

PRETORIA, "  $\stackrel{\text{MAY}}{\text{M}} \stackrel{1999}{\text{E}}$  I

No. 20085

# GENERAL NOTICE

NOTICE 937 OF 1999

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# **MINISTRY** OF EDUCATION

**REPORT BY ACTING JUDGE EBERHARD BERTELSMANN REGARDING THE INVESTIGATIONS INTO CERTAIN IRREGULARITIES WHICH ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED DURING THE SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS OF 1998 IN MPUMALANGA** 

The Director General of the national Department of Education hereby publishes the report by Eberhard Bertelsmann, Acting Judge of the High Court in Pretoria upon investigations into certain irregularities which allegedly occurred during the senior certificate examinations of 1998 in Mpumalanga for general information.

DIRECTOR-GENERAL MAY 1999

vol. 407

)

# **EMBARGOED UNTIL 14H00** 29 **APRIL 1999 Report upon** investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 **Mpumalanga** Senior Certificate **Examinations**

**Judge E Berteismann SC** Acting Judge of the High Court Pretoria

# REPORT TO THE HONORABLE MINISTER OF EDUCATION. DR SIBUSISO BENGU REGARDING THE INVESTIGATIONS INTO CERTAIN IRREGULARITIES WHICH ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED DURING THE SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS OF 1998 IN MPUMALANGA

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1.

The Honorable Minister of Education, Dr Sibusiso Mandlenkosi Emmanuel Bengu, acting with the consent of the Honorable Judge President of the Transvaal, Mr Justice B M Ngoepe, and the Minister of Justice, the Honorable Dr Dullah Omar, appointed me at the beginning of March 1999 as judicial co-ordinator of two concurrent investigations into the above alleged irregularities. At the same time, I was requested to report upon and make recommendations in connection with a number of aspects, which arose, from the alleged irregularities and their consequences.

2.

I have the privilege to present my report herewith. I must underline, however, that the report had to be prepared within a very limited time frame. It has been impossible to investigate each and every single allegation that has been made in respect of the 1998 Senior Certificate Examination in Mpumalanga.

This was in any event not my brief: the investigation of every factual allegation of alleged unlawful conduct is the function of the Commercial Branch of the SAPS, whose members are still engaged upon their task. SAFCERT on the other hand is ascertaining the exact margin by which the marks in some subjects were increased, is re-adjusting these marks and determining the correct results.

4

The mere fact that allegations of serious **misconduct** and unacceptable practices and procedures were being made, of which a number **appeared** to be true, is however relevant in itself **because** of the effect which these averments had and still have upon the credibility of the system and upon the position of **the individuals** who are directly affected thereby.

5.

My comments and factual findings must be seen as provisional, as they are subject to the findings by SAFCERT and to the outcome of any criminal prosecution which may be instituted once the police investigations have been concluded.

#### THE NATURE AND FOCUS OF THE INSTIGATIONS

6.

# A. **THE SAFCERT** INSTIGATION

**SAFCERT's** investigation focuses primarily on establishing the precise extent of the irregularities, the subjects in which and the candidates whose marks were altered unlawfully and to establish what the correct marks of each candidate in each subject ought to be.

To this end, **Dr Calitz**, who was primarily responsible for conducting the investigation on **SAFCERT's part, collected all available** mark **sheets** from the **Mpumalanga Education** authorities for a number of **subjects**. (Some mark sheets **appear** to have been **lost**).

The mark sheets were **subjected** to **careful analysis** to **establish** whether the marks as **recorded** thereupon had **been tampered** with or not. The result will be set out below in **greater** detail: **tampering** did indeed occur.

The **SAFCERT** report is not yet complete and will be **presented** by the Council itself in due course. It is expected that this report will be completed by not **later** than 22 April 1999.

7.

#### B. THE POLICE INSTIGATION

The police investigation is aimed at identifying the culprits who were responsible for the act of tampering itself. From the very beginning, rumours abounded that one or more highly placed officials in the Mpumalanga Department of Education had ordered or arranged that the marks should be increased to enhance the political standing and reputation of the Mpumalanga Government and its department of education. The precise motive with which the unlawful actions were perpetrated will presumably only be known once the police investigation has been concluded and a prosecution has resulted. At this stage it is, however, clear that at least one senior official was involved in increasing marks on the mark sheets of several subjects across the board, acting in concert with other responsible persons in a position of trust.

The persons responsible must **prima facie have** been aware (always subject to their right to reply and to **explain)**, that:

- a) their actions were **unlawful**;
- b) they might amount to **fraud**;
- c) the publication of the incorrect marks was calculated to cause immense damage to the entire education and examination system of South Africa;
- d) the candidates, whose marks were interfered with, were thereby done a vast disservice;
- e) once the true facts became known, severe hardship, disappointment, embarrassment and financial loss would be caused to every candidate whose marks had been altered, and also to his or her family, associates, school and financial supporters;
- f) academic institutions, colleges, technikons and universities who admitted students on the strength of the incorrect remarks to courses requiring a university entrance certificate, would be put to a great deal of trouble, embarrassment, administrative delay and financial prejudice in order to rectify the admission of students who would normally not have been admitted;

- g) the Government of the Mpumalanga Province and in particular its MEC for
   Education would be exposed to serious negative publicity and adverse political consequences;
- h) the reputation of the Mpumalanga Education Department would be seriously harmed and the honour and profession standing of its officials would be compromised; and
- those students who would not be admitted to tertiary institutions because their place
   would be taken (incorrectly) by Mpumalanga students, who had been admitted on
   the strength of the false results, would suffer severe detriment.

**Once** the culprits are **identified**, a **criminal prosecution** should **result**, in which the State must be **requested** to insist on **appropriate** terms of imprisonment should a **conviction** of **fraud** or forgery and **uttering** follow.

#### C. THIS REPORT

In my own investigation, I concentrated upon the following areas:

- the need to ensure that all relevant facts was establish as soon as possible by both SAFCERT and the South African Police;
- the need to ensure that all documentary evidence, in as much as it was still available, was secured and made available to both SAFCERT and the South African Police;
- 3) to ensure that the investigations be conducted as speedily as possible to minimize the damage which has been caused to the education and examination system;
- 4) to **safeguard the** interests of **individuals** who have been **caught** up in the process; and in particular
- 5) to protect the rights of students and **learners** who are **affected** by the problem which has arisen as a result of the **alleged irregularities** and the publicity which these have attracted.

# **METHODOLOGY**

9.

Given the very limited time frame, in which my report had to be prepared, I endeavored to collect as much information from as many sources as quickly as possible. I held consultations with:

Report upon <b>inves</b> t	- igations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Exami
9.6	the chairperson of SAFCERT, Dr M Nkomo;
9.5	Dr F Calitz, CEO of the South African Certification Council;
9.4	The Mpumalanga MEC for Education, Mr D Mabuza;
9.3	officials of the Department of Education in Mpumalanga;
9.2	it's Director General, Dr N C Manganyi;
9.1	officials of the national Ministry of Education;

9.7	the South African Police Services represent by Captain Joubert, Superintendent du Plessis,
	Commissioner Esterhuize, Captain Nel and Director Meiring;
9.8	representatives of Governing Body Associations;
9.9	representatives of Parent Associations;
9.10	representatives of <b>Teacher</b> Unions;
9.11	representatives of Student Representative Bodies;
9.12	concerned individuals;
9.13	members of the public;
9.14	staff members of the Mpumalanga Education Department;
9 <sub>4</sub> 15	representatives of the Joint Matriculation Board;
9.16	the South African Universities' Vice Chancellors' Association (SAUVCA);

9.17 the **Committee of Technikon Principals (CTP)**;

9.18 **SAFCERT**;

9.19 two individual examiners; and

**9.20** three **individual** moderators.

10.

Wherever possible, I obtained the views of experts and of officials who are involved in the day-to-day administration of schools, education departments, examinations; quality control and standardization. I informed those individuals and bodies who are criticized in this report of my provisional findings and allowed them to react thereto.

Regular follow-up consultations were held, personally and telephonically, whenever necessary or possible.

11.

I also received a number of written submissions and other documentary evidence.

12

- 12.1 I am indebted to everybody who gave freely of their time and advice. Because of the fact that not all investigations have been concluded, particularly the investigation by the South African Police, I request that the fill list of witnesses I interacted with be regarded as confidential at this stage.
- 12.2 After invitations had been addressed to all representative student bodies for purposes of a meeting on the 19th of March 1999, of which not all were reacted to, I received a telephone call during the last week of March 1999 from a SASCO representative in Mpumalanga, claiming that his organization had not been informed of the meeting and wished to make further representations to me. As I could not attend to the matter immediately, I arranged to call him back during the course of the next day, which I did at the number, which I had been provided with.
- 12.3 Unfortunately, the gentleman **concerned** was not available, nor was his provincial chairperson. I **left** messages for **both**, but these were not reacted to. Apart from this **isolated** instance, I spoke to every individual or **organization**, which **expressed an** interest to discuss the **subject** matter of this investigation with **me**.

13.

Because of persistent allegations of continued tampering, I requested the South African Police immediately after my appointment to attach all 480,000 examination scripts in Mpumalanga and to transfer them to

Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court –Pretoria 3 April 1999

Pretoria for safe keeping, where they would be available as evidence in any future legal proceedings. I was **also** motivated by the concern that many parents and schools might wish to have the examination scripts of their children or learners remarked or re-checked.

14.

The attachment of the examination scripts interrupt the remarking process, which had already commenced. In order not to further prejudice the liners whose scripts were being remarked, and to ensure easy access to the examination scripts, arrangements were made by the national Department of Education, extremely efficiently represented by Mr André Reyneke and Mrs M Locke, to open the sealed containers in which the Police had placed the examination scripts and to transfer these into the safe keeping of the national Department. Here, numerous employees spent many hours of overtime sorting and accounting for all the examination scripts. This mammoth task was completed within a few days. Every single examination script is now ordered and stacked in subject matter and numerical order and can easily be traced. The national Department of Education and its employees deserve gratitude for their dedication.

15.

Most of the meetings which I held were recorded, always with the consent <sup>of</sup> the persons I consulted. Mrs M Locke of the Directorate Legal Services of the national Ministry provided transcripts, together with other logistical support, while the typing of this report was undertaken by Mrs Elize Paton.

Whenever a discussion **was** held with any person who might be **faced** with disciplinary action, **criminal** prosecution or civil litigation arising **from** his or her involvement in the alleged activities, such person was **fully** informed that **there** was no obligation on her or him to **answer** any questions or to **co-operate** in any **fashion with** the enquiry. Witnesses were **also** informed of their right to **legal representation** and to **refuse** to **answer** any **question**, which they **felt**, might elicit an incriminating answer. Apart from one **isolated** instance, not a single witness **refused** to answer any question.

17.

All the interrogations, which I conducted, of persons who might potentially be faced with disciplinary or civil steps or a criminal prosecution were attended by officers of the Commercial Crime Unit of the South African Police Services. Every witness' attention was specifically drawn to the fact that police officers were present and might use the material, which was obtained during the interrogation for purposes of a subsequent criminal prosecution.

#### EDUCATIONAL CONCEPTS, STRUCTURES AND STATUTES

18.

Prior to embarking upon an **analysis** of the **factual** occurrences, which form the subject matter of this report, it is useful to define the **educational** concepts, structures and **statutory** provisions against which the **facts** will have to be interpreted.

a) <u>The senior certificate examination</u>:

This examination is at the moment the most important examination in the school education system. It is held at the point of withdrawal, which forms the end of 12 years of schooling for the majority of learners in South Africa, and certainly for all those learners who intend to pursue a further or higher education qualification.

The senior certificate examination serves as an important entrance criteria into higher education.

The Minister of Education is, in terms of the National Education Policy Act, Act 27 of 1996, obliged to ensure that "the standards of education provisions, delivery and performance throughout the Republic be monitored and evaluated by the Department (the national Ministry) annually or at other specified intervals, with the object of assessing progress and complying with the provision of the Constitution and with national education policy".

This includes the senior certificate examination.

In terms of the Constitution and in terms of the National Education Policy Act, 1996, the Minister of Education is responsible for norms and standards in education. It is the function of the provincial education authorities to ensure the actual provision of education. They are also responsible for the running of schools.

#### b) <u>The South African Certification Council (SAFCERT)</u>:

The South African Certification Council is created by the South African Certification Council Act, Act 85 of 1986. It is responsible for:

". control over the norms and standards of subject matter and examination, and for the issuing of certificates, at the different points of withdrawal in school and technical college education and non-formal education; and to provide for the conducting of common examinations . ..."

(Preamble to the Act).

19.

In terms of section 9 of the Act, and subject to the general policy determined in terms of section 2(1) of the National Policy for General Education Affairs Act, Act 76 of 1984, SAFCERT issues certificates in the prescribed form to candidates who in a subject or all the subjects at a point of withdrawal (such as the senior certificate examination conducted by the Mpumalanga Province) have complied with the norms and standards prescribed by the council in the examinations conducted by an examining body which in the opinion of the council:

- a) complies with the requirements, which may be prescribed by the council for conducting examinations with a view to the obtaining of certificates;
- b) applies the norms and standards which may be prescribed by the council and with which a candidate is required to comply in those examinations in order to obtain a certificate;

c) offers or causes to be offered such subject matter as may be prescribed by the council with a view to the obtaining of certificates; and

d) complies with such other renditions as may be determined by the council.

20.

In order to be able to properly fulfill its functions, SAFCERT monitors all examinations conducted under its aegis by statistical analysis, and prepares a statistical prediction of the marks, which are set as a norm for current and future examinations. This norm is based upon the marks obtained by candidates in the same examination in the previous five years, adjusted for potential improvement and ties into account all other relevant circumstances.

21.

Once the raw marks of an examination are received, they are statistically captured and in the so-called ogiving process are compared to the norm predicted by the council for the current examination. This process is performed by the examining body and by members of SAFCERT's statistical committee.

The Mpumalanga Education Department and all other provincial education departments are examination bodies recognized by SAFCERT in terms of section 9 of the South African Certification Council Act, Act 85 of 1986. These departments must comply with the regulations promulgate in terms of section 18 of that Act and also the so-called "other conditions" published by SAFCERT as part of the regulations. External moderators are i.e. appointed by SAFCERT in terms of these provisions.

#### 23.

Part **II** of **the regulations** published by **SAFCERT** during July 1998 in terms of **the** Act, **reads** inter **alia** as follows:

#### **"REQUIREMENTS FOR CONDUCTING EXTERNAL EXAMINATIONS**

- In respect of an external examination to be conducted after 4 September 1992 an
   examining body shall -
  - a) take adequate measures, including measures to combat irregularities in the examination venue and security measures for ensuring the secrecy of examination papers, to ensure the integrity of the examinations;

- ensure that each paper in an external examination is representative of the prescribed subject matter intended in regulation 4;
- c) **If ordered** by the council, include a **moderating** instrument in the examinations;
- ensure that each paper in an external examination is moderated by at least one competent internal moderator . . . . "

The "other conditions" include the following:

"The examination body must:

. . . . .

3. Ensure that the moderation referred to in regulation 2(d) includes control of the standard of marking of examination answer scripts. Internal moderators are required to certify that the answer scripts have been marked according to the standard approved by the external moderators in the memoranda provided with the examination question papers;

Adjust raw examination marks to conform to the parameters for mark distributions as determined by the Council;

7. Take decisions on such adjustments at a meeting where at least two members of the council's committee for examination statistics are present, and with the concurrence of these members"

(The composition of the SAFCERT delegation at the standardization meeting of the 28th of December 1998 has been challenged by the Mpumalanga Education Department. I deal therewith hereinbelow).

25.

