societies and transformed them in a manner that made them amenable to European control. To this end, various statutes were introduced. One of them, the South Africa Act of 1909, designated the Governor-General as the "Supreme Chief", a position that gave him the power to create and divide "tribes" and to appoint any person he chose as a chief or headman, and to depose such persons as he deemed fit. The Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, consolidated these powers and vested them in the Minister of Native Affairs. The Bantu Authorities Act of 1951 finally rendered traditional leaders part of the state's bureaucratic machinery. In 1961 the position of the Governor-General was assumed by the State President of the Republic of South Africa. In terms of the 1993 and 1996 Constitutions these powers have now been assigned to the Premiers of the various provinces.

The net effect of these laws was that traditional leaders became important tools in the government's strategy of extending its control over Africans in the countryside, through the establishment of "reserves", "self-governing states", "homelands" and, later, so-called "independent states". Traditional leadership was transformed into a kind of local government whose main function was to serve as a source of, and a conduit for, cheap labour for the newly-developed mines, farms and urban industries. The Bantu Authorities Act significantly redefined the indigenous political institutions. Under this Act, tribal authorities were established in the African reserves, in line with the government's stated intention of preventing "squatting" and eliminating black land ownership in "white areas". The overall intention of the policy of separate development was to use the African reserves as reservoirs for cheap mine labour. The movement of young able-bodied Africans into urban centres, and the rapid growth of the population in the reserves, crippled production in these areas.

From the outset, the establishment of a native administration had, as one of its key objectives, the termination of tributary payments to traditional leaders and the introduction of salaries, which would come from levies, such as the "hut tax", the "dog tax", etc. These measures were intended to induce an exodus of Africans to the mines, farms and manufacturing firms to work as wage labourers. The creation, therefore, of a separate administration for Africans was seen as key to sustaining the labour demands of the colonial economy. This strategy for indirect rule was centred around the notion of self sufficiency for traditional leadership areas, while increasing the pressure on the peasants to leave the countryside in search of wage labour.

What followed was increased oppression, where control over rural local government was the sole preserve of traditional leaders, most of whom eagerly complied with any government policy. The institution lost its inherent traditional role of providing leadership to the people. Some traditional leaders led the early resistance against colonial subjugation of the African people. Having been the target of a sustained attack by successive colonial regimes had an extremely negative effect on people in the countryside, leading to resistance in such areas as Witzieshoek (1950), Sekhukhune (1956), Zeerust (1957) and Pondoland (1960). Today, history records

these struggles and, much as some battles were won, the war was nonetheless lost.

The Bantu authorities removed the culture of consultation and, instead, traditional leaders relied more on the power of their backers than on the collective wisdom of the communities they were leading. It is against this historical background that steps which are intended to restore the integrity of the institution of traditional leadership are being taken.

With the establishment of a single government in the whole of South Africa in 1910, the institution was not given a role at national and provincial levels. Rather, it was used as a platform to divide and rule the people. The new structures did not have, as their primary objective, the delivery of services to the people, but rather the delivery of the people themselves to become subservient to the successive colonial and apartheid administrations. Clearly, it was never the intention of any of the previous governments prior to 27 April 1994 to confer on the institution the type of powers that would maintain its status as a traditional institution. As a result, it was then alienated from the people, because the people knew that its role constituted the core of the policies of separate development and the creation of Bantustans.

The struggle for national liberation and the demand for a government of the people was based, among others, on the need to democratise institutions of governance. Like other African states, following the attainment of freedom and liberation, South Africa was also confronted with the issue of integrating traditional leadership institutions within the democratic form of governance. As alluded to in the introduction, the negotiations could not resolve the issue.

It was the 1993 interim Constitution, and later the 1996 Constitution, that laid the basis for taking the issue further. The 1996 Constitution set out a framework for the recognition of the institution of traditional leadership in the new democracy. It was then left to government to attend to the finer details of the place and role of the institution in the new system of democratic governance.

The Constitution further provided a framework for the transformation of local government. The White Paper on Local Government (1998), represented an initial policy attempt to deal with the issue of traditional leadership. It provided, in broad terms, for a co-operative model within which traditional leadership could co-exist with municipalities. In terms of this, traditional leaders were accorded ex-officio status in municipal councils.

The new local government system was perceived by some traditional leaders as unacceptable, and that it would lead to the usurpation of their powers. This therefore caused a lot of tension in rural areas. These tensions further gave rise to the need to define the role of the institution in a democratic state.

The White Paper on Local Government however, acknowledged that the issue of traditional leadership required a separate White Paper that would deal with all the issues comprehensively. This, together with the need identified in the Constitution for legislation, gave rise to the drafting of a comprehensive White Paper on Traditional Leadership and Governance. The White Paper therefore represents an important milestone as it will constitute the framework that will guide the transformation of the institution, and bring about good governance and stability in rural areas.

2. VISION FOR THE INSTITUTION OF TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP

South Africa's transformation from undemocratic, unrepresentative and unaccountable systems of government necessitated that all structures of governance, practices, institutions and values be reviewed in the light of the new order. Chapter 12 of the Constitution envisaged that this broad transformation of society would include the institution of traditional leadership, precisely because this institution has a critical role to play, especially in the rural areas. It is the vision of government, therefore, to transform and support the institution of traditional leadership so that it is brought in line with the constitutional principles of democracy and equality and so that it may represent customary interests of communities, play a role in socio-economic development and contribute to nation building, and be accountable.

Traditional leadership and South Africa's present democratic order are not mutually exclusive. Traditional leadership has to function in a manner that embraces democracy and contributes to the entrenchment of a democratic culture, thus enhancing its own status and standing among the people. To this end, the critical challenge facing both government and traditional leadership is to ensure that custom, as it relates to the institution, is transformed and aligned with the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Such a transformation exercise must ensure that the institution:

- responds and adapts to change;
- is in harmony with the Constitution and the Bill of Rights and promotes democratic governance and the values of an open and democratic society;
- promotes freedom, human dignity and the achievement of equality and nonsexism;
- derives its mandate and primary authority from applicable customary laws and customary practices;
- strives to enhance tradition, culture and custom:

- respects the spirit of communality;
- achieves unity and peace amongst people;
- promotes and facilitates a strong relationship between the institution and the different spheres of government – in particular the local government sphere;
- mobilises rural people to participate in rural local governance so as to achieve the Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) goals and local economic development initiatives, and explores the human potential of people living in rural areas;
- manages an efficient, effective and fair dispute resolution system through customary law courts for traditional local communities; and
- ➤ acts in partnership with municipalities to contribute to, and creates cooperative and supportive relationships in, service delivery and secure and safe rural areas.

The task of building a democratic state requires that what is envisioned above is shared by all. The task of strengthening and consolidating democracy requires the participation of all of our leaders, traditional, religious and elected. Traditional leaders must constitute part of the cadre of leadership that is leading South Africa towards a better life for all.

3. TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP, GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Background to traditional leadership and governance

The institution of traditional leadership has, over the years, performed various governance functions. These governance functions were not exercised in a unified territory called South Africa, as this only came about later with the formation of the South African nation state. The institution, therefore, was never a government of South Africa. The institution only operated within the defined limits of its prescribed jurisdiction. The institution was, however, affected by colonisation as it altered its governance functions and roles.

Given the new order, it is clear that the institution cannot be restored to its pristine precolonial form but has to adapt to change. South Africans who voted in the 1994 and 1999 national elections, as well as the 1996 and 2000 local government elections, were effectively ushering in this new order. In these elections, people clearly voted for a democratic form of state.

Traditional leadership is a creature of custom and generally carries out customary functions. It may, however, complement the role of government in rural areas. Therefore, there cannot be contestation of authority between the institution of traditional leadership and the state.

The role of traditional leaders in governance and development has been receiving ongoing attention since 1993. The 1996 Constitution introduced a new constitutional arrangement for the whole country and assigned governmental functions across the three spheres of government. Most importantly, it introduced a multi-party system of democratic government, and requires that elections be held on a regular basis.

The 1996 Constitution also provides that all law that was in force when the new Constitution took effect continues in force, "subject to consistency with the

Constitution". Furthermore, section 211(1) of the Constitution provides that "the institution, status and role of traditional leadership, according to customary law, are recognised, subject to the Constitution". Finally, the Constitution, in section 212(1) went on to provide that "national legislation may provide for a role for traditional leadership as an institution at local level on matters affecting local communities".