**SAFCERT** provides **external** moderators to **all provincial education** authorities for the preparation of **examinations** in most subjects which are written for the senior **certificate examination**. **Generally** every **subject**, which is studied by a **significant** number of **learners** who sit the **examination**, is **monitored** by an **external** moderator who is **appointed** or provided by **SAFCERT** to the **provincial** authorities.

The external moderators consider every examination paper prepared by the provincial examiners for each and every subject, suggest corrections, amendments and improvement and thereby ensure that the standard set by one provincial authority is the same as that set by the other provincial authorities in the senior certificate examination.

27.

.

**SAFCERT** is furthermore responsible for **certifying** that **the** senior **certificate** examination complies with the **standards** which meet **the** requirements for the senior certificate and with it, meet **the** requirements for **entrance** to higher education or **further education**.

28.

# c) <u>The Inter-Provincial Examination Committee (IPEC)</u>:

The Inter-Provincial Examination Committee is a subcommittee of the Committee of Heads of Education (HEDCOM), a structure which is created in terms of section 10 of the National Education Policy Act, 1996, which is a statutory committee consisting of the Heads of Education in all provinces.

**IPEC consists** of representatives of all provincial education departments, who are usually a member or members of top management. **IPEC** was created as a sub-committee of HEDCOM in 1997, after serious administrative problems were identified in the 1996 senior certificate examination, when the provinces assured responsibility for the examination for the first time. **IPEC** meets on a regular basis and provides an opportunity for all provincial education authorities to exchange expertise, experience, knowledge and knowhow in regard to the running and preparation of examinations, and in particular the senior certificate examination.

30.

The administration of IPEC falls under the Directorate: National Examinations of the national Ministry of Education. It is responsible, inter alia, for the development of the National Policy on the Conduct of the Senior Certificate Examinations as it relates to the provincial education departments. This policy has been developed in close co-operation with all provincial education authorities over the past two years and is presently in its final draft form. The final draft has been accepted in all respects, which are relevant to this enquiry, by all provincial authorities, including the Mpumalanga Provincial Authorities.

#### d) The Provincial Education Authorities: Generally

The Provincial Education Authorities have been recognised as examining bodies in terms of the SAFCERT Act. Consequently, a provincial education authority sets its own examination by:

- 31.1 Appointing its own examiners and internal moderators;
- 31.2 The internal moderator i.a. controls the manner and fashion in which the examiners mark examination scripts and may make adjustments to the marks given by examiners. A moderator should consider candidates who are above average, average and below average and may effect corrections or adjustments to the marks given by the examiners in the examination scripts during the examination process. These adjusted marks must be clearly indicated in a different pen, usually green, in the examination script;
- 31.3 The examiner or chief examiner prepares an examination paper together with a marking memorandum which is proofread and then submitted to the internal moderator who controls whether it complies with the syllabus and standard;

31.4	The examination paper is submitted to the external moderator who is appointed by	
	SAFCERT;	
31.5	It is corrected after the external moderator has considered the examination paper and the	
	marking memorandum which is prepared and printed during the course of the year;	
31.6	The paper is then distribute to the various schools and other centres where the examination	
	is written;	
31.7	After the examination scripts are collected at central examination points where the	
	examination scripts are marked;	
31.8	The marking is moderated by the internal moderator;	
31.9	The internal moderator considers the standard of marking and the general performance of the	
	candidates, and assesses whether the examination paper was properly understood by the	
	candidates;	
31.10	The internal moderator may, if it appears that there are errors, ambiguities or other problems	
	in a senior certificate examination paper (e.g. that the paper is too long), suggest in his	
	moderators report to the Provincial Education Department that the marks obtained by some	
Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC		

Acting Judge of the High Court -Pretoria 3 April 1999 or all candidates in the particular subject be adjusted, upward or downward, because of any problem which may have been identified in this fashion;

- 31.11 The moderators' reports are considered by the Provincial Education Department and should be **discussed** at the **standardization** meeting, if necessary;
- 31.12 Once all the examination papers have been marked and all marks have been captured, the top management of the Provincial Education Department meets with a delegation of SAFCERT in terms of the "other conditions" for the senior certificate examination which, are conditions determined by the SAFCERT in terms of its Statute. The relevant provisions have already been quoted above;
- 31.13 Marks which have been obtained by the candidates in the senior certificate examination in all subjects are considered during the standardization meeting, and, if necessary or justified, are adjusted in the light of all the factors which SAFCERT and the relevant provincial authority can take into consideration;
- 31.14 It must be underlined that the statistical predictions of the probable results prepared by SAFCERT are of prime importance in determining how far the results obtained in the senior certificate examination diverge from the predicted or expected norm;

- 31.15 It must also be emphasized that the adjustment of marks of an examination result affecting all the candidates in a particular subject can only lawfully be effected at a standardization meeting properly composed of representatives of the Provincial Education Department and SAFCERT;
- 31.16 The **functions** of **examiners** and **internal moderators**, and the **process** of setting and marking an **examination** apply, with minor modifications, **uniformly** in all nine provinces. They reflect the **examination** structure and **draft national** policy developed by **IPEC** with the active **co-operation** and agreement of the **Provincial Education** Authorities.
- 31.17 **The** draft **national** policy is **explicit in regard** to mark adjustments. It **reads** as follows:

#### "<u>MARK ADJUSTMENTS</u>

Mark adjustments are done by the Examining Body in injunction with SAFCERT after the capture of the marks. The distribution of raw marks on a data set should be provided at the mark adjustment meeting. A set of graphs that represent the distribution of the raw marks and the adjusted marks is also required at this meeting. "

- 31.18 The policy complies with the statutory requirements determined by the renditions published by SAFCERT as aforesaid.
  - 32.

# e) <u>The Mpumalanga Education Department:</u>

- 32.1 Like other provincial education departments, the Mpumalanga Education Department is responsible for setting its provincial examination which is administered in accordance with the draft national policy and the relevant statutory provisions.
- 32.2 The School Education Act (Mpumalanga), Act 8 of 1995, empowers the member of the Executive Council in Section 105 thereof to make regulations for any matter which may or must be determined by regulation.
- 32.3 On the 1st of July 1997, the MEC for Education in Mpumalanga, Mr David Dabede Mabuza, published regulations in a Provincial Gazette Extraordinary, No 247, relating to examinations.
- 32.4 **These regulations** are applicable to all examinations in **Mpumalanga** including the 1998 Senior **Certificate Examination**.

32.5 **Regulation** 20 **thereof** rinds as follows:

#### "EXAMINERS AND MODERATORS:

20. (1) The Head of the Department may -

- (a) appoint metier, subject to the conditions, as he or she may deem fit to-
  - set draft examination papers in the different subjects in which an examination is conducted;
  - (ii) mark examinations answer books or conduct practical examinations;
  - (iii) award marks to candidates for the subjects in which they wrote examinations; and
- (b) appoint a moderator, subject to the renditions, as he or she may deem fit, to-

(i) moderate draft examination papers;

 (ii) moderate examination answer books in respect of art examination and evaluate the marks that have been awarded to a candidate by the examiner; and

(iii) undertake the remarking of examination answer books;

- (c) appoint an assistant examiner, subject to the conditions as he or she may deem fit, to mark examination answer books or conduct practical examinations;
- (d) enter into general or specific agreement with an examiner or a moderator for the efficient administration of the examinations;
- (e) terminate the services of an examiner or a moderator at anytime; and
- (f) amend or substitute the conditions of appointment referred to in paragraphs(a), (b) and (c), with the exception of remuneration, at any time.
- (2) In addition to subregulation (1), the following renditions shall apply to examiners

and **moderators** and anyone who assists them in the execution of their duties:

- (a) The contents of a draft question paper, the memorandum on it and the marks obtained by a candidate shall not be disclosed to unauthorised persons;
- (b) an examiner or a moderator shall not hold the copyright in a draft question paper or a question paper or memorandum drawn up on behalf of the Department;
- (c) the Head of the Department shall not be obliged to have the examination scripts in a particular subject marked by the examiner who drew up the question paper or to have such examination scripts moderated by the moderator who moderated the question paper;
- (d) the Head of the Department may, at his or her discretion, amend and use a question paper or a memorandum without consulting the examiner or moderator concerned;
- (e) question papers **shall** be set in accordance with the syllabi and conditions that have been approval by the Department for the course concerned;

- (f) the moderator shall asses, and comment on the draft question paper and the memorandum objectively and ensure that no language or any other mistakes occur in the draft question paper, that it conforms with the syllabus and the course requirements, and that the required standard has been maintained. Should that not be the case, he or she may, at his or her discretion, submit for the proposal for amendment or improvement to the examiner (sic): Provided that, should the moderator and the examiner not be able to reach agreement, the head of the Department will make the final decision on such points of disagreement;
- (g) a file writing the syllabus, draft question paper and a memorandum shall be kept intact, and correspondence between the examiner and the moderator shall be kept in a relevant file;
- (h) a file containing a draft question paper and a memorandum shall be posted by registered mail after the envelope containing such file has been securely Soled;
- (i) when marking and moderating examination answer books, examiners and moderators shall exercise the greatest care and maintain the highest degree of impartiality to ensure the objective allocation of marks to a candidate;
   Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1 998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court - Pretoria

3 April 1999

- (j) if the number of examination scripts for a particular subject exceed the number prescribed by the Department, per examination, the examiner shall, with a view to expediting the marking and with the approval of the Head of the Department, make use of assistant examiners;
- (k) an examiner shall be responsible for the work of his or her assistant examiners and shall himself or herself mark the number of scripts prescribed by the Department per examination in a particular subject and shall check a percentage prescribed by the Department of those scripts marked by the assistant examiners;
- (1) a moderator shall write a report on the form "Moderator's Report", on the standard of marking in the subject for which he or she is responsible, and in it he or she shall make specific proposals and support his or her reasons for finding it necessary to depart from the examiner's allocation of marks; and
- (m) after any examination, an examiner shall, on the form "Examiner's Report", report on each question paper for which he or she is responsible, provide the statistical data required by the Department and make general remarks and suggestions, to be communised to the relevant educational institutions for improving the presentation of the subject."

32.6 Of particular importance for the role and function of moderators are regulation 20(1)(b)(i), (ii) and (iii), regulation 20(2)(f) and in particular regulation 20(2)(1), which determines that a moderator shall, in his moderator's report,

".... make specific **proposals** and support his or her **reasons** for **finding** it **necessary** to **depart** from the examiners **allocation** of marks . ..."

- 32.7 A moderator is clearly not empowered by the Mpumalanga regulations to do more than to suggest, to the Department of Education, an adjustment of marks which may be recommended for reasons of the nature indicated above.
- 32.8 The Mpumalanga Education Department provides moderators with pre-printed forms, headed "Mpumalanga Education Department Internal Moderator's Report" which form makes specific provision for comments by the internal moderator in respect of the standard of marking and contains a specific question dealing with the internal moderator's recommendation of an adjustment of the marks. A specimen copy of the pre-printed form is annexed as ANNEXURE "A" to this report.
- 32.9 The Mpumalanga Education Department has, since 1996, provided its examiners and moderators with a so-called markers' manual in respect of marking of examination scripts,

which memorandum sets out the responsibilities of chief markers, examiners and moderators. Subparagraph under the heading:

# "1.2 DUTIES OF CHIEF MARKERS

1.2(h) . . . .

"Arrangements for the moderation of scripts by internal moderators:

- (i) **The Chief** markers will be supplied with the list of dates for moderation.
- (ii) The chief markers will select the scripts as follows, highest, average and below average.
- (iii) After moderation adjustments should be done there-and-then." (sic)

#### 32.10 Clause 7. "MODERATING OF MARK SCRIPTS"

of the manual provides:

"7.1 **The** moderator is responsible for moderating **marked** scripts.

# 7.2 **METHOD**

# Internal moderators:

- (a) Moderating procedure
  - moderators remark all scripts, using the question paper and memorandum provided by the department. The moderators will moderate at the centres three days after the start of marking.
  - \* the mark which a moderator has allocated for each question must appear inside the script as well as on the front cover of the scripts. Moderators must use "green" ink."

# 32.11 In

# "7.3 MODERATORS REPORT"

the **manual** determines the following:

"Moderators are to complete the moderator's report and provide a clear recommendation as to whether the marks of the marker should be accepted or not. The moderator's report must reach the Department within 3 weeks after the date of the examination according to the examination time-table."

- 32.12 These are the **only** provisions in the manual **dealing** with the role and **function** of moderators.
- 32.13 The Mpumalanga province uses and applies an examiners' manual which was already applicable in the former Eastern Transvaal Province. It contains, in clause 1.2(k) thereof detailed provisions regarding the mark sheets to which the marks candidates achieve in the examination must be transferred. It is quoted here in full:

#### "(k) Completing mark sheets

The chief examiner/marking examiner is responsible for the accuracy of mark sheets. The correct way to complete mark sheets is as follows:

(i) Fill in the numbers "999" in the mark column opposite the examination number of each candidate shown as absent.

- (ii) Transfer examination marks from scripts to mark sheets with meticulous care. Please note the "maximum marks" on the mark sheets.
- (iii) Make sure that each candidate's examination number as it appears on the mark sheet corresponds with the number on the examination scripts before transferring the marks to the mark sheets.
- (iv) Add up all the marks on the mark sheet, including "999", and write down the total in the space provided on the mark sheet.
- (v) Recalculate this total to ensure that it is correct. (Every mark sheet must be double-checked, and the same total must be arrived at before it maybe considered to be correct).
- (vi) If this total remains incorrect, the computer will reject the entire mark sheet. It is very difficult to make corrections at this late stage.
- (vii) Enter the marks of candidates whose examination numbers do notappear on the mark sheet on a blank mark sheet. Please inure that

the name of the **subject**, the relevant question paper and the **centre** number, as well as the **candidate's examination number/ID** number, **appear** on the blank **mark sheet**.

- (viii) Each mark sheet has a special number and the examination numbers of the candidates on the list are linked to this mark sheet number. Therefore, one cannot copy the mark sheet of one subject and use it for another subject.
- (ix) Mark sheets must be baled in as the marking **proceeds** and must not be kept until the marking is fished. "
- 32.14 Clause 7 of the Eastern Transvaal Province Examiners' Manual deals with the moderating of marked scripts and reads as follows:

# "7. MODERATING OF MARKED SCRIPTS

7.1 The moderator is responsible for moderating **marked scripts**.

# 7.2 **METHOD**

- (a) The Department will forward a sample of the marked scripts, an examination paper and its memorandum to the moderator.
- (b) The sample of scripts will include the following:
- \* Scripts from all the markers (not less than 30 or more than 100 scripts)
- \* Scripts of **candidates** who obtained 70% or higher marks
- \* Scripts of candidates who obtained approximately 50%
- \* Scripts of candidates who obtained **approximately** 40%
- Scripts of candidates who obtained 30% or fewer marks.
- (i) Moderating procedures

\*

- \* **Moderators** remark **all** scripts which are sent to **them**, using the question paper and memorandum provided by the Department.
- The mark which a moderator has allocated for each question must appear inside the script as well as on the cover page of the script. Moderators must use "green" ink.

#### (ii) Remuneration of moderators:

Moderators are to be remunerated per moderated script according to the approved tariff list, Standardised fees are payable for the remarking/moderation of scripts.

# 7.3 MODERATOR'S REPORT

Moderators are to complete the moderator's report form 00/ET 179 and provide a clear recommendation as to whether the marks of the examiner should be accepted or not. The moderator's report must reach the Department within three (3) weeks after the date of the examination according to the examination time-table,"

- 32.15 In 1996, the Mpumalanga Department of Education published an examination policy document. This policy document does not contain any further provisions relating to the marking or moderating of examination scripts.
- 32.16 It will be noted that the statutory provisions and internal guidelines **determined** by the Mpumalanga Education Department differ in no way whatsoever from the provisions of **the SAFCERT** Act and the **draft** national policy. They are furthermore in **accordance with** the **existing** practice followed in all provinces even prior to 1996.

# THE CONDUCT OF THE SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION IN MPUMALANGA IN 1998: AN ASSESSMENT

#### 33.

In what follows, I provide an assessment of the conduct of the 1998 senior certificate examination in Mpumalanga and the aftermath thereof. The narrative is of necessity a personal one. It reflects the facts which I regard as having been established with sufficient certainty to be recorded as such, always subject to the proviso that further enquiries are still being conducted and other aspects may emerge from them. I must also underline that some of the matters which I record may not be common cause and may not necessarily be accepted by everybody involved in the process as correct in each and every respect. I am satisfied, however, that this assessment is fair, properly motivated and supported by documentary and oral evidence. I should add that the most significant facts emerged from discussions which I held with senior officials and other employees of the Mpumalanga Education Department and some moderators and examiners. Most of these discussions were recorded on tape and transcribed. Both tapes and transcriptions will be handed to the Honorable Minister together with this report. I would urge that they be regarded as confidential and sub judice while the investigations of the South African Police are continuing.

The general preparation for the senior certificate examination of 1998 in Mpumalanga proceeded in accordance with National Policy and with the assistance of IPEC and the Directorate National Examinations of the Department of Education, without any apparent serious problem. In fact, educators and administrators alike were of the view that, contrary to 1996, the administrative preparations and conduct of the examinations were successful and effective.

35

Shortly before the start of the examination in November 1998, the Mpumalanga Education Department held one or more workshops, arranged by senior officials.

36.

This workshop was attended by most, if not all moderators and examiners. The examination manual was distribute and discussed.

37.

Several moderators and examiners suggested to me that during this workshop they were informed that a

moderator had the power and the authority to effect an overall adjustment of the marks achieved by all the Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court -Pretoria 3 April 1999 candidates in the particular subject, in other words to perform the function of the adjustment of marks on his or her own, without prior reference to the Provincial Education Department and without reference to the standardization meeting with SAFCERT. In fact, the moderators were allegedly informed that they had, in effect, the power to usurp the function of the standardization meeting.

38.

This **communication** was made to the moderators, according to some of them, by one or more senior official(s) of the **provincial** department. As the **police** investigations have not been concluded, the identity of the person or persons involved will not be disclosed, Reliance for the **existence** of this power on the part of the moderator was allegedly placed on the provisions of paragraph 1.2(h) of the manual, **quoted** above, which, so it was alleged, was interpreted to mean that art adjustment of marks allocated to individual **candidates** on their **scripts** included the power to adjust the marks of all **candidates** in that subject.

#### 39.

Moderators were apparently further told that, if they adjusted the marks of all candidates, this should be effected upon the mark sheets to which the marks were transferred at the examination centre. The adjusted marks should be entered into the second column of the mark sheet either by the moderator himself or herself, or by the chief examiner who should be instructed by the moderator to do so.

The relevant senior official(s) denied having given an express instruction to this effect to moderators, but at least one conceded having been aware of the fact that moderators had, during the senior certificate examination, adjusted the marks of all candidates in a considerable number of subjects, including afrikaans, biology, geography, history and others. That official also admitted that great emphasis was placed on paragraph 1.2(h) of the manual during the workshop.

#### 41.

Moderators of the **affected** subjects **confirmed** that they had indeed **upwardly adjusted** the marks of **all candidates** relying upon the provisions of the manual and the instruction which was **allegedly** given at **the** workshop. The marks which were increased, were recorded on the mark sheets in green ink, as marks which had been duly moderated. The mark sheets were sent to Middelburg, where the adjusted results were **captured** from the mark **sheets** onto the computer.

# 42.

The three moderators I met and the relevant official agreed that no moderator had adjusted marks during the 1996 or 1997 senior certificate examination. This is remarkable, particularly in the light of the fact that the 1996 examination did not yield good results and that the manual upon which reliance was placed for the Report upon investigations into possible irregularities In the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court –Pretoria 3 April 1999

**purported existence** of the power to adjust marks on the part of the moderators, **was** also **used** in 1996 and 1997.

43.

The individual moderators were at a loss to explain their actions in the light of the express provisions of the regulations, of which they should or must have been aware, and of the express provisions of the pre-printed moderators' report form, which clearly states that recommendations in regard to an adjustment of marks are to be made to the provincial education department. Some vain attempts were made to explain that the recommendations were in fact made to the examiners, but it soon became clear that in those instances where the examiners were told to effect the increase of the marks on the mark sheets, this was regarded by both the moderator and the examiner as an instruction to perform a function which the moderator would otherwise have performed himself or herself.

44.

When confronted with these facts, one official suggested that, while the moderators had the power to increase the marks, they still had to report to the provincial education department and to the standardization meeting, through the department, that the marks had been increased and that such report would be couched in the form of a recommendation.

This explanation is too k-fetched to be worthy of further consideration.

46.

Not **all** the moderators who **effected** adjustments of the **marks**, (which adjustments were invariably upward), **recorded** this fact in their moderators' **reports**.

47.

It is possible that several senior officials of the Mpumalanga Education Department were aware of the fact that moderators had upwardly adjusted marks across the board in a number of subjects. I should note that Mr Mello, the Acting Head of the Mpumalanga Education Department, and the Head of the Mpumalanga Education Department, and the Head of the Mpumalanga Education Department, Mrs Sithole who is presently on study leave, both denied emphatically that they had been informed of the true state of affairs. It was also emphasized that the MEC, Mr Mabuza was unaware of any wrongdoing. I am unaware of any ground upon which these denials can be doubted.

48.

The exact number and identity of the officials in the Mpumalanga Education Department who were aware of the true state of affairs will have to be established by the investigation of the South African Police Services.

49.

I should also add that, in spite of the fact that I requested an opportunity to meet all the moderators in those subjects which had been identified by Dr Calitz as those in which marks had been increased across the board, only the moderators of biology, history and geography attended the meeting which had been arranged for Sunday the 28th of March 1999. The moderators of the other subjects which were affected have consequently not yet had a chance to explain their actions, Prima facie, however, their actions are as unlawful as those of the moderators to whom I could speak.

50.

The upward adjustment **effected** in biology was **motivated** in a particularly **significant** way. The **internal** moderator for this subject wrote the following recommendations in his report for the **standard** ten biology higher grade November 1998 senior **certificate examination**:

#### "RECOMMENDATIONS:

This observation has been done within a very small sample of markers and very small sample of candidates, partly due to the time limitation of the time allocated for moderation and that within such time both the HG and SG papers be observed.

It is within these limits that it is recommend that plus minus 20% marks be credited across the spectrum, to avert disaster of provincial **and/or national** standing."

51.

It is **clear** that the results in biology would have been very poor indeed without the 20% adjustment, **SAFCERT's** provisional view is that the true raw marks **in** this subject may indeed be up to 9% below the norm.

52.

When the standardization meeting took place on the 28th of December 1998, and when the extraordinary increase in the results of individual subjects and the general pass rate became apparent, not one of the attending officials disclosed the fact that the raw marks included adjustments of up to 20% effected by moderators to the overall results. At least one of the senior Mpumalanga officials attending this meeting was fully aware of what had happened.

In terms of law and practice, the SAFCERT representatives must have been informed at the standardization meeting on the 28th of December 1998 of the true state of affairs. Instead the senior official or officials who was/were fully aware of all facts, not only failed to disclose the actions which had been undertaken by the internal moderators, but in addition, explained the positive results which had apparently been achieved with reference to the additional efforts the Mpumalanga Mutation Department and its teachers had made to prepare the learners for the examination.

#### 54,

When the first critical questions were **asked** in public, the **provincial spokesperson** publicly defended the **results**, again without any reference to the true state of\* being made by those in the know. **Others**, **including** senior educators and politicians came to **the defence** of the **Mpumalanga Education Department**, genuinely under **the** impression that the **excellent results** had been achieved by hard **work**, **dedication** and enthusiasm.

# 55.

At the **standardization** meeting the raw **marks** were observed to be **far** above the expected norm and had to be **adjusted** downward even without knowledge of the **unlawful** increase **effected** by **the** moderators.

The adjusted results reflected that 30646 candidates of the 42069 who had sat for the examination passed, while  $18^{\circ}/0$  of the pupils obtained senior certificate examination exemptions (university entrance qualifications) virtually double the 9,3% who had achieved this result in 1997.

57

In spite of the fact that a positive explanation had been provided during the standardization meeting of the grounds upon which the increase of the marks could be explained, Dr Calitz remained troubled. On the 30th of December 1998, he phoned Dr Ihron Rensburg, the Deputy Director General of the national Department of Education to share his doubts about the Mpumalanga results with him. Dr Rensburg in turn informed the Acting Director General of the national Department of Education, Mr Thami Mseleku who telephoned the Head of the Mpumalanga Department of Education, Mrs Faith Sithole and discussed the potential problem with her.

58.

Mrs Sithole telephonically traced Dr Calitz to his home on the 31 st of December 1998 and discussed the issue with him. She suggested that the examination moderation process should start immediately.

It should be **added** at **this** juncture that **SAFCERT annually** moderates the **examination** of the various **provinces after** the **results** have been made public, **usually** within three months **after publication** of the results.

60.

Mrs Sithole indicated to Dr Calitz that she was prepared to withhold the publication of the results until the 7th of January 1999, the date upon which all provinces' senior certificate examination results had to be published, according to a HEDCOM decision, in order to enable SAFCERT to conduct the moderation process and to satisfy itself that the results were genuine.

61.

Unfortunately, **Dr Calitz** was of the view that the time **available** for such **process was** too **short**, **because** of the new year long weekend and **because** of the **fact** that many of **SAFCERT's staff members** were on holiday. He did undertake, however, to **start** the moderation **procedure** immediately **after** the **publication** of the **results**.

It is common **cause** that **Dr Calitz was** aware of the **fact** that **Mpumalanga** would publish its **senior** certificate **examination** results together with the rest of the **country** and that he did not advise Mrs **Sithole** against such a **course**.

63.

During the period the 30th of December 1998 and the 4th of January 1999, the top management of the national Ministry discussed the problem. Various options were considered, including the possibility of advising the Mpumalanga Education Department not to publish the results until the moderation process had been finalized, or to publish the results and investigate any alleged irregularities at a later stage and to request SAFCERT to commence the moderation procedure immediately. Eventually, when Mr Mseleku spoke to Mr Mello on or about the 4th of January 1999 informing him of the fact that the national Department would be prepared to accept either option, he was informed@ pursuant to the discussion which Mrs Sithole had had with Dr Calitz on the 31st of December 1998, the decision had been taken to publish the results with the full knowledge of the SAFCERT officials and to commence the moderation process as soon as possible thereafter.

It is a pity that the option which Mrs Sithole had offered to Dr Calitz, namely to withhold publication until the moderation process had been finalized, could not be, or was not, accepted, as a huge embarrassment for all paroles concerned could have been avoided if the moderation procedure had been performed immediately.

#### 65,

Mrs Sithole was in the company of the Mpumalanga Education Department's top management team when the aforesaid discussion took place. At least one senior official implicated by this investigation followed her discussion with Dr Calitz, but did not disclose that the excellent results of the Mpumalanga matriculants had been achieved as a result of the unlawful extensive upward adjustment of marks.

# 66.

**Mrs Sithole** is emphatic that she did not know of the true state of **affairs and** was not informal thereof until it was **revealed during** a meeting **conducted** by myself with her department's top management on the 24th of March 1999, to which reference will be made hereinbelow.

The publication of the results on the 7th of January 1999 created a public stir. There was considerable joy and satisfaction on the part of the Mpumalanga authorities, while, as I have already stated, skepticism greeted the results in other circles.

# 68.

When SAFCERT embarked in the new year upon the moderation action, the principal focus fell on biology in which subject the marks appeared to be the most suspicious, The external moderator, Mrs Crowe, was requested to investigate the marks which had been allocated in this subject. For this purpose, Dr Calitz requested a number of scripts from the Mpumalanga Education Department in order to make them available to Mrs Crowe and to investigate them himself.

#### 69.

Among the scripts which Dr Calitz investigate he found indications of cover pages having been replaced and of marks apparently having been altered. Dr Calitz had requested the scripts of certain specific candidates who had been allocated a specific mark of, e.g. 82 out of 300. As the marks in biology higher grade had, however, been increased across the board by 60, the real result of the candidate concerned was 22 out of 300. Apparently, when Dr Calitz requested the relevant scripts, attempts were made to find scripts in which the Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court – Pretoria 3 April 1999

actual mark **scored** by the **candidate** amounted to 82 and to substitute the cover page of the **candidate** whose script had been **requested**, on the **cover** page of **the** script of the **candidate** who had **scored** a genuine **82**.

70.

During my investigation I heard evidence from sources, which because of the continuing police investigation I would not like to identify at this stage, that certain officials of the Mpumalanga Education Department, including at least one senior official, were observed&tie publication of the examination results apparently re-writing several examination scripts and shredding others. All the persons I interacted with at the Mpumalanga Education Department denied any knowledge of such an activity. The matter is still being investigated by the South African Police.

71.

Dr Calitz thereafter called for further scripts from the Mpumalanga Education Department. This request was initially denied because the department insisted on the moderators report being provided to itself prior to being prepared to supply further scripts to Dr Calitz.

On the 2 1st and 22nd of January 1999, SAFCERT held a meeting at the Kempton Park Conference Centre, of which the provisional minutes, which have not yet been certified correct, were provided to me. They are annexed hereto as **ANNEXURE** "B", It is clear that the council was perturbed not only about the irregularities which had occurred in Mpumalanga, but also about the fact that the problem concerning the results had not been discovered in the standardization meeting on the basis of statistical evidence. I quote from the minutes:

"Prof Fatti pointed out that it was not possible to pick up the overall pass rate on evidence presented in the standardisation meeting, since overall results maculations had not been carried out at that stage. Mr Moll pointed out that SAFCERT administrative procedures were not tight enough during the standardisation process, in particular with regard to the recording and official sanction of decisions. Provinces kept their own records, however informally, but there was no formal SAFCERT documentation on decisions reached. SAFCERT therefore had to accept its share of the blame for the situation that had arisen in Mpumalanga. Dr Ngijima pointed out that SAFCERT had received Mpumalanga documents relating to ogiving on 23 December, five days before the standardisation meeting, which should have given SAFCERT sufficient time to pick up the problem. In response the executive officer emphasized that although there had been nothing technically wrong with the results, he had realized that another kind of investigation would be necessary to determine the full cause of the improved raw marks, "

At the same meeting, Mr Mello presented the view of the Mpumalanga Education Department that the latter had been very badly served by SAFCERT. He underlined that the Mpumalanga Education Authorities regarded the following processes as unsatisfactory:

- 73.1 The SAFCERT CEO had received the statistics five days before the ogiving date, namely on the 23rd of December 1998, which had given SAFCERT sufficient time to study and understand the statistics and comparison table;
- 73.2 During the **standardization** meeting, concerns had been **raised** by **Dr Calitz** in regard to the continuous assessment marks for biology higher grade and standard grades, and that there were no liners who had scored a zero (0) mark in history, both higher grade **and** standard grade;
- 73.3 The matter had been discussed, and it had been suggested that the continuous assessment marks in biology (which had not been taken into consideration during previous years) could be removed. Dr Calitz indicated however that there was no need to do that for the 1998 examination, but that an investigation would be required of the role which these marks would fulfill in the standardizing procedures;

- 73.4 When Dr Calitz and those accompanying him left the standardization meeting, he expressed the opinion that the process was satisfactory, remarking that there would be a slight increase in the pass rate;
- 73.5 **The Mpumalanga Education** Department **was concerned** that the **increase** might not be as slight **as** projected by the **statisticians**;
- 73.6 Even though the Mpumalanga Education Department had, through Mrs Sithole, offered to the SAFCERT CEO to co-operate in attending to SAFCERT's concerns by performing the moderation process immediately, Dr Calitz had still maintained that the results could be announced as planned on the 4th of January 1999;
- 73.7 The Mpumalanga Education Department was furthermore angered by the fact that Dr Calitz did not raise his concerns officially with the Mpumalanga Head of the Education Department or the Mpumalanga MEC for Education, but that the Mpumalanga Education Department had heard thereof through the national Department of Education;
- 73.8 **Dr Calitz** had not **availed** himself of the **second** opportunity given to him by the **Mpumalanga Education** Department to intervene in the situation;

73.9 As stated before the Mpumalanga Education Department has also criticized the imposition of the SAFCERT delegation to the standardization meeting, claiming that Mr Talbot should not have been included as he is not a member of the council. The SAFCERT Act does empower the council, however, to appoint persons to committees who are not themselves members of the council. This power is contained in section 5(1)(a) of the Act. This particular point of criticism is consequently not valid.