The provisions of the Constitution, as outlined above, had an effect on the institution of traditional leadership. Our courts have analysed the implications of this constitutional arrangement, as follows:

- (a) "In a purely republican democracy, in which no differentiation of status on grounds of birth is recognised, no constitutional space exist(s) for the official recognition of any traditional leaders, let alone a monarch. Similarly absent is an express authorisation for the recognition of indigenous law, the principle of equality before the law in Constitutional Principle VI (CP) could be read as presupposing a single and undifferentiated legal regime for all South Africans, with no scope for the application of customary law hence the need for expressly articulated CP's recognising a degree of cultural pluralism with legal and cultural, but not necessarily government consequences.". 1
- (b) "The New Text (of the Constitution) (NT) complies with CP XIII by giving express guarantees of the continued existence of traditional leadership and the survival of an evolving customary law. The institution, status and role of traditional leadership are thereby protected. They are protected by means of entrenchment in the NT and any attempt at interference would be subject to constitutional scrutiny. The Constitutional Assembly (CA) cannot be constitutionally faulted for leaving the complicated, varied and ever-developing specifics of how such leadership should function in the wider democratic society, and how customary law should develop and be

¹ Constitutional Court, 1996 (Butterworths Constitutional Law Report, 1996 (October), page 1322)

interpreted, to future social evolution, legislative deliberation and iudicial interpretation.".²

(c) "Section 182 (granting ex officio status to serve in municipal councils) is therefore an important constitutional entitlement for traditional leaders whose customary authority and role were being affected by the transition to democracy. Construed purposively, therefore, s. 182 means that traditional leaders are entitled to ex officio representation on local government in their areas. That entitlement arises once elections have been held for local government and once the procedural requirements contained in s. 182 have been met. This ensures that traditional leaders are entitled to representation on a council without having to stand for election. It also ensures that for the period of transition the traditional leaders who had previously been exercising the powers and performing the functions of local government will be represented on the newly established institutions which would now be responsible for those functions.".3

Thus the Constitution entrusted to the three spheres of government all powers and functions which are governmental in nature, and assigned to traditional leadership those functions which are customary in nature. Secondly, the Constitution left the specifics relating to "how such leadership should function in the wider democratic society" to national legislation.

A detailed analysis of the old order legislation dealing with the powers and functions of traditional leadership, as provided for prior to 27 April 1994, has been conducted. On the basis of the said analysis the following conclusions can be made:

(a) some of these functions are unconstitutional as they are inconsistent with certain provisions of the Constitution;

² Constitutional Court, 1996 (Butterworths Constitutional Law Report, 1996 (October), page 1323)

³ Constitutional Court, 1998 (South African Law Report, 1998(3) page 1)

- (b) all the functions which are unconstitutional and are governmental in nature have been transferred to the three spheres of government in accordance with the provisions of schedules 4 and 5 of the Constitution;
- (c) traditional leaders never exercised some of the functions allocated to them, although legal provision had been made therefor; and
- (d) in the exercise of their powers and functions prior to 1994 traditional leaders acted under the supervision of magistrates, homeland departments or the national departments responsible for "separate development". They never enjoyed any autonomy.

Where the Constitution allocates a function to a sphere of government, that sphere has jurisdiction over that matter and cannot share that responsibility with traditional leadership. However, on matters of common interest, such as development, planning, etc., cooperative relationships can be established. Also, spheres may delegate certain functions where this is provided for in law.

Finally, it is clear from the above that the issues that arise relate to the functioning of government as a whole. Within the broad scenario as outlined, an important role does exist for traditional leadership.

3.2 The role of traditional leadership in governance and development

The institution of traditional leadership can therefore play the following role:

(a) Promote socio-economic development, good governance and service delivery, especially in rural areas

A large number of people reside in rural areas which are under the control of traditional leaders. In fact, it is estimated that about 14 million people live in areas falling under the jurisdiction of traditional leaders. It is primarily in these areas where people live in abject poverty and conditions of underdevelopment, and where there is a lack of access to economic opportunities, poor infrastructure and lack of access to basic services. This is the result of the legacy of colonialism and policies and measures that had the effect of systematically consigning a large majority of African people to

conditions of perpetual underdevelopment. When the new democratic dispensation came into being in 1994, the new government adopted the Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP). At the centre of the programme was the need to launch a concerted drive to address rural poverty and the legacy of underdevelopment in rural areas. It is for this reason that, following the adoption of the RDP, a number of initiatives geared towards improving living conditions in rural areas were launched. These included, among others, the Spatial Development Initiative (SDIs), the Consolidated Municipal Infrastructure Programme (CMIP), the Rural Housing Programme, the Local Economic Development Programme and the Land Reform Programme.

Currently, there is a renewed effort by government to focus on improving living conditions in rural areas in an integrated manner to bring about sustainable development, through the provision of water, electricity, clinics, roads and housing and telephones, through land restitution, etc. This initiative is being driven through the Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Programme, which seeks to ensure an integrated approach to rural development. These initiatives call for greater clarity regarding the role of the institution of traditional leadership in rural areas in relation to government at all levels, given the fact that, today, the democratic state through the three spheres of government, has assumed authority and responsibility for the provision of infrastructure and basic service

Within this context, the institution, through the Houses of Traditional Leaders, should:

- (i) advise government in developing policy impacting on rural communities;
- (ii) advise government in the development of legislation that impacts on rural communities:
- (iii) participate in international and national programmes geared towards the development of rural communities; and
- (iv) participate in national and provincial initiatives meant to monitor, review and evaluate government programmes in rural communities.

Government should put in place mechanisms to ensure an integrated, structured and coordinated involvement of the Houses of Traditional Leaders in various policy development processes and programmes.

Within the local sphere, the institution, through its custom-based structures, should:

- (i) facilitate community involvement in the IDP processes;
- (ii) support municipalities in the identification of community needs;
- (iii) support municipalities in the implementation of development programmes;
- (iv) enter into service delivery agreements with municipalities regarding the provision of services to rural communities; and
- (v) promote indigenous knowledge systems for sustainable development.

Provincial legislation will be developed to provide for mechanisms that will ensure that traditional authorities work with municipalities in a structured manner. These mechanisms must comply with the Constitution, which recognises municipalities as the legitimate government at a local level. Traditional authorities can, therefore, only complement municipalities in ensuring sustainable development.

The current arrangement provided for in section 81 of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998, enabling traditional leaders to participate in the proceedings of municipal councils, will be retained. It is, however, proposed that these provisions should apply only in respect of category B municipalities.

At district level it is proposed that Committees of Traditional Leaders be established. These committees shall advise the district municipalities on matters pertaining to traditional leadership and coordinate the nomination of members to the Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders. Details regarding their establishment will be dealt with in legislation.

(b) Ceremonial role, and serve as custodians of culture, tradition and custom

As symbols of unity within communities, traditional leaders may be requested to perform ceremonial functions at major occasions within traditional authority areas. The Constitution also recognises the role of traditional leadership as custodians of culture, tradition and custom. This draft White Paper, therefore, reaffirms this position. The institution will continue to perform these functions in traditional communities, while at the same time participating in provincial and national initiatives to preserve the culture and customs of African people.

3.3 The functions of traditional leadership

The institution of traditional leadership may perform the following functions:

(a) Carry out various functions in support of government

The three spheres of government operate in the rural and urban areas of the country. Thus the work of the whole of government impacts on the livelihood of people resident in rural areas. In view of this, the institution of traditional leadership can take on functions in support of the three spheres of government. A number of national and provincial departments and the local government sphere may be able to allocate a number of functions to the institution of traditional leadership. These functions can be assigned, delegated or performed on an agency basis. The functions could be in the areas of arts, culture, land, agriculture, health, welfare, justice, security, internal affairs, economic development, environment, tourism, natural resource management etc. Some of the functions in this area may include:

(i) Arts and Culture

promote indigenous knowledge systems, music, oral history and other commemorative events;

(ii) Land and Agriculture

- advise government and participate in programmes geared to prevent cruelty to animals;
- advise government on stockbreeding;
- advise government on agricultural schemes;
- advise on the improved farming methods;
- promote sustainable use of land;
- promote the settlement of disputes around land.

(iii) Health and Welfare

- co-operate with health authorities in circumcision practices;
- co-operate in nation-wide health campaigns, e.g. Cholera, HIV/AIDS, etc;
- facilitate community access to pensions and social grants.