74.

Although a number of rumours circulated that other irregularities may have occurred, particularly after SAFCERT called for a sample of 1200 examination papers to control the marks and found that some of the examination papers had been tampered with, early suspicion centered upon the person or persons responsible for the transfer of the marks from the mark sheets to the computer.

75.

On 23rd February 1999, SAFCERT informed the Honorable Minister of Education, Dr Sibusiso Bengu, that prima facie proof existed of tampering with the examination results. On the 26th of February 1999, the national Department of Education announced that a full scale investigation into the examination results and the manner and fashion in which the examination had been conducted, would be launched by SAFCERT

Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court – Pretoria 3 April 1999

64 No. 20085

while a **criminal** charge of **fraud**, a<u>lternatively</u> forgery and uttering, would be laid with the **South African Police** Service.

76.

At the same time I was appointed to report as soon as possible upon:

76.1 **the process** and progress of the investigations, which it was my fiction to **co-ordinate**, setting the **goals and** objectives;

76.2 to give legal advice and **assistance** to the investigating bodies **and** to protect the **rights** of candidates;

76.3 to communicate progress of the investigations to the national Department and to write a **report** which must **indicate**:

76.3.1 what the extent of the irregularities is;

76.3.2 who is affected by the irregularities;

76.3,3 who is responsible for the irregularities;

76.3.4 what the consequences of such irregularities are;

# 76.3.5 what steps are to be taken to ensure that the integrity of the system is not jeopardised;

76.3.6 how the candidates' rights are to be protected; and

76.3.7 what steps can be taken to avoid a recurrence in future.

#### 77.

In spite of the developments having taken place as set out above, and in spite of the intense public speculation about the senior certificate examination, the senior Mpumalanga official or officials remained silent, as did all the moderators and examiners, about the moderators' actions. It is difficult to understand why the matter was not cleared up immediately once SAFCERT realized that marks which had not been allocated in the ordinary marking process had been added to the candidates' results. It was public knowledge that SAFCERT was convinced that there had been tampering with the examination results, which cast an immolate doubt upon the entire examination system not only of Mpumalanga, but of South Africa as a whole. Rumours were rife, and many innocent people were suspected of complicity in nefarious activities. Yet the senior official or officials, the moderators and the examiners kept mum.

On the 8th of March 1999 I had the first meeting with the top management of the Mpumalanga Education Department in Middelburg, which was attended by the MEC. At this meeting, no mention whatsoever was made of the fact that marks had been added by moderators, in spite of the fact that I had come to Middelburg primarily to discuss the fact that marks had in fact been increased. A lengthy discussion was held concerning the allegations that those employees of the department who had captured the marks were responsible for the unlawful increase thereof, and arrangements were made to interrogate the officials of the Provincial Education Department who had been involved in the capturing process. Still nothing was said about the actions which had in fact taken place and of which the relevant senior official or officials were fully aware. During this meeting, the MEC and the other members of the Department's top management team expressed considerable bitterness about the role played by SAFCERT in general, and Dr Calitz and Mr Talbot in particular. The point was made with force and conviction@ had SAFCERT seen its way clear to advise against the publication of the senior certificate examination results or had SAFCERT embarked upon the moderation process immediately, the most unfortunate consequences which have now arisen as a result of the fact that the results were published and that matriculants were provided with incorrect marks, could have been avoided.

I agree that this is a valid point which must be addressed. Speedier action on the part of SAFCERT could have avoided the present disaster at least partially, although this does not in any way diminish the seriousness of the fact that an orchestrated, organized unlawful action was conducted at a very high level in the Mpumalanga Education Department in respect of the senior certification examinations. Nor does it detract from the fact that the Mpumalanga Department did not withdraw the results of its own accord once it become aware of existing irregularities, and still has not done so.

#### 80.

At the meeting of the 8 March 1999, as well as the **subsequent** meeting which took **place** on the 24th of March 1999, **SAFCERT** was **further accused** of a **political** agenda **in** the light of the **fact** that opposition parties **became aware** of **SAFCERTs** report to the Honorable Minister before this was **discussed** with the **Mpumalanga Education** Department. **Dr Calitz** surmises that word of **existing irregularities leaked** to the media following an **IPEC** meeting in January 1999, when **the results** were **termed "statistically** impossible". This **fact** was published for the **first** time in Rapport on 14 **February** 1999. **Dr Calitz** denies any **complicity** in the **leaking** of these **facts**.

The resolution of this problem falls outside the ambit of this enquiry

82.

The publicity, unfortunate though it was for the integrity of the education system, did however highlight the existence of the problem and the national Department deserves praise for the speedy and public manner in which steps were taken to address the problem, to rectify the irregularities and to ameliorate the consequences.

83

It is **disconcerting**, however, that a **provincial education** authority should regard the **statutory quality** and **assurance** body as an enemy and a **political** opponent. **This** is an issue which must be addressed by **both** bodies immediately in the interests of a **healthy education** and **examination** system.

84.

As a result of the discussions on the 8th of March 1999 and following upon further enquiries, a meeting was

arranged for the 24th of March 1999 during which I interviewed all the Mpumalanga department's officials Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court -Pretoria 3 April 1999 who had **assisted** with the **capturing** of the **marks**. **In addition**, I held further discussions with the **MEC** and top management and **confronted** top management with **allegations** that one or more of its members had been **involved** in the tampering with **examination** scripts, which allegations were **abounding** at that stage. **They** were vigorously **denied**, but during the **latter** part of the meeting a **senior official** volunteered that **moderators** had the right to **increase marks** of **candidates**. Upon my immediate enquiry as to which provision **allowed** moderators to act in this **fashion**, **reference** was made to the **examination regulations** which I have quoted above.

85.

I requested a copy thereof and arranged for a further meeting with senior officials and the moderators and examiners concerned on the 28th of March 1999.

#### 86.

It **must** be **underlined** that this statement of the senior official on the 24th of March 1999 was the **first indication**, to the best of my **knowledge**, which was ever any member of the **Mpumalanga Education Department** of the **fact** that **moderators** had been allowed **to** increase students' **marks** across the board.

At 14h00 on the 28th of March 1999, I met certain senior officials and some moderators and examiners, again in Middelburg. All persons who were interrogated were duly warned that they were not obliged to speak. Two police officers, Superintendent du Plessis and Captain Joubert of the Commercial Crime Unit, attended the meeting at my invitation as did Mr Andre Reyneke as an expert in the field of examinations.

88.

It was during this meeting that the **full** extent to which moderators had been responsible for the **unlawful** adjustment of marks was **placed** on record. As I have **already indicated**, the **explanation** for their action and the **reliance placed** on the **regulations** or the manual is entirely unconvincing.

89.

No explanation has been offered for the fact that at least one senior official (and whoever else may have been aware of the true state of affairs, including the moderators and examiners) failed to disclose the full and true facts until the 28th of March 1999, and did so only after persistent and purposeful questioning.

On the 24th of March 1999 I requested a copy of all moderators' reports which were completed&r the senior certificate examination. These I received, with the exception of the geography moderator's report. It turned out, however, that one of the history moderator's reports differed from a document purporting to be the moderators report for the same paper which had been given to Dr Calitz, when he had asked for copies of the same moderators' reports.

91.

I confronted the moderator concerned, and a senior official with this disconcerting fact. It emerged that the document **Dr Calitz** had received had not been completed or signed by the moderator.

#### 92.

Two of the original reports which were indeed **prepared** by the moderator, **exhibited** the feature that the number of the marks **which he suggested** should be added to the candidates' results **had** themselves been **changed** by a hand which the moderator **could** not **identify**. In both **cases**, the figure "2" or "20" had been changed from a "3" or "30". The moderator **stated** that this **could** have been done by himself, but he was not **certain**.

It is impossible to determine at this stage why the additional moderator's report was issued, but it must be noted that that report, for which the moderator is not responsible, did not include a recommendation that the marks should be upwardly adjusted as did the one which the moderator in fact signed. The South African Police will have to establish why SAFCERT was presented with a document which, on the&of it, appears to be a forgery.

#### 94.

In conclusion, it need only be remarked that the relevant senior official or officials, the moderators and the examiners must have been fully aware of the fact that their actions were unlawful. No other reasonable explanation exists for their continued silence in the face of the public outcry and the obvious detriment to which the Mpumalanga class of 1998- subjected as a result of the disclosure that their marks were not genuine,

#### 95.

I can now deal with the specific questions put to me by the Honorable Minister against the above background.

# THE EXTENT OF THE IRREGULARITY

96,

The extent of the irregularities perpetrate by the moderators and examiners, and covered up by a senior official or officials, against whom the strong suspicion must exist that he, she or they was/were responsible in the first instance for arranging and organizing the moderators' actions, is obviously considerable. The organized interference with the candidates' marks occurred at the one point in the system which was vulnerable to large scale tampering. Although various steps, methods and procedures have been built into the examination process to prevent the learner writing the examination from indulging in untoward conduct, nobody expected the system to be undermined by those who were employed at senior level to protect and uphold it.

#### 97.

The subsequent failure by those concerned to disclose the true state of affairs at the earliest opportunity contributed to the widening of the effect of the irregularities, as literally thousands of innocent students were informed that they had passed the senior certificate e\*tion and/or had gained a university or technikon Report upon investigations into possible irregularities In the 1 998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court -Pretoria 3 April 1999

entrance result. These will now be disappointed and subjected to serious detriment once their true marks are obtained.

98.

It must be added that the **large scale** upward adjustment of marks is not the **only irregularity** which has been **detected**. I have referral to the tampering with **examination** scripts themselves **already**. **This** may well have been perpetrate in an effort to hide the **original** untoward activities.

99.

**Furthermore**, allegations were made that some schools at **certain examination centres received generally** much lower marks than they had **received** in the **past** or had expected to **receive in** this **examination**. Primary among these appears to be the **Witbank High** School, which sent a **report** to the **Mpumalanga Education** Department of which a **copy** is annexed hereto, **marked ANNEXURE** "C".

#### 100.

During the meeting of the 28th of March 1999, a senior official alleged that there were at least prima facie indications of racism having been practised by certain examiners in the marking of exam scripts. With specific reference to the Witbank High School, the official alleged that some examiners had apparently Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court –Pretoria 3 April 1999

No. 20085 75

purposefully awarded higher marks to **learners** from schools who were predominantly **white** in **comparison** to schools which were attended **predominantly** by **black** learners.

101.

As these allegations were made in the presence of the South Mean **Police**, I **immediately requested** Superintendent **du Plessis** and **Captain Joubert** to investigate these complaints to the hilt and to ensure that any **examiner** who was guilty of **falsifying examination** remarks with a racist motive, or any other motive, be arrested and charged.

102,

During a telephonic conversation in the **late afternoon** of the 2nd of April 1999, of which I kept a handwritten note, a senior **official** of **Mpumalanga Department** charged **the SAFCERT** again with a racist agenda. This **conclusion** was based on the following **circumstances**:

102.1 In the moderation process in previous years, examination scripts which were requested for moderation were selected not by, or with reference to, the centre where the examination had been written, but with reference to the candidates and the marks which they had achieved, a practice which has been referred to before.

In this instance, however, scripts were requested for moderation with reference to centres, virtually all being centres with a predominance of black students who would then, if irregularities were detected, be the victims of the process rather than the pupils at those centres which were not moderated,

While I understand the concern, I trust that the SAFCERT investigation, which is nearing completion, will deal with the marks achieved by all students. This is certainly the assurance which I have been given by SAFCERT'S CEO.

When I raised this issue with Dr Calitz, he informed me that the provisional investigation (SAFCERT's report is not yet finalized) conducted by him had indicated that marks of those learners who attended former Model C schools had been adjusted to a considerably lesser extent than those of learners who attended other schools in all the subjects which had been investigate so far. Dr Calitz gave the assurance that there was no bias in SAFCERT's approach and that the only yardstick which was adopted, was that of a thorough statistical investigation of all marks which had been improperly adjusted.

102.2 Scripts were not requested for minor subjects, but for those subjects which are popular and consequently have a predominance of black pupils. Subjects such as technical drawing, where across the board increase of marks occurred, were not requested for moderation. Again, the advantaging of white students appeared to have been a motive.

I also raised this issue with Dr Calitz and was given the assurance that, particularly in the light of these concerns, all subjects would be investigate and no particular group or subject would be ignored in the moderation process. He stated further that, in fact, no adjustment of marks occurred in the subject technical drawing.

102.3 Mr Talbot had remarked on the 28th of December 1998 that he was amazed that there had been no black pupils in this examination which had received a "O" or zero for history. This issue was also addressed in the meeting of SAFCERT of the 21st of January 1999, ANNEXURE "B". Again, I am given the assurance that this approach was motivated by the statistician's concern, and not by any racial motive.

After proper adjustment, there will be candidates who receive a "O" in the subject.

I have conveyed to **Dr Calitz** that it is essential that the **concern** that **SAFCERT** might be biased must be **addressed** fully in **SAFCERT's** report in order to ensure that its objectivity, **fairness** and **equal treatment** of every **learner** in the **country** is beyond question. I trust that these **concerns** will be **fully** addressed **and** inclusively **laid** to rest.

103.

The other irregularities set out above will have to be revered by the police investigation.

# F. <u>WHO IS AFFECTED BY THE IRREGULARITIES</u>?

### 104.

There can be no doubt that the entire South African education and examination system, as well as its standardization and quality control procedure are directly affected by the irregularities. Defalcation of results on an organized and large scale such as the one under discussion here, has an impact not only upon the province concerned, but upon the entire national system, both nationally and internationally. The potential harm that has been done by this action is immense. Swift and transparent action of the nature taken by Minister Bengu was essential to prevent permanent discreditation of the South African education and examination system. The decisive steps taken by the Honorable Minister met with approval by all representative bodies with whom I consulted and were obviously necessary to reestablish the trust in the system as soon as possible.

105.

Learners and students as well as the institutions of higher learning are affected by the publication of the

incorrect results. Apart from these, employers who have appointed learners on the strength of a senior Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court – Pretoria 3 April 1999 certificate to which the new employee may not be entitled, will be prejudiced as are those learners who are still attempting to find employment. Every matriculant who wrote the 1998 Mpumalanga senior certificate examination will in fact be adversely affected in some way or another, as being one of the Mpumalanga class of 1998- by the false examination results. Obviously, everybody supporting a learner or student, such as parents, family, friends and others, as well as the schools at which the learners studied, are affected directly or indirectly.

106.

A group which has been irreversibly **prejudiced**, but which will be very **difficult** if not impossible to **identify**, are those **learners** who **intended** to enter a **technikon** or university **and** would have **qualified** but for the **fact** that the **available place** at the institution was filled by a **Mpumalanga** student who ostensibly **qualified** for admission and did not do so in **fact**. Those **learners** who were part of the **large number** of students **who** bad to be turned away from **technikons** in particular (**Pretoria Technikon turned** away 14000 **applicants**) will never be **identified**, but they **certainly suffered**.

# G. THE PERSON OR PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE IRREGULARITY

107

It is **clear** from the investigations **conducted** to date that at **least** one senior **official** of the **Mpumalanga Education Department**, and possibly others as well as a number of other employees in positions of **trust** were responsible for the **irregularities** which have been **discovered**. **Their** identity **cannot** be disclosed at this **stage** as the police investigation is not **completed**.

# H. <u>THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE IRREGULARITIES</u>

108.

It is **clear** that **all** learners in **Mpumalanga** have been **affected and** tainted by the stain **that** they belong to the **class of** 1998.

109.

At this stage thee= number of learners who will be affected by the unlawful adjustment of marks is not hewn. This will be determined by the SAFCERT report. It appears probable, however, that the majority of learners in Mpumalanga will see their marks lowered to a greater or lesser extent, depending on their choice of subjects.

110.

This may have serious consequences for every single one of them, in particular those who gained entrance to

higher education -ens on the strength of a result which indicated a university entrance or technikon Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court -Pretoria 3 April 1999 admission result, or who obtained employment on the strength of a certificate which may now in reality not be awarded at all.

### 111.

The above average candidates who gained admission to higher education institutions, and whose university entrance qualification will not be affected by a lowering of their marks, should experience no problems in continuing their studies. It may be, however, that such a student may lose a bursary or loan which is only awarded to above average candidates. It is impossible to estimate at this stage how many individual maybe affected in this fashion. I would urge those bodies which grant bursaries and loans to be lenient toward students who may now no longer qualify for assistance which may be essential for their continued studies, and to grant the holder of the bursary or loan the opportunity to prove himself or herself.

112.

The next category of learners who will be adversely affected are those who on their published marks gained a university entrance result which is now no longer the case. Such a candidate would normally not be admitted to a university, but could, under extraordinary circumstances, possibly be admitted under the provisions of paragraph 23 of Government Notice No 1586 of the 28th of November 1997, issued by the Committee of University Principals in regard to the requirements and conditions for senior certificate endorsement and the issuing of certificates of exemption, as amended on the 27th of November 1998. This would mean that a Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge of the High Court – Pretoria

<sup>3</sup> April 1999

candidate who would not normally comply with all the renditions of a senior certificate examination endorsement might be admitted conditionally, subject to obtaining the outstanding qualifications which would meet the senior certificate exemption renditions. I suggest that all universities who have admitted candidates falling into this category should be urged to follow this course.

### 113.

The third and fourth categories are learners who are enrolled at universities who do not qualify for a senior certificate exemption at roll, or who have in fact failed their senior certificate examination. In both instances, admission to a university would normally not be granted, but according to Mr Lötter, the representative of the South African Universities Vice Chancellors' Association, universities can, in terms of paragraph 31 and with the consent of the Joint Matriculation Board, grant an extraordinary exemption to these candidates and allow them to remain at the university and assess them at the end of the \*semester or at the end of the first year, provided that the university concerned has a support program enabling the student to supplement the qualification he has not yet obtained. On the strength of such a support program and assessment a student could be allowed to continue his or her studies.

114.

Although this would amount to an extraordinary concession to students who were enrolled on the strength of their incorrect marks, I suggest that the Department of Education urge all universities to adopt this approach toward students in this group.

#### 115.

Obviously, **all** universities do offer study assistance and bridging courses which may well be **required** for many of these students; such **assistance** would be greatly appreciated.

116.

The technikons may find themselves in a somewhat different position. In terms of section 76 of the Higher Education Act, Act 101 of 1997, the Technikons Act, Act 125 of 1993, is r-din its entirety.

117.

Section 74(6) of the Higher Education Act does provide, however, that

"the joint statutes and joint regulations and rules made in terms of the Technikons Act, 1993 continue

to exist until the date/s contemplated in subsection (2) of this section."

Subsection (2) in turn reads as follows:

"In terms of subsection (3) the Committee of University Principals, the Matriculation Board and the Committee of Technikon Principals cease to exist as statutory bodies on a date or dates to be determined by the Minister in the Gazette."

### 119,

No such date has yet been determined, with a result that the admission requirements prescribed by the Committee of Technikon Principals in terms of section 5(e) of the Technikons Act still apply. Requirements for admission to study at a technikon are consequently determined in the joint statutes. The joint statutes in turn prescribe in paragraph 6(1) thereof, read with section 26(1) and 26(3) of the Technikons Act, that a senior certificate issued in terms of section 9 of the South African Certification Council Act, a national senior certificate or an equivalent qualification must have be obtain by the student to gain entry to a technikon.

120.

No equivalent to paragraph 23 or paragraph 31, which allows universities to enroll students under Special

exemptions and conditions, exists for technikons.

From a strictly legalistic point of view, students who have failed the examination on a re-adjustment of their marks would consequently have to leave a technikon. Mr Nico Stofberg, the representative of the Committee of Technikon Principals, indicated as much during the discussions that I held with the representatives of the Higher Education Institutions.

### 122.

**Technikons would, according** to **Mr Stofberg**, offer to reimburse the fees which such students had paid as a matter of **course**, and would repay a pro rata **portion** of the hostel fees.

### 123.

I suggest that the **national Department explore** ways and **means** with the **technikons** to allow **students** who were **enrolled** on the strength of their **incorrect marks** to remain at the **technikon**, should they wish to do so, at **least** for the **first** semester or the **first** year, and to give them **an** opportunity during this period to obtain a senior **certificate**, possibly by arranging for a **special** supplementary **examination** for these students in the winter holidays. **Alternatively**, a **final decision** of their **future** at a **technikon could** possibly be **delayed** until the end of this **year**, or early in 2000, **once** such students have had **an** opportunity to sit for the senior

certificate examination again.

It is clear that many people have **suffered** not **only emotional** stress, but potentially serious **financial** consequences. This would be particularly the case if students had in fact to be turned away from universities or **technikons** as a **result** of the **correction** of their **marks**.

125

The potential litigation that may arise from the irregularities cannot be foreseen at this stage. It is quite possible that parents, unsuccessful learners and students who have to leave an institution might decide to sue the authorities and in particular the. Mpumalanga Education Department and possibly SAFCERT or the individuals involved, for damages. Obviously, every authority which is sued, could join the perpetrators of the irregularities as codefendants.

### 126.

The Mpumalanga Education Department might be well advised to obtain the services of an arbitration institution, such as the Arbitration Foundation of South Africa or of the Arbitrators' Association, to assist in the settlement of potential claims which maybe instituted.

As another consequence of the irregularities, the national Department of Education decided to resume direct control of the supplementary examinations presently conducted in Mpumalanga. This power was exercised in terms of the provisions of the National Education Policy Act, Act 27 of 1996 and the Further Education and Training Act, Act 98 of 1998.

# I. **THE STEPS** TO BE **TAKEN** TO **ENSURE THE INTEGRITY** OF **THE** SYSTEM

128.

Once the **irregularities** were **discovered**, urgent steps were **required** to protect the **integrity** of the system. **The transparent** and high profile steps which the Honorable Minister of **Education** and the **national** Department took to **support** the **SAFCERT** enquiry and the police investigation and to arrange for my appointment have done much, I believe, to **protect** the integrity of the system. **This has** been acknowledged by **all interested** parties.

### 129,

Further steps need however to be taken to ensure adequate protection of the system. The first of these must be that the Department of Education in Mpumalanga must institute departmental and disciplinary steps against those persons responsible for the irregularities, and in particular the senior official or officials and the moderators and examiners who have already been identified as participants in the unlawful activities.

The police investigation must be concluded as soon as possible and, should a prima facie case of fraud or forgery and uttering be established, prosecutions of the perpetrators of the irregularities should follow. In this connection, the national Department should publicly request the Director of Public Prosecutions to give priority to these matters and should also offer to present evidence in regard to an appropriate sentence should a conviction follow. Prima facie I am of the view that in case of conviction a considerable term of imprisonment would be the appropriate punishment to be imposed.

131,

In addition, statutory and regulatory steps will have to be taken to prevent a repetition of the irregularities. These are discussed below.

# J. HOW ARE THE CANDIDATES' RIGHTS TO BE PROTECTED?

132.

It is clear that the **learners** who sat the **Mpumalanga** senior **certificate examination** are entirely innocent of the **irregularities discussed** in this **report**.

133.

In order to ensure that their rights are properly pm-it must first be understood what these rights are.

134,

The candidates have, in the first instance, an obvious right to be properly educated and to be given the opportunity of writing an examination that has been fairly set, covers the curriculum that has been taught and is of an appropriate standard. Furthermore, a candidate has the right to be properly tested, to have the examination scripts properly marked and assessed and to be given a fair mark correctly reflecting the level of

his or her performance. Thereafter, a candidate has the right, if properly qualified, to be considered for admission to an institution of further or higher education.

135.

A learner does not have a clear right to be admitted to an institution of higher education, compare

# Motala & Another v University of Natal 1995(3) BCLR 374 (D)

but clearly has a right to be fairly **considered according** to appropriate selection criteria for **admission** to such an institution.

136.

Obviously, a learner has all the other rights enshrined in the Constitution's Bill of Rights and in the South African Schools Act, Act 84 of 1996.

### 137.

This means that the candidates are entitled, inter alia, to fair administrative action, equal treatment and a

protection of their right to learn.

138

Every learner and his family have the right not to be prejudiced by a delict and not to be victims of dishonest, unfair and discriminatory administrative actions.

139

It is questionable whether, from a strictly legalistic point of view, a student who has been admitted to an **institution** of higher **education** on **the** strength of a senior certificate which, through no **fault** of his own, reflects incorrect marks, is **entitled** to remain at an institution which would have refused him or her admission if the senior certificate had reflected the correct marks.

140

There can be no doubt, however, that a candidate who was brought under the false impression that his or her marks were better than they are, has a right to be treated fairly now that the true facts have come to light.

### 141.

I have already adverted above to the probable consequences that may result from actions which institutions of

higher education may feel compelled to take once the candidate's correct marks are known. If the strict letter Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Seder Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court – Pretoria 3 April 1999 94 No. 20085

of the law is applied, some institutions may **feel constrained** to exclude those students who have in **fact** failed **the** senior **certificate** examination.

#### 142.

I hope that it will be possible to **make special** arrangements for these students who are the innocent victims of the **unlawful** action of others, along the **lines suggested** above.

143.

The course I suggest would be in accordance with the unanimous recommendation I have received from education authorities, student representative bodies, parent representative organizations, governing bodies' representative organizations and others, including SAFCERT and the Mpumalanga Education Department. It is clear that, to a certain extent, a student who actually failed the examination and is now given an opportunity to prove herself or himself on the strength of his or her performance during the first semester or first year at a higher education institution will ironically be given an extraordinary advantage should my proposals be accepted. This is preferable, however, to the alternative of summarily terminating the student's enrollment. I fully appreciate that the appeal to allow the students an extraordinary chance to continue a further or tertiary education, involves financial commitments on the part of the Hens concerned, but I trust that the additional resources which are required to accommodate these students will have a smaller impact upon the

institutions than summary dismissal would have upon the students.

I have already referral to those candidates, who, had it not been for the admission of ostensibly properly qualified students from Mpumalanga, might have gained entrance to an institution of higher education or further education, but were refused because of the fact that the institution had no further capacity to admit them. The rights of these potential students have been negatively affected, albeit tangentially. It would be impossible, however, to identify the individuals concerned, although some student may still sue either the Mpumalanga Education Department, SAFCERT, the individuals involved or an institution of higher education of higher education for damages or other relief as a result of the effect which the irregularities have had on him or her.

# K <u>STEPS TO BE TAKEN TO AVOID A REPETITION</u>

145.

The irregularities which were perpetrated could be committal because the system was simply not designed to prevent unlawful action on the part of persons who had been appointed to protect and guard and properly apply the education and examination system.

146,

When doubts were raised at the end of December 1998 about the Mpumalanga results, the authorities, and in particular the national Department and SAFCERT as well as the Mpumalanga Education Department were faced with a classical "Catch 22" situation, Had it been decided to withhold publication of the marks beyond the deadline of the 7th of January 1999 in order to finalize the moderation process, the resultant public outcry and negative effect upon the system may have been as severe, if not worse, than that which the later discovery of the irregularities had after publication of the results. Such a situation must be avoided in future.

147,

A repetition of the irregularities may at first blush seem to be unlikely given the high profile which the national Department's reaction has assured,

148.

Procedures must however decidedly be put in place to ensure that a similar irregularity cannot be perpetrated upon the system in future.

149.

In the first instance, I would recommend that regulations should be promulgated determining@ in order to eliminate human error and tampering, a duplication of the counting and capturing of examination marks should be introduced as obligatory in all provinces. Two independent teams should each add and capture the marks and thereby ensure that there is a built-in control of the result.

150.

In addition, SAFCERT and the examining authority should not only have the power, but also the duty to

prevent the publication of results which at first blush appear to be suspect.

The SAFCERT Act and regulations should be amended to determine that the examining authority and SAFCERT must, after consultation with one another in the standardization process, independently certify that they are satisfied that the results are genuine before publication thereof may take place.

152

SAFCERT should also re-arrange its schedules and programs in order to be able to execute its monitoring function, if necessary, prior to the publication of the senior certificate examination results.

153.

Finally, I am aware of the fact that the present investigation and this report will have a severe effect upon the Mpumalanga Education Department, which has already suffered a great deal because of the adverse publicity which it and its MEC, Mr Mabuza, have received.

154.

I believe that the Mpumalanga Education Department's present capacity, which will be subjected to further strain as a result of this report and the potential further steps that may follow, will require support of the Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court-Pretoria 3 April 1999 nature which the national Department is rendering at the moment in respect of the supplementary examinations. I recommend that such support be given until such time as matters have returned to normal and the Mpumalanga Education Department is in a position to resume its ordinary functions.

155,

SAFCERT and the Mpumalanga Education Department should convene a joint meeting as soon as possible to clear the air and to determine procedures which will ensure co-operation and create mutual trust in the interest of the education and examination system as a whole.

### CONCLUSION

### 156.

I thank the Honourable Minister for the privilege bestowed upon me to be able to play a part in this investigation. I am certain that the speedy reaction of the national Department and the public manner in which the Honorable Minister took decisive steps to protect the system have done a great deal to prevent further harm.

157.

I would like to thank the officials of the national Department and of the Mpumalanga Education Department and in particular my secretary Mrs Elize Paton and Ms M Locke of the Directorate Legal Services of the national Department for their support, assistance and encouragement - as well as the many hours of overtime which were put into ensure the timeous finalization of this report.

E faite

EBERHARD BERTELSMANN SC ACTING JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT JUDGES' CHAMBERS PRETORIA 3 APRIL 1999



Annexure A

# MPUMALANGA EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

# **INTERNAL MODERATOR'S REPORT**

Kindly forward this report within three (3) days after completion of the examination session to: The Subdirectorate Examinations, Private Bag X1 855, Middelburg, 1050. Claims for marking of a specific paper will not be paid unless this report is returned.

	Mark with a "X"	_	Y	Y	М	М
1.	Examination FULL-TIME PRIVATE	19				1
2.	Course: (Std10/STD/NTC, etc)					
3.	Subject:	Gra	ade:	_ Pa	aper:	
4.	Is the marking of the examiner up to standard?					
5.	Is the marking consistent?					
6.	Is the marking of the examiner recommended for acceptance?					
7.	If the replies to be foregoing are <b>not</b> in the affirmative, what as recommended?			arks is		
8.	Reasons for the recommendation at 7					
9.	General remarks					

Annexure B

### SOUTH AFRICAN CERTIFICATION COUNCIL

MINUTES OF AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE S A CERTIFICATION COUNCIL HELD ON 21 AND 22 JANUARY 1999, AT THE KEMPTON PARK CONFERENCE CENTRE

**1.** OPENING

The Chairperson welcomed all members, the meeting was constituted and he declared the meeting open. **Mr Moll** was requested to take the minutes of the meeting.