(iv) Justice, Security and Internal Affairs

- act as commissioners of oath;
- preside over traditional courts;
- facilitate the establishment of community policing forums;
- notification of deaths to relevant authorities:
- registration of customary marriages;
- assisting with registration of births and deaths.

(v) Economic Development

support local economic development initiatives.

(vi) Environment and Tourism

- promote environmental management;
- promote sustainable use of cultural resources within communities;
- involvement in management/ protection of world heritage sites.

(vii) National Resource Management

- identification of development needs and participation in decisionmaking;
- promote sustainable traditional approaches to water resource management.

Such allocations may be effected through existing laws or future legislation.

However, the following functions, as summarised in the White Paper on Local Government, will continue to be performed by traditional leaders:

- acting as head of a traditional authority;
- presiding over customary law courts;
- consulting with traditional communities through imbizo/lekgotla;
- assisting members of the community in their dealings with the State;
- advising government on traditional affairs through the Houses of Traditional Leaders;
- convening meetings to consult with communities on needs and priorities, and providing information;
- protecting cultural values and providing a sense of community in their areas through a communal social frame of reference;
- being symbols of unity in the community; and
- being custodians and protectors of the community's customs and general welfare.

There are other additional roles which traditional leaders used to discharge in the past, and which have been left largely intact by the new constitutional order. These will be dealt with in the legislation to be introduced.

(b) Carry out customary functions

There are other customary functions which traditional leaders used to discharge in the past. These have been left largely intact by the new

constitutional order. Traditional leaders will therefore continue to perform these functions, subject to the Constitution.

The functions will be reviewed further when the relevant national and provincial legislation is formulated.

3.4 Traditional leadership and party political affiliation

Historically, various apartheid and homeland laws and policies brought traditional leaders to the centre stage of politics. Some began to form political parties with the backing of the apartheid government. Traditional leadership structures thus became subjected to party politics. Some traditional leaders became ex officio members of newly-established homeland legislatures. They were compelled to participate in homeland politics on a party political basis. Some resisted and were harshly dealt with.

The demise of the homeland system and the establishment of a new democratic order saw traditional leaders assuming roles in various political parties in 1994. The right of everyone (including traditional leaders), to belong to political parties of their choice, stand for elections and vote is guaranteed by the Constitution.

At present, a number of traditional leaders belong to various political parties and participate in these parties in their personal capacities as traditional leaders. However, the Constitution accords every citizen freedom of association, and the right to participate in party politics and vote for the party of their choice. Therefore a balance needs to be struck between the rights of traditional leaders to participate in politics and the need for the institution of traditional leaders to continue to play a unifying role amongst communities.

Should a traditional leader participate in elected legislative structures, at all levels, on a full-time basis, provision will have to be made in law for someone else to be appointed in the place of that traditional leader, in an acting capacity, until the said leader is able to resume his/her position at a later stage once he/she leaves active politics.

Traditional leadership structures on the other hand are, in terms of section 195 of the Constitution, bound to observe certain principles and values, like any other organ of state as defined in the Constitution. Thus all traditional leadership institutions, as entities, should be non-partisan.

3.5 Traditional leadership and municipal boundaries

Jurisdictional areas of traditional authorities and municipal boundary issues are a reality. In terms of section 155(3)(b) of the Constitution, municipal boundaries must be demarcated by an independent authority. This authority, namely the Municipal Demarcation Board, was established by the Local Government: Municipal Demarcation Act, 1998. Section 25 of the Act identifies factors that the Demarcation Board must take into account in determining municipal boundaries. One of these factors is "areas of traditional rural communities". It must therefore be accepted that, in determining the current municipal boundaries, the existing areas of traditional authorities were taken into account.

The recent demarcation of municipal boundaries, the restitution of land resulting from claims instituted by communities and the allocation of land parcels resulted in certain traditional authorities being situated in more than one local municipality and more than one district municipality. Further complicating the issue is the fact that traditional authority areas are defined in such a manner that it is difficult, and in some instances impossible, to ascertain the exact boundaries of the traditional authority concerned. This has resulted in the exclusion of some parts of the communities from the legally defined boundaries. It must also be borne in mind that a number of traditional authority areas are not contiguous.

This situation has resulted in:

- (a) uncertainty as to which municipality the traditional leader of that authority should participate in – in terms of section 81 of the Local Government: Municipal Structures Act No. 117 of 1998;
- (b) disparities in the delivery of services by municipalities to a single traditional community; and

(c) difficulty in the administration of an affected traditional community.

The establishment and definition of traditional authority boundaries currently vests in the different provincial governments in terms of different pieces of legislation. In terms of this legislation there are different criteria and prescripts for redefining traditional authority boundaries. The consultation or concurrence of the affected community is, however, a requirement in all cases where traditional authority boundaries are to be redefined. It is unlikely that the affected communities will in all instances agree to the division of a tribal authority area and it is also not advisable to divide a traditional community.

It is therefore necessary that mechanisms be found to address problems resulting from the splitting of traditional authority areas. One mechanism would be the constant review of the situation by the Demarcation Board. Another mechanism could be the formulation of service level agreements to which all affected municipalities would be party. Also, notwithstanding the above, section 81 of Act No. 117 of 1998, clearly permits the participation by traditional leaders to whom this situation applies in the affairs of more than one municipal council, and this provision thus provides an additional mechanism for dealing with this challenge.

3.6 Traditional communities straddling provincial boundaries

As a result of the historical determination and adjustment of what are now provincial boundaries, traditional leaders are confronted with issues which are trans-provincial in nature. This has resulted in a situation where a senior traditional leader situated in one province has to perform a role in customary processes in another province.

Other factors compounding the trans-provincial boundary relationships are the merger and division of communities, the appointment of traditional leaders across boundaries and, lately, the restitution of land to communities who lost their land in terms of racially discriminatory legislation. Land parcels that are, restored are in some instances, situated in different provinces.

Provinces involved should recognise the existence of such relationships, and should put cooperative mechanisms in place to deal with traditional and customary relations across provincial boundaries.

3.7 Traditional leadership, capacity building and support

(a) Capacity building

The Status Quo report compiled as part this process identified a need to embark on an intense capacity building programme for traditional leaders. The report found that a large number of traditional leaders require skills development in various areas.

Since traditional leaders function at a local level, side by side with municipal councillors, their capacity building programmes cannot be completely separated from those of councillors. However, owing to the distinctive nature of some of their functions, their capacity building programmes should also be targeted.

For traditional leaders to participate in municipal councils in terms of section 81 of the Municipal Structures Act of 1998, their training programmes should be harmonised with those of councillors. The primary objective of the capacity building programme will be to enhance and empower traditional leaders and traditional institutions to enable them to tackle and respond to the challenges arising from the White Paper on Traditional Leadership and Governance and the Constitution.

The provincial governments and national government should share the responsibility for funding the programme.

(b) Support

The advent of democracy and the extension of municipal governance into traditional leadership areas has resulted in a shift in the development role of traditional leaders and their structures. Development and the provision of services became local government competencies. The obligation, therefore, to fund service delivery and development lies primarily with municipalities.

It is accepted, however, that traditional leaders still have a major role to play with regard to development, custodianship and implementation of customary norms and practices of traditional communities. They also have a role to play in the resolution of disputes.

This means that, traditional authorities will still have to be allocated funds to ensure maintenance of their properties, personnel and infrastructure. Other institutions and government departments which allocate responsibilities and functions to traditional leadership on an agency basis will also have to assist with funding.

The power to impose levies and taxes lies with municipalities. Duplication of this responsibility and the double taxation of people must be avoided. Traditional leadership structures should no longer impose taxes and levies on communities. In the past, traditional communities used to give tributes to their traditional leaders and they will still have the discretion to do so in future.

4. INSTITUTIONAL SYSTEMS

This chapter seeks to respond to one overall strategic question, namely the extent to which the structures of traditional leadership must be transformed. To this end, and based on extensive research, including the Status Quo Report that was produced in 1999, a wide range of issues which are germane to traditional leadership, have been identified, namely:

- (a) the apparent contradiction relating to the wide-spread exclusion of women from traditional leadership positions and structures, and the provisions of the Bill of Rights prohibiting gender discrimination;
- (b) the levels of traditional leaders, the basis for a person to assume a position as a traditional leader and the replacement of existing structures, as well as the introduction of new ones;
- (c) the conditions relating to the termination of the services of a traditional leader;
- (d) retirement of traditional leaders and the implications thereof on the hereditary status of the institution;
- (e) the manner in which traditional leaders and the structures should be held accountable;
- (f) salaries, allowances and benefits of traditional leaders; and
- (g) the role, composition and accountability of National and Provincial Houses and their relationship to the rest of government.