2. MEMBERS PRESENT

Dr M Nkomo (Chairperson)	Prof E M Lemmer
Mr M Andrew	Dr N Mgijima
Prof A J H Buitendacht	Mr I Moll
Prof C R M Dlamini	Dr J A Myburgh
Mr A A Essop	Dr S G Nyawuza
Prof L P Fatti	Dr F M Orkin
Prof P Kota	Mr B Shipalana
Dr F Calitz (Executive Officer)	Mr B Schreuder (observer)

3. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Prof I Bellis, Messrs J A C Botha and S J Mkhwanazi. Messrs T B Khunyeli and D Kennedy had resigned as they were no longer in the service of the Free State and Western Cape Education Departments. Since Mr Kennedy had resigned as a member of the Council, the MEC of the Western Cape nominated Mr B Schreuder as a member of the Council. The Minister had not yet confirmed his appointment, but the Chairperson was of the opinion that this would be a mere formality. It was consequently decided that Mr Schreuder be invited to attend the Strategic Planning meeting of the Council as an observer. Mr Schreuder offered to recuse himself from the extraordinary meeting but Council decided to grant him observer status at this meeting as well.

4. CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA

h was agreed that the extraordinary meeting would discuss just one item, viz the report of the external moderator in Biology, Mrs A A Crowe, on the moderation of a sample of scripts from the Mpumalanga Education Department.

### 5. MODERATION OF A SAMPLE OF THE 1998 BIOLOGY HG SCRIPTS FROM MPUMALANGA EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The Executive Officer presented the moderator's report (attached) and a written report on his own follow-up of the matter (also attached). He mentioned that he (on behalf of Safcert) had expressed his surprise at the results achieved in certain subjects at the standardisation meeting, but that, in the light of assurances received from the Province relating to study guides and intensive support of Grade twelve candidates, had accepted them in good faith. He also pointed out that Prof Lotz, who had attended the Mpumalanga standardisation meeting on behalf of the central Department of Education, had reported back his concern about the Mpumalanga results to the Minister.

The Executive Officer went on to explain his analysis of the scripts incorporated in the sample, and circulated examples for' the attention of Council members. There were indications of cover pages having been replaced and of marks apparently having been altered. These initial indications led him to proceed to Mpumalanga to obtain more scripts, which request had been denied. He stressed that he had been following a procedure laid down in the Council's regulations.

Discussion ensued as to why the problem with the results had not been picked up in the standardisation meeting on the basis of statistical evidence. Prof Fatti pointed out that it was not possible to pick up the overall pass rate on evidence presented in the standardisation meeting, since overall results calculations had not been carried out at that stage. Mr Moll pointed out that **Safcert** administrative procedures were not tight enough during the standardisation process, in particular with regard to the recording and official sanction of decisions. Provinces kept their own records, however informally, but there was no formal Safcert documentation on decisions reached. Safcert therefore had to accept its share of the blame for the situation that had risen Dr Mgijima pointed out that Safcert had received in Mpumalanga. Mpumalanga documents relating to ogiving on 23 December, five days before the standardisation meeting, which should have qiven Safcert sufficient time to pick up the problem. In response the Executive Officer emphasised that although there had been nothing technically wrong with the results, he had realised that another kind of investigation would be necessary to determine the full cause of the improved raw marks.

At this stage a Mr Mello arrived at the meeting, and introduced himself as the Mpumalanga representative to the meeting. He apologised for being late indicating that he had lost his way. He mentioned that the MEC had nominated him to attend the meeting and to give an input to the Council on the matters under debate. Mr Mello's status at the meeting was discussed and the Chairperson said that Council members were appointed by the Minister and did not represent a province. He also ruled that no provision was made for a proxy. However, given that Mr Mello was present, the Chairperson invited him to put Mpumalanga's case to the meeting as a "guest. It was agreed that while the written input (attached) would be recorded in the minutes, it would not be deemed to be a formal response from Mpumalanga. Mr Mello concluded by saying that he did not receive fair treatment at the meeting, that the meeting was arrogant towards him and that he felt degraded by the casual manner in which he was treated. He regarded the process as not in the interests of Mpumalanga.

The Chairperson thanked Mr Mello for his contribution, but differed strongly with Mr Mello's contention that the reception afforded to him had been indecent, casual or arrogant. He added that the Council had not known that Mr Mello would be attending the meeting, and that Mr Mello's characterisation of the meeting was not accurate. He assured Mr Mello that Council would take what he had said very seriously and would discharge its responsibility in relation to it in terms of its Act. The Chairperson also requested Mr Mello not to convey to his colleagues that the members were indecent, as that was far from the truth.

After further long and in-depth discussions on this '<sup>ssue</sup> 'he Council unanimously

- a) noted a moderator's report on the 1998 Mpumalanga Biology HG examinations which indicated irregularities in some of the scripts. This was supported by an inspection by Council of scripts from a sample of forty-five taken for post facto checking, most of which had apparently been tampered with.
- b) took note of the concerns raised by the Mpumalanga Education Department regarding the role and procedures applied by SAFCERT officials during the prior consideration of the 1998 results in Mpumalanga.
- c) noted the view that the publication of results (by contrast with the certification) is the responsibility of the respective Education Departments or examining bodies.
- d) expressed the belief that a detailed investigation was necessary to establish the extent of the possible problem (as well as the possible impact of a continuous-assessment component on the marks, and improved educational practices by the Department), and to check whether the integrity of the examination in Mpumalanga might have been compromised.
- e) resolved to establish a committee to undertake the investigation, to report to the Council as **soon** as **possible**, for Council to consider its findings and decide upon their wider implications, if any. The Committee would comprise
  - \* Dr M Nkomo Chairman of Council
  - \* Dr N Mgijima National Department of Education representative
  - \* Prof P Fatti Statistics Committee representative
  - \* **Prof P Kota Moderators** Committee representative
  - \* Dr F Calitz Executive Officer.

The committee would have the authority to take the necessary legal opinions.

f) agreed to supply the Mpumalanga Department with the report it requested of the irregularities encountered during the moderation and subsequent inspection of the sampled Biology HG scripts.

- g) decided to request that Mpumalanga Department allow the Council's committee or its appointed officers
  - \* to have direct access to the answer scripts and computerised or other records for Biology **HG** and such other subjects as they may decide, so that the committee may undertake the above investigation;
  - \* to remove such scripts as may be necessary for the inspection of the Council.
- h) further resolved to undertake a general review of the validation and other procedures of SAFCERT (including consideration of the sequence of events in this instance as an important example), towards clarifying and formalizing its relationship with provincial education departments and other education authorities.

The Council also decided that the Committee should finalise the report to the **Mpumalanga** Education Department, and that the Executive Officer should alert **Dr Ihron Rensburg of the central Department of Education of the fact that an investigation would be continuing.** 

#### MODERATION OF 1998 SENIOR CERTIFICATE SCRIPTS : MPUMALANGA

When the Mpumalanga Education Department announced very much improved results for the 1998 Senior Certificate examinations the Executive Officer decided to set the process 2(e) denotes of answer scripts in terms of the Council's regulation 2(e) (ii) into motion as soon as possible. Samples of specific candidates in Biology HG & SG, History HG, Business Economics SG, Economics HG and Geography HG were drawn, and their examination numbers were supplied to Mpumalanga with the request that their scripts be made available for moderation. It should be noted that the raw marks in the six subjects mentioned were considerably higher than in previous years, as can be seen from the details for Biology HG which are attached on pages 3 and 4.

The report from Mrs A Crowe, the moderator for Biology HG, is given on pages 5 and 6. The Executive Officer noted the concern expressed under point 2, and examined the scripts himself. There were indications that they were not the scripts of the candidates nominated for the sample, but the scripts of other candidates from which the cover pages were removed and replaced by different cover pages bearing the examination numbers and centre numbers of the candidates originally selected. In addition there were indications that marks were added to the marks which candidates obtained in the examination before these marks were entered into the computer.

The Executive Officer felt that in order to confirm or allay the suspicions aroused by these indications it was necessary to look at some more scripts, but without the intervention of any officials involved in the drawing of the original samples. He visited the Department for this purpose, but could not get access to the scripts. He was informed by the Head of the Department and the MEC for Education that they were of the opinion that they should first be 'iven a report on the moderation of the scripts already moderated Defore further steps flowing from that report could be taken. The MEC also indicated to him that this report should not come from him as an individual, but should be a report from the Council.

A telephonic report from Prof S L Barnard, the moderator for History, was similar to Mrs Crowe's report, and he also mentioned indication of tampering with the scripts.

Continuing with the process of selecting samples, of scripts for moderation the Executive Officer requested the scripts of specified candidates in Afrikaans and English Second Language HG, History SG, Mathematics HG & SG, Biblical Studies HG & SG, Business Economics HG, Economics SG, Agricultural Science SG, Physical Science HG and Geography SG. The scripts for Business Economics HG, Agricultural Science SG, Biblical Studies HG and Economics SG have been delivered already. Amongst the scripts for Business Economics HG there are two scripts, one in English and one in Afrikaans, both with examination number 7907175147080 and centre number 8771 and a mark of 219, but with different questions answered. Also amongst the scripts for Business Economics HG there is a second pair of scripts, one in English and one in Afrikaans, both with centre number 8759 and examination number 8006050060085. Of this second pair the English script has a mark of 248, while the Afrikaans one has a mark of 248 which was amended to 266 in pencil, possibly when the addition of marks was checked. On the computer system candidate number 8006050060085, has a mark of 248. Both these candidates wrote Afrikaans First Language HG, so that the Afrikaans scripts are probably the authentic ones.

Amongst the scripts for Economics SG there are two scripts, both in Finglish, both with examination number 1822854 and centre number 1469 and a mark of 128, but with different questions answered.

These duplications are obvious evidence of tampering with the scripts, and are lending credibility to the allegations mentioned earlier. There is no apparent reason why it was necessary to tamper with the 'scripts for Business Economics HG and Economics SG. In the case of Biology HG, and perhaps History HG, it seems likely to assume that the tampering was done to conceal some irregularity, and one possibility is that it is the fact that marks were added to the marks which candidates obtained in the examination.

At a press conference on the 1998 Senior Certificate results the Minister of Education indicated that he did not intend becoming involved in the issue around the improved results of **Mpumalanga**, but that it was the task of the Certification Council to ensure that the results **Were** correct. With these indications that an irregularity might have occurred, the Council should consider how the matter is to be investigated further.

A short meeting was quickly arranged wherein the Department raised professional concerns in the handling of this matter. The Department felt that it deserved to be treated with recognition, respect and trust. In that meeting the Department requested SAFCERT to table a progress report on the scripts already collected. It was finally agreed that a progress report would be tabled as requested.

In a closed **meeting** between the **MEC** for **Education** and the CEO of **SAFCERT** an agreement was reached that the progress report be tabled by the following day (**Friday**, 8 January 1999 at 17h00) at Middelburg.

From previous interactions the MEC was concerned that subsequent communications with the CEO should be with the mandate of the Council. He thus requested that the report should be from the Council.

### THE CONCERN OF THE DEPARTMENT

The CEO of SAFCERT received the statistics five days before the ogiving date i.e. 23/1 2/98, which gave him ample time to study and understand both the statistics and comparison tables. For continuous assessment and written examination.

On the ogiving date which was the 28/1 2/98 the CEO raised concerns around the following areas:-

•Continuos assessment marks for Biology (\*\*\*\*). Higher Grade and Standard Grade.

. That there were no learners who scored a zero (0) mark in History both Higher Grade and Standard Grade.

The Department agreed that the **continuous** assessment marks in Biology could be removed; but the CEO indicated that there is no need to do that for **the1998** examinations but that an investigation needs to be made in pursuit of standardizing these marks. He then visited the system's section to make sure that the adjustments were made as he wanted. The CEO and his entourage left the Department saying that the process was satisfactory. The remark made was that there will be a slight increase in the pass rate. **We were** also concerned that the increase was not slight as projected by the statisticians.

On the evening of the 30 December 1998 Mr Mello, the Administrative secretary to the MEC was phoned by Mr Mseleku - D.D.G. Human Resource and Labour Relations in the National Department of Education. Mr Mseleku indicated that SAFCERT seems to be concerned with the Mpumalanga results. A matter which was never raised with the Department at any point. Mr Mello took the matter up with both the HOD and the MEC the following day(31 December 1998). It concerned us that he had ample time to study the statistics

RELEASE OF 98 STD 10

and detect problems, raise them **at** the **ogiving** meeting what were the problems. In the Department via if he was not reaching consensus with the delegated officials he should have sought the intervention of their principals

The Department, through the HOD tried to make arrangements with the CEO to attend to his concerns but the CEO still maintained that the Department could proceed with the announcement as arranged for Monday, 4 January 1999, Various options were muted without any taken by the CEO. The process of moderation which would have taken place much later was brought forward to check what could be the cause of the increase. This is a normal procedure after examinations are over and has no impact usually on the results. The Department agreed to comply with SAFCERT on that process.

## 4.1. THE DEPARTMENT HAS PROBLEMS WITH THE FOLLOWING.

- . That the CEO did not raise his concerns **officially** with the HOD not the **MEC**, but the Department heard telephonically through the National Department of Education.
- . That the CEO was given the second chance to attempt to intervene and **satisfy** himself on the 31 December 1998 but mislead the Department by saying there is nothing wrong with the results.

The Department's assessment is that the mability to standardize and correctly project the impact of the implementation of CASS is being clouded by other processes (such as unusual moderation) which makes us to loose focus on where all the abnormalised should have been normalised and made" acceptable" that is now placing their inability to standardized the results as a departmental problem whilst it is in fact their problem.

**SAFCERT** has had the **opportunity** to standardize the results both on the 28 December 1998 and on the31 December **after** they have raised their concerns through the National Department and the Department has offered an opportunity to reassess the situation on 2 January 1999 or somewhere during the first week of January but not later **than** 7 January 1999 as raised earlier.

# 5. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The focus in the view of the Department should be on the failure to normalise the result or since the matter was so sensitive and important even alerting the principals on the situation and its consequences as statisticians.

Any examining authority can experience a number of problems, difficulties and flaws in the **running** of an examination process. At times papers might leak, the examination question papers could **be** too difficult or too easy, markers could be very lenient or strict, there is ever so many irregularities which might occur in this process to this end.

Through legislation the National Minister has put **SAFCERT incharge** of the Standardization of the examination results.

With the introduction of continuous assessment for Grade 12 in **Mpumalanga**, which was submitted to the CEO, there should have been special attention paid to how it impacts on the entire examination. \* such the options given to the CEO **after** the results were calculated should have been implemented if the Department had the authority to do so, but this was treated casually by the CEO.

It is very unfortunate that communication from the Council does not go through line-function as such the Head of Department is kept in the dark but has to contend with handling these issues in the **public** as they arise. We recommend that the formal lines be utilised and other structures **in** the Department be acknowledged e.g. the Provincial Examination Board in **which SAFCERT** is represented. The Board has sub-committees such as Irregularities Committee, Moderators Committee etc.

The Department would finally like to complement the approach adopted by the National Department of Education in relation to this matter. We welcome all advises on how to approach this matter in view of how it impacts on the lives of learners, parents and the community in general.

We hope that your good **office** will find this information **useful** and of value as you deal with this matter at the National level.

Kind regards

HEAD OF DEPARTMENT MRS F T SITHOLE

20/01/1999.

Annexure C



# Mitbank High School

 Tel. School (0135)
 6566444

 Fax:
 (0135)
 6566444

Tel Hostel (0135) 6564893

Beatty Avenue P.O Box 909 WITBANK 1035

1999-03-08

Mr Lukas Mello Deputy Director General of Education Private Bag X25 1863 MIDDELBURG 1050

# RE PROBLEMS WITH MATMCULATION MARKS

### BACKGROUND

- 1. Upon release of the 1998 matric results it was clearly apparent that the Biology results for our school were wholly incorrect.
- <sup>7</sup> The Higher Grade average was almost 20% below what is usually attained
- 3 The marks of individual pupils were also clearly incorrect. For instance, Mohamed Suliman obtained distinctions for his other six subjects but was given a D Symbol for Biology.
- 4. This was brought to the attention of Department officials immediately but they were unwilling to assist in rectifying the error
- 5 Owing to the fact that Mohamed Suliman was one of the Top Three students in Mpumalanga and with persistent pressure from his parents the Department changed his mark from a D Symbol to an A Symbol and instated him as the Top Achiever in Mpumalanga.

- 6. The **Department** was, however, not willing to investigate the remainder of our pupils' Biology marks.
- 7. A letter dated 1999-01-13 sent to Mrs Ndlovana with regard to this matter remains unanswered to date.
- 8. A number of the affected pupils then applied for a remark of their **papers**.
- 9. The remarks have since been released and there were no changes made.

# **POINTS OF CONCERN:**

- 1. It is universally clear that the Biology marks of this school were either tampered with by the **Department**, or the papers were **woefully** in-adequately marked in the first place.
- 2. There are a number of **ex-Model** C schools in **Mpumalanga** with the same problem.
- 3. The Department was willing to change **Mohamed Suliman's** mark but unwilling to investigate the concerns of other pupils.
- 4. Our **pupils** have been negatively affected in that some have failed to be awarded **bursaries** which they would have got if the correct Biology marks had been on their matriculation results, and some have been denied access to their preferred **field** of study because of the marks mix-up.
- 5. Pupils had to pay **R48.00** for a **re-mark** where no changes in their marks were forthcoming. We find this extremely **difficult** to believe.
- 6. Mrs Andrew, our Biology teacher, is without doubt one of the finest Biology
   teachers in Mpumalanga, if not in South Africa. Her record over thirty years of teaching speaks for itself. She has also been closely involved in the matric Biology administration in Mpumalanga, and as chief Marker has prepared the memorandum for the final examination used by the markers.
- 7. The pupils write three full length examinations at our school during their matric year, before writing the Departmental exam. The standard of these exams is on a par with, if not more strict, than the **final** examination. The results achieved during 1998 were in line with our previous record **and** are another reason why the results obtained by our pupils are viewed with such incredulity.
- 8. We can accept that the marks of certain candidates were adjusted upwards, but we cannot accept that marks have been taken away from our school to do so.

# OUR URGENT APPEAL:

- 1. We as the Governing Body of the school urgently request that an investigation be instituted as a matter of urgency into:
  - i) the Biology results themselves, and
  - ii) the administration of the marks and how the adjustments were made.
- 2. We furthermore urgently request you to allow Mrs Andrew and the parents concerned to view a few of the problem candidates' scripts in order that justice might be seen to have been done.
- 3. As a separate issue we have grave misgivings concerning the integrity of the entire **re-marking** process. We do not believe that the process takes place in a manner which is fair and reasonable towards the learners.

These matters are extremely serious and of great concern, not only to the affected learners, but also to the total parent community who are demanding immediate action.

Your urgent reply would be appreciated.

Yours faithfully

GE NURCOMBE-THORNE CHAIRMAN GOVERNING BODY

cc Judge Advocate
 Minister of Education
 Premier of Mpumalanga
 MEC Education of Mpumalanga Acting Director Management &
 Examinations
 Snr Deputy Chief Education Specialist
 Witbank Circuit 1

E Bertelsman Prof S Bengu Mr M Phosa Mr D Mabuza

Mrs G Ndlovana

Mr I M Matsobane

# ANNEXURE :

A few of the affected learners and their examination numbers:

Mohamed Suliman	A81033O5O78O85
Edna Lemley	<b>A8</b> 105030042088
Charlene de Bruyn	A801 1260136089
Natalie Sulter	A8007170136086
Vanessa Reynders	A8101210016000
Kim Gibbons	A8008110166084
Peter Belzar	A8010115160088

# EMBARGOED **UNTIL 14H00** 29 **April 1999**

# **Supplementary Report upon** investigations into certain irregularities in the 1998 **Mpumalanga** Senior Certificate Examinations

**Eberhard Bertelsmann SC** Chambers - Pretoria 29 **April** 1999

# SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT TO THE HONOURABLE MINISTER OF EDUCATION. DR SIBUSISU BENGU, REGARDING THE INSTIGATIONS INTO CERTAIN IRREGULARITIES WHICH ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED DURING THE SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS OF 1998 IN MPUMALANGA

1.

I had the privilege of presenting my principal report to the Honorable Minister of Education on the 6th of April 1999.

2.

Although it had originally been intended to finalize not only my own report, but the investigation of the South Mean Police Services and of the South African Certification Council at the end of March 1999, this proved to be practically impossible.

3.

On the 6th of April 1999 it was resolved to postpone the deadline for the **finalization** of these **reports** to **Thursday** the 22nd of April 1999.

4.

At that stage, the South African Certification Council still needed to finalize the investigation into all the subjects affected by the unlawful practices. In addition, the standardization meeting had to be held before publication of the correct results could take place.

5.

On the 6th of April 1999 it was expected that the Police investigation would also be completed before the 22nd of April 1999, so that the results of all investigations could be published on the 22nd of April 1999 together with the corrected marks of the Mpumalanga matriculants.

6.

Unfortunately, further delays occurred in both the SAFCERT investigation and the Police investigation. At a meeting held on the 20th of April 1999 with the Honorable Minister, SAFCERT, representatives of the Joint Matriculation Board, the universities and technikons as well the Police, the Honorable MEC for Education of Mpumalanga, Mr D D Mabuza and Mr L M Mello, the acting head of department of the

**Mpumalanga Education** Department, the **deadline** for the **finalization** of the investigations was extended yet again to the 29th of April 1999.

7.

The standardization meeting in respect of the Mpumalanga results, of which the corrected particulars became available on the 20th of April 1999, was eventually held on Thursday the 22nd of April 1999. At this meeting, SAFCERT was represented by its Chief Executive Officer, Dr Fred Calitz, and several of its committee members, while the National Department of Education was represented by Mr Andre Reyneke and Dr Ihron Rensburg.

8.

The Mpumalanga Education Department was represent by its acting head, Mr Mello and several of the department's senior officials.

9.

At the standardization meeting, the full range of all the results of the matriculation examination was

discussed in all subjects. I should add that the Mpumalanga Education Department had been in the possession of the corrected raw marks as calculated and re-established by SAFCERT since the afternoon of the 20th of April 1999.

10.

As was explained in my principal report, **SAFCERT** prepares statistical calculations for each subject predicting the probable results of the matriculation examination of all candidates, and the statistical spread of outstanding candidates, average candidates and below average candidates.

11.

During the standardization meeting, the statistical prediction is compared to the actual raw marks which were obtained by the examinees and the results are then standardize. Marks are adjusted bearing in mind the aforesaid statistical predictions, the circumstances of the particular examination, any improvement in the teaching and preparation of the students, the integrity of the examination system and all other aspects which may impact upon the general result of the examination.

12

Marks are then adjusted and **allocated** within the scientifically and **educationally** acceptable range applying the **SAFCERT** formula.

13.

A certain measure of latitude is allowed to **SAFCERT** and the examining body within these scientific parameters.

14.

By Friday evening, the parties represent at the standardization meeting were agreed upon the results.

Both Mr Melio and Dr Calitz as well as Mr Reyneke confirmed to me that the adjustment of the marks was

accepted by everybody present.

15.

These parties have also confirmed in subsequent telephonic and personal discussions with me that the

adjustment of the marks was effected in a spirit of compassion for the learners who have suffered as a result of the unlawful action perpetrated by senior officials and dictionaries of the Mpumalanga Education Department. During the discussion of the statistically generated predictions, Mr Mello and his officials' appraoch were that a sympathetic application of these proposal adjustments should be applied. This was accepted by SAFCERT and the National Department within the scientifically permissible range.

16.

Eventually the adjusted marks showed a pass rate of 52,6%, an improvement of approximately 6.6% compared to the 1997 results. This compares to a 72,5% pass rate which was purportedly achieved according to the results which had been unlawfully tampered with.

17.

After the marks were properly standardized, it has emerged that 12,5% of the 41 649 candidates who achieved matriculation endorsement, namely a total number of 5,189 liners compared with the 7 101 who had purportedly achieved such an endorsement according to the unlawful results. 16 711 candidates did not qualify for a matriculation endorsement, but qualified for a senior certificate. In total 21 900 candidates passed and 19749 candidates failed compared with 28755 who passed and 10927 who failed

according to the tampered results. The full range of the results is annexed hereto, marked ANNEXURE "Y".

18.

On Friday, Dr Calitz reported tome that the standardization of the marks had been effected unanimously, a fact which was later confirmed by Mr Andre Reyneke.

19.

It should be underlined at this stage that, although the standardization meeting finally determines the overall marks of all learners on a statistically and scientifically justifiable basis, each and every learner, school, principal, parent and, where appropriate, each representative organization can still request that individual candidates' scripts be checked and re-marked. This is still the case, in spite of the irregularities which occurred, because, as I pointed out in my principal report, the National Department of Education went to considerable lengths to ensure the safety and integrity of the examination scripts. With a few exceptions, all scripts are available and can be checked and examined.

**On** Monday, the 26th of April 1999, a senior official of the **Mpumalanga Education Department did**, however, raise a number of **practical** questions relating to the results **achieved** by **learners** at **certain** examination **centres** in the **subjects** biology and geography. A meeting was arranged at the **national** Department, where it **was agreed** that **the** individual **scripts** of the learners affected would be examined **immediately**. This was done by **Dr Calitz**, **Mr Reyneke** and the **Mpumalanga** officials and it was reported tome in the evening that **all** queries had&n **resolved** to everybody's **satisfaction**.

21.

I embarked upon the preparation of this **supplementary** report, **only** to be **informed** by **Mr Mabuza** on Tuesday night that a further problem had raised its **head**. This problem was eventually **reduced** to writing by **Mr Mello** in a letter, a copy of which is annexed hereto **marked ANNEXURE** "X".

22.

After Mr Mabuza had raised the issue of the moderators' reports with me, I phoned Mr Mello on the morning on the 28th of April 1999 and discussed the issue with him. I pointed out that, as far as I was

aware, the adjustment of the marks had been **conducted** in a spirit of **scientifically** justifiable generosity toward the learners, with which statement **Mr Mello agreed**. **In** spite thereof, however, he was of the view that the moderators reports should be taken into **consideration**, in spite of the fact that some of these moderators were persons who **participated** in the untoward adjustment of the marks in the first instance.

23.

I investigated the matter and discussed it with **Dr Calitz** and **Mr Reyneke**, as well as **Mr Mello** during the **course** of the day on the telephone, I was **informed** by **Mr Reyneke** that:

- 23.1 **Internal** moderators are usually not in a position to **comment** upon the overall results, as they **only see** a limited segment of the **learners** and their scripts;
- 23.2 Consequently, their view as to whether the marks in a particulars subject, or the overall result, should be adjusted upward or downward, cannot be the decisive factor because they do not have the overview of all results achieved by all learners in all the subjects in which examinations were written;
- 23.3 **These facts** were discussed in passing during the **standardization** meeting on **Thursday**, the

22nd of April 1999, and were accepted as correct by everybody present;

- 23.4 Normally, moderators' and examiners' reports are given limited, if any, attention during a standardization meeting unless;
- 23.5 A moderator could **point** to a problem **in** a particular examination because of **technical** or scientific problems, such as the fact that an examination paper was ambiguous or **contained** a **misleading** question. In such event, a moderator's view in regard to an adjustment of the marks is of **importance**;
- 23.6.1.1 It is primarily the responsibility of the examining authority to refer to moderators' and examiners' reports if such are to be considered in the standardization meeting;
- 23.7 As the **adjustment** of marks was carried out **in** a spirit of scientifically justifiable generosity, further **reference** to the moderators **reports** would not have **affected** the **results** at **all**;
- 23.8 Neither **SAFCERT** nor the National Department would be prepared to consider a **further** adjustment of **the** marks, but for **exceptional** cases **based** on durational sound principles

or reasons of which there are no evidence at present;

- 23.9 The standardization meeting was concluded properly and reached scientifically justifiable results;
- 23.10 It is in the interest of **learners** and of the public at large as well as **the** system as whole that the process, once properly **concluded**, remains **finalized**;
- Each individual learner is still at liberty to request an inspection or remark of his individual scripts, so that the rights of no individual will be detrimentally affected if the adjusted marks are accepted as correct. Dr Calitz confirmed to me that SAFCERT regards the process as concluded and that he agrees with the attitude adopted by the National Department.

24.

After having discussed the matter with the various parties concerned over the telephone, it is my considered view that the attitude adopted by the National Department and by SAFCERT is correct. Quite apart from the educationally and scientifically motivated justification of this point of view, I find it rather strange that no effort was made to table the moderators' reports by the senior officials of the Mpumalanga Education

Department during the standardization meeting. Bearing in mind that at least some of the moderators concerned are prima facie guilty of having been involved to a considerable extent in the activities which have caused the present debacle, I would be extremely hesitant to accord any weight to their views in any event. I am convinced that there is no merit in the stance adopted by the Mpumalanga Education Department in this regard and I regret that further delay and expense has been caused thereby. I am satisfied that, given the magnitude of the malpractice's, the relevant authorities have done their best to rectify the situation and to limit the damage which has been caused to the individual learner as much as possible.

25.

It is in the interest of each and every learner, of the system as a whole, of the Mpumalanga Education Department and of the public at large that the process be finalized on the basis I have set out above.

26.

I would like to express my appreciation **and** admiration toward the officials of the National Department and in particular **Mr Reyneke and** Advocate **Eben Boshoff** as well as **Dr Manganyi** and **Dr Rensburg** as well as **SAFCERT** for the dedication and tireless efforts with **which** they **contributed** to a solution of the problem.

#### 27

I am happy to record that the representatives of the technikons and universities indicated during a meeting on the 20th of April 1999 that they would consider the recommendations I made in the principal report regarding the treatments of students who may not qualify for admission to a technikon or a university, but were admitted on the strength of incorrect marks, very sympathetically. I hope that a similarly understanding approach will be adopted by employers who appointed employees to positions which require a senior certificate which such new employee may now not have achieved.

#### 28.

It had been hoped that the South **African** Police Services would be able to conclude their investigations at this stage as well. Unfortunately, because of the magnitude of the problem and, in particular, because of the fact that a large number of forensic tests have to be conducted it will still take two to three **weeks** before the Police **will** be in a position to prefer charges, if at **all**.

29.

It is regrettable that I **cannot** present a **complete** picture at this stage, but in the public interest the report must now be released.

30

Because the Police enquiries are still continuing, no individual who may be regarded as a suspect on the ground of the findings that I have made should be identified at this stage. I would urgently request parties who have an interest in the matter to refrain from speculation about the identity of those individuals who may eventually be pointed out as suspects and or **as accused**, until such time **as** the police and the

prosecution authorities have been able to conclude **their** task and any suspect **has** been **given an opportunity** to explain his or her actions to the investigating authorities should they **wish** to do so.

31.

I thank you for the trust **placed** in me. My particular **thanks** are due to Advocate **Eben Boshoff** and **Mrs** M Locke for their practical and administrative assistance and encouragement.