The chapter also proposes the establishment of a Commission to deal comprehensively with the issue of traditional leadership disputes, including matters relating to deposed traditional leaders and longstanding succession disputes.

4.1 Structures of traditional leadership

Traditional leaders administered the affairs of their community through customary structures. Each structure comprised the traditional leader, headmen and members of the community. Through these structures, a traditional leader coordinated the activities of his community, including ploughing and harvesting, hunting, war

expeditions, ancestral worship and other rituals. In addition, through these structures, traditional meetings (*izimbizo/dipitso*) were called where the affairs of the community were discussed and disputes among members of the community were resolved.

These structures were also brought under formal control, and legislation was introduced to regulate them. The existing customary structure of traditional leadership came to be referred to as tribal authorities. However, the constitution of these structures remained essentially the same. Each structure still consisted of the chief, headmen and some members of the community.

Other structures of traditional leadership, which were not customary in nature, were created through legislation. These structures included community authorities and regional authorities. In the main, community authorities were created for rural communities without traditional leaders and consisted of elected chairpersons and members. In some instances, however, community authorities were established for communities under the authority of independent headmen. Regional authorities differed from the tribal authorities in that, in general, each one was made up of representatives of tribal authorities in the same region, and the chairperson was elected from among the chiefs within the region. In some areas, structures of traditional leadership similar to regional authorities were created. These were called councils of chiefs and i*bandla laMakhosi* and had more or less the same composition and functions as regional authorities. Some paramount chiefs became chairpersons of regional authorities by virtue of their positions.

The regional authorities, councils of chiefs and ibandla laMakhosi were given local government functions similar, to a certain extent, to those carried out by municipalities. Most of them, however, lacked the necessary infrastructure and capacity to carry out these functions. As a result, these functions were carried out mainly by the relevant government departments, and, in some instances these were not carried out at all.

In the light of the assignment of the governmental responsibilities by the Constitution to the three spheres of government, it is necessary that the role of traditional leadership structures be redefined so as to align them to the new arrangements. Secondly, the definition of the role and function of traditional leaders as outlined in chapter 4, also entails that such redefinition takes place. Accordingly, these two issues should lay the foundation for dealing with the future role of the institution.

Structures which were created by apartheid and homeland legislation, namely community authorities, tribal authorities, regional authorities, ward authorities, ibandla la makhosi and councils of chiefs, should be disestablished. Tribal councils as existed before colonialism based on custom should be established and renamed Community Councils. Their constitution should also be based on custom and customary law. Where, however, a number of tribal councils are subject to a king, a collective authority under such a king can be established. Their existence should be based on custom.

This means that the structures established by custom:

- continue to exist and to exercise powers and perform functions conferred upon them in terms of customary law, customs and statutory law consistent with the Constitution;
- continue to generally administer the affairs of the tribe in accordance with custom and tradition;
- continue to assist, support and guide traditional leaders in the exercise or performance of their responsibilities; and
- continue to advise and/or make recommendations to government.

These structures must also allow for the representation and participation of women in their own right. At the least, they should strive to ensure that 1/3 of their members are women. With adequate representation of women in traditional leadership structures, these structures will be well positioned to initiate special educational programmes aimed at educating the rural youth about their cultural and other responsibilities in their own communities. Consequently the youth will realise

the relevance of the institution of traditional leadership in their lives. Embracing change and extending full participation in community governance will make the institution relevant to all members, irrespective of gender and age. Government also believes that workshops and open debate to encourage a better understanding of the new system and values which will be brought about by this transformation process, should be supported and funded.

4.2 Levels of Traditional leaders

In the main, there are three levels of traditional leaders that are custom-based, namely kingship, chieftainship and headmanship. However, colonial powers introduced new and foreign levels of traditional leaders. The introduction of foreign levels within the institution of traditional leadership was, in many instances, politically motivated and included the levels of "supreme chief", paramount chief, subchief and independent headmen. For example, the level of a "supreme chief" was introduced by the previous regime to arrogate the power to rule over Africans to the Governor-General and later the State President. Other levels such as paramountcies, independent headmenships and subchieftinships were introduced to elevate certain people to new positions, thereby according them new titles and status they were not entitled to in terms of custom. This naturally led to confusion, unknown titles and the infringement of customary practices. This constituted detraction from custom. More importantly, the new terms led to confusion in relation to the status of certain traditional leaders in the current context.

The level of headmanship needs elaboration because, historically, this level was substantially different from area to area. Whereas in some areas headmen were appointed in accordance with custom, in some they were elected. In some areas they were closely related to a traditional leader and formed part of the royal family. In others, individuals without any link to the royal family could be nominated to become headmen. These were also highly regarded members of the community. The introduction of colonial and apartheid governments also added to the complexity of headmanship by introducing other positions like sub-chiefs, independent headmen and petty headmen. Independent headmen were those leaders who were forcibly removed and dumped in an area of a chief and whose

status was accordingly lowered to that of a headman in order to avoid having two chiefs in one area.

Headmen were, customarily, not paid but enjoyed certain customary contributions in recognition of their role. In areas like KwaZulu Natal, where there is a large number of headmen, they are not recognised and are not paid by government. In some areas, however, they are paid by government. Their remuneration, though largely nominal, is in terms of statutory law.

Different views have been expressed about the recognition and remuneration of headmen. Whereas some are of the view that they should be paid by government others say they should not. Others say they should be paid by their own communities. Others hold the view that they should not be paid because they carry out voluntary community services. However, it must be stated that there are a number of headmen who are recognised in terms of custom but who are not accorded statutory recognition.

During colonial times, the term "king" was never used to refer to traditional rulers as it was reserved only for the King of England. Whereas some were hereditary kings and their kingdoms were designated in terms of custom, the appointment of others was based largely on the statutes, rather than on the customs of the tribes concerned.

The following is a table showing levels and numbers of traditional leaders remunerated by government:

	EC	NW	LMP	MP	KZN	FS	wc	NC	GP	TOTAL
Kings/Queens	6	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	12
Chiefs	173	68	188	51	280	13	0	0	0	774
Headmen	935	100	527	0	0	78	0	0	0	1640

The approach to the different levels of traditional leaders should be as follows:

- recognised levels of traditional leaders should be based on custom and customary law;
- any levels which were introduced as a result of colonial, apartheid and homeland laws, for example, paramountcies, subchiefs, should be abolished;
- headmanship, given its different peculiarities relating to appointment, recognition, remuneration, numbers, status and role from regime to regime, should be dealt with by provincial governments, taking into consideration these peculiarities; and
- the Commission referred to in chapter 4.8 shall determine whether some kingships, paramountcies, chieftaincies and headmanships comply with custom and customary law.

4.3 Succession to traditional leadership positions

Historically, the appointment of a person to a traditional leadership position was determined by custom and customary law. Each tribe knew who was eligible to be a successor, based on the history, norms, values and customary law of that particular tribe. Males succeed to the position of traditional leadership in accordance with custom. Exceptions to this, however, are communities such as the Balobedu, where women succeed. However, it must also be noted that, in a large number of areas in South Africa, where the heir is still a minor, women act as regents. In KwaZulu-Natal, there has been a recent move to appoint women as chiefs in their own right.

As part of custom and tradition, the head of a tribe could also nominate headmen who were each assigned a section of the tribe to rule on behalf of the chief. These were the chief's kinsmen or notable leaders of the tribe. This position was hereditary in some areas and non-hereditary in others. Where the position was hereditary, principles of succession according to customary law were applied.

In the past the colonial and apartheid regimes tended to interfere with the succession of traditional leaders. They could choose a chief or headman and depose such persons as they deemed fit. The result of this was that the institution was forced to move away from custom and lost its dignity.

The existing succession rules are in conflict with the principles contained in the equality clause in the Bill of Rights. The challenge, therefore, is to reconcile customary laws and practices in relation to the succession of women to traditional leadership positions with the principles of equality enshrined in the Constitution.

The debate regarding the succession of women to traditional leadership positions has been going on for a long time. There is no doubt that the law of succession discriminates against women. They can only be regents and not traditional leaders in their own right. There is a strongly held view, especially by traditional leaders and communities, that the succession of women to leadership positions could spell the demise of the institution of traditional leadership. Despite this strong view, women are normally appointed into acting positions, and in some instances for long periods, without any major consequences. The argument mostly advanced against the succession of women is that, in the event where a woman gets married, the traditional title will divest from the right royal family and vest in foreign hands, as a result bringing with it foreign rule and that women should, in the first place, bear children who will succeed in the place of their father. The customary belief is that when a man pays lobola and marries a woman, her procreative being is transferred to her husband's tribe.

It is clear that this belief and practice is a fundamental violation of the rights of women, which rights include the right to free and full status, bodily integrity and other rights normally associated with freedom of choice, privacy and dignity.

South Africa has chosen to abide and be bound by democratic values and norms and has also, at the same time, agreed to recognise custom. However, the recognition of custom is not meant to contradict hallowed principles of human rights, which include equality and non-discrimination especially on the basis of gender and status. In this regard, custom should not be seen to be static and archaic and an affront to change and the rights of women. These precepts can perfectly accommodate women successors and still hold the fabric of traditional

communities together. It is also clear that other traditional communities now accord recognition and succession to women, for example in KwaZulu-Natal.

South Africa is a signatory to a number of international instruments aimed at adopting all appropriate measures, including legislation, to modify or abolish existing laws, regulations, customs and practices that constitute discrimination against women, (e.g. the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women). Furthermore, the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act prohibits the discrimination of men and women on the basis of culture and tradition.

The approach to succession to traditional leadership positions should be as follows:

- custom and customary law should generally be the basis for regulating succession;
- custom and customary law should adapt and transform to comply with the principle of equality in the Bill of Rights and the Constitution so as to allow qualifying men/women to succeed as traditional leaders in their own right; and
- principles regulating succession, as well as the date from which such succession will take effect, will be provided for in legislation. Regarding the rights of women to succeed, the new legislation should be effective from 27 April 1994.

Government must play a limited role in the designation of traditional leaders. It is, firstly, the prerogative of the royal family, acting in consultation with other members of the tribal council, to identify a traditional leader. Government's role should be to confirm that customary processes have been followed, and issue a certificate of recognition to such a traditional leader to endorse and recognise such an appointment. The appointment process will be regulated in national and provincial legislation.

4.4 Salaries, allowances and benefits of traditional leaders

Historically, traditional leaders, at all levels, were not remunerated. However, they enjoyed certain entitlements, for example, entitlement to the first fruits, free labour

from their subjects, large tracts of land, etc. Only after the promulgation of the Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951, did the question of remuneration by government arise.

Under the homeland system, various homelands initiated their own laws governing, among others, the remuneration of traditional leaders. Even then, the determination of the remuneration of traditional leaders was not uniform, across the country. Kings, chiefs and headmen were remunerated at different levels, while in some homelands headmen or certain categories of headmen were not remunerated at all.

Section 219(1)(a) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 classifies traditional leaders as "persons holding public office" and requires that an Act of Parliament must establish a framework for determining their "salaries, allowances and benefits". The framework referred to is set out in section 5 of the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act No. 20 of 1998.

It was only after the promulgation of Act No. 20 of 1998, that all traditional leaders at the level of kings, chiefs and members of the National and Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders received remuneration based on uniform scales determined by the President. Such remuneration is not, as yet, based on clearly defined roles and functions of traditional leaders. The Independent Commission for the Remuneration of Public Office-bearers, which is responsible for making recommendations on the remuneration of public office bearers, has indicated that it will not be able to work on the final and detailed framework for the remuneration of traditional leaders until policy issues relating to their role and functions have been clarified and finalised. The adoption of this White Paper and the enactment of the resultant legislation will thus facilitate the work of the Commission.

Regarding pension and medical aid benefits, Parliament enacted sections 8(6) and 9(6) of Act No. 20 of 1998. These excluded traditional leaders and members of the National and Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders from the pension and medical aid arrangements provided for in the Act. The exclusion was made subject

to "any other Act of parliament to the contrary", which may be introduced in the future on this matter.

Traditional leaders will continue to receive salaries in terms of the framework set out in national legislation. They will however be remunerated for work done. Consequently, mechanisms will have to be devised to ensure that traditional leaders do indeed perform the functions for which they are remunerated.

4.5 Accountability of traditional leaders and structures

In the past, traditional leaders and structures of authority were largely responsive and collectively accountable to the people for their functions and obligations. This was largely due to the fact that traditional communities were relatively small, the people interdependent and bound together by affinity and intermarriages and ethnic affiliations.

With time, and because of undue interference by previous governments, accountability has been substantially compromised.

There is a consensus view that traditional leaders and structures should be held accountable. Whilst some say they should account to their communities, others say they should be accountable to government.

At a local level, traditional leaders and structures must ensure that, together with traditional communities, they put in place mechanisms in terms of which they must account for their activities. In this regard, custom is not sufficient to regulate the accountability of traditional leaders and structures, except through the calling of imbizo/dipitso. This, most often, is done as the prerogative and at the discretion of a traditional leader or sometimes the tribal authority. Traditional structures must also ensure that, at least once a year, a meeting of the whole community is called where the chief and his councillors report on their activities during the preceding year.

The approach to the issue of accountability of traditional leaders and authorities is that the responsible authority in the province charged with the administration of traditional affairs must ensure that traditional leaders and their authorities carry out their role and functions in accordance with custom and any applicable laws. Legislation to regulate the accountability of traditional leadership and authorities as well as a Code of Conduct, will be introduced.

4.6 Termination of service and retirement of traditional leaders

4.6.1 Termination of service

Traditional leadership is an ascribed position rather than an acquired position. In accordance with custom, a traditional leader reigned until death. A traditional leader was never removed except under exceptional circumstances.

The introduction of legislation regulated circumstances under which the services of a traditional leader could be terminated. Whilst it is acknowledged that such termination was based on ideology and influenced by politics, in other instances it was based on objectivity.

Views expressed have indicated that government should not remove traditional leaders from office; instead, government and the royal family should develop a strategy to deal with the matter.

The approach to the termination of services of a traditional leader should be informed by the following:

- a traditional leader's services should, where circumstances warrant it, be terminated on the grounds of misconduct;
- he/she may be removed from office on the grounds of physical incapacity or inability to carry out his/her functions efficiently; and
- where the services of a traditional leader are terminated for whatever reason, a successor, in line with customary rules of succession and/or statutory rules of succession, should assume the position, role and responsibilities.

Conduct that constitutes misconduct and conditions that constitute incapacity or inability will be elaborated upon in national and provincial legislation.

4.6.2 Retirement

Historically, the reign of a traditional leader was not limited by age. A traditional leader would lead until he/she died. He/she never went on retirement for whatever reason. A successor would never ascend the throne whilst the traditional leader was still alive.

The generally held belief was that the older one became and the more experience one acquired, the more knowledgeable he/she became. It was also held that the older one becomes, the more wiser he/she became. The community came to see such a person as a father figure. In many instances his/her advice was sought after and his/her pronouncements treated with respect.

The question has, however, arisen as to whether traditional leaders must retire at a particular age given the responsibility attached to the position.

This is the one issue that has caused a lot of debate as some believe that a traditional leader cannot be retired as he/she is a leader for life while others argue that there is an age beyond which they may not continue to serve to their fullest capacity. A balance needs to be struck, therefore, between these two contrasting positions. It seems appropriate, therefore, that a traditional leader must be allowed to retire voluntarily upon reaching a prescribed age, after consultation with the royal house. However, at the age of 70, government should terminate remuneration of such a traditional leader.

If the traditional leader concerned wants to continue to serve beyond the age of 70, the royal family must agree and approach the provincial legislature, which, by resolution, may extend the service of such traditional leader. After the approval by the legislature such a traditional leader will continue to receive remuneration.

Where a traditional leader retires on the basis of age, a successor, in line with customary rules of succession and/or statutory rules of succession, should assume the position, role and responsibilities of such a retiring traditional leader.

4.7 Houses of Traditional Leaders

4.7.1 Introduction

In order to enhance the institution of traditional leadership and give it a role at provincial and national levels, the Constitution makes provision for the establishment of provincial and national Houses. Accordingly, provincial Houses were established in the provinces of North West, Free State, Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape. The National House was also established at a national level. These Houses are intended to give a role to the institution at the highest level of government and to promote cooperative relationships. They are also meant to –

- ensure a smooth flow of information within institutions, and between government and traditional communities, with a view to enhancing the implementation of policy and programmes;
- ensure a deeper understanding of customary values and the prevention and resolution of conflicts and disputes; and
- advise government on matters affecting traditional leadership, traditional communities, custom and customary law.

Different opinions have been expressed on the role of Houses of traditional leaders. Some say that the original provisions of the Interim Constitution relating to the role of the Houses should be restored, whilst others suggest that they should play a unique role of unifying communities, be custodians of culture and traditions and play a nation-building role outside of governance. The opinions were in unison that the Houses are necessary and should have a role to play.

4.7.2 The role of the Houses

The Houses must play a supportive and advisory role to the structures of government and other institutions of traditional leadership at a local level. The role they play is unique. It must be based on the following principles: They must: -

ensure that there is cooperation between structures of traditional leadership,
 the three spheres of government and other organs of state;

- ensure that traditional leaders are properly elected to represent their communities in municipal structures, Provincial Houses and the National House of Traditional Leaders;
- ensure that there is adherence to a common national vision between structures of traditional leadership and other government structures;
- ensure that there are skills development programmes for traditional leaders;
- ensure that there is a common use of resources and that resources that are allocated to traditional leadership structures are appropriately utilised within the principles of cooperative governance;
- ensure that traditional leadership structures carry out their functions and account for their activities;
- ensure that traditional leadership structures are well-resourced so that they may carry out their functions; and
- ensure that there is close cooperation amongst the Houses and the government of the province within which they are situated.

The National House will also have the added responsibility of consulting with the government on any major issue of national importance pertaining to –

- traditional authorities, customary law or the traditions and customs of traditional communities;
- any other matter referred to the National House by the President and other structures of government at a national level;
- the remuneration and privileges of traditional leaders;
- the monitoring of the functioning of the Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders; and
- legislation dealing with custom or customary law issues.

All these mean that, in a specific way, the Houses of Traditional Leaders must have a role in the legislative process of Parliament by commenting on any specific Bill which addresses issues relating to the institution of traditional leadership.

4.7.3 Membership of the Houses

4.7.3.1 The Provincial Houses

Presently, the composition of Provincial Houses differs from province to province. Members of these Houses are from different categories of traditional leadership and in some provinces some members are not traditional leaders. In others, they are also traditional leaders at the level of, for example, headmen and princes. In some instances they are even functionaries of traditional leaders. In some provinces, the Premiers or the MECs have the power to nominate persons as members of the Houses. Our challenge, therefore, is to provide for Houses that are, whilst substantially uniform, also representative.

The views expressed in this regard were that, where there are kings, they should, in addition to chiefs, have special representation in Houses. Others were of the opinion that only traditional leaders at the level of chiefs should become members of the Houses.

The approach to the membership of Provincial Houses is that only traditional leaders at the level of chiefs should become members of the Houses. Kings and queens should also be represented – either directly or by their representatives. Membership of the Houses should be as uniform as possible across the provinces. The process in terms of which members are elected should be fair, democratic and representative. Membership should also be on a part-time basis. This is so because traditional leaders should play a meaningful and complementary role within their communities. The chairperson and deputy chairperson should be full-time members of the Houses. This must be at both provincial and national levels. This will enhance the effectiveness of the Houses.

To ensure that there is a fair balance of gender representivity in the House, the responsible authority in a province may be entitled to nominate some members of the House in consultation with the House concerned. Such nomination shall be in accordance with the law.

Each provincial House must ensure that at least 1/3 of its membership is constituted by members of a different gender.

The life cycle of the Houses should be five years as is presently the case.

4.7.3.2 The National House

The National House of Traditional Leaders was established in terms of the National House of Traditional Leaders Act No. 10 of 1998, as amended. It consists of 18 members (three nominees from each of the six Provincial Houses).

Its members serve on a part-time basis, except the Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, who are full-time members. The National House has indicated that it wants to become a full-time body and to play a more significant role in the formulation of policy and legislation.

The life cycle of the National House should be five years, as is presently the case. Its cycle should be parallel to the life cycle of the Provincial Houses. Except for the Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, membership should be on a part-time basis and members should be drawn from Provincial Houses.

4.7.4 Accountability of the Houses

4.7.4.1 Accountability of the Provincial Houses

Since the establishment of the Houses, traditional rules that provide for their accountability have proved to be inadequate, except that they are expected, in some instances, to submit their reports to parliament. There is also no clarity with regard to the relationship of the Houses to one another and how they should relate to their communities. The allocation of funds by the legislature to the Houses and how such funds are utilised is a matter that needs policy review as well. Provincial legislation should deal with these matters.

4.7.4.2 Remuneration of members of Houses

Since members of the National and Provincial Houses of Traditional Leaders serve on a part-time basis, the status quo, in relation to their remuneration, should be maintained. In other words, they will be paid allowances in line with their sessional nature. The position of the Chairpersons and Deputy Chairpersons, at both national and provincial levels, stands on a different footing. They will get salaries in accordance with their full-time status as full-time members of the Houses.

4.8 Traditional leadership disputes and their resolution

The customary law of African communities was characterised by a lack of effective mechanisms to deal with claims and dispute resolution. For example, when there was a dispute regarding a traditional leadership position, some of the methods used to settle the disputes were to split the tribe, to declare war or to suppress one of the claimants or parties to the dispute. Later, legislation was devised as a means of addressing and settling disputes. Such legislation also transferred powers to identify, appoint and/or recognise and depose traditional leaders from traditional institutions, to the government. In the process, the role of customary institutions, the substantive customary rules and procedures as regards the identification, appointment, removal and settlement of disputes of traditional leaders, were adversely affected. In some instances, not only were illegitimate traditional leaders and authority structures established, but other legitimate traditional leaders were removed and legitimate authority structures disestablished.

In addition, the absence of well-defined boundaries led to conflict and boundary disputes. The advent of colonialism, and later apartheid, saw the definition and determination of areas of authority and jurisdiction of traditional leadership. In many instances, this definition and determination was arbitrarily done and also led to conflict and divided or disintegrated communities. This has imposed new challenges where the democratic government must ensure that historical matters such as the division and amalgamation of tribes, the establishment and recognition of new tribes, the exclusion of some community members from their traditional authority areas as a result of the determination of boundaries and the resolution of

disputes where the same portion of land has been defined to form part of two or more traditional authorities, are addressed.

Government believes that, in order for traditional authorities to function properly and to co-exist in harmony amongst themselves and with municipalities, the areas of traditional authorities should be clearly defined. In this regard, the responsibility to define and identify the exact physical boundaries of traditional authorities vests with the Department of Land Affairs.

Homeland governments, too, passed their own laws that empowered them to, among others, appoint and/or terminate services of traditional leaders, in some cases in a manner that did not comply with custom. This, in some cases, also led to disputes. Various commissions of inquiry were appointed for purposes of resolving traditional leadership disputes and claims. Because these commissions were provincially/regionally appointed and those who appointed them had substantial interest in the disputes, and because these commissions could only make recommendations on their findings, they failed to resolve the disputes either because their recommendations were rejected or not implemented. In a number of cases, the courts were also asked to pronounce on the legality of administrative acts as well as on the application of customary rules and procedures. They held that the statutory and subsequent administrative framework superseded customary processes. They took cognisance of customary processes only to the extent that the legislation concerned provided for the recognition thereof, if at all.

There is a strong body of opinion, also supported by traditional leaders and traditional communities, that an independent mechanism should be established to deal with the legitimacy and/or illegitimacy of traditional leaders. Indeed, this is the correct approach. An independent national commission should be established within the national sphere of government to address this situation. In order for its findings to be implementable, it must be established in terms of national legislation that is separate from provincial legislation and the Commissions Act No. 8 of 1947. The Commission will be established for a period of five years.

The commission shall investigate the following cases:

- (a) where there is doubt whether the kingship, paramountcy and headmanship was established in accordance with custom and customary law;
- (b) a traditional leadership position, where the title of the incumbent is contested;
- (c) claims by communities to be recognised as traditional communities;
- (d) legitimacy of establishment or disestablishment of tribes; and
- (e) disputes resulting from merger of tribes.

The commission may consider cases dating as far back as 1927. This is the year in which the Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927 was promulgated. This Act gave powers to government to appoint traditional leaders.

During the existence of the National Commission, all provincially appointed commissions' recommendations shall not be implemented. Claims that arise after the term of office of the commission will be dealt with by structures provided for in terms of other applicable legislation.

The commission must use the Constitution, applicable legislation, custom and customary law as a basic source of reference. Although the commission will be nationally-based, provincial interests, in their variety, will be the key issues that the commission will be addressing. The commission will report to the national Ministry responsible for traditional leadership.

5. LEGISLATIVE COMPLEXITY

5.1 Continuation of old law

The 1996 Constitution provides for the continuation of all law that was in force when the 1996 Constitution took effect, provided such legislation is consistent with the Constitution. Included in this body of law is the so-called "old order legislation", for example. legislation that was enacted before the 1993 Constitution took effect. Where this old order legislation has not been amended to have a wider application, it only applies in the area, and to the people, for which it was originally enacted. This means that all the old order legislation pertaining to traditional leaders and traditional leadership institutions remains in place today. This legislation differs from province to province, and was passed during a now discarded ideological framework and period.

5.2 Assignment of laws

The 1993 Constitution provided for the assignment of the administration of a law to a province if that law, amongst other requirements, fell within the functional areas that were specified in Schedule 6 to the 1993 Constitution. "Traditional authorities" and "indigenous law and customary law" were among those functional areas. Most of the laws pertaining to traditional leadership were assigned to the respective provinces under the 1993 Constitution. The laws that were so assigned under the 1993 Constitution are regarded as provincial legislation in terms of section 239 of the 1996 Constitution. The laws so assigned are set out in the Annexure hereto.

However, it must be borne in mind that there are several instances where only particular provisions of a law were assigned to provinces. The remaining provisions became the responsibility of different national departments either as a result of the subject matter falling within an exclusive national competency that is the responsibility of a particular national department, or as a result of an assignment to a particular Ministry in terms of Proclamation No. R.102 of 3 June 1994. This approach has resulted in a fragmentation of laws in so far as responsibility for the

administration of different provisions is concerned. This can best be illustrated by referring to the position pertaining to the Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927. Some sections are the responsibility of the Department of Land Affairs (sections dealing with land), the Department of Justice (sections dealing with customary courts and inheritance), the Department of Home Affairs (the section dealing with marriages) and the Department of Provincial and Local Government (responsible for a number of other sections). Since 1994, the following sections of the Act have been assigned to the provinces concerned:

- Section 1 (dealing with the supreme chieftaincy, and the power to appoint traditional leaders);
- Sections 2(7), (7) bis, (7) ter and (8).

5.3 Current reality

In terms of Part A of Schedule 4 to the 1996 Constitution, "Traditional leadership" and "Indigenous law and customary law" are functional areas of concurrent national and provincial legislative competence, subject to Chapter 12 of the 1996 Constitution. Section 76, read with section 44, of the Constitution entitles Parliament to pass legislation in respect of traditional leadership, with both the National Assembly and the National Council of Provinces being involved. Although Parliament does not have the competence to repeal or amend provincial legislation, national legislation would prevail over provincial legislation if it complies with the requirements set out in section 146 of the 1996 Constitution.

The fact that provinces have to administer different sets of laws within their area of jurisdiction has raised the need for rationalisation of such different laws within the provinces themselves.

5.4 Rationalisation of legislative regime

Whereas the Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, and the Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951, were the key statutes which outlined the policy on Blacks and the institution of traditional leadership, there are well over 1 500 additional laws relating to the institution and which require review.

In order to lay the basis for a coordinated approach to address the current fragmentation of legislation related to traditional leadership, it is proposed that national framework legislation be enacted as a matter of urgency, which national legislation is to be complemented by concomitant provincial legislation. It stands to reason that the envisaged national framework legislation must comply with section 146 of the Constitution if it is to prevail over existing provincial legislation.

In the light of the requirements of section 146 of the Constitution, it is proposed that the following matters be addressed in the proposed national framework legislation:

- structures of traditional leadership;
- categories of traditional leadership;
- role and functions of traditional leadership;
- general principles relating to the identification, appointment and recognition of traditional leaders;
- the framework for the remuneration, benefits and termination of service of traditional leaders;
- the constitution and definition of a **tribe**;
- areas of jurisdiction of traditional leaders;
- legislation-making role of traditional leaders, for example bye-laws, regulations, levies and taxes;
- locus standi of traditional leadership;
- accountability of traditional leaders reporting, standard setting, misconduct enquiries and penalties;
- judicial role of traditional leadership;
- cooperative relationships;
- assignment of roles and delegation of functions by other spheres;
- dispute resolution mechanism for traditional leadership disputes;
- notion of Supreme Chief;
- the support the three spheres of government will give to the institution.

The complementary provincial legislation should address the following:

- identification, appointment and recognition of traditional leaders;
- removal of a traditional leader:
- remuneration of traditional leaders:
- regulate issues which traditional leadership are empowered to adjudicate on;
- indicate clearly which matters traditional leaders cannot entertain; and
- financial arrangements relating to traditional leadership institutions.

The above lists are not exhaustive.

It is envisaged that the drafting of the national framework legislation will be attended to by a specially constituted task team. This task team will be constituted in such a manner that it will be able to assist provinces with the drafting of the envisaged complementary provincial legislation.

The following are the main laws that currently constitute the main cog regarding the regulation of the institution of traditional leadership, namely:

- (a) Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927;
- (b) Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951;
- (c) KwaZulu Amakhosi and Iziphakanyiswa Act No. 9 of 1990;
- (d) Bophuthatswana Traditional Authorities Act No. 23 of 1978;
- (e) Proclamation 110 of 18 April 1957;
- (f) KwaNdebele Traditional Authorities Act No. 8 of 1984;
- (g) QwaQwa Administration of Authorities Act No. 6 of 1983;
- (h) Government Notice No. 11 of 1985 (QwaQwa);
- (i) Transkei Constitution Act No. 15 of 1976;
- (j) Transkei Authorities Act No. 4 of 1965;
- (k) Ciskei Administrative Authorities Act No. 37 of 1984; and
- (I) Venda Traditional Leaders Administration Proclamation of 1991.

The future of these laws will be dealt with when the proposed national framework legislation is being formulated.

Once the basic national framework legislation and complementary provincial legislation has been enacted, a more comprehensive process of rationalisation of other existing laws must be embarked upon to clear the statute book of unnecessary and unconstitutional laws.

6. CONCLUSION

Traditional leaders should be custodians of culture and customs. Their role in respect of governance should be advisory, supportive and promotional in nature. In this regard, they should work with all the three spheres of government. The advisory role referred to must also be enhanced by, among others, extending the authority of the National and Provincial Houses by ensuring that they play a meaningful role in legislative processes and other matters affecting tradition and custom.

The legitimacy of those occupying positions within the institution should be beyond reproach. Traditional leaders should be involved in nation-building initiatives and, at the same time, they must promote the rights of cultural, linguistic and religious communities. Traditional leaders should promote the implementation of development proposals by assisting municipalities in building consensus in respect of development projects and plans. The institution should also continue to play a defined role within the criminal justice system and on land administration issues.

When traditional leaders have to be identified and designated as such, the State should play a limited role which is guided by the customs and traditions of the relevant community. This will ensure that our country retains the African character of the institution.

In addition, a number of national and provincial departments intend, within the context and parameters of the 1996 Constitution, to assign roles and responsibilities to traditional leaders and traditional leadership institutions. Municipalities can also delegate certain responsibilities to traditional leaders.

The functions performed by traditional leaders throughout the country differ from one province to another. The Constitution also entrusts the responsibility to legislate on traditional leadership issues to the national government and the provincial governments. In view of this constitutional reality, national legislation setting out a

national framework for the provinces, and providing norms and standards, will have to be passed.

The institution of traditional leadership is also not homogeneous. Customs and tradition differ from one ethnic group or tribe to another. Accordingly, the various provincial legislatures will, when developing legislation on the role of the institution and on other issues flowing from this policy document, and falling within their legislative competence, take into account their peculiar circumstances. The national and provincial spheres of government will, at an intergovernmental level, have to agree on the timeframes for this legislative process and how it is going to be managed.

The legislative process referred to will deal, primarily, with the laws cited in section 5. However, there are a number of other laws that have a bearing on the institution. There is an ongoing process relating to the rationalisation of these laws. This rationalisation process will lead to the repeal or amendment of a number of laws administered by the national and provincial spheres of government.

This policy formulation process, and the content of this document, reflect our commitment to accountability and transparency. The views and conclusions set out herein reflect the views of South Africans expressed at various conferences held throughout the country, written inputs, views expressed by individuals who were targeted specifically, such as the elderly and members of communities in rural areas, and a wide spectrum of public opinion on these matters.

In addition, potential investors are awaiting the clarification of certain policies relating to rural governance. Rural development is a priority of government. Therefore, it is hoped that this document will promote lively debate amongst our people. The legacy of underdevelopment in rural areas will, through such discussions, be addressed to the benefit of all our people. It is only through unity in action for change that we will be able to prosper as nation.

The consultative process that this document seeks to guide will bring people from various walks of life together. The section of this document dealing with values should also be used to kindle debates on such values as UBUNTU. A value-driven society can, and will, achieve prosperity.

ANNEXURE A: LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO THE PROVINCIAL PREMIERS

The following are the most important pieces of legislation assigned to the provincial Premiers:

- The old Transkei, Bophuthatswana, Venda and Ciskei Constitutions
- Old homeland and South African legislation.

Eastern Cape

- Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, only sections 1 and 2(7)bis; (7)ter and (8)
- Regulations Prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen, 1957 (Proclamation No. 110 of 1957)
- Land Regulations, 1969 (Proclamation No. R. 188 of 1969)
- Pounds Ordinance 1938, (Ordinance 18 of 1938)
- Transkeian Authorities Act No. 4 of 1965
- Chiefs Courts Act No. 6 of 1983
- Administrative Authorities Act No. 37 of 1984
- Pounds Act No. 43 of 1984
- Land Use Regulations Act No. 15 of 1987
- Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951
- Regulations for the Control of Residents on and the Occupation of Privately Owned or Tribally Owned Land in Black Areas, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 129 of 1967)
- Betterment Areas Proclamation, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 196 of 1967)
- Nature Conservation Act No. 10 of 1987. The whole except Part 1 of Chapter 4,
 Chapters 6 and 7 and section 70
- Proclamation Concerning Payment by Blacks of Rentals for Arable and Residential Allotments and of Fees for Grazing on Certain Land Owned by the South African Development Trust, 1968 (Proclamation No. R. 300 of 1968).

Free State

- Bophuthatswana Traditional Authorities Act No. 23 of 1978, including Government Act No. 137 of 8 December 1978
- Bophuthatswana Traditional Courts Act No. 23 of 1979
- Bophuthatswana Registration of Customary Unions Act No. 8 of 1977
- QwaQwa Administration of Authorities Act No. 6 of 1983, including the Regulations prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen (Government Notice No. 11 of 25 February 1985)
- QwaQwa Levying of Tribal Taxes Act No. 5 of 1983
- QwaQwa Pounds Act No. 4 of 1974
- QwaQwa Nature Conservation Act No. 5 of 1976
- Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, only sections 1 and 2(7)bis; (7)ter and (8)
- Regulations prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen, 1957 (Proclamation No. 110 of 1957)
- Land Regulations, 1969 (Proclamation No. R. 188 of 1969).

KwaZulu-Natal

- KwaZulu Pounds Act No. 8 of 1980
- KwaZulu Amakhosi and Iziphakanyiswa Act No. 9 of 1990
- KwaZulu Act on the Payment of Salaries, Allowances and other Privileges to the Ingonyama, No 6 of 1993
- KwaZulu Act on the Code of Zulu Law, No. 16 of 1985
- KwaZulu Act on the Licensing and Control of Dogs, No. 19 of 1988
- KwaZulu Nature Conservation Act No. 29 of 1992

Mpumalanga

- Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, only sections 1 and 2(7)bis; (7)ter and (8)
- Regulations prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen, 1957 (Proclamation No. 110 of 1957)
- Land Regulations, 1969 (Proclamation No. R. 188 of 1969)
- Licensing and Control of Dogs Act No. 5 of 1980
- Payment of Allowances to Members of the Regional Authority Act No. 3 of 1988
- KaNgwane Land Levies Act No. 8 of 1992

- KwaNdebele Pounds Act No. 8 of 1981
- KwaNdebele Levying of Taxes by Traditional Authorities Act No. 5 of 1983
- KwaNdebele Traditional Authorities Act No. 2 of 1994
- KwaNdebele Lingoma Act No. 4 of 1994
- Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951
- Regulations for the Control of Residents on and the Occupation of Privately Owned or Tribally Owned Land in Black Areas, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 129 of 1967)
- Betterment Areas Proclamation, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 196 of 1967)
- Proclamation Concerning Payment by Blacks of Rentals for Arable and Residential Allotments and of Fees for Grazing on Certain Land Owned by the South African Development Trust, 1968 (Proclamation No. R. 300 of 1968)
- Nature Conservation Act No. 3 of 1981
- Nature Conservation Act No. 3 of 1973
- Registration and Control of Dogs Act No. 4 of 1976
- Registration of Customary Unions Act No. 8 of 1977
- Bophuthatswana Traditional Authorities Act No. 23 of 1978
- Bophuthatswana Wheel Tax Act No. 23 of 1979
- Bophuthatswana Traditional Courts Act No. 29 of 1979
- Lebowa Tribal Rates Act No. 2 of 1975
- Lebowa Royal Allowance Act No. 3 of 1984
- Lebowa Pounds Act No. 8 of 1990
- Lebowa Dipping Tax Act No. 9 of 1976.

Limpopo (Northern Province)

- Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, only sections 1 and 2(7)bis; (7)ter and (8)
- Regulations prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen, 1957 (Proclamation No. 110 of 1957)
- Land Regulations, 1969 (Proclamation No. R. 188 of 1969)
- District and Territorial Councils Act No. 15 of 1986
- Venda Traditional Leaders Proclamation, 1991 (Proclamation No. 29 of 1991)
- Gazankulu Pounds Act No. 8 of 1976
- Lebowa Tribal Rates Act No. 2 of 1975

- Lebowa Royal Allowance Act No. 3 of 1984
- Lebowa Pounds Act No. 8 of 1990
- Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951
- Regulations for the Control of Residents on and the Occupation of Privately Owned or Tribally Owned Land in Black Areas, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 129 of 1967)
- Betterment Areas Proclamation, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 196 of 1967)
- Proclamation Concerning Payment by Blacks of Rentals for Arable and Residential Allotments and of Fees for Grazing on Certain Land Owned by the South African Development Trust, 1968 (Proclamation No. R. 300 of 1968)
- Gazankulu Nature Conservation Act No. 5 of 1975
- Lebowa Nature Conservation Act No. 10 of 1973
- Lebowa Dipping Tax Act No. 9 of 1976
- Venda Pounds Act No. 6 of 1976
- Venda Registration and Control of Dogs Act No. 9 of 1977
- Nature Conservation and National Parks Act No. 20 of 1986
- Venda Land Affairs Proclamation, 1990 (Proclamation No. 45 of 1990) sections 6 and 7 and 14-9

North West

- Black Administration Act No. 38 of 1927, only sections 1 and 2(7)bis; (7)ter and (8)
- Regulations Prescribing the Duties, Powers, Privileges and Conditions of Service of Chiefs and Headmen, 1957 (Proclamation No. 110 of 1957)
- Land Regulations, 1969 (Proclamation No. R. 188 of 1969)
- Pounds Act No. 7 of 1974
- Registration and Control of Dogs Act No. 4 of 1976
- Registration of Customary Unions Act No. 7 of 1977
- Bophuthatswana Traditional Authorities Act No. 23 of 1978
- Bophuthatswana Wheels Tax Act No. 23 of 1979
- Bophuthatswana Traditional Courts Act No. 29 of 1979
- Mmabana Cultural Foundations Act No. 15 of 1987
- Black Authorities Act No. 68 of 1951

- Regulations for the Control of Residents on and the Occupation of Privately Owned or Tribally Owned Land in Black Areas, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 129 of 1967)
- Betterment Areas Proclamation, 1967 (Proclamation No. R. 196 of 1967)
- Proclamation Concerning Payment by Blacks of Rentals for Arable and Residential Allotments and of Fees for Grazing on Certain Land Owned by the South African Development Trust, 1968 (Proclamation No. R. 300 of 1968)
- Bophuthatswana Nature Conservation Act No. 3 of 1973
- Livestock and Grazing Control Act No. 16 of 1983.

H:\Debbie\GREEN PAPER\WHITE PAPER CONSULTATION\WHITE PAPERFinalDraft11COPY.doc