Red

EBERHARD BERTELSMANN SC CHAMBERS 29 APRIL 1999

136 **No**. 20085



Program : Examination Section :		Date: T i m e : Page:	1999/04/29 08:12:44-2	
	RESULT STATISTICS			
	Total number of candidates who entered: Total number of candidates who wrote :			
		fullexamination		41649
	(b) Number of candidates from (a) who qual	lified for a Senior Certificate		21%0
	(c) Percentage of candidates from (a) v	<b>vho</b> qualified for a Senior Certificate		52.6
	(d) Number of candidates who offered a Matriculation ● ndorsement	a subject set <b>which</b> could lead to a		34437
		who offered a subject set which could lead		82.7
	(f) Number of candidates from (d) who qua	alified for a Matriculation endorsement		5189
	(g) Percentage of candidates from (d) wh	no qualified for a Matriculation endorsement		1s.1
	(h) Percentage of candidates from (a) wh	no qualified for a Matriculation endorsement		12. s
		did not qualify for a Matriculation endorsement cate		12549
	(j) Number of candidates who sat for less	than the full • xaminatim		42S
	(k) Number of subject entries involved	in (j)		2626
	(1) Number of subjects from (k) which w	vere passed		1 <b>08</b> 0
	(m) Number of candidates from (j) who could	d complete a Senior Certificate		NA
	(n) Number of candidates from (m) who qua	lified for a Senior Certificate		NA
	(o) Number of candidates from (j) <b>who</b> cou	uld <b>complete</b> a Matriculation • ndorsement		NA
	(p) Number of candidates from (o) who	qualified for a Matriculation endorsement		NA
	(q) Number of candidates <b>who</b> were fully	/ absent		1672
S0009 - END OF E				19749

Program : E0319H2 Examination : 1998/11 section : SENIOR CERTIFICATE (FULLTIME)					)	MPUMALANGA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION							Date: 1999/04/29 Time: 08:10:25 c Page: 1		
	Re	sult s	tatisti	cs -Irr	egulariti	es inclu	uded								
	. ,			of candi r of candi				43746 <b>42074</b>							
(A) Candidat who wrot full exar	te	certifi	cate %	Univ. e	r %	failed number	x	absent number		outstand number	ling %	i <b>rregu</b> number	lar %	(J) Less than 6 subjects wrote number	
41612	!	16703	40.1		12.5	19725	47.4	1672	3.9		0.1	72	0.2	404	
Pass	inc	licator	Comp	alete I A + E)	ncomplet			nding)							
	0			0	0	N	o resul	t calculate	ed ye	et					
	1			5184	5			SS/M' Pas	-						
	2			ı 0989	4	Er	ntered	'SS/M', Fa	ailed	' <b>ss/m'</b> , Pa	assed	'SS'.			
	3			2157	2					' SS' with	n cond	dition <b>exe</b>	mpt ior	า	
	4			3557	2			SS', Pa							
	5			3042	4			SS', Fa							
	6 7			16682	17			• •	ied .	SS/M' and	55				
	′ a			0 0	0		ntinus ttinus								
τ.		0 0				NC	C III US	96							
Ic	Dial (	0 - 8		41611	34										
	9			2062	39	Г	· · · · ·	Less than	~						

(K) O Candidates entered for less 'then 6 subjects

Calculation methods:

-----

1. Column A = Columns B + C + D + (No results calculated)

2. Column H = Columns A + F + J

- 3. Column I = Columns A + E + F + J + K
- 4. Column G is included in columns B through F

# SUBJECT STATISTICS

## • \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

EVELANATION OF THE COLLIMMS IN THE TABLE OF THE ATTACHED SUBJECT STATISTICS
*****
•
* A - The number of candidates who sat for the   xaminstia
*
• B - The number of candidates who passed the examination (exe luding condonat i ens)
•
• c - The number of candidates who passed the • xaminat ion on condonat i on •
•
* D - The number of candidates who failed the $ullet$ xamination but qualified for a conversion $ullet$
* to a pass on the next lower grade •
• •
• E - The number of candidates who passed with distinction (excluding condonations)
•
• F - The number of candidates who passed with distinction on condonation
*
ullet G - The number of candidates who qualified for a condonation from an F on HG to an E $ullet$
* to qualify for a Higher Grade credit
•
● H - The <b>number</b> of candidates who qualified for a condonation from an H to a GG to *
• qualify for subminimum in the sixth subject
*
***************************************

Program : E0319M9

Examination : 1998/11

Sect ion : SENIOR CERTIFICATE (FULLTIME)

MPUMALANGA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Date: 1999~04/29 Time: 08:12:46.2 Page: 2

	SUBJECT STATISTICS										
SUBJECT	SUBJECT DESCRIPTION	A	В	c	D	E	F	G	Н		
04011	ACCOUNTING HG	5673	1151	9s	1219	125	9	0	25		
04012	ACCOUNTING SG	3ss7	1379	83	625	9	S	0	2		
03021	ADDITIONAL MATHEMATICSHG	26	18	0	0	6	1	0	0		
01011	AFRIKAANS FIRST LANGUAGE HG	2224	2096	63	64	65	27	G	0		
01012	AFRIKAANS FIRST LANGUAGE SG	1123	1108	2	11	3	1	0	0		
01021	AFRIKAANS SECOND LANGUAGE HG	33847	31990	128	1652	1s2	14	0	0		
01022	AFRIKAANSSECOND LANGUAGE SG	432	421	1	9	1	0	0	G		
08021	AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE HG	41 s	36	9	61	1	0	0	0		
080	AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE SG	119s5	4134	294	287S	10	7	0	0		
08031	ANIMAL HUSBANDRY HG	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
08032	ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SG	ls	13	0	2	0	0	0	0		
09362	APPLIED AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE SG	141	75	S	31	0	0	0	0		
06011	ART HG	83	81	0	2	10	2	0	0		
06012	ART <b>SG</b>	75	74	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0s011	BIBLICAL STUDIES HG	3119	44a	4s	708	12	1	0	1		
0s012	818 LICAL STUDIES SG	177s	832	12	241	3a	1	0	0		
03061	BIOLOGY HG	21193	2806	400	7822	4a	12	0	7		
03062	BIOLOGY SG	94s3	2941	182	216S	13	7	0	1		
07012	BRICKLAYING AND PLASTERING SG	14	10	0	1	0	0	0	0		
07022	BUILDING CONSTRUCTION SG	67	62	0	3	4	2	0	0		
04021	BUSINESS ECONOMICS HG	26S3	662	43	504	59	12	0	4		
04022	BUSINESS ECONOMICSSG	11954	5621	239	2236	73	33	0	0		
04032	COMMERCIAL MATHEMATICS SG	14s	24	0	20	0	0	0	1		
07241	COMPUTER STUDIES HG	208	204	1	3	44	7	0	0		
07242	COMPUTER STUDIES SG	174	174	0	0	26	8	0	0		
04041	ECONOMICS HG	6772	1918	160	1797	38	18	0	0		
04042	ECONOMICS SG	2170	1302	42	S89	17	а	0	0		
07032	ELECTRICIAN WORK SG	231	201	3	19	10	2	0	0		
07042	ELECTRONICS <b>SG</b>	49	34	1	7	0	0	0	0		
01041	ENGLISH FIRST LANGUAGE HG	907	837	12	54	26	13	0	0		
)1042	ENGLISH FIRST LANGUAGE SG	238	231	0	7	0	0	0	0		
01051	ENGLISH SECOND LANGUAGE HG	39661	36575	193	2670	S87	117	0	0		
)10s2	ENGLISH SECOND LANGUAGE SG	1133	1127	1	S	5	3	0	0		
8042	FARM MECHANICS SG	117	8S	1	27	0	0	0	0		
8051	FIELD HUSBANDRY <b>HG</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
8052	FIELD HUSBANDRY <b>SG</b>	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0		
)70S2	FITTING AND TURNING <b>\$G</b>	10s	87	3	13	6	0	0	0		
)1271	FRENCH HG	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	0		
)1272	FRENCH SG	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0		
30	FUNCTIONAL MATHEMATICSSG	326	17s	6	2s	1	1	0	0		
)3052	FUNCTIONAL PHYSICAL SCIENCE SG	142	88	2	3a	0	0	0	0		
05021	GEOGRAPHY HG	12806	2649	291	5125	95	32	0	0		
5022	GEOGRAPHY SG	5970	244a	136	1490	S	1	0	0		
1291	GERMAN THIRD LANGUAGE HG	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
1321	HINDI <b>Hg</b>	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0		
5031	HISTORY <b>HG</b>	4619	539	56	62S	41	6	0	?		
)s032	HISTORY <b>SG</b>	S438	1387	93	804	14	3	0	С		
07211	HOME ECONOMICS HG	1032	712	42	249	13	10	0	0		

#### 9

Examination : 1998/11

SUBJECT STATISTICS

Program : EO319M9 camination : 1998/11	MPUMALANGA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		1999/04/29 08:12:462
section : SENIOR CERTIFICATE (FULLTIME)		Page:	3

UBJECT	SUBJECT DESCRIPTION	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
07212	HOME ECONOMICS SG	444	434	0	7	1	0	0	0
07232	HOTEL KEEPING AND CATERING SG	443	443	0	0	26	9	0	0
09052	INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINOLOGY SG	77	8	0	6	0	0	0	0
01071	ISINDEBELE FIRST LANGUAGE HG	5987	5901	8	0	22	17	0	0
01211	ISIXHOSA FIRST LANGUAGE HG	24	23	0	1	5	4	0	0
01231	ISIZULU FIRST LANGUAGE HG	9352	8594	34	715	47	16	0	0
01241	ISIZULU THIRD LANGUAGE <b>hg</b>	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
03011	MATHEMATICS HG	3552	957	73	506	93	15	0	28
03012	MATHEMATICS SG	14942	3868	202	1205	173	44	0	31
04052	MERCANTILE LAWSG	178	57	6	59	0	0	0	C
07192	METALWORK <b>SG</b>	106	86	5	13	0	0	0	C
07072	MOTOR MECHANICS SG	149	147	0	2	16	0	0	C
06061	MUSICHG	12	12	0	0	3	1	0	C
06082	MUSIC PERFORMANCE (2ND INSTRUM)SG	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	C
06062	MUSIC SG	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	C
07222	NEEDLEWORK AND CLOTHING SG	140	131	0	6	2	3	0	C
03041	PHYSICAL SCIENCE HG	5661	1493	129	1269	114	27	0	12
03042	PHYSICAL SCIENCE <b>SG</b>	5780	2486	146	999	24	8	0	4
03071	PHYSIOLOGY HG	148	9	1	22	0	0	0	0
03072	PHYSIOLOGY SG	21	3	0	6	0	0	0	0
01351	PORTUGUESE	4	2	1	1	0	0	0 0	0
01352	PORTUGUESE SG	1	1	0	Ō	0	0	0	0
01091	SEPEDI FIRST LANGUAGE HG	6631	6124	24	475	14	14	0	0
01111	SESOTHO FIRST LANGUAGE HG	224	216	0	8	1	0	0	0
01171	SETSWANA FIRST LANGUAGE HG	1701	1S76	8	11s	8	2	Ō	0
01131	SISWATI FIRST LANGUAGE HG	13536	12514	32	969	45	39	0	0
09032	SOUTH AFRICAN TRIMINAL LAWSG	37	12	3	16	0	0	0	0
06111	SPEECH AND DRAMA HG	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
07111	TECHNICAL DRAWINGHG	629	509	12	68	43	14	0	0
07112	TECHNICAL DRAWING <b>SG</b>	550	4s3	8	52	13	3	0	0
07121	TECHNIKA: CIVIL HG	66	64	0	2	0	1	0	0
07121	TECHNIKA: ELECTRICAL HG	12	8	1	3	0	1	0 0	0
07132	TECHNIKA: ELECTRICAL SG	10	s	0	4	0	0	Õ	0
	TECHNIKA: ELECTRONICS HG	129	3 111	5	12	19	3	Ő	0
07141	TECHNIKA: ELECTRONICS NG	125	11	5 2	2	0	3 0	0	0
07142	TECHNIKA: ELECTRONICS SG	84	80	2 1	2	11	4	0	0
07151				0	0			0	
07152	TECHNIKA: MECHANICAL SG	1 2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
01191	TSHIVENDA FIRST LANGUAGE HG	2 1 20 4	2			0	0	U A	0
04082	TYPING SG	1284	911	16	69	129	22	0	1
07162	WELDING AND METALWORKING SG	30	28	0	1	0	0	U O	0
07202	WOODWORK SG	340	239	9	29	0	0	0	0
07172 01151	WOO DWORKING SG XITSONGA FIRST LANGUAGE HG	9 79	6 78	0 0	2 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0



Annexure X

# Mpumalanga Provincial Government

Room 207. The Golleries. Corner Jan van Richceck and Verdoorn Streets MIDDELBURG 1055 Republic of South Africa



Private Bog X25 1863 M.IDDELBURG 1050 South Africa Tel no (013) 249 7653 For no (019) 243 2596

# Deputy Director-General : Department of Education

Ref: Eva: 1-1

Eng: LM Mello

The Chief Executive Off i **cer** The South African Certification Council P O Box 74299 LYNNWOOD RIDGE 0040

Dear **Dr Calitz** 

# Re : MODERATORS RECOMMENDATIONS

The **Mpumalanga Department** of Education would like to put it on record and also request. **SAFCERT** to consider the Moderators recommendations in relation to the following subjects for the 1998 November **Exam**:

- Biology HG & SG
- History HG & SG
- . Afrikaans 2<sup>nd</sup> Language HG & SG
- . Geography HG & SG

# This request is informed by the following;

 That at the re-orgiving meeting held on 22 April 1999, an impression was created by the SAFCERT delegation that moderators recommendations are usually not considered for adjustments of marks, 2. Upon coming back to Mpumalanga the officials made comparisons with what has been the practice, pattern and presidency for  $1996 \rightarrow 1997$ it is discovered that in the two years in question moderators recommendations were fully considered and implemented.

The department **would** therefore wish to register this points and further request a meeting to discuss the above matter.

Kind regards,

28/04/99

ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR-GENERAL ModeratorsRecommendations:Safcert/Rg No.

# CONTENTS

Page Gazette No. No.

**GENERAL NOTICE** 

#### Education, Department of

General Notice

Printed by and obtainable from the Government Printer, Bosman Street, Private Bag X85, Pretoria, 0001 Tel: (01 2) 334-4507, 334-4511, 334-4509, 334-4515 Gedruk deur en verkrygbaar by die Staatsdrukker,Bosmanstraat,Privaat Sak X85, Pretoria, 0001 Tel: (01 2) 334-4507, 334-4511, 334-4509, 334-4515

#### 53.

In terms of law and practice, the SAFCERT representatives must have been informed at the standardization meeting on the 28th of December 1998 of the true state of affairs. Instead the senior official or officials who was/were fully aware of all facts, not only failed to disclose the actions which had been undertaken by the internal moderators, but in addition, explained the positive results which had apparently been achieved with reference to the additional efforts the Mpumalanga Mutation Department and its teachers had made to prepare the learners for the examination.

#### 54,

When the first critical questions were **asked** in public, the **provincial spokesperson** publicly defended the **results**, again without any reference to the true state of\* being made by those in the know. **Others**, **including** senior educators and politicians came to **the defence** of the **Mpumalanga Education Department**, genuinely under **the** impression that the **excellent results** had been achieved by hard **work**, **dedication** and enthusiasm.

#### 55.

At the **standardization** meeting the raw **marks** were observed to be **far** above the expected norm and had to be **adjusted** downward even without knowledge of the **unlawful** increase **effected** by **the** moderators.

Report upon investigations into possible irregularities in the 1998 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate Examinations Judge Eberhard Bertelsmann SC Acting Judge of the High Court –Pretoria 3 April 1